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FOREWORD

The preparation of this volume was a formidable undertaking for Dr. Cooper, not the least of whose editorial skills has been to leave the reader unaware of the considerable problems posed by her sources: their nature is indicated in the editorial note. A grant from the Twenty-Seven Foundation enabled photocopies of the manuscripts to be made, and the Greening Lamborn Trust contributed most handsomely to the publication costs of the volume. The society is deeply grateful to both bodies.

Oxford, June 1989

Christopher Day
Hon. Editor, O.R.S.
The records of the general eyre contain much information of interest to local as well as to legal historians, but although they have now been listed and described in a Public Record Office handbook, the fact that they are unindexed makes them difficult for most local historians to use. I hope that this calendar of the 1241 rolls will make the record of one Oxfordshire eyre readily accessible, and perhaps encourage the use of the later, unpublished, rolls.

In the preparation of this calendar I have received help and encouragement from many people. I am particularly indebted to the late C. A. F. Meekings and the late Prof. R. B. Pugh who encouraged me to undertake the work, to Dr. Paul Hyams for advice in the early stages of the work, to Dr. David Carpenter for help with the identification of surnames, and to Dr. M. T. Clanchy for help with the subject index and with the introduction. Miss Eleanor Chance has helped with the typing of the index. Dr. J. F. A. Mason, the former general editor of the Oxfordshire Record Society, showed unfailing interest over the years, and I am particularly grateful to Christopher Day, the present general editor of the society, for proof-reading my word-processed text and for seeing the volume through the press.
INTRODUCTION

Under John and Henry III the general eyre, or eyre for common pleas, was a royal court moving round the country to enforce law in a manner convenient for the litigants. It had developed as a permanent and regular part of the judicial system in the later 12th century, after the Assize of Clarendon in 1166 had established the method of indictment of suspected criminals and the first of the possessory assizes, novel disseisin. Such eyres were held almost every year at the end of the 12th century, but in the reign of Henry III they were held less frequently, as other methods of dispensing royal justice, such as commissions for gaol delivery, developed. General eyres were held between 1218 and 1221, 1226 and 1229, 1231 and 1232, 1234 and 1236, 1239 and 1241, 1246 and 1249, 1250 and 1252, 1252 and 1258, and 1261 and 1263, and from 1268 to 1272. Under Edward I eyres were held between 1274 and 1277 (to complete the last visitation of Henry III's reign), between 1278 and 1289, and between 1292 and 1294, by which time the process was found to be too cumbersome. It was revived briefly in 1329–30. Much work on the history of the eyre in the reign of Henry III has recently been done by the late C.A.F. Meekings and by Dr. M.T. Clanchy, and the introductions to their editions or calendars of the Wiltshire Eyre of 1249, the Berkshire Eyre of 1248, and the Surrey Eyre of 1235 provide a very good account of the subject.1 Dr. D. Crook has discussed the history of the eyre fully in his introduction to the Records of the General Eyre.2 The following paragraphs contain only a brief summary.

The pleas in eyre were heard by the justices of the Bench who normally sat at Westminster; indeed from 1235 up to and including the eyre of 1246–9 the Bench closed down during an eyre, and cases pending there were appointed to be heard in the eyre; likewise at the end of the eyre cases would be adjourned back to the Bench. During the eyre, cases would be adjourned from one county to another. These arrangements account for the large number of ‘foreign’ pleas, or pleas which do not relate to the county in which the justices were then sitting, found on the eyre rolls. In the later

13th century the foreign pleas were enrolled separately, but in 1241 they were enrolled with the Oxfordshire pleas, presumably in the order in which they were heard, or were expected to be heard. The foreign pleas have been included in this calendar in order to give a full account of the eyre, but they have not been edited in detail.

The chief justice on the south-eastern circuit for the eyre of 1240–1 was William of York who shortly afterwards, in November 1241, became the senior justice in the court coram rege, that is the group of senior justices who travelled with the king acting as a court of appeal. He had served as an eyre justice from 1227 onwards and become a justice in the Bench in 1231. He resigned his justiceship in February 1247 on becoming bishop of Salisbury. His circuit in 1240–1 covered Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex, Hertfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Huntingdonshire, Bedfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire, Surrey, Kent, Sussex, and Berkshire. His colleagues on the most distinguished team in any eyre circuit from 1218 onwards were Henry of Bath, a leading justice from 1245 who became chief justice of the Bench in 1245, Gilbert of Preston, who was to be chief justice in 29 eyres between 1254 and 1272 and a chief justice in the common bench, and Roger of Thirkelby, a leading justice (with Henry of Bath) in eyres from 1245 to 1252 and chief justice of the common bench. The rolls calendared here, now numbered JUST 1/695 and JUST 1/696 in the Public Record Office, are the earliest Oxfordshire rolls to survive, apart from a single membrane of the crown pleas for Ploughley hundred from the 1235 eyre (JUST 1/1580). Earlier Oxfordshire eyres are known only from surviving feet of fines, accounts or escheat rolls. They were held in 1194, 1199, during the general eyre of 1201–3, from December 1218 to January 1219, and in 1227. Other Oxfordshire eyres were held in 1247 (JUST 1/699), in 1252 (no roll survives), in 1260 (JUST 1/713, incomplete), in 1261 (JUST 1/701), in 1268 (JUST 1/702A–C, JUST 1/703), and in 1285 (JUST 1/704–710). In addition to being the earliest surviving rolls, JUST 1/695 and 696 are the only two complete rolls for the same eyre to survive before the seven rolls for the 1285 eyre. The two rolls, which were made for two justices, are not identical and to some extent complement each other.

The writ initiating the eyre in 1240 does not survive, as both the patent and the close rolls for that year have been lost, but William of York and his colleagues were instructed to begin a circuit of Oxfordshire, Berkshire, Somerset, and Dorset at Oxford 15 days after Easter (14 April) 1241. On that day they took the essoins 'de malo veniendi', that is they heard the excuses sent by those who claimed to be unable to get to court. The litigant's messenger, his essoiner, claimed that he had set out for court but had been prevented by some accident from reaching it. This essoin resulted in a delay of at least two weeks, and was usually cast by defendants who wanted more time to prepare their cases. The justices heard further essoins 'de malo veniendi' at Oxford on Wednesday 17 April, on Sunday 21 April, and on Sunday 28 April; on Friday 26 April they heard one essoin 'de malo lecti', in which the litigant claimed that he was ill in bed and therefore could not come to court. On Friday 4 May the justices were at Wycombe to hear essoins 'de malo veniendi' there for the Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire lands of the honor of Wallingford.

The justices probably began hearing civil pleas on Monday 15 April. Those were disputes between two parties, mainly over land or rights in it. Some pleas had been adjourned from the Bench, but most were brought by local knights and freemen who perhaps could not afford the expense of litigation at Westminster and preferred to wait for the arrival of the justices in their neighbourhood. As has already been explained, the civil pleas in the 1241 eyre included many 'foreign' pleas relating to counties other than Oxfordshire. The litigants in those pleas, or their attornies, had to come to Oxford for the plea. Pleading was formal, and strictly laid down for each action; this is apparent from the stereotyped phrases of the recording clerks, and from the frequent use (in the original rolls) of 'etc.'. The litigants did not ordinarily produce evidence to support their suit, they stated their case and the jury decided, from their knowledge of the affair, who was in the right.

The most common action (126 out of c. 680 pleas in which the action can be identified) was that for dower, in which a widow claimed her share, usually a third, of her late husband's lands. In 5 cases (304, 382, 461, 475, 521) the widow was joined, or should have been joined, in the action by her second husband. Sometimes (e.g. 272, 498) the claim was for a third of a number of small estates, apparently a free
peasant’s holding, but in one case (428) Eve de Gray, a 
member of a major Oxfordshire family, claimed a third of 
the advowson of Deddington church, a fishpond, a yardland, 
and a mill against William de Dive, another important 
Oxfordshire landholder. In most cases the defendant failed to 
appear and the land was taken into the king’s hand until the 
dispute could be settled; in others the plaintiff recovered her 
seisin. In a Warwickshire case (484) the defendant argued 
that he held the land in villeinage and hence could not answer 
a claim in a royal court; in another case (704), concerning 
land in Drayton St. Leonard, a similar defence failed. In 
three Oxfordshire cases (555, 618, 627) the defendant argued 
that the claimant had not been legally married and the case 
was adjourned until the bishop of Lincoln had ruled on the 
validity of the marriage. In another three cases (566, 608, 
690) the defendant argued that the plaintiff’s husband had 
not held the land so freely that he could dower her with it, in 
one case claiming that he had held only for a term of years. 
Another defendant (716) claimed that, with her consent, the 
plaintiff’s husband had mortgaged the house claimed in the 
Oxford Jewry ‘for their common necessities’.

The next commonest action after dower was ‘land’ (61 
cases), a vague term which probably covered mainly actions 
of mort dancestor and novel disseisin, the two next 
commonest actions, 60 and 52 cases respectively. Both were 
possessory assizes, quick forms of action introduced under 
Henry II to determine possession as opposed to right in land. 
In the assize of mort dancestor the claimant claimed that his 
ancestor had been seised of the land on the day that he died, 
and that he was his nearest heir; to fall within the assize the 
ancestor had to have died since the last return of King John 
from Ireland in 1210. One plea (581) failed because the 
ancestor had died before 1210. Most pleas, a total of 19, were 
for the death of a father; four people claimed on the death of 
an uncle, two each on the death of a mother and a brother, 
and one on the death of an aunt. In one case (399) the 
claimant claimed not for his father’s death but for his 
departure for Jerusalem, from where he had not returned. In 
only four cases did the plaintiff recover his seisin, in another 
four an agreement was reached. Of those who failed, two 
sisters claiming land in Adderbury (303) claimed for the 
death of their father when they should have claimed for the 
death of their brother who had succeeded him; a man who 
claimed land in Churchill on his uncle’s death (644) had to 
admit that his uncle had had a son who had inherited the 
land; two cousins failed in their claim for land in Shiplake
(702) because they had stated that the previous holder was their uncle whereas he was the uncle of one and grandfather of the other. In a plea over land in Walcot (393), two of the defendants alleged that the claimant was a bastard, but he lost because he had to admit that his mother held the land in dower.

In the assize of novel disseisin the claimant claimed that he had himself been in seisin of the land before he was disseised. To ensure that the disseisin was recent, it had to have taken place since the crossing of Henry III to Brittany in 1230. The writ did not run on the ancient demesne of the crown (485) because a similar process was available in the manor court to tenants on ancient demesne. Two pleas of novel disseisin on the Oxfordshire roll contain interesting economic or legal information. When Roger de Hide (459) claimed that the former abbot of Eynsham had disseised him of his pasture rights in South Stoke and Woodcote the jury reported that an earlier abbot had divided his lands into three parts instead of two, i.e. had changed from a two-field to a three-field system, thus reducing the amount of land on which Roger had common. Roger, however, lost his case for naming the wrong abbot. The pleading in a dispute (712) between two prominent Oxford citizens, Peter son of Thorold and John of Bridport, over a house and land in Oxford describes in detail the earlier pleading in the Oxford borough court. In all, fourteen of the claimants who brought an assize of novel disseisin recovered their land; the 29 who reached agreements over ‘land’ had probably proceeded by an assize of novel disseisin.

An action of right, or de recto, unlike the possessory assizes of mort dancestor and novel disseisin just discussed, decided the right to rather than the simple possession of the land. There are 59 actions on the Oxfordshire roll. Like the assize of mort dancestor they are concerned with the descent of land, but through many more generations. Some pleas (e.g. nos. 326, 353) describe the descent of land for three generations, not always directly. Richard son of Robert (353) described how 5 a. in Leicestershire had descended from his great grandfather to two of his great uncles and their children before reverting to his grandfather, from whom the land passed to his father and himself. Another plea (367) gives the descent of the Oxfordshire manor of Deddington from William de Chesney in the time of Henry II to William de Dive, who in 1241 claimed it from Ralph Hareng whose wife also claimed descent from William de Chesney. In the course of the plea Ralph and his wife Alice produced a charter of
Henry II to Alice’s father Ralph Murdac, and the text of the charter was copied onto the roll. In one case (326) a duel was ordered, but before it was fought an agreement was reached. Four cases (414, 419, 635, 682) were to be decided by the grand assize, a special jury of 12 knights. One attempt to use the grand assize (442) failed because the land claimed was in Banbury which was said to be ancient demesne of the crown (although Banbury had belonged to the bishops of Lincoln and their predecessors the bishops of Dorchester since before the Norman Conquest) and the grand assize did not lie on ancient demesne.

The next most common action, of which there are 54 examples on the Oxfordshire roll, is the personal action of warranty of charter in which the claimant, whose right to land bought from the defendant had been challenged, called on the defendant to warrant him, that is to prove his right to the land or else to compensate him with land of equal value. One plea (746) failed because the defendants had no land with which to warrant the claimant. In 12 cases the plaintiff did not prosecute, in two an agreement was made, and in 6 the defendants did not appear.

Three other actions have over 20 cases each, there are 29 actions of entry, 27 of debt, and 26 of custom and service. In the action of entry the claimant claimed that the defendant or his predecessor had only had entry into an estate through someone who had no legal right to give him a title to it. The commonest claim was that the entry had been made by a termor (or lessee) whose term or interest in the land had expired. Some such claims (e.g. 340) turned on whether or not the land had been granted for a term or in fee. The pleading, like that in actions of right, could include details of the descent of land. Another common claim, made by widows, was that entry had been made through a husband, whom in his lifetime she could not deny (270, 583, 604, 745). In another four cases (717, 739, 749, 752) entry had been made through the guardian of a minor. In one case (482) it was claimed that the defendant had had entry through a man who held in villeinage.

Most of the pleas of debt are brief. The largest sum owed was £60 4s. 10d. (666), but no details are given on the roll. Hubert de Ruyli’s debt of 64 marks owed to Isabel de Bolbec, countess of Oxford, (433) was part of the price of a lucrative wardship. One of the justices, Roger of Thirkleby, brought a plea against 27 men for £20 (403), perhaps unpaid rents. In addition to the pleas of debt, there was one acknowledgement of payment of a debt for arrears of
rent (350), and 6 enrolments of recognisances of debt whereby the debtor acknowledged his indebtedness and undertook to repay, usually by instalments.

Pleas of custom and service, in which the lord claimed services or customary payments from land held of him, sometimes give details of such services. Thomas Greasley (443), for instance, claimed ploughing service of 1 acre for winter sowing and one day’s reaping service in harvest for land in Golder. Robert Purcel in Bainton (534) claimed 7s. in money and two harvest services, and also required his tenant to come, with his wife, to his house at Christmas with four loaves of bread, six hens, and six gallons of ale, and to eat with him there.

The conclusion of many civil pleas is not given on the roll. Often the plea was adjourned until one or other party appeared, or for the result of a view of the disputed land to be made known, or for information to be given by the sheriff of the county involved, or sometimes by the bishop if such ecclesiastical matters as the validity of a marriage were involved. Other pleas ended with one or other party being found to be in the wrong and being amerced. Yet others ended in agreement, for which a licence had to be obtained, for a fee. These agreements were in the form of fines, final concords, a copy of which, the ‘foot’ of the fine, was enrolled among the records of the court. In all 76 concords were made in the Oxfordshire eyre, but not all of them survive.

On the crown pleas side, the justices were commissioned to hear all the crown pleas which had arisen since the last eyre, that is since 1235. Most of the crown pleas were based on the answers provided by panels of jurors, 12 from each hundred or borough, to a series of articles given them by the justices. Both the articles and the juries’ answers, their veredicta or presentments, were given in writing. The articles covered not only ‘criminal’ cases, such as homicide, unexplained deaths, and robberies, but infringements of royal rights, such as encroachments on highways and breaches of the assizes of cloth and of wine. Juries also gave information about royal escheats and serjeanties, and about ladies and churches in the king’s gift. One special article in the 1239–41 eyre concerned the ‘land of Normans’, that is lands in England forfeited by men who had remained in Normandy after its loss by King John in 1204. Henry III still hoped to recover Normandy, and needed to know which English lands might then be

claimed by Normans. The Oxfordshire jurors reported only three ‘lands of Normans’: Kirtlington worth £20 a year (803), Lillingstone worth £5 (806), and Chinnor and Sydenham, held by the earl of Winchester and worth £25 (891).

Ladies and churches in the king’s gift were potential sources of revenue or of reward for faithful servants. Two of the three heiresses in the king’s gift in 1241 (799) were Joan and Alexandra, the daughters of Robert Arsic and heirs to an important Oxfordshire barony. The jury reported that Alexandra had married, presumably with the king’s consent, Thomas de Haya, but Joan had married the Oxford burgess Stephen Simeon without permission, so her land was to be taken into the king’s hand.

Sixteen serjeanties were reported. Three involved personal service to the king, carrying the spit for his dinner (802), giving him water when he came to Cornbury (1019), and yielding him a napkin each year (811). The last had given its holder the surname Napper. One of the five household serjeanties had also given rise to a surname, Roger de Scaccario held land in Aston Rowant by serjeancy of being usher at the Exchequer (892). Others held by being the king’s doorkeeper (871, 873, 929) and being steward of the king’s household (1018). Four men held by serjeancy of keeping or raising falcons or a goshawk for the king (860, 880, 930, 931), and one by serjeancy of collecting ivy for the king’s deer in winter and of mowing and hay-making in a meadow (860). One of the military serjeanties was that of carrying the pennon before the men of Wootton hundred in the king’s army for 40 days (881).

In addition to answering the articles, juries were asked by the justices to provide secretly or ‘privately’ a list of the men in each hundred who were suspected of murder, theft, robbery, receiving thieves, counterfeiting the coinage, or arson. Men thus indicted were then arrested and produced for trial at the eyre. Finally, a criminal case could be brought by the process of an appeal in which a private individual accused another of a crime, usually breach of the peace and wounding, although the spouse or other family of a murdered man could appeal someone of his murder. As with the civil pleas there were strict rules, and an appeal could fail because the appellor failed to state that he was willing to prove his appeal on his body, i.e. by duel, or because he changed the wording of his appeal or (if wounding was claimed) because he failed to show his fresh wounds to the coroner.

Homicide (60 cases) was one of the commonest crimes
alleged, usually in the juries' presentments. Many were said to be the work of unknown evildoers; others, like the killing of Master Vincent Cornwall the former Oxford scholar at the house of Alice of Hackney in Benson (827), look like murder. Some killings were the result of disputes, like the killing of Peter Foster by one of three clerks, perhaps Oxford scholars, who were walking through Slape, near Glympton (841). An argument broke out between one of the clerks and Peter, and the clerk killed Peter with an axe. Some killings were accidental, like that at Ewelme when Andrew le Sopere threw a stick at a hen and hit and killed a boy instead (832). One death, that of the young son of the chaplain of Taynton, resulted from a severe punishment which went tragically wrong (1006). Perhaps the most unusual case was that of Ellis, Maud de Gurnay's doorkeeper (787) who was alleged to have been killed; three men and a woman fled and were outlawed, but at the eyre Ellis appeared alive, so all four were allowed to return.

The term *murder* in the 13th century still referred to the fine exacted from the vill or hundred in which a body was found, unless Englishry was presented, i.e. the victim was shown to have been English rather than Norman. Englishry was presented once in the 1241 Oxfordshire eyre (909); in 20 other cases the fine was exacted, even though in a few cases the coroner's verdict was misadventure.

In cases of accidental death the object which caused the death was 'deodand', given to the church or the poor. This was a survival of the primitive notion that the inanimate object was somehow responsible for the death. Deodands reported in 1241 included the wall of a house (1036), an oak which no one would buy (857), a piece of glass (911), and a calf which dragged to death a small boy who had fastened his belt to its tail (824), as well as the more usual horses, carts, boats, and mill wheels. The sheriff was responsible for producing the deodand, or rather its value in money, before the justices. He was also responsible for producing the goods, or their value, forfeited by felons who had been hanged or who had taken sanctuary in a church and then abjured the realm.

Theft (32 cases) was the most common crime after homicide. Goods stolen included horses (923) sheep (797, 842, 914, 923, 945, 952), lambs (855), pigs (868), fish (950), malt (900), a tunic (794), cloth (816), leather (1003), wax from Deddington church (817), and a tree trunk (964).

Breach of the peace was alleged 17 times; and 'force' 4 times, always in an appeal and often with robbery. In one
case (861) ‘breaking’ the lord’s fishpond was deemed a breach of the peace. In a more typical case, Sampson the tailor of Henley (793) was accused of entering Geoffrey son of Philip’s house in Henley, wounding him on the head with a stick and on the upper arm with a dagger and stealing 13½d. and a silver button in a leather purse. In an affray at Duns Tew (875–7) Robert le May and Hugh the priest and two others wounded Reynold the servant of Hugh of Tew with an axe and a dagger and stole 32d. of Hugh’s money. A somewhat similar case which was not said to be breach of the peace, occurred in Oxford in 1235 (1048) when Adam Feteplace, a leading Oxford merchant who was several times mayor of the town, attacked Henry le Ferur in his own house; Henry claimed that Adam had stolen a gold ring, but the jury said that he had only hit him and thrown a cup of ale in his face.

Most of those accused of these and other crimes did not appear before the justices; 63 of them had fled, and hence were ordered to be outlawed. Another 26 had taken sanctuary in a church and then abjured the realm. Those who did appear to stand trial stood a good chance of being acquitted: 32 crown pleas ended in an acquittal, compared with 3 hangings.

The final element in the roll, taken from JUST 1/696, is the amercement roll, the list of people amerced or fined in the eyre. Matthew Paris, describing the 1240–1 eyre said that the justices, going through and looking into all the counties in England corrected many excesses, and on the pretext of justice collected an infinite amount of money for the king.9 Certainly all medieval justice was profitable to the lord of the court, and the king’s justice was no exception. Amercements (marked by the marginal note in mercy) were levied on most of those involved in civil pleas, for failure to prosecute, for collusion in reaching an agreement, for failing to produce the man for whom they were sureties. In addition fines were offered for permission to reach an agreement, or to have a view or inquisition into the land in dispute. As has already been mentioned, the crown pleas produced money from felons’ goods, as well as amercements for concealment of pleas or for non prosecution.

9. Matthew Paris, Chronica Majora (Rolls Ser.), iv, p. 34.
EDITORIAL NOTE

Of the two rolls from the Oxfordshire eyre of 1241 which survive in the Public Record Office JUST 1/695 is the main roll, compiled for William of York. JUST 1/696, compiled for one of the other justices, is scappier, often omitting the last sentence of cases where a note has been made in JUST 1/695 about later developments. There are considerable differences between the two rolls, notably in the order of the pleas; the following calendar is based on JUST 1/695, variant readings from JUST 1/696 being given in pointed brackets, < >. Slight variations in spelling of names have not been noticed.

JUST 1/695 starts with the essoins (mm. 1–2), and continues with the civil pleas for the county, including foreign pleas (mm. 3–17), and the civil pleas of the vill of Oxford (mm. 18–19), whose burgesses enjoyed the privilege of not having to answer for their freeholds outside the borough. The kalendar, or list of jurors for the crown pleas, is on m. 20, and the crown pleas on mm. 21–26. JUST 1/696 begins (mm. 1–2) with the list of amerements, crown and civil, which does not survive on JUST 1/695. It continues with the essoins (mm. 3–5), and then with the crown pleas (mm. 6–11), ending with the civil pleas for the county (mm. 13d.–24) and for the vill of Oxford (mm. 25–6).

The crown pleas were entered in the same order on both rolls, but the order of the civil pleas is so different that no attempt has been made to notice it in the calendar. For instance, the first membrane of civil pleas in JUST 1/696, m.13d., has no heading, and contains the pleas numbered (from JUST 1/695) 261–4, 284–7, 302–3, 315–20, 325; m. 14 continues with 326–31, 275, 338, 332–3, 335, 338, 376–9, 403, 406, 381–4, 365. Moreover, JUST 1/696 omits the ends of some pleas, and contains more blank spaces for details of pleas which were not completed than does JUST 1/695. To save space and printing costs these discrepancies have not been noted in the calendar.

The pleas have been translated and abbreviated on the lines of C.A.F. Meekings’ and M.T. Clanchy’s calendars of the Wiltshire eyre. (The calendar of the Surrey eyre did not appear until the present calendar was well advanced.) The spelling of personal names and place names has been modernised wherever possible. Where a place name cannot be identified the spelling of the original has been retained,
placed in inverted commas. Latin personal names (including surnames) have been translated except in the rare instances where the translation is uncertain. For convenience the list of English equivalents of Latin forenames in the Victoria County History Handbook for Authors and Editors has been used. Place names used as surnames have been modernised where the identification seems reasonably clear, and in those cases ‘de’ has been translated ‘of’. Where the identification is not clear the name has been left in the form on the roll and ‘de’ has been left untranslated.

The Curia Regis Rolls xvi has been searched for references to any pleas which appear on the Oxfordshire eyre rolls, but otherwise additional information has been supplied only for Oxfordshire cases.

Some extracts from some of the civil pleas from the Oxfordshire eyre were printed (in Latin) in Abbreviatio Rotuli Placitorum (Record Commission), 115-18; the spelling of names is sometimes inaccurate, and as there is no reason to suppose the rolls have deteriorated since the early 19th century the Record Commission version has been ignored. References have been given to the Bedfordshire cases printed in Bedfordshire Historical Record Society, vol. ix, and to the Somerset cases printed in Somerset Pleas (Somerset Record Society, vol. xi).

The following editorial conventions have been used:

[ ]............................................editorial insertion
()................................................................interlineation
< >.............................................reading from JUST 1/696
italics.............................................marginal notes
m. 1]  

Essoins ‘de malo veniendi’ taken at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Monday 15 April] 1241 before William of York, provost of Beverley, and his fellow justices

1 Ellis Nuncius essoins Roger of Essex against Cecily widow of Gilbert Marshall; assize to Canterbury, octave of Trinity. Same day given to Richard Clerk of Grendon, William Guli the earl marshall’s attorney, by the king’s writ.

2 Norfolk. Roger de Wesenham essoins Hugh d’Aubeney earl of Arundel, Richard of Docking his attorney, against Roger de Mortimer; to levy chirograph; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth; Robert of Boyland surety.


See no. 656

4 Wilts. Thomas son of Nicholas essoins Master Ralph of Lacock against Richard Mauclerk; plea of prohibition [to court Christian]; to the arrival of the next assize justices. New writ.

5 Gloucs. Simon de Banerstan essoins Robert de Gurnay against Hugh le Bulor; plea of debt; to the arrival of the next justices. New case.

6 Essex. Reynold son of William essoins Henry of Necton and Joan his wife, John de Welwes their attorney, against Alice widow of Hugh de Marmes <Maruns>; plea of dower; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Old case.

7 Suffolk. Hugh de Culum essoins Robert of Brampton against Parnel of Brampton; plea of debt; assize to Oxford one month after Easter. Old case.

8 Herts. Nicholas of Sawston <Walter of Stanstead—crossed out> essoins Peter de Maune, Robert Marsh his attorney, against Thomas of Whitchurch. Elsewhere.

Cf. no. 341.
Entry crossed out.

9 Essex <Herts.> Walter of Stratford <Strafford> essoins the same Robert against Walter of Hempnall and others named in the writ.

Cf. no. 342.
Entry crossed out.

10 Richard son of John essoins the same Robert against Ralph Gubum.

Cf. no. 341.
11 Norfolk. Benet of Dilham essoins Christine St. Owen against Hubert Hacun; detinue of charters; to Lambeth, Sunday after Ascension Day. Hubert did not come, as appears below. New case. See no. 320.

12 Essex. Ralph son of Roger essoins Gilbert the earl marshall, Gilbert of Hampstead his attorney, against Giles of Erdington; assize of mort dancestor; to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day; Thomas of Ramsden surety. John son of Lefrich essoins the same earl marshall, William de Pinkeney his attorney, against the same Giles at the same term, the same surety. None of the recognitors has come, so the sheriff is to have them [at Lambeth]. Old case from the eyre.

13 Essex. Stephen son of Walter essoins William de Ferrers, Anselm Clerk his attorney, against Maurice Rydel; warranty of charter; to the arrival of the next assize justices. Old case.

14 Norfolk. William son of Ralph essoins Agnes of Ryburgh against Sabine widow of Ralph the reeve; he has pledged his faith. New case.

15 William Spicer essoins Hugh Foster against the same Sabine; assize.

16 Robert son of Philip essoins Robert of Testerton; Hamon of Gateley essoins Elviva, widow, against the same Sabine; he has pledged his faith.

17 Richard son of William essoins Ralph Skye <Sokye> against the same Sabine; Roger son of William <Ralph son of Thomas> essoins William son of Ralph; Ralph son of Thomas essoins Thomas of Risby; assize to Lambeth, Surrey, morrow of Ascension Day. Others in the writ.

18 Cumb. Michael Ruter essoins William of Ireby against Thomas of Hoton <Horton> and Mariota his wife; plea of prohibition [to court Christian]; to the arrival of the next justices in Cumberland. New case.

19 Sussex. Gilbert <altered from William> son of William essoins the prior of Arundel against William of Billingshurst; darrein presentment; to the arrival of the next assize justices. Same day given to all the recognitors who came. New case.

20 Cambs. Stephen son of Alexander essoins Richard de Amundevill against Richard de Amundevill.


23 *Warws.* Richard son of Robert essoins the abbot of Reading against Nicola widow of Simon Bagot <Bagod>; plea of dower.

24 *Herefs.* Robert son of Richard essoins Stephen, dean of Hereford, against Henry Rufus; plea of advowson; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *New case.*

   See no. 259.

25 *Berks.* William of St. German essoins Fulk FitzWarin against Robert advocate of Bethune; morrow of Ascension Day. *New case.*

26 Thomas son of Henry essoins Alan FitzWarin against the same; same plea; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

27 *Berks.* Hugh of Glympton essoins Roger de Mohun against William the Fleming; plea of warranty of charter. Richard le Newman essoins the same William against the same Roger; same plea. To the arrival of the next assize justices. *New case.*

28 *Herefs.* Ralph de Hamme essoins William of Bath against Maud widow of Walter de Hamme; plea of dower; to the arrival of the assize justices at Hereford. *New case; others in the writ.*

   Cf. no. 284.

30 *Bucks.* Henry Pyefot <Duford> essoins Bencelina widow of Ingenolf against Richard de Tytebury; octave of Trinity at Canterbury. *New writ.*

31 John de Mentmare essoins Roger Breyn against the same; same plea. William of Helpston essoins Gillian wife of the same John [sic]; same plea.

32 *Essex.* William le Waleis essoins John of Crofton; same plea. Richard de Bereford essoins Emma wife of the same John; same plea.

33 *Gloucs.* <Wilts.> Henry of Wheatley <de Chatel’> essoins Olympias widow of Ralph of Wilton against William Francchevaler; ‘de fine facto’; to the arrival of the assize justices. John Bangeriant essoins the same William against the same Olympias; same plea. *New case.*

34 *Essex.* Stephen son of Henry essoins John del Brok against Henry Balliol and Laura his wife, Peter de Maune and Christine his wife, David Cumin and Isabel his wife; Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case.*

   Cf. no. 341.


35 Thomas son of William essoins Richard son of Walter against the same; same plea. William son of Fulk essoins Thomas of Whitchurch.
36  Nicholas of Sawston essoins Peter de Maune, Robert Marsh his attorney, against the same; Henry of Holywell surety.

37  Berks. Robert <Thomas> son of Geoffrey essoins Alice wife of Peter Fareman <Freman> against Simon Serle and Agnes his wife, Jocelin of Bedwyn and Cecily his wife; to the arrival of the assize justices. <New case.>

38  Northants. Ralph son of Richard essoins Maud Malet against Roger of Duston and Muriel his wife and Aline, Muriel’s sister; octave of Trinity at Canterbury. <Same day given to Roger and Muriel in the Bench.> <New case.>

39  Herefs. Nicholas le Ireis essoins Ralph of St. Briavels against Eve widow of Thomas de Frayn; plea of dower; to the arrival of the next assize justices. <New case.>

Cf. no. 272.

40  Hants. Robert of West Dean essoins Mabel de Scotigny; same plea; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. <New case <Others in the writ>.>

41  Herefs. Orgenew of Clun or Richard Danvers essoins Ralph de Mortimer; John of Ludlow or Richard Danvers essoins Wladusa Ralph’s wife; Robert of Ledbury or Richard Danvers essoins Henry de Mortimer; Gilbert of Clun essoins Eve, Henry’s wife. <Others in the writ.>

42  Philip of Ledbury essoins Philip le Bret; Roger Nuncius essoins the abbot of Wigmore; Philip son of Robert essoins Gernos le Secular.

43  John son of John essoins John of Lingen; William son of Henry essoins Thomas the chaplain; Hugh his brother essoins Rannulf brother of the same Thomas; William of Upton essoins John Esturmy; Richard son of Geoffrey essoins Walter of Avenbury; Richard Page essoins Simon of Oddington. Eve appoints Richard le Aw her attorney against Mabel de Scotigny. Ralph de Mamme essoins Ralph the clerk. To the next coming of the assize justices.

[Nos. 41-3 have been squashed into a blank space at the end of the membrane; it is not clear how the individual entries are related to each other.]

44  Herefs. Robert de Bruges essoins Richard son of Richard against Laria of Bromfield; plea of dower; to the next coming of the assize justices to Hereford.

45  Herefs. Reynold of Marcle essoins Henry le Waleis against Isabel of Hope; plea of dower; to the next arrival of the assize justices.

46  Richard of Marcle essoins William le Blund; same plea; to the arrival of the next assize justices at Hereford.
47 Northants. Richard of Astcote essoins the prior of the Hospitallers in England, who is in the Holy Land, against Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns; plea of dower; three weeks after Easter 1242 at Westminster. New case.
   Cf. nos. 360-2.

48 Thomas son of Cecily essoins Brother Robert de Dive; same plea; octave of Trinity at Canterbury.
   Cf. nos. 360-2.

49 Northants. Walter son of Richard essoins William de Albemarle <Aubmace> against Ralph Basset and Geoffrey of Appleby; plea of debt; 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury <Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth>; Matthew of Wootton surety. New case by writ pone; others in the writ.

50 William son of Hugh essoins Christine wife of the same against the same; same plea; to the same term by the same surety.

51 Bucks. Richard de Argentom essoins Roger de Wimbervill against Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife and Philip of Leighton and Emma his wife. Afterwards they came.
   See nos. 264, 340.
   Entry crossed out.

52 Essex. Saer son of Edward essoins Peter son of Andrew against the prior of Prittlewell; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Afterwards a view.

53 Norfolk. Simon of Castle Acre essoins the prior of Castle Acre, Roger of Gayton his attorney, against Robert son of Humphrey and Thomas his brother; to the arrival of the next assize justices. Old case.

54 Herts. John son of Hugh essoins Matthew Leyham, Matthew of Marston his attorney, against Ralph de Beseville; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

55 Same day given to William de Roffa whom Matthew Leyham called to warranty, at the Bench; William Martel his attorney. Old case.

   Cf. no. 352.

57 William of Warden essoins Hugh son of John against the same; to the same term. New case by writ pone; many in the writ.
58 Salop. Richard son of Edith essoins Philip of Stanton against William Marsh and Sybil his wife; plea of dower; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth; William her husband attorns Sybil. New case.

59 Kent. John the Black essoins William de Say against Robert Hardel; plea of debt; to the arrival of the next assize justices. New writ.

See no. 666.

60 Northants. Walter son of Adam essoins Robert de Braybeouf against Robert advocate of Bethune; <assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth>. New writ.


62 Hunts. William son of William essoins Julian de Haya, whom Master Reynold of Bath called to warranty, against Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife and Michael of Berkhamstead and Senicla his wife; plea of estovers; assize Thursday next after the quindene of Easter at Oxford. Fulk son of Martin essoins Adam of Weston, attorney of Parnel his wife, against the same. Old case.

See nos. 302, 667–8, 743.

63 Devon. Thomas of Pagham essoins Alice de Hewisse <Haywise> against William de Hewisse <Haywise>; plea of waste; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. New case.

64 Suffolk. Peter of Dean essoins Roger of London against Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns; plea of dower; to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day. New case.

Cf. nos. 47, 360–2.

65 Essex. Nicholas son of Jordan essoins Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford, Michael de Cheldynton <Chelington> his attorney, whom John de Berners called to warranty, against Cecily Berners; plea of wardship; to Canterbury, octave of Trinity. Same day given to John de Berners by his attorney in the Bench. Old case.

Cf. no. 379.

66 Wilt. Richard Doget <Doket> essoins Warin FitzGerald against William of Durnford; plea of covenant; to Canterbury one week after Trinity. William did not come, as appears in the pleas. New case.

See no. 370.

67 Northants. Robert son of Aer essoins Philip Iwayn against Christine daughter of Ralph; assize one month after Easter. New case by writ pone.

See no. 701.
68 **Suffolk.** Peter son of Bernard essoins the prior of Brissete against Olive de Frayn; warranty of charter; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case.*

See no. 169.

69 **Berks.** Niel son of Alexander essoins Walter de Becote *<Brecote>* against the prior of Noyon; plea of custom and service; one month after Easter at Oxford. *New case.*

See no. 577.

70 **Yorks.** William le Sage essoins Walter de Hemmington against Richard de Gaham; warranty of charter. He came, not having a writ or claiming *<holding>*, so let the essoin be void as he came. Walter le Fol essoins Joan his wife against the same; same plea. *New writ.*

See nos. 421, 474.

71 Robert son of Ralph essoins John de Baynhal, Thomas, Richard, and Henry his brothers, Thomas de Mare attorney, against William de St. Leger; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to William, whom each called to warranty, by his attorney, and to all the recognitors. *Old case.*

See no. 235.

72 **Surrey.** Geoffrey son of Adam essoins Walter of Whitworth against John de Fay; warranty of charter; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case.*

Essoins 'de malo lecti' taken at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Monday 15 April]

73 **Oxon.** Thomas of Monmouth and Reynold son of Ashild *<Achilles>* essoin Alice wife of Stephen Bodin at Caulcott against Stephen of Fritwell; to one month after Easter.

See no. 576.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' for the same county at the same term

74 **Oxon.** Simon son of John essoins John de Curry against Stephen of Fritwell; to one month after Easter. *New case.*

See no. 660

75 **Oxon.** Henry son of Robert essoins Matthew archdeacon of Buckingham, who is overseas, against Robert Wandard; assize mort dancelstor; to 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury; the jurors are to remain at home until they have other orders. *New case.* [Last sentence added later]
76 Oxon. William of Weston essoins the abbot of Oseney against William Puignant; plea of pasture <land>; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*
   See nos. 422-3.

77 Walter of Oseney essoins the same abbot against Peter Bukerel and Maud his wife; warranty of charter; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*
   See nos. 344, 589.

78 Robert Pete essoins the same Peter against the same abbot; Nicholas Fifield essoins Maud his wife against the same; same plea; both assizes to one month after Easter.
   See nos. 344, 589.

79 Oxon. Walter of Adderbury essoins the abbot of Cirencester against William of Stafford; plea of covenant. *New case.*

80 Oxon. Robert Tirel essoins Ralph son of Ralph son of Nicholas, who is overseas, against Henry Pipard; assise of mort d'ancestor. John <Nicholas> Pigacce essoins Ralph's wife Alice; same plea. *New case; others in writ.*

81 Oxon. Walter Cook essoins the prior of Cogges against William Miller of Fringford; warranty of charter; afterwards he came. *New case.*
   See no. 635.
   Entry crossed out.

82 Andrew of Iffley essoins Warin FitzGerald against Geoffrey Pek; warranty of charter. Ralph son of Richard essoins Alice, Warin's wife; same plea. Assize one month after Easter. *New case.*
   Cf. nos. 418, 611-12.

83 Oxon. John <Nicholas> son of Gilbert essoins Margery de Rivers against Ralph de Briausne; assise of mort d'ancestor; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth; John son of Gilbert de Lahull essoins the claimant. *New case.*
   See Oxon. *Fines,* 118.

84 Thomas son of Richard essoins Aline, wife of William of Hardwick against the same; same plea; to same term. Same day given to William, Aline's husband, in the Bench. *Others in writ.*
   See no. 698.


86 Oxon. William Blund essoins Nicholas of Wallingford against Emma daughter of Roger Prudhomme; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*
87 Oxfordshire. Henry de Bulchegh essoins Reynold of Whitchurch (he has a wife, Alice) against Thomas de Valoynes and Joan his wife; (assize mort dancstor <land>); one month after Easter. Same day given to Reynold's wife Alice, by her attorney, in the Bench. New case.

See nos. 229, 245, 680.

88 John of Drayton essoins Eustace of Arden against Idony of Bodicote; assize one month after Easter. New case.

See nos. 604–5.

89 Oxford. Roger of Cowley essoins the master of the Templars in England, John of Cowley his attorney by the king's writ, against Richard son of Henry; to Lambeth the Sunday after Ascension Day at the plea of the parties. New case by writ pone.

m.2]


See no. 707.


See no. 198.

92 Gregory son of Nicholas essoins the same Ralph against the same; he has pledged his faith.

See no. 198.


See no. 617.

94 Richard of Kirtlington essoins Sarah, William's wife; same plea; assize one month after Easter.

95 Oxford. Robert son of John essoins Hugh de Druval against the abbot of Eynsham; wager of law. Old case from the bench; the abbot has died. Entry crossed out.

96 Walter of Bampton essoins Hugh of Arden against Robert de Ayton; assize mort dancstor; to one month after Easter. New case.

See no. 703.

97 John of Kencot essoins Simon son of Ives against the same.

98 Henry of Kennington essoins Julian of Langford against the same; same plea.
   See no. 280.
   Entry crossed out.

100 Oxon. John Pyrun essoins the master of the Templars in England against Fulk son of William <FitzWarin>; assize one month after Easter. New case.
   See no. 598.

101 Oxon. William son of Roger essoins the prior of Bicester against Eve de Gray. New case by writ pone.

102 Oxon. Hugh son of William essoins Ralph Hareng, whom Nicholas of Haversham called to warranty, against John le Brun; assize one month after Easter. Same day to Nicholas of Haversham in the Bench. Nicholas appoints Nicholas of Grimstead his attorney. New case.
   See nos. 201, 480.

103 Oxon. Robert son of William essoins James le Sauvage against Alice widow of Walter Monk; assize one month after Easter. New case.

   See nos. 642, 695.

105 Oxon. Walter of Wilby essoins Simon <Agnes> de Abbrintis against James le Sauvage; plea of quo iure; assize one month after Easter. Same James against the same about the same. New case.
   See no. 657.

106 Oxon. Ralph de Brunland essoins Joan wife of the same Simon <James> against the same; same plea; assize on same day. Robert de Persham or Ralph of Missenden is Simon and Joan’s attorney by the king’s writ. Others in the writ.

107 Robert le Squire essoins William of Langley against Marsilia of Charlbury; plea of dower; assize one month after Easter. New case; others in the writ.
   See no. 618.

108 John de Wyhecumb essoins Michael <Matthew> of Dunthrop against the abbot of Bruern; plea of warranty of charter. New case.

109 Walter of Shipton essoins Thomas son of Anketil against the same; same plea. New writ.
   See no. 281.

110 John of Thrupp essoins Robert Bagot against Robert de Pultne; plea of warranty of charter.
111 Oxon. Thomas of Rokeby essoins Geoffrey of Thrupp against Giles of Berkeley; plea of custom and service; assize one month after Easter. Roger le Messer essoins Isabel, wife of Geoffrey; same plea; he has pledged his faith.
   See no. 588.

   See nos. 261, 500, 530.
   Entry crossed out.

113 William de Huniton essoins John parson of Churchill <Cherwelton> against Nicholas de Nowers; plea of custom and service. New case.
   See no. 348.

114 Oxon. Walter son of Richard essoins Gerard de Oddingeselees against William of Leach and Lettice his wife, and against Ralph son of Lettice; plea of taking beasts; assize one month after Easter. New case by writ pone.
   See nos. 253, 706.

   See no. 635.

116 Robert son of John essoins Beatrice, wife of Reynold, against the same; same plea.

117 Adam son of Roger essoins the prior of Deerhurst against Thomas of Appleton. Afterwards he came. New case from the Bench.
   See no. 419.
   Entry crossed out, and 'Petens' written above it.


119 Richard le Plumer essoins the same Alda <Ada> against Hugh le Buler; plea of debt; assize one month after Easter.
   See nos. 242, 619.

120 Oxon. Robert of Fringford essoins William Boron against the prior of Brackley Hospital; plea of debt; assize one month after Easter. Afterwards he came. New case.
   See no. 631.
121 Oxon. Robert of Finmere essoins William du Boys, whom Gilbert de Bracy and Hawise his wife called to warranty, Parnel of Finmere and Aline her sister. Afterwards he came.
   See nos. 387, 670.
   Entry crossed out.

   See nos. 182, 473.

123 Oxon. William de la Grave <Grene> essoins the prior of Hertford against Ralph son of Robert; plea of mesne; assize one month after Easter. New case by writ pone.

124 Hunts. William of Wiggenhall essoins John le Bere against the abbot of Sawtry; plea of rent; assize to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day. It does not lie because he is ordered to be distrained by lands and rents. Old case.
   See nos. 271, 329.
   Entry crossed out.

125 Oxon. Dennis de Audrinton essoins Thomas le Sauvage against Thomas of Langley. Thomas of Langley has died.
   Entry crossed out.

126 Oxon. John of Foxley essoins Robert Danvers (he has a wife Muriel) against William of Englefield and Alan Basset and Gilbert de Baseville; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to Robert’s wife Muriel in the Bench. New case.
   See nos. 217, 225, 613-14, 702.

127 Thomas son of Robert essoins the same Robert against Gilbert de Baseville; assize mort dancestor; to one month after Easter. Same day given to Robert’s wife Muriel, Roger Huscop her attorney, in the Bench. New case.
   See no. 702.

128 William of Molesey essoins the same Robert against the said William of Englefield and John Chubbe; plea of taking beasts; assize at the said term. New case by writ pone.
   See nos. 218, 648.

129 Oxon. Ellis of Holcombe essoins Christine wife <widow> of Geoffreyc Bertun (she has a husband) against Maurice le Angevin; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to Geoffreyc her husband in the Bench. New case.
   See no. 649.
130  **Oxon.** Richard son of Robert essoins Stephen son of Richard, who is in the Holy Land, against the abbot of Dorchester; warranty of charter.
    See nos. 248, 719.

    See nos. 622, 699.

132  **Oxon.** William Cobbler essoins Hugh Hurtle against Walter Black and Alice his wife and Gillian and Pernel, Alice’s sisters.
    See no. 422.

133  **Norfolk.** William Peper essoins Robert Hauteyn against the abbot of St. Benet of Holm; plea of fishery; assize day after Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case.*

134  **Devon.** John Nethel essoins Ismania de Crude (she has a husband John) against Richard of Bradley and Maud his wife; plea of dower.

135  William Fughel essoins the same Richard against John <Richard> de Crude and Ismania; same plea; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to John, husband of Ismania, and to Maud, wife of Richard, in the Bench. *Others in the writ.*

136  **Bucks.** William of Chesham essoins Roger de Wimbervill against William Pipard; plea of covenant.

137  John son of Everard essoins Ellis son of the same Roger; same plea. *Others in the writ.*
    See no. 646.

138  **Shrops.** Reynold Foliot essoins Emery de Sacy against Hugh le Bulor; plea of debt; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case.*
    See no. 242.

139  **Suffolk.** Robert Inyneton essoins Godfrey le Parker against Roland son of Herbert [altered from ‘Henry’]; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

140  **Oxon.** [Illegible] essoins Thomas of Warblington, who is in the Holy Land, against Richard Goldstan; plea of appeal [illegible].
    Entry crossed out.

141  **Herts.** John of Lavington essoins Agnes of Purton against John de Beyford.
    See nos. 189, 708.
142 Dorset. Roger of West Chickerell essoins Richard le Waleis against Nicholas Poinz; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Henry of Langdon is Nicholas's attorney by the king's writ. New case.


See nos. 295, 461.

144 Herts. Edmund of Warden essoins the abbot of Warden against Andrew le Cuir; 'de fine facto'.

145 William of Buckingham essoins the same Andrew against the same. Assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. New case.

146 Wilts. Henry Wyly essoins Edith wife of William Quintin against Beatrice widow of John Humphrey; plea of dower. And against Agnes sister of the same Edith; plea of land. New case by two writs.

See no. 547.

147 Bucks. William Draper essoins Hugh Miller against Maud widow of Roger the Weaver; plea of dower. Maud did not come, as appears in the plea. New case.

See no. 674.


Cf. no. 704.

149 Oxon. Nicholas of Brill essoins William de Camera, attorney of John Marshall, against Gunora de Bendinges; plea of dower; assize Wednesday after Ascension Day at Lambeth <or Bermondsey>. John warrants to Geoffrey Stockwell the tenement Gunora claims. Old case.

See no. 721.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' taken at Wycombe, Friday after St. Philip and St. James [Friday 3 May].

150 Bucks. Turgis son of Thomas essoins the prioress of Marlow against Isabel daughter of Alexander; assize mort dancestor.

151 William de Wikepit essoins Geoffrey of St. Martins against the same; same plea; assize three weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Isabel appoints Richard de Del her attorney. Same day given to the jurors for the assize. New case.
rot. 2d]

152 Kent. Nicholas son of Roger essoins the prior of Holy Trinity, Canterbury, against Burgia widow of Peter de Benning; plea of dower; assize at Lambeth Sunday after Ascension Day. New writ.

153 Lincs. John de Wysendon essoins Hugh son of Rainier against Alice widow of Waleran de Mortimer; plea of dower. They have no writ, nor has Alice come, and so let the essoin go as if she had come. [Last sentence added later.]

154 Somerset. Richard Ploughman essoins Richard de Bradeston, attorney of Hugh de Vinconquer against Aveline widow of Fulk de Merk; plea of dower; he has pledged his faith. Somerset Pleas (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 126.

Essoins ‘de malo veniendi’ taken on Wednesday [17 April] next after the quindene of Easter.

155 Cambs. Richard of Cheveley essoins Adam of St. Martins, attorney of Hawise wife of John de Burgh, against Simon le Bret; plea of land, whence duel; Thursday after Ascension, wherever it is to be pleaded in Surrey; Roger of Boyland surety. Same day given to Hawise’s husband John, by his attorney, in the Bench, by the king’s writ. He has had all his essoins. Simon appoints Hugh le Bret or Ralph de Hanington his attorney. Old case; duel.

156 Warws. Richard son of Robert essoins the abbot of Reading against Nicola Bagot; plea of dower; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth. Nicola appoints Robert le Notte her attorney against all the others named in the writ. New case; Others in the writ. See nos. 380, 650.

Essoins ‘de malo veniendi’ taken at Oxford 3 weeks after Easter [Sunday 21 April]

157 Bucks. Warin of Langley essoins John son of Adam of Longborough against Philip son of Adam; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. New case.

158 Essex. Adam of Stratton essoins the abbot of Tilty against Mary widow of Richard le Weyle; plea of dower; assize 15 days after Trinity. New case.

159 John son of Robert essoins Peter de Tany against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.
160 William son of John essoins John of Ash against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith.

161 Beds. Nicholas son of Robert essoins the prior of Caldwell against William de Beauchamp; warranty of charter.


163 Gloucs. John de Duengge essoins John de Chauflor, attorney of Robert de Gurnay against the abbot of St. Augustine’s, Bristol (against W[alter de Cantilupe] bishop of Worcester); plea of land; assize Monday after Ascension Day at Lambeth; Roger of Boyland surety. Same day given to the abbot by his attorney in the Bench. Old case.

163a John le Gros essoins William of Weston, Robert de Gurnay’s other attorney, against the same; same plea; to the same term by the same surety.


165 Suffolk. Adam son of William essoins Brian of Ringshall against Olive widow of Robert de Frayn; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. New case.

   See no. 498.

166 Richard son of Walter essoins John of Bocking against the same; same plea. Afterwards he came. Many in the writ. See no. 498.

167 Adam du Boys essoins Robert de Burnevil against the same; same plea.

168 Walter son of Adam essoins Ralph of Bocking against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith. He has made satisfaction. Entry crossed out.

169 Warin son Hugh essoins the prior of Brisset against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith. See no. 68.

170 Gilbert of Malham essoins Hamon Peche, who is in the Holy Land, against the same; same plea; to the arrival of the justices; Roger Boyland surety, because he is in the Holy Land. See no. 498.

171 Peter son of Robert <Adam of Backford> essoins Roger of Colwell against the same; same plea.
172 Devon. Adam Scute essoins Robert of Sydenham against Martin the constable and Agnes his wife; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. New case.
   See No. 382.

173 Adam of Backford essoins Roger de Hanse against the same; same plea.

174 Norfolk. Peter de Tilney essoins Clement son of Geoffrey against Lettice widow of John Pichokorum; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. New case.

175 John of Beckham essoins Maud widow of Geoffrey son of William against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.

   See no. 611.

177 Andrew of Iffley essoins Agnes (she has a husband), wife of Warin FitzGerald, against Henry de Scaccario; plea of waste.
   See nos. 418, 611.

178 Roger of Hanford essoins Parnel, wife of the same Henry, against the same; same plea; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Same day given to Warin and Henry in the Bench.
   See no. 611.

179 Bucks. William son of Ralph essoins Walter de la Wande against Mary de Meresleg; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. New case.

180 Bucks. Hugh de Wyfford essoins Richard de Sifrewast, whom the abbot of Reading, Laurence del Brok, and Robert of Broughton called to warrant, against Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to the abbot, Laurence and Robert, in the Bench. Old case.
   <Hugh de Wyfford essoins Richard de Sifrewast against the abbot of Reading; warranty of charter. Stephen de Stallis essoins the same Richard against Laurence del Brok; warranty of charter. Richard Cook essoins Robert of Broughton against the same; same plea.>
   See no. 528.

181 Bucks. Richard of Eyton essoins Walter of Abergavenny, whom Thomas of Abergavenny called to warrant, against Sarah widow of Oliver son of Philip; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to Thomas and Cecily in the Bench. Old case.
   <Richard of Eyton essoins Walter of Abergavenny against Thomas of Abergavenny; plea of warranty of charter.>
182 Northants. Thomas of Beckington essoins Bartholomew of Beckington against Ralph de Carun and Flandrina his wife, plea of advowson; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. New case. Cf. no. 473.

183 Roger Pallard essoins the Master of the Templars in England; assize at the same term. Others in the writ.

184 William de Frayn essoins Loretta wife of John de Frayn against the same; assize. Same day given to Loretta’s husband John in the Bench; likewise all the others who came. See no. 449.

185 Suffolk, Oxon. Robert of Molesworth essoins Henry de Pinkeney against Christine widow of David de Luneseye; plea of dower.

186 John son of Henry essoins Gerard de Oddingeseles against the same; same plea.

187 Warws. William Quicksilver essoins Gerard of Lindsey against the same; same plea. See no. 706.

188 Hugh de Nune essoins Hawise wife of Stephen of Wallingford against William Morin and Margery his wife. See no. 426.

189 Herts. Roger son of John essoins Agnes of Purton against John de Beyford; plea of debt. See nos. 141, 708.


191 Maurice of Romford essoins the same Maud against the same Stephen; same plea. Others in the writ.

192 Sussex. Martin son of John essoins Amice de Didellesfold (she has a husband) wife of Richard le Turner against the abbot of Reading; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to Richard her husband in the Bench. New case.

193 Herts. Robert of Stafford essoins Floria Iuvenal against Christine widow of David of Lindsey; plea of dower. New case.

194 Thomas of Belby essoins William son of Drew against Henry de Capella; plea of service and custom; assize 3 weeks after Trinity. New case. See no. 391.
195 William Page essoins William son of Walter against the same; same plea. Others in the writ.

196 Salop. Thomas son of William essoins Eustace de Furcis against Mabel widow of Roger de Furcis; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Mabel appoints Roger de Furcis her attorney. New writ.


Essoins ‘de malo lecti’, Friday next after the feast of St. Mark the Evangelist [Friday 26 April]

198 Geoffrey of Radclive, and Gregory son of Nicholas if he is not present, essoins Ralph Hareng at Radclive in Bucks. against Roger Gernun; assize one month after Michaelmas at Westminster. By writ pone.

   See nos. 91, 92.

Essoins ‘de malo veniendi’ a month after Easter [Sunday 28 April]

199 Bucks. Richard of Newnham <Nuneaton> essoins the prior of Longueville, who is overseas, against Rannulph Briton; plea of covenant.

   Entry crossed out.

   See nos. 210, 211.

200 Lincs. Peter son of Richard essoins Ivetta de Hermetorp against Aline Wake (claimant). Aline has not come; she is the claimant, so let the essoin go as if she had come.

201 Oxon. Robert Brisard essoins Nicholas of Grimstead, attorney of Nicholas of Haversham, who called Ralph Hareng to warrant, against John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell. Be it known that Nicholas and the others called to warrant Ralph Hareng, who essoined himself. Assize one month after Michaelmas.

   See nos. 102, 480, 614.

202 Herts. John son of William essoins William de Lewe, attorney for Cecily his wife and Maud widow of John de Yiapston <Yapspon> and John de Roda (and Roger son of John), against Adam Cross; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. By view.
203 Bucks. Adam son of Walter essoins Paulinus Peyvre against Richard de Siffrewast; assize one month after Michaelmas at Westminster. New case by writ pone.
   See no. 309.

204 William Spigernel essoins the prior of Caldwell against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.

205 Bucks. Robert son of Warin essoins Philip son of Simon of Frankley (whom Simon of Frankley called to warrant) against Urien de Chenduit; assize one month after Michaelmas. Same day given to Simon in the Bench.

206 Norfolk. Gilbert of Sedgeford essoins John of Sedgeford against Beatrice Sket. Beatrice has not come, nor have we [sic] a writ, so let the essoin go as if she had come.

207 Cornwall. William son of Edward essoins Alan Doget against Maud de Penles; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. New case; others in the writ.

208 John son of Ralph essoins Katelin, wife of the same Alan, against the same; same plea; assize.

209 William son of Hugh essoins Simon de Eltesdon, attorney of Giffard of Tyringham, against Richard of Gaddesden; plea of fishery (whence the grand assize); assize at Westminster one month after Michaelmas. Same day given to Luke de Kaynes, Ralph Barre, Aumary de Nowers, and John le Brun, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize.

210 Bucks. Geoffrey of Leckhampstead essoins Richard Badun, attorney of Rannulph <Ralph> le Breton, claimant, against the prior of Longueville; plea of covenant; assize.
   See no. 199.

211 Richard of Newnham essoins the prior of Longueville, who is overseas, against the same; same plea.
   See no. 199.

212 Robert son of John essoins Iseult de Beauchamp against Mabel widow of William Priest; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Mabel appoints Nicholas de [illegible] her attorney.

   Entry crossed out.

214 Philip son of Emery essoins the abbot of Croxton Kerrial against Robert Butler; plea of wardship; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury.
215 Robert son of John essoins William Neyrnuyt against Alice widow of Simon Hocheder; plea of dower.
   Entry crossed out.

216 Andrew son of William essoins Alice daughter of Hugh Chapman against the same; same plea.
   Entry crossed out.

217 John of Foxley essoins Richer Huscarl, attorney of Muriel wife of Robert Danvers, against Alan Basset of Ipsden and William of Englefield.
   See nos. 126, 225, 613, 614.

218 Nicholas Nichols essoins the same Richer against William of Englefield and John Chubbe; plea of 'namio vetito'.
   See nos. 128, 648.

219 Stephen son of William essoins the same attorney against Gilbert de Bagvill; assize mort dacestor.

220 Nicholas Menerel is the attorney of William de Cantilupe, guardian of Gillian daughter and heir of Hugh de Gurnay, whom William Neyrnuyt called to warrant against Richard [illegible]; assize.
   See no. 425.

221 Hugh Petrum essoins Alice wife of Simon Hocheder; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity.

222 Robert son of Jordan essoins the same William Neyrnuyt against the same; same plea; assize at Canterbury.

223 Andrew son of William essoins the same against [illegible] Charman; same plea; assize.

224 Sampson Foliot against the prior of St. Frideswide's; plea that he do him due service; assize at the arrival of the justices at Wilton.
   See no. 575.

m.3] Pleas at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Sunday 14 April] 1241 before William of York, provost of Beverley, and his fellow justices

225 Oxon. Alan Basset appoints Richard son of Alan his attorney against William of Englefield; plea of warranty of charter. And against Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife; plea of land.
   See nos. 415, 613, 648.
226 **Northants.** Geoffrey of Appleby appoints Stephen of Sapcote his attorney against William de Forcis and Christine his wife, Henry of Hastings and Ada his wife, and Isabel de Brus; plea of debt.  
See no. 412.

227 **Northants.** Hugh Gubmon appoints Stephen Long <of Sapcote> his attorney against John Malesoures; plea of land.  
See no. 414.

228 **Oxon. Bucks.** The abbot of Woburn appoints his monk Thomas de Cestresham his attorney against Saer de Wahull; to levy chirograph. And against Lucy widow of Ralph Passelewe; plea of wardship.  
See no. 507.

229 **Oxon.** Joan wife of Thomas de Valoynes appoints Thomas her husband her attorney against Reynold of Whitchurch; plea of land.  
See nos. 87, 245.

230 **Northants.** Hawise de Quincy, countess of Lincoln, appoints Richard of Taynton or Roger de Skerminges her attorney against Amabel widow of Richard Rufus; plea of dower.

231 **Suffolk.** Hugh Gernegan claims his land by pledge, Tuesday before Palm Sunday [19 March], which was taken into the king's hand for his default against Margery widow of Hubert Gernegan; let him have it.  
See no. 408.

232 **Norfolk.** The prior of Fakenham [Hempton] claims his land by pledge, Easter Eve [30 March], which was taken into the king's hand for his default against Robert of Norton and Mary his wife; let him have it.  
See no. 623.

233 Reynold de Mendre and Isolde his wife give 40s. for licence to agree with Lancelin of Stoke and Amice his wife.  
See no. 246.

234 Laurence del Brok gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Thomas de Haya and William le Megre.  
Cf. **Oxon. Fines,** 112, 121.

235 **Beds.** William de St. Leger appoints Osbert Carver of Luton his attorney against John de Baynhal, Richard, Thomas, and Henry his brothers; plea of warranty of charter.  
See no. 71.

236 William Avenel, who brought a writ of right against William of Staines and Joan his wife and Hugh the Butler for 2 ploughlands in Wilcote, came and sought licence to withdraw; let him have it.  
See **Curia Regis Rolls,** xvi, no. 758.
237 Berks. Giles de Erle, Geoffrey Martel, Richard of Hendred and Robert of Bradley, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between William Briton, plaintiff, and Robert of Shottesbrook, tenant, for a moiety of the manor of Shottesbrook. Robert put himself on the grand assize and claimed a recognition which of them has the greater right in the land. They come and elect Hugh de Philibert, Thomas de Bertham, Richard Bulloc, Roger de la Hide, Henry de Elinton, Richard Neyrnuyt, Robert of Uffington, William of Anglefield, Nicholas the Butler, Hugh son of Henry, John of Hendred, Richard son of John, William de Brikenoles, Henry of Tubney, Peter de Itune, Roger of Wytham. Day given to them at Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day, and let the 12 come then. Old case.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 758.

238 Berks. The abbot of Eynsham appoints Richard of Brackley his attorney against Roger de la Hide; plea of 'quare impedit'; and against Robert Clerk of Islip; plea of land.

See nos. 396, 459; cf. Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2044.

239 Essex Day given to Peter of Cressing, plaintiff, and the dean and chapter of St. Martin's, London, to hear judgement on the advowson of the church of Cressing; octave of Trinity at Canterbury, at the prayer of the parties.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2093.

240 Chiltern Assize of nuisance to declare whether Roger de Cundicote made a dike in Caversham to the nuisance of Thomas son of Siward's free holding in Mapledurham. Roger comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Roger of Whitchurch, Peter son of Roger, and Philip Keynel, jurors, have not come, so they are in mercy.

The jurors say that Roger made the dike as the writ says, so it is adjudged that the dike should be knocked down. Roger in mercy; sureties William son of Thomas du Boys and William de la Hake. Damages 12d.

Cf. no. 466.

241 The prior of Luffield appoints Richard of Stafford his attorney against Hugh of St. Martin's; plea of mesne.

Cf. Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2340.

242 Hugh le Bulor appoints John Clerk his attorney against Maud Bluet; plea of debt. And against Emery de Sacy; plea of debt. And against Robert de Gurnay; plea of debt.

See nos. 5, 138.

243 The prioress of Studley appoints Brother Robert her lay brother her attorney against Hervey Hervunt and Cecily his wife; plea of dower.

244 The abbot of Winchcombe appoints Richard of Windrush or Robert de Anestan his attorney.
245 Alice wife of Reynold of Whitchurch appoints Thomas of Aston her attorney against Thomas de Valoynes and Joan his wife; plea of land.
   See nos. 87, 229.

246 Derby Day given to Reynold de Mendre and Isolde his wife, plaintiffs, and Lancelin of Stoke and Amice his wife to levy chirograph about a moiety of the manor of Stoke; morrow of Ascension day at Lambeth. Reynold and Isolde appoint Robert de Hydy their attorney; Lancelin and Amice appoint Roland le Breton their attorney.
   See no. 233.

247 Essex. Day given to Robert of Creeping Hall by his attorney, plaintiff, and Hugh of Arden by his attorney, to hear judgement; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

248 Oxon. The abbot of Dorchester appoints Ralph son of Richard his attorney against Stephen son of Richard; plea of warranty of charter. And against Margery widow of William Goldsmith; plea of land.
   See nos. 130, 719, 722.

249 Oxon. Maud widow of Hugh de Gurnay appoints John the Fleming her attorney against Geoffrey of Cholsey; plea of assize of novel disseisin. And against Ralph de Herding; same plea.
   See nos. 466, 468, 469.

250 Northants. Dorset Glos. Suffolk Surrey Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns appoints Roger of Calmsden or Seisillus of St. Peter her attorney against the prior of Bradenstoke and others; plea of dower. Ralph the chaplain appoints Roger of Calmsden his attorney against the same; same plea.
   See nos. 360, 361, 362; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 2099, 2410.

251 Oxon. Emma wife of John Sundy appoints John her husband her attorney against Isabel countess of Oxford, and against Ralph son of Richard and Joan his wife; plea of land.
   See nos. 426, 417.

252 Oxon. The dean of Exeter appoints John of Lydford his attorney against William son of Robert; plea of assize utrum.
   See no. 590.

253 Oxon. Lettice wife of William of Leach appoints the same William her attorney against Gerard de Oddingeseles; plea of taking beasts.
   See no. 114, 706.

254 Norfolk Isabel wife of Benet de Cant’ appoints Richard de Eynden her attorney against William son of Roger; plea of land.

255 Lincs. Joan widow of Laurence of Holbeach appoints Simon de Sirrion or Gilbert de Cherle her attorney against William le Angevin;
282 Oxon. The abbot of Dorchester appoints William of Prescote his canon his attorney against Robert of Holcombe and Maud his wife, plea of covenant, and against Roger of Geddington, plea of land.

See nos. 420, 318; Oxon. Fines, 110-11.

283 Norfolk William de Stuteville presented himself on the 4th day against Roger de Cressy, to levy chirograph for the lands of Wickhampton, Ranworth, Panksworth, and Upton. Roger has not come, and he was attached by William Wroge of Orford and William Palmer of Newton. So he is to be placed under better sureties to be present on Sunday after Ascension Day. Old case.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 794, 2282.

284 Herefs. Maud widow of William son of Walter de Hamme [sic] claims against Henry of Bradley one third of 32 a. land in Hamne [?Hamnish] as her dower. Henry comes and calls to warrant Roger son of Walter de Hamme for 22 a., and Walter de Lincelee for 10 a. He is to have them at Hereford at the arrival of the next justices by the aid of the court. Old case.

Cf. no. 29.

285 Norfolk Geoffrey of Loddon gives 1 mark for licence to agree with John son of John of Loddon, plea of wardship, by surety of Roger of Boyland. The agreement is that John grants Geoffrey the wardship of his younger brother Nicholas until he comes of age. He also grants Geoffrey Nicholas's homage for all the holding which John his father gave him. He will confirm and warrant the wardship to Geoffrey.

286 Northants. Robert de Gurduy and Christine his wife, by Christine's attorney, claim against Stephen of All Saints I yardland in 'Wande' as Christine's right. Stephen comes and calls to warrant Roger de Tutseyns (of All Saints), son and heir of William de Tutseyns (of All Saints). He is to have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Essex. Old case.

287 Chadlington Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Geoffrey son of Isaac unjustly disseised Richard de Amundevill and Clemence his wife of ½ a. land and a headland of meadow, estimated at ½ rood, in Chilson. Geoffrey comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that Geoffrey disseised them of a piece of land. So it is adjudged that they recover their seisin against him by view of recognitors, and Geoffrey is in mercy. They say that he did not disseise them of the headland of meadow. So it is adjudged that Geoffrey go without day, and they themselves are in mercy for a false claim. Damages 6d.

288 Wootton Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Henry son of William unjustly disseised Stephen son of Eustace of a messuage and 2 a. land in Hensington. Henry comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that Henry disseised him, so it is adjudged that Stephen
recover his seisin and Henry is *in mercy*; sureties Eustace son of Geoffrey of Hensington and Eudes of Hensington. *Damages 3s.*

289 *Oxon.* Henry de Pinkeney appoints Hugh le Pele his attorney against Gerard de Oddingeseles and others named in the writ; assize of novel disseisin.

See no. 532

290 *Essex* Day given to Isabel de Brus by her attorney, plaintiff, against Robert de Quincy and Helen his wife; plea of waste. Morrow of Ascension day at Lambeth, at the prayer of the parties.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1852.

291 *Beds.* William de Beauchamp, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Emma of Swerford, plea that she give him back custody of 1 knight's fee in Milton Bryant which belongs to him in that Geoffrey Brien held it of him by knight service. Emma comes by her attorney and calls to warrant Master Alexander of Swerford. She is to have him at Canterbury on the octave of Holy Trinity, by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Essex. *Old case.*


292 *Somerset* Ralph son of Nicholas presented himself on the 4th day against Catherine widow of Michael son of Nicholas, plea of why after the death of Michael her husband she intruded herself into 1 knight’s fee in West Chinnock, the reversion of which belonged to Ralph by fine made in the king’s court before the justices at Westminster between William de Holédan, plaintiff, and the said Michael and Catherine, tenants. Catherine has not come, and she was attached by William Cock and Gervase of Fenhampton. So she is to be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day. Ralph appoints Henry of Langton or Henry of Draycott his attorney.


293 *Oxon.* The prioress of Littlemore appoints Adam chaplain of Littlemore or Fulk Basset her attorney against Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel, sister of Maud and Helen; plea of assize of mort dancetor; and against John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell, plea of land; and against Alan Butcher and Margery his wife, plea of novel disseisin.


294 Adam de Galweia gives ½ *mark* for licence to agree with John Clement, plea of land; sureties, the same John and John of Longborough.

295 *Gloucs.* *Somerset* Gwenllian wife of Nicholas son of Roger appoints Matthew de Bakepeth her attorney against John Bretasch and William of Ablington and others named in the writ; plea of dower.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 127. See nos. 143, 461.
296  Bucks. The abbot of Missenden appoints William le Franceis his attorney against Rose widow of Erneis de Turreville; plea of dower. He removes Roger de Wimbervill whom he first appointed. And against John de North and Elviva his wife; plea of land.
   See nos. 363, 435.

m. 4d.]

297  Bucks. Henry de Scaccario, William of Englefield, Simon Druel, and William of Moreton, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between Tayllefer de Kingshull, plaintiff, and Alice Angod, Robert de Guiarvill and Agnes his wife, Giles de Bovill and Isabel his wife, tenants, for 16 a. land and 20s. 6 1/4d. rent in Wycombe, whereon Alice, Robert, Agnes, Giles, and Isabel, the tenants, put themselves on the king's grand assize and claimed a recognition whether they have a greater right to hold that land and rent in demesne or whether Tayllefer has a greater right to hold it of them, come and have elected these: Alexander of Hampden, Robert Brand, Roger of Missenden, Walter Duredent, Reynold Siffrewast, William of Blackwell, Robert Perepunt, William de Beauchamp, Osbert de Sancreson, John le Mire, Henry de Airel, Gilbert de Bolebek, Jordan de Arches, Miles Neyrnuyt, Robert de Kenebell, and Alan of Maidwell. Day given to them at Lambeth morrow of Ascension Day, and let the 12 come then.

298  Bucks. Ailine wife of John de Ilmer appoints John her husband her attorney against the abbot of Missenden; plea of land.

299  Oxon. Lettice of Glympton appoints Richard of Newton her attorney against Nicholas Fisher; plea of warranty of charter.

300  Oxon. The abbot of Eynsham gives 40s. for licence to agree with Master Walter le Fevere; plea of warranty of charter.
   See Oxon Fines, 118.

301  Oxon. Ralph Hareng appoints Robert of Swinbrook or Robert of Laund his attorney against Agnes widow of Robert Smith, and against Walter Stagun and Maud his wife, and against Philip of Dean and Gretia his wife, and against Ralph of Banbury and Alice his wife, and against William Marsh and Gillian his wife, and against Roger Marsh and Margaret his wife; plea of land.
   See no. 638.

302  Hunts. The prior of St. Neots was summoned to answer Master Reynold of Bath, parson of the church of Paxton, on a plea that he allow him his reasonable estovers in his wood of Agden. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Julian de Haya; let him have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day to warrant him about the wood where Master Reynold claims his reasonable estovers. Let him be summoned in Suffolk. Old case.
   See no. 62.
303 Bloxham Assize of mort dancstor to declare whether Robert son of John, father of Alice and Margaret her sister, was seised of 1 yardland and 2 parts of a messuage, except for 3 1/2 a. land, in Adderbury, which Henry de Linguir holds. Henry comes and acknowledges that Robert died seised and that they are his daughters, but says that Robert had a son named John, brother of the claimants, who after Robert’s death was seised of the said land and messuage, so if there is an assize of mort dancstor between the parties, it should be for their brother’s death not their father’s. He places himself on the assize. The jurors say that Robert died seised, and after Robert’s death John his son, Alice and Margaret’s brother, was seised of the land for 3 years. So it is adjudged that Henry go without day, and they are in mercy. They are poor. They may proceed against Henry for their brother John’s death if they wish.

304 Banbury Alice widow of William of Wardington claims against the prior of Chacombe one third of 3 yardlands and 1/4 a. land and a messuage in Wardington as her dower. Be it known that the prior holds 1 yardland with a toft and 1/4 a. land in fee. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Henry son and heir of the said William, who is in the guardianship of Richard of Prescote. He comes and says that she has a husband without whom she cannot and should not answer him, and she cannot deny it. So the prior goes without day.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 1150.

305 Bloxham Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Godfrey of Crowcombe, Robert de la Hay, Geoffrey le Senescal, and Adam of Bloxham unjustly disseised Thomas Goher of 1 knight’s fee in Sibford Gower.

Godfrey comes and the others do not. Godfrey says that the assize ought not to be taken because Thomas never had any free holding there; he says that when Normandy was lost Thomas’s father, Thomas, was in Normandy and sent his wife away and that this Thomas, his son, was with her. Afterwards the elder Thomas died and his widow, Thomas’s mother, asked the king through her friends that she might have the fee for her support, at the king’s will, because she wished to intrude Thomas into the fee as heir. When the king heard it he ordered Godfrey, then sheriff, to take the land into his hand, which he did. Afterwards, in 1232-3, the king enfeoffed Godfrey with the land by his charter, which Godfrey produces and which bears witness to this. So he says that Thomas cannot have any free holding there through the interest of his mother, who held the land at the king’s will. He also produces the charter of Thomas, earl of Warwick, chief lord of the fee, who confirmed the gift, but did not offer any warranty, since he took the land into the king’s hand. So enquire. Godfrey appoints William of Ibstone his attorney.

Thomas says that 10 years ago, before the making of the king’s charter, he was in seisin of the fee from Easter until the following autumn as true heir of his father, and after his father’s death entered into the land. Within the time when he had the seisin he took homage and other outgoings belonging to the land, and gave part of it to one of his sisters in marriage, which she still holds, and the earl of Warwick, the chief lord,
took his homage and fealty for the fee, to which his letters patent bear witness.

Afterwards Godfrey comes and produces the king's letters of warrant in these words: Henry by the grace of God king of England, lord of Ireland, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, count of Anjou, to the sheriff of Oxfordshire, greeting; know that we have granted to our dear and faithful Godfrey of Crowcombe the land which was Thomas Goher's the Norman's in Sibford, to maintain him in our service as long as we please. So we order you to let Godfrey have full seisin of the land. Given at Reading, 2 July 1231. Let it be known that this writ is with the writs of divers counties received at Oxford. Enquire.

Day given to them to hear judgement at Westminster 3 weeks after St. Hilary's day. Thomas appoints Robert Canceis his attorney.

Cf. Bk. of Fees, 449, 823

306 Norfolk Walter son of Ailric claims against William le Fix the parson 8 a. land in Fordham. William comes and claims a view. Day given to them at the arrival of the justices. Old case.

307 Oxon. The abbot of Evesham, by his attorney, claims against Robert of Pyrton 3 yardlands in Golder, and against the same Robert, whom William de Cleghile called to warrant and who warranted him, 1 yardland in the same place. Robert comes and calls to warrant Thomas Greasley. Let him have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day, by the aid of the court. Old case.

See no. 636.

308 The prior of Cogges appoints Peter del Brok his attorney against William of Brackley; plea of warranty; and against Walter of Rousham; plea of land.

309 Day given to Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast, by her attorney, plaintiff, and Paulinus Peyvre, defendant; plea of dower; 15 days after Michaelmas at Westminster 1241, at the prayer of the parties.

See no. 203.

310 Wilts. Assize of darrein presentment to declare which patron presented the last parson, who has died, to the church of Poulton. Gwenllian widow of Baldwin de Bolors claims the advowson against the abbot of Lilleshall, and says that one Robert de Bolors, her husband's brother, presented Alan de Bolors, his clerk, who at his presentation was admitted and instituted and finally died as parson of the church. Wherefore she says that since Robert her former husband [sic] was Baldwin's [sic] brother and heir, and since she holds the manor of Poulton of the gift of her husband, who dowered her with it, and since the church is one of the appurtenances of the manor, the advowson ought to belong to her.

The abbot comes and denies force and injury and says that the assize ought not to be held, since he says and acknowledges that Baldwin presented Alan, and that Alan was at Baldwin's presentation admitted and at last died parson of the church. So the assize should be stayed. The
abbot also says that after Baldwin presented Alan, Robert gave the church to him and his monks in pure and perpetual alms by his charter, which he produces and which bears witness. He also produces the confirmation charter of William de Courtenay, Robert's kinsman and heir, and the charter of Herbert [Poore] bishop of Salisbury confirming to them the patronage of the church, and the confirmation of Guala the papal legate.

Gwenllian says she cannot deny the right and ownership of the church in judgement, nor answer about it without the king, who is the warrantor of her dower. So a day is given to them at Lambeth on the morrow of Ascension Day so that judgement may be discussed with the king. The abbot appoints Brother Henry, his canon, his attorney; Gwenllian appoints Walter Foliot or Simon of Weston.

311  **Hants.** Nicholas Kipping of Winchester gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Agnes widow of Gerald de la Rivere; plea of land.

312  **Wootton** Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Durand the clerk, father of Geoffrey, was seised of 1 yardland and 1 messuage in Hensington, which William Langhals and Agnes his wife hold. They come and call to warrant Henry de Alney. Let them have him, by aid of the court, at Canterbury on the octave of Trinity. Let him be summoned in Northamptonshire. *Old case.*

   See no. 322; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2091; *Oxon. Fines*, 124.

313  **Dorset** Nicholas de Poinz, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against John de Barecis; plea that he allow his villeins of Upway to do the suit which they owe to Nicholas's hundred of 'Kilner' [?Culliford Tree]. And against the same John that he do the suit which he owes to the same hundred. John has not come. He was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day.

314  **Surrey** Burgia widow of Peter de Bendenges claims against John of Kemsing, whom John de Frewik <Froywick> called to warrant and who warranted him, one third of ½ knight's fee in Bookham as her dower. John called to warrant Thomas son and heir of Peter de Bendenges who is in the guardianship of Thomas de Valoynes, who now comes and produces Thomas and says that he holds none of Peter's lands or holdings, since the king holds them in his hand. So the sheriff of Surrey is ordered to extend and value all the lands which John holds of the lands which were Peter de Bendenges's in Bookham and make known the extent and value to the itinerant justices at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day by his letters. The same day is given to the parties. *Old case.*

315  **Banbury** Godfrey le Ciror, who brought a writ of mort dancestor against William le Ciror for land 50 ft. long by 16 ft. wide in Banbury has not prosecuted; so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Richard Edmund and Hugh Hurtle.
316 **Beds.** Ralph le Potter gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Adam Torod; assize of mort dancstor; by surety of the same Adam.


317 **Essex, Suffolk, Cambs.** Margery de Kemesie presented herself on the 4th day against Edmund de Kemesie, plea of why he carried off her corn from her grange in Tilbury contrary to the agreement made between them before the last itinerant justices at Canterbury. And why he did not keep the agreement made between them there about her dower in the manor of Tilbury, Essex, and the manor of ‘Lyninge’, Suffolk, and the manor of Fordham, Cambridgeshire. Edmund has not come, and he was attached by Thomas le Mariner and Sewal le Mariner; so let him be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the morrow of Ascension day. He is attached in Essex. *Old case.*

See no. 260; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2119.

318 **Dorchester** Assize of mort dancstor to declare whether Thomas of Geddington, uncle of Roger of Geddington, was seised of 1 yardland in Dorchester which the abbot of Dorchester holds. The abbot comes and calls to warrant Reinbald Cusin, who is present and warrants him and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Thomas died seised of the land, but he died before the term specified in the writ. They do not know who is his right heir. So it is adjudged that Roger take nothing by this assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false claim, and Reinbald is without day.

See no. 282.

319 **Norfolk** Christine widow of Thomas of St. Owen, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Simon son of Ralph de Criketoft, plea that he keep the covenant made between them about 33 a. land, 6 a. turbary, and 7¼ a. meadow in Walsham. Simon has not come and has made many defaults. So he is to be distrained by his land to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

320 **Norfolk** Benet of Dilham, essoiner of Christine widow of Thomas of St. Owen, presented himself on the 4th day against Hubert Hacun; plea that he render her a charter which he unjustly withholds. Hubert has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

See no. 11.

321 **Warws.** Alice widow of Henry of Ullenhall appoints Robert le Notte her attorney against Simon de Aldoluestre and others named in the writ; plea of dower.

See nos. 280, 355.

322 **Oxon.** Agnes wife of William Langhals appoints William her son her attorney against Geoffrey son of Durand; assize of mort dancstor.

See no. 312.
323 Ralph son of Nicholas appoints Henry of Draycott his attorney against [blank in MS] widow of Godfrey Spigernel; plea of dower.
Cf. no. 292.

324 Roger Foliot appoints William Pain his attorney against Stephen of Fritwell; plea of land.
See no. 540.

m. 5d.]

325 Lincs. William le Angevin, by his attorney, claims against Joan widow of Laurence of Holbeach custody of 1 bovate in Holbeach which belongs to him since Laurence held it of him by knight service. William claims that although Laurence held the land by knight service, doing him such foreign service as belongs to the land of the fee, Joan unjustly withholds from him the custody of the land, whence he has suffered damages to the value of 100s. William also says that Laurence gave him and his predecessors 2½d. a year for castle guard at Richmond, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was levied at 20s., more when it was more. He gives the king 1 mark to have an inquiry by jury, by surety of Ralph de Quappelade.

Joan comes by her attorney and denies force and injury. She denies that Laurence ever held by knight service of William, as he says, since he held the land in socage by service of 3s. and 5 hens a year. She gives the king ½ mark for an inquiry, by surety of Gilbert de Cherle.

So the sheriff is ordered to cause 12 men to come before him and before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, and to make inquiry of them on oath whether Laurence on the day he died paid William 2½d. for castle guard at Richmond when a knight’s fee of the same honor rendered 10s. for guard, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was levied at 20s., as William says, or whether Laurence paid William 3s. and 5 hens a year for all service, as Joan says. The sheriff is to report the result of the inquiry at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity by his letters. Old case.

Afterwards the result of the inquiry comes, which is: Laurence held 1 bovate in Holbeach of William le Angevin by knight service, doing as much foreign service as belongs to so much land of the fee, so that Laurence and his predecessors paid William and his predecessors 2½d. a year for castle guard at Richmond, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was 20s. He did not hold in socage. So it is adjudged that William keep his seisin of the custody, and Joan is in mercy.

The same Joan was summoned to answer the same William that she give back to him Lambert, son and heir of the said Laurence of Holbeach, whose wardship belongs to him, since Laurence held his land by knight service. Joan comes by her attorney and calls to warrant Thomas de Muleton. She is to have him at Canterbury at the said term by aid of the court. Old case.
See no. 255; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 1995.

326 Essex William de Marty claims against William de Feduos whom Guy de Haffend called to warrant and who warranted him 60 a. land in [Magdalen] Laver as his right, of which his predecessor Gilbert was
seised in the time of Henry II, taking profits to the value of ½ mark. From Gilbert the right in the land descended to his son Richard, and from Richard to his son William, who now claims. And that such is his right he offers to prove on the body of Henry son of William, who is ready to prove it on his body as his father’s representative as the court may adjudge.

William [de Feduos] comes by his attorney and denies William’s right and his predecessor Gilbert’s seisin, on the body of his free man Thomas de Marnes, who is ready to deny it as the court may adjudge. So it is adjudged that there be a duel between them and that Thomas should give surety of denial and Henry surety of proof. Thomas’s sureties, Roger de Wimbervill, Guy de Haffend, Manesseh of Wendover, and John the clerk of Wendover; Henry’s sureties, Laurence del Brok, Thomas of Ramsden, Henry de Shelvelegh. William appoints William his son his attorney.

Afterwards they reached an agreement and had a chirograph.

Day given to them, Monday after Ascension day, wherever the itinerant justices are in Surrey; then they shall come armed.

327 Norfolk The prior of Lewes, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against William son of William, on a plea that William do him the custom and right services which he owes for his free holding which he holds of him in Shernborne. William has not come, and has made many defaults. So the sheriff was ordered to take all his lands into the king’s hand and to have his body here. The sheriff did none of this. So he is to do as he was ordered above, and is to have his body at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

328 Bucks. Basilia de la Ponne, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife on a plea that they warrant her 22 a. land in High Wycombe which Richard de Rocomago claimed against her, and on which she called Stephen to warrant her against him. They have not come, and they were summoned. Judgement: land to the same value is to be taken into the king’s hand from Simon and Aubrey’s land. They are summoned to be at Canterbury on the octave of Trinity. Same day given to Basilia, by her attorney, in the bench. Old case.

See no. 676.

329 Hunts. The abbot of Sawtry, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against John de le Bere, plea that he keep the chirograph of fine made between them over 20s. rent in Ellington. John has not come, and he had been seen in the court and withdrew without licence. So the sheriff is ordered to distrain him by his lands, and to have his body at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. Old case.

See nos. 124, 271.

330 Cambs. Richard du Boys presented himself on the 4th day against Ralph Pirot, plea of why, on the death of Joan Pirot wife of Richard de Attenestan, he took from him the custody of 1 yardland in Sawston which he had of the gift of Richard and Joan. Ralph has not come, and he was
summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. *Old case*.

**331 Beds.** Robert le Sauvage gives *½ mark* for licence to agree with Simon Cross, plea of wager of law.


**332 Mddx.** William Anselm, for himself and Gille his wife, presented himself on the 4th day against the prior of St. Bartholomew’s, London, plea of why he made waste, sale, and destruction of Stanmore wood which they hold as Gille’s dower for the use of the prior’s heirs [sic]. The prior has not come, and he was the plaintiff. So William and Gille without day; the prior and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, names of the sureties to be inquired for.

Afterwards the prior came and gave *½ mark* for licence to agree. The agreement is as follows: William and Gille recognise that they hold 1 knight’s fee as Gille’s dower by service of 1 lb. [*?cumin]* or 2d., they will do foreign service, and they will make no waste.

**333 Dorchester Assize** of novel disseisin to declare whether the abbot of Dorchester, Walter the clerk, Richard the priest, and Nicholas the clerk unjustly disseised Maud of Clifton of 6 a. land in Clifton Hampden. The abbot comes and answers for himself and all the others and says nothing to stay the assize. Alexander de la Breche and William de Corneville, two recognitors, have not come, therefore *in mercy*.

The jury say that the abbot and the others did not disseise Maud because she was never in seisin. So it is adjudged that Maud take nothing by that assize and is in mercy for a false claim. She is poor. The others without day.

**334 Essex** William Mauduit of Terling gives *½ mark* for licence to agree with John Renger and Henry of Necton, plea of covenant. The agreement is as follows: John gives Henry 35 marks which he claims at Lambeth 5 weeks after Easter, or surety on which Henry can raise the money, and if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands. Henry remits his term in the land which he has of William to John. William grants John that land for his term, according to the agreement which William made with John’s father before he made the agreement with Henry about the land. John is to have a writ to the sheriff about having his seisin.

See no. 345.

**335 Essex** Ralph Cubum gives 40s. for licence to agree with Henry de Balliol and his parcers by surety of Henry of Sewell and John de Canne.

See no. 407.

**336 Bucks.** Day given to Ralph of Norwich, plaintiff, and Sibilla Gargat, defendant, to levy chirograph; day after Ascension day at Lambeth.
337 Ralph of Norwich appoints Peter de Pychelcorp his attorney against William de Doringwich; plea of custom and right services.

m. 6]

338 Suffolk Sarah widow of Robert de Rennes claims against Alexander de Rennes half of a messuage and 26 a. land in Sproughton and half of 36 a. land and 2 a. wood and 1 a. meadow and a messuage in Burstall. And against Humphrey Clerk half of 2 a. land in the same vill, as her dower. She says she has suffered damage to the value of 20 marks. Alexander and the others have come, and Humphrey calls to warrant Alexander, who is present and warrants him and answers for the whole, and says that she was dowered in the vill of ‘Brumford’ with all the land which Robert her husband held in the vill, wherefore she could not claim dower in another place because she had her full dower; and he places himself on a jury. Sarah says that she does not hold the land in ‘Brumford’ in dower but holds it according to the custom of the manor of ‘Brumford’ which is that a wife after her husband’s death ought to have all his land for the term of her life as her free bench, and ought nevertheless to have full dower of his lands and holdings outside the manor. She places herself on a jury. So the sheriff is ordered to make 12 men come before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, and by their oaths let it be diligently inquired if the custom of the manor of ‘Brumford’ is that widows ought to have as their free bench all their husbands’ lands in ‘Brumford’ for their lives, so that as long as they live their husbands’ heirs cannot have any of the lands, and if, moreover, the widows ought to have their full dower in the lands outside the manor, as Sarah says, or not. He is to make known the jury’s findings by his letters to the justices at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity.

Be it known that it was agreed that if Sarah won she should have as free bench the land in ‘Brumford’ which she holds and in addition she should have the half which she claims elsewhere. If she lost she should be content with the land she now holds in ‘Brumford’.

Ralph comes and calls to warrant Ralph son of Godwin; let him have him at Canterbury at the said term, by aid of the court. Old case.

339 Devon John of Lydford acknowledged that he held of Hugh of St. Vedast 2 ploughlands in Gunstone and Waddon by service of ½ knight. William Tusard likewise acknowledged that he held of Hugh ½ knight’s fee in ‘Toteburne’ by service of ½ knight. Since Walter of Bath, to whom Hugh sold the fees, is present by his proctor only, and since he ought to take their homage for the fees, a day is given them at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity to come and do homage. Same day given to Hugh of St. Vedast, by his attorney, to levy chirograph.

340 Bucks. Roger de Wimbervill claims against Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife 2 parts of ¼ a. meadow in Brokton [in Grove]; and against Philip of Leighton and Emma his wife one third of ¼ a. meadow in the same vill, as his right, in which Nicholas and Emma and Philip and
Emma had entry only by William del Brok, to whom Robert del Brok, Roger's uncle whose heir Roger is, granted them for a term which has expired.

Nicholas and the others have come, and Philip and Emma call Nicholas and Emma to warrant; they are present and warrant them and say that they hold the meadow in fee and as Emma's inheritance. They say that William, Emma's father, held the meadow in fee so that after his death it descended to Emma as his daughter and heir. They also say that recently, before the last itinerant justices at Newport Pagnel, they brought an assize of novel disseisin against Roger about the meadow and recovered their seisin of it as their free holding. They place themselves on a jury that they hold the meadow in fee and as Emma's inheritance, and that William, Emma's father, held the meadow in fee and not for a term.

Roger says that Robert del Brok his uncle, whose heir he is, granted William the mowth of the meadow for one year, within which time Robert died, and that he held the meadow for a term and not in fee. He offers the king ½ mark for an inquest and recognisance. So the sheriff is ordered that at the coming of the justices he should have jurors to say on their oath whether Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife had entry into ¼ a. meadow in Brokton by William del Brok to whom Roger de Wimbervill's uncle devised it for a term which has expired, as Roger says, or whether Nicholas and Emma had entry into the meadow by Emma's father William who held the meadow in fee and inheritance, as Nicholas and Emma say. Old case.

See nos. 51, 264.

341 Herts. Essex Henry de Balliol and Laura his wife, Peter de Maune and Christine his wife, and David Cumin and Isabel his wife, by their attorneys, claim against Walter of Hempnall 40 a. land in Theydon, and against Walter Alein 4 a. land in the same vill, and against Richard the weaver 10 a. land in the same vill, and against Thomas the carpenter 18 a. land in the same vill, and against Richard Dod 5 a. land in the same vill, and against Thomas Serle 5 a. land in the same vill, and against Geoffrey of Barnwell 5 a. land in the same vill, and against John de Telpen 10½ a. land in the same vill, and against Richard son of Walter ½ yardland and 6 a. land in the same vill, and against William son of Robert 35 a. land in Bovingdon. They all come and they all, except William son of Robert, seek a view. They are to have it. Day given to them at the arrival of the justices. Since William is under age let them wait for him to come of age.

342 Chadlington Assize of mort danccestor to declare whether Nicholas son of William of Churchill, brother of Gunnild wife of William Pecok, was seised of 17½ a. land and a messuage in Churchill which William Brun holds.

Afterwards William comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

343 The abbot of Bruern gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Roger Gudafre; plea of land.

See no. 281; Oxon. Fines, 121.
344 The abbot of Oseney appoints Simon son of Simon or Peter of Sibford his attorney against William le Peignant, plea of land and common of pasture; and against Peter Buckerel and Maud his wife, plea of warranty of charter.
See nos. 77, 78, 589.

345 Essex William Mauduit of Terling acknowledges that he has demised, granted, and by his charter confirmed to John Renger all his land in the parish of Terling of the fee of Gilbert Mauduit and 8s. rent which he received from Robert Prat; to have and to hold to John and his heirs or assigns from the feast of the Ascension [9 May] 1241 for the 15 years next following, as is more fully contained in the writing made between them.
See no. 334.

346 Oxon. Cecily wife of John of the mill appoints the same John her attorney against the prioress of Studley; plea of land.
See no. 551.

347 Oxon. Mabel widow of Geoffrey of Appleton appoints Thomas of Appleton or Norman of Appleton her attorney against the prior of Deerhurst; plea of land.
See no. 419.

348 Oxon. John parson of the church of Churchill gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Nicholas de Nowers, plea of land, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.
See no. 113; Oxon Fines, 119-20.

349 Oxon The prior of Deerhurst gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Hugh at Water and Christine his wife; plea of land.

350 yorks. Theobald de Bellius, by Thomas of Ramsden his attorney, acknowledges that Roger of Thirkleby paid him in full 50 marks which he owed him for 6 marks rent in ‘Ledomere’.

351 Oxon. Kent Walter [de Gray] archbishop of York appoints Alan of Wassand his attorney against Joan Arsic; plea of warranty. Joan appoints Stephen Simeon her attorney against the same about the same, and against Thomas de Haya and Alexandra his wife, plea of land in Kent.

352 Northants. William son of Reynold of Morton claims against Robert of Warden 2 a. land in Byfield; and against John son of Godwin, who is under age, 6 a. land in the same vill; and against Roger the miller half a mill and 1 rood of land in the same vill; and against Benet of Kent and Isabel his wife 4 a. land in the same vill.
They all come, and Robert calls to warrant Hugh son of John for 1 a. land and Ralph of Marchamley for the other. Roger calls to warrant the same Ralph, and Benet and Isabel call to warrant Philip son of Alard. They are to have them at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas by the aid of the court. Since John is under age let him wait till he is of age. See no. 431.

353 Leics. Richard son of Robert claims against John Cook 2½ yardlands, 5 a. land and 5s. rent in Walton as his right, of which his kinswoman Millicent, whose heir he is, was seised on the day she died. He says that Roger le Chevaler, Millicent’s predecessor, had 3 sons, Gilbert, Simon, and William. From Gilbert the eldest the right to the land descended to Millicent as daughter and heir, who died seised 2 years ago. She died without heir of her body, and the right in the land reverted to Simon her uncle, from whom it descended to his son Theodulph who died seised without heir of his body, so that the right in the land reverted to William his uncle. From William the right descended to his son and heir Robert, and from Robert to his son and heir Richard, who now claims.

John comes and denies force and injury, and says that Millicent did not die seised of the land, for he says that she, being of full age and in her lawful power, gave John himself the land, long before he married her. In the eyre of William Ralegh and Robert Lexington in Warwickshire at Coventry Theodulph impleaded John alone, without Millicent, of the land, before he married her; John called Millicent to warrant the land, and she came and warranted him. A long time afterwards he married her. And that John was impleaded alone and that he called Millicent to warrant, he puts himself on the rolls of that eyre.

Richard says that John was named alone in the writ, but that he came into court and said that he could not answer without his wife Millicent, whose right and inheritance it was, and that John thus withdrew from that writ by the judgement of the court before the justices, he places himself on the rolls of that eyre.

Day given to them at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas so that the rolls can be searched.

354 Norfolk The prior of Shouldham was summoned to answer Adam Smith and Emma his wife on a plea of why he deforced them of 3 a. land and 2s. 6d. rent in Shouldham which ought to remain to Emma as heir of Ingenold of Shouldham and Alinthe his wife, by fine made in the court of Richard I before the itinerant justices at Ipswich between Ingenold and Alinthe, claimants, and Peter the reeve, tenant, of 50 a. land in Shouldham. A plea before the last itinerant justices at Cattishall between the same Adam and Emma, claimants, and Roger son of Richard, who said he was Peter’s heir, deforciant, about the same 3 a. land and the rent by the king’s writ de fine facto was adjourned without day because Roger recognised that he was the prior’s villein.

The prior came by his attorney and claimed a view.

Day given to them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. Old case. See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2053.
355 Alice widow of Henry of Ullenhall, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Peter de Valle, plea of one third of 20 a. land in Tamworth; and against Roger Toky, plea of one third of 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Simon de Aldoluestre, plea of one third of 10s. <6d.> rent in 'Aldoluestre'. All of which she claims in dower. They have not come. And they were summoned. So it is adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. Old case.

See nos. 280, 321.

356 Bucks. Geoffrey Dunning and Alice his wife and Emma and Eve, Alice's sisters, presented themselves on the 4th day against William Halfrenchisse and Custance his wife; plea of one third of 1½ furlong of land (in Chalfont St. Peter) which Alice and Emma and Eve claim as their right. William and Custance have not come, and they were summoned. So it is adjudged that the third part be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. They all appoint Geoffrey their attorney. Old case.

357 Wilts. Nicholas, parson of Esy, was attached to answer Ralph of Latton on a plea of why he brought Ralph into court Christian because Ralph was a recognizer in an assize of novel disseisin which William de Esy brought against Nicholas before Reynold of Calne, William Gilbert, Richard Pagsfolt, and Hamon of Beckhampton, the king's justices, over a tenement in Esy. Ralph claims that when, on the vigil of St. Denis this year, he brought Nicholas the king's prohibition against proceeding further in the plea he, notwithstanding the prohibition, pursued his plea, whereby Ralph was injured and suffered damages to the value of 100s. Nicholas comes and denies force and injury against him and his suit. So it is adjudged that he should wage his law and defend himself with 12 hands, and that he should come with his law to Lambeth on Monday after Ascension Day. Sureties for the law: Laurence le Brun and Robert de Thornbergh.

358 Hunts. William of Wells, canon of Leighton Bromswold, was attached to answer William of Salome on a plea that he allow him to have a certain way which he ought to have in the land of William of Wells in Salome wood [in Leighton Bromswold] from Salome road along the middle of the hedge to Eastbreche. William of Salome claims he ought to have a way along the hedge to carry his corn back and forth and William obstructs him, whence he suffers damage to the value of 10s. William of Wells comes by his attorney and says that William of Salome ought not and used not to have any way there, nor did his predecessors, unless they had it by the permission and at the will of the canons of Leighton Bromswold or their bailiffs. He puts himself on the country, and William of Salome does likewise.

So the sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas to say on oath whether William of Salome ought and used to have a way through the land of William of Wells, canon of Leighton Bromswold, in Salome wood from Salome road along the middle of the hedge to Eastbreche as an appurtenance of his free holding until 1230-1,
which William of Wells obstructed, or whether he and his predecessors had the way by the will and permission of William of Wells and his predecessors, canons of Leighton Bromswold, and their bailiffs, as William says.

m. 7]

359 Henry de Bologano claims against Walter de Bologano 20 a. land in ‘La Sera’ into which Walter had no entry except by Roger de Scaccario to whom Henry demised it for a term which has expired. Walter comes, and they agree by licence and have a chirograph.

360 Gloucs. Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns, by her attorney, claims against the prior of Bradenstoke one third of 4 yardlands in Fairford as her dower. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Rose, Ela, and Joan, daughters and heirs of Nicholas Malesmayns. Rose and Ela are in the guardianship of John de Plescy, so their guardian is to be summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity and to have the said heirs to warrant the land. Joan, the third sister, lives overseas and has nothing in England.

See no. 250.

361 Dorset The same Beatrice claims against Ralph, parson of Monkton Up Wimborne and against Edward King, and against John Elyat one third of 1 ploughland in Kinson as her dower. They all come by their attorneys and by licence give her back the third part; let her have her seisin.

362 Dorset The same Beatrice presented herself on the 4th day against John de Plescy, plea of one third of 1 ploughland in Monkton Up Wimborne, Dorset; and against the same John, plea of one third of 1½ hides in Horley, Surrey; and against James de Bolingham (and John Hanin), plea of one third of 1 hide and 1 messuage in the same vill; and against Robert Malesmayns, plea of one third of ¾ hide in the same vill; and against Walter de Godarvill and Hilary his wife, plea of one third of 9 yardlands and a mill in Burton Latimer, Northants. She claims all these third parts as her dower. They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king’s hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

363 The abbot of Missenden, by his attorney, claimed against John de North and Elviva his wife 6 a. meadow in Kingsey as the right of his church of Missenden. John and Elviva come and claim a view, and they have it. Day given to them at Westminster 2 weeks after Michaelmas.

See no. 296.

364 Salop Peter son of Roger presented himself on the 4th day against William son of John, plea that he keep the fine made before the itinerant justices at Shrewsbury between William, claimant, and Peter, tenant, of 2 yardlands in Heath, whence a chirograph was made. William has not come, and he was attached by Eustace de Furcis and Henry de Roches.
So let him be placed under better sureties to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas 1241. *Old case.*

365 *Berks.* Simon son of John claims against William Wich one third of 1 hide, except the capital messuage and 1 a., in Abingdon, into which William had no entry except by Agnes la Chalinere, Simon's grandmother, whose heir he is, who demised it for a term which has expired. William comes and says that he does not hold the land, since Benet of the Larder holds ½ a. and Simon holds 1 rood. Afterwards Simon came and prayed for licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

366 *Oxon.* Alice daughter of Richard appoints John Blancbuilli her attorney against Christine daughter of Richard, plea of land; and against Robert of Mapledurham and Peter the miller and Emma his wife, plea of assize of mort dancelor.

   See no. 434.

367 William de Dive claimed against Ralph Hareng and Alice his wife 2 parts of one third of 2 knights' fees in Deddington, except the advowson of the church, 1 messuage, a fishery, (3 mills), and 3 yardlands. And against the same Ralph and Alice and Beatrice Murdac, Alice's sister whom Eve de Gray called to warrant, one third of 2 parts of one third of 2 knights' fees in Deddington, except the advowson of the church, 1 messuage, a fishery, 3 mills, and 3 yardlands in the same vill, as his right, of which William his ancestor was seised in demesne as of fee and right in the time of Henry II, taking profits worth ½ mark. From William the right in that land descended to Roger as son and heir, and from Roger, who died without heir of his body, the right reverted to Hugh his uncle, William's brother. From Hugh the right descended to Ralph as son and heir, and from Ralph to Lettice [Lucy] as daughter and heir, and from Lettice [Lucy] to William [de Dive] as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

Ralph and the others come, and Ralph and Alice say that they cannot answer about the 2 parts which he claims against them without Beatrice Murdac, Alice's sister who shares with Alice in the inheritance of Ralph Murdac their father, whose heirs they are. So the sheriff is ordered to summon her to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity to answer Ralph and Alice, as the said Beatrice was present only by her proctor to make the warranty. And of the third part which they warranted they say they cannot answer without the king, since they say that Henry II gave Ralph Murdac their father all Deddington by his charter which they proffer: Henry, by the grace of God king of England etc. Know that I have given and by this my charter confirmed to Ralph Murdac all Deddington, to him and his heirs to hold of me and my heirs by service of 2 knights. Wherefore I wish and firmly command that Ralph and his heirs after him may have and hold the whole of Deddington of me and my heirs, well and in peace, freely and quietly, wholly and fully and honourably with all its appurtenances and liberties and free customs, etc.

And William says that the charter ought not to harm him, since he ways that Ralph (Murdac) had entry into the said land by Guy de Dive who
married Lucy de Dive, ancestress of William and right heir of William de Chesney since she was descended from his brother and Ralph from his sister.

Day given them to hear judgement at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

See nos. 428, 506; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 228, 939-40, 2041; Bk. of Fees, 821.

368 Bullingdon Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Maud daughter of William, mother of Mary wife of William of Wallingford and of Christine wife of John Eymer and of Mabel of Sandford, was seised of a messuage in Sandford [on Thames] which Adam le Passur and Maud his wife hold. They come and call to warrant Robert of Sandford, knight, who is in the Holy Land; so he is summoned to be at the arrival of the justices.

369 Bampton Assize of nuisance to declare whether John of Hinksey, Richard son of Ralph, Jordan son of Richard, William son of Walter, Gilbert Serjeant, and Roger son of William knocked down a hedge in Clanfield to the nuisance of Richard son of John’s free holding in the vill. They all come and say nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that they all except Roger knocked down the hedge as the writ says. So it is adjudged that he should recover his seisin by view, and the others are in mercy. Richard is likewise in mercy for a false plea against Roger. Damages 6d.

370 Wilts. Richard Doget, essoiner of Warin FitzGerald, presented himself on the 4th day against William of Durnford, plea that he keep the covenant made between them about 2 ploughlands in Durnford. William has not come; and he is to be summoned. Judgement: to be attached to be there at the arrival of the justices. Old case.

371 Hunts. Day given to Ralph de Mortimer, claimant, and Humphrey de Bohun, earl of Hereford, to hear judgement; summoned to Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas because judgement has not yet been made. The earl appoints Humphrey de Bolinton or Henry de Pont de l’Arches his attorney. Similarly with Michael de Cheldrinton whom he had first appointed his attorney.

And against Maud of Heybridge, plea of land, and against William of Bobbingworth, plea of wardship.

372 Northants. Day given to the abbot of Croxton Kerrial, by his attorney, claimant, and William of Haddington and Avice his wife, plea of custom, at Westminster 15 days from Michaelmas 1241, at the prayer of the parties. Avice appoints William her attorney. Brother Richard, monk of Croxton, and Thomas de Whelham are the abbot’s attorneys by the king’s writ.

373 Day given to Laurence del Brok, claimant, and William of Haverhill guardian of Robert del Brok, to hear judgement on a plea of novel disseisin, Monday next after Ascension day, because judgement has not yet been made.
374 Rutland Master Hugh, parson of ‘Ketele’ [Ketton], appoints William de Potubi his attorney against Richard de Lindon; plea of fishery.
   See no. 650.

375 Warws. Parnel of Compton appoints William de Bromerton her attorney against Nicola widow of Simon Bagot; plea of dower.
   See no. 650.

m. 7d.]

376 Suffolk William le Breton claims against Margery de Bleville 1 ploughland, except 10 a., in ‘Istede’ as his right. Margery comes and claims a view thereof, and has it. Day given them at Lambeth, Monday after Ascension day. Margery appoints Simon de Hemsted or Walter son of Roger her attorney.
   See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 903, 964, 998.

377 The prior of Wroxton was summoned to answer Master Simon de Wanton on a plea that he acquit his land in Balscott of suit to county and hundred, whereof he ought to acquit it. The prior comes and acknowledges that he ought to acquit Master Simon’s land, and freely acquits him. So he is told that he should acquit it. So without day.

378 Suffolk Walter Punt claimed before the last itinerant justices at Ipswich against Alan son of Alan of Withersdale 12 a. land in Withersdale as his right. In that court Alan objected that Walter could have no right in the land because he was a bastard. So the case was sent to the bishop of Norwich where Walter proved that he was born of lawful wedlock. The bishop showed the same by his letters patent, so the plea was re-opened. And Alan now comes <has not come>, and so it is adjudged that Walter recover his seisin and Alan in mercy. Let him have his seisin. Old case.

379 Essex The abbess of Barking was summoned to answer Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford on a plea that she return to him custody of 6 a. wood and 2 parts of 26 a. land in Rowhedge which belong to him in that Ralph de Berners held that land and wood of him by knight service. He says that by that detention he has suffered damage to the value of 100s. The abbess comes by her attorney and denies force and injury and says that the earl claims the custody unjustly, since Ralph held the wood and land of her by service of 4d. a year, and not of the earl. Thereon she puts herself on the country, and that in the year in which he died he paid her 4d. for the wood and land. The earl, by his attorney, says that Ralph held of him by knight service, and he offers the king 1 mark for an inquest, and the money is received. So let there by a jury. The sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at the arrival of the justices to declare on oath whether Ralph held the wood and land of the earl by knight service, as the earl says, or whether he held them of the abbess by
service of 4d. a year as the abbess says. And be it known that the abbess agreed that if the jury found that Ralph held the wood and land of the earl by knight service that she should lose the service of 4d. The same earl, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Rayner of Bungay on a plea that he return to him custody of a house and 2 parts of 34 a. land in Rowhedge which belong to him in that Ralph de Berners held that house and land of him by knight service. Rayner has not come, and he was attached by William Green and William Finche. So he is to be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. *Writ.*

Cf. no. 65.

380 *Warwick* Nicola widow of Simon Bagot, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Isabel Bagot, plea of one third of 8 yardlands in Compton, which she claims as her dower. Isabel has not come, and she was summoned. Judgement: the third part is to be taken into the king’s hand. Isabel is summoned to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

381 *Bucks.* Alice widow of Gilbert le Rus presented herself on the 4th day against William son of Alexander, plea of one third of 2½ a. land and 12d. rent in Sherington; and against Rannulph le Franceis, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against Richard son of Ellis *<Gervase>*, plea of one third of 1½ a. land in the same vill; and against Simon of Sherington, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against Simon son of Richard, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill, and against William son of Martin, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against William of Clapham, plea of one third of ½ a. land in the same vill; and against Adam son of Stephen, plea of one third of 1 rood of land in the same vill; which third parts she claims as her dower. They have not come, and they were summoned. Judgement: the third parts are to be taken into the king’s hand. They are to be summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

382 *Devon* Martin the constable and Agnes his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Robert of Sydenham, plea of one third of 1 ploughland and 1 messuage in Tiverton which they claim as Agnes’s dower. Robert has not come, and he was summoned. Judgement: the land is to be taken into the king’s hand. He is summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case. Cancelled.*

See no. 172; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1910.

Entry crossed out.

383 Roger de Scaccario acknowledges that he owes Henry of Belgrave 4 marks of a fine made between them, of which he will pay him 2 marks on the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August], and 2 marks at Michaelmas [29 September] next following, 1241. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.
384 **Hunts.** Simon son of William de Ponte presented himself on the 4th day against the abbot of Crowland, plea of why he took Simon's beasts and unjustly kept them. The abbot has not come, and he had a day by his essoin to this day. So he is attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

385 **Banbury** Assize of mort danccestor to declare whether Robert Alfthein [Hautein], brother of Richard was seised of [2 messages—crossed out] ½ yardland [and 3 a. and 11s. rent—crossed out] in Banbury which Richard son of Gilbert holds. He comes and says that he does not hold that land, since Margery his mother holds it. She comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is that Margery give up all the property and Robert will give her each year of her life half the corn which he harvests on that land. And he will acquit the land and defend it against the chief lords.

Cf. no.522.

386 **Bloxham** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether John of Harwell, the prior of Noyon, and Nicholas de Limis unjustly disseised Simon of Harwell of 3 yardlands less 4 a. in Bodicote. John comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The prior and Nicholas have not come, nor were they attached or found, nor did they have anything there by which they could be attached. So the assize is taken against them by default.

The jurors say that John and the others did not disseise Simon. So it is adjudged that Simon take nothing by that assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false claim. The others without day.


387 **Ploughley** A jury comes to declare whether 6 yardlands in Finmere are free alms belonging to the church of Rannulf parson of Finmere or the lay fee of William du Boys. William comes and calls to warrant the abbot of St. Augustine's Bristol. Let him have him at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Gloucestershire.

See no. 121; cf. no. 670.

388 **Banbury** The prior of Clattercote, who brought an assize of novel disseisin about a watercourse in Mollington against John Kachelewe, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, that is Robert White and John the carter of Middleton.

See no. 258.

389 **Wootton** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Rose of Begbroke and William her son unjustly disseised John Punzard of 1 a. meadow in Begbroke. Rose comes and says nothing to stay the assize. William has not come, nor was he attached since he was not found. So let the assize be taken against him by default.

The jury say that Rose and William disseised John. So it is adjudged that John recover his seisin, and Rose and William are *in mercy.*
390 Chadlington Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert son of Aluric disseised Erneburga of Swinbrook of a garden in Swinbrook. Robert comes and says that she is in seisin of the garden and that he has not disseised her, and thereon he puts himself on the assize. The jury say that Robert did not disseise her. So it is adjudged that Robert go without day, and Erneburga is in mercy.

Cf. no. 579.

391 Lincs. Day given to Henry de Capella, claimant, and John of Catesby <William son of Drew>; custom and service, 15 days after Michaelmas at Westminster, at the prayer of the parties, and Henry appoints John of Trumpington his attorney against William and others in the writ in Hampshire.

Text based on JUST 1/696; JUST 1/695 illegible.
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392 Chadlington Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Richard of Wolford disseised Gilbert son of Gilbert of a messuage in Chipping Norton. Richard comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richard disseised Gilbert, so let him have his seisin by view, and Richard is in mercy. Damages 2s.

393 Chadlington Assize of mort dancensor to declare whether William Warte, father of William Warte, was seised in his demesne as of fee of 3½ a. land and 1½ a. and 1 rood meadow in Walcot, which Peter de Malesmayns and Margery his wife and Avice of Walcot hold. Peter and Margery come and say that they do not hold the land or meadow, except 3½ a. land, and that William can have no right in that land because he is a bastard. So the assize remains. The bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and make it known by his letters patent. Avice has not come, so let the assize remain against her, whatever may be found as to William’s bastardy.

Afterwards William comes and acknowledges that Avice is his mother and that she holds the meadow he claims in dower, and that he is the warrantor of her dower. So let Avice hold it in peace.

394 Binfield Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert de Wantham, Reynold his son, William of Arundel, Walter de Wantham, and Walter Sturdy disseised William parson of Fawley of 1 a. meadow in Henley. Robert, Reynold, and William come and say nothing to stay the assize. Walter and Walter have not come, nor were they attached because they were not found.

The jury say that Robert and all the others disseised William; so let him have his seisin by view. Robert and the others in mercy. Damages 12d.

395 Wootton Gilbert son of William of Hempton gives ½ mark for licence to agree with William of Hempton; plea of assize of mort dancensor, by surety of Walter the tailor of Clifton and Henry de Mare of the same.
396 **Dorchester** The abbot of Eynsham was summoned to answer Roger de Hide on a plea that he allow him his piggery in the abbot's wood of Woodcote, of which he says he was seised as of fee and right in the time of King John, and thereon he produces suit.

The abbot comes and says that he ought not to answer this writ, since the writ contains only the plea that the abbot allow Roger his piggery in his wood, and does not name any rent, nor does Roger offer to prove his right according to the custom of the kingdom. The abbot claims judgement. Since Roger did not name any rent nor offer to prove his right or his seisin against the abbot, and his writ is of right, it is adjudged that the abbot go without day, and Roger is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 238; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2044.

397 **Chadlington** Fulk son of Benet gives 1 mark for licence to agree with William son of Fulk, plea of assize of mort dancestor, by surety of John of Longborough and Nicholas son of le Chevaler of Chastleton.


398 **Dorchester** Reynold son of Simon of Drayton, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the abbot of Dorchester, Richard the priest, and others, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting are *in mercy*, namely Walter of Clifton and William of Stafford.

399 **Wootton** Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Henry the scrivener, father of Alan son of Henry, was seised on the day he set out on pilgrimage <the Holy Land> of a toft in Steeple Aston which Alan le Rummeney holds. Alan comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Henry was not seised of the toft on the day he set out on pilgrimage. So it is adjudged that Alan go without day and Alan [son of Henry] is in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

400 **Wallingford** Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Henry Foster, father of Basilia, was seised on the day he died of 12 a. land in Aston [Rowant] which Alice at Well and Geoffrey her son hold. Geoffrey comes and says he holds the whole of the land and his mother holds nothing there. Geoffrey, by licence, gives the land back to Basilia; let her have her seisin.

401 **Chadlington** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether William son of Robert unjustly disseised Richard son of Robert of 1 a. land and a messuage in [Chipping] Norton. William has not come, nor was he attached, so let the sheriff answer for his sureties, and the assize be taken against him by default. The jury say that William disseised Richard. So let him have his seisin by view, and William is *in mercy*. *Damages I2d.*

402 **Banbury** Alice of Wardington, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against John at Well for a holding in Wardington, came and withdrew and made fine for herself and her sureties for ½ mark, by surety of Savary of Walcot.
403 Norfolk, Suffolk. Roger of Thirkleby presented himself on the 4th day against Adam Merchant, Robert de Courtenay, Robert de Strettus, Walter son of Odo, Henry de Gosenhale, Warin Barnard, Robert Joseph, William Lenygive, Thomas of Brampton, William Francéis, William of Brampton, Roger le Hunte, John del Brok of Weston, Robert le Legistre, Bartholomew Cove, Richard his son, Thomas son of John de Redesham, Hugh de Sket, Alexander Pitman, Hugh le Blund of Sharnford, Alan Jordan, Roger son of Roger of Brampton, Simon son of Hugh de Stoweno, Walter son of Geoffrey of the same, William Pais of Wiggenhall, William son of Botild de Stoweno, and William Platon de Stodle; plea that they render him £20 which they owe him and unjustly withhold. They have not come, and have made frequent defaults. So the sheriff is ordered to take all their lands into the king’s hand, except for their personal chattels. he is to have them at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day.

404 Northants. Margery widow of Richard le Rus claims against Hawise of Chester one third of 43s. rent in Long Buckby as her dower. And Hawise, by her attorney, comes and claims a view. Day given to them at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. Old case.

405 Notts. John de Gatton presented himself on the 4th day against Thomas son of William; plea that he restore to him the custody of 2 ploughlands in Clipston which belong to him in that Ralph de Rocheford held them of him by knight service. Thomas has not come, and he had a day by his essoin, to this day. So let him be attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. Old case.
See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2393.

406 Oxon. Agnes of Maidwell claims against Robert le Summoner and Felicity his wife 1 yardland in South Newington as her right, in which Robert had entry only by Richequena of Newington to whom William de Chesney, Agnes’s father whose heir she is, granted it for a term which has expired. Robert comes and Agnes seeks licence to withdraw, and she has it.

407 Essex. Ralph Cubum acknowledges that he owes Henry de Balliol and Laura his wife and his parceners 24 marks of a fine made between them, of which he will pay them 12 marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] 1241 and 12 marks at the following Easter. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.
See no. 335.

408 Suffolk, Norfolk. Day given to Margery widow of Hubert Gernegan, claimant, and Hugh Gernegan, tenant, to levy chirograph, at Lambeth, Sunday after Ascension day. Margery appoints John de Marewin her attorney.
See no. 231; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2343.
409 Bucks. Alice widow of Roger Miller claims against Laurence le Brun one third of 1 yardland in 'Conesgrave' as her dower. Laurence comes, and they are agreed by licence that she should surrender to him all her right for 1 mark.

410 Lincs. Robert de Lexington ordered the justices that John son of Osbert of Lincoln, who is old and infirm, appoint an attorney in the case before them at Oxford between him and the master of the Knights Templar in England.

See no. 445.

411 Oxon. Robert de Pultne gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Nicholas of Cassington; plea of land, by surety of the same Nicholas.

See Oxon Fines, 119.
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412 Northants. Ralph Basset and Geoffrey of Appleby, by their attorneys, presented themselves on the 4th day against Isabel de Brus; plea that she acquit them of one sixth of a debt of £100, for which John late earl of Chester, brother of Isabel and uncle of Devorguilla, whose heirs they are, placed them in a plea against Robert son of Richard, and they have not acquitted them. They have not come, and they were summoned. It is adjudged that they be attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. Isabel is to be distrained in Huntingdonshire.

See no. 226.

413 Beds. Adam son of William of Melchbourne claims against Thomas son of Robert of Melchbourne 12 a. land in Melchbourne as his right, in which Thomas only had entry by Hugh son of Laurence to whom Adam granted it for a term which has expired. Thomas comes and denies force and injury and says that he only holds 8 a. since Maud, Hugh's widow, holds 4 a. in dower. Since Adam claims against him all the land which he holds in demesne as in service, he says that he cannot claim anything of the 8 a., since Hugh's father, Laurence, held the land and died seised of it, and after his death Hugh held it and died seised without heir of his body, so that the right descended to Robert brother and heir of Hugh and father of Thomas. Wherefore he says that neither Adam nor his father was ever seised of that land so that they could grant it to anyone. Moreover, he says that Hugh his uncle once imploated Adam of 4 a. in the court of Melchbourne, and it was agreed between them there that Adam return to Hugh the 4 a. in dispute. Thereon he puts himself on the country.

Adam says that William his father died seised, so that after his death Adam himself was seised for 6 years, and afterwards he demised the land to Hugh for a term. And that Hugh had seisin by such an entry he puts himself on the country. Thomas likewise. So the sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at the arrival of the justices to declare whether Thomas had entry into the land by Hugh son of Laurence his uncle to whom Adam granted it for a term which has expired, as Adam says, or whether Thomas had entry by Hugh who held 8 a. of the land by hereditary right
and 4 a. by agreement made between Hugh and Adam in the court of Melchbourne, as Thomas says.


414 Northants. John Malesoures <Malheure> and Lettice his wife, by their attorney, claim against Hugh Cubum <Gubmon> 1 yardland except 1 messuage and ½ a. in Cotes as Lettice's right, whereof she herself was seised as of fee and right in the time of the present king, taking profits therefrom to the value of ½ mark. And that such is her right she offers [to prove].

Hugh, by his attorney, comes and denies force and injury and Lettice's seisin. And he places himself on the king's grand assize and claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold that land in demesne, or John and Lettice to hold of him.

Nicholas de Nowers (sworn), John le Brun (sworn), Robert son of Ralph (sworn), Richard of Hendred (sworn) 4 knights chosen to elect 12 men, come and choose these: Luke de Cubum, Roger of Missenden, Henry de Alney, Ralph Barre, Ralph of Titchmarsh, Henry of Isham, Alan of Maidwell, Miles de Beauchamp, William of Weston, Walter son of Simon de Daunic, Robert Wolf de Esse, William de Daunicre, Thomas of Titchmarsh, Henry of Drayton, Richard of Irchester, John Dyen.

Day given them at the arrival of the justices, and let the 12 come then.

See no. 227.

415 Alan (Basset) gives 20s. for licence to agree with William of Englefield; plea of warranty of charter, by surety of the same William.

See no. 225.

416 John Sundy gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Isabel de Bolbec countess of Oxford; plea of land by surety of Laurence del Brok.

See no. 251; Oxon. Fines, 111.

417 Chiltern. John Sundy and Emma his wife, who brought an assize of novel disseisin for common of pasture in Crowmarsh Gifford against the same countess, came and withdrew, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Robert of Mapledurham, Robert de la Greyhone. Sureties of John's amercement, Laurence del Brok and William de Port of Crowmarsh.

See no. 251.

418 Oxon. Warin FitzGerald appoints Geoffrey del Pek his attorney against Henry de Scaccario (and Parnel); plea of waste. And against Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife and their parceners; plea of mort dancestor.

See nos. 177, 611.

419 Oxon. The prior of Deerhurst claims against Thomas of Appleton 1(¼) a. meadow in Northmoor. And against Mabel of Appleton 1 a. meadow in the same vill, as the right of his church, whereof Richard his predecessor was seised in demesne as of fee and right in the time of
Henry II, taking profits therefrom to the value of ½ mark. And that such is his right he offers [to prove].

Thomas and Mabel come and Mabel calls Thomas to warrant; he warrants her and answers for the whole, and denies force and injury and Richard’s seisin. And he places himself on the king’s grand assize and claims a recognition which of them has the greater right in that meadow. He offers the king ½ mark to have notice of the year and day of the assize, and it is received. Robert de Wantham and Robert Purcel and William Wace and Peter Oliver, 4 knights chosen to elect 12 men, come and choose these: John Medicus, [Ralph Saucey, Richard Foliot of Rousham–crossed out], Richard Descote, Ralph of Sandford, Geoffrey le Quelter, William de Scalebrok, Bartholomew Foliot, William Quatermains, William Monk, Ellis de Huntefeld, Alexander of Combe, and Roger Gernun. Who come and say on their oath that Thomas has more right in the meadow than the prior, and that Richard the prior’s predecessor was not seised of the meadow in the reign of Henry II.

So it is adjudged that Thomas and his heirs should hold the meadow in peace for ever, without any claim from the prior or his successors. And the prior in mercy, sureties Stephen of Northmoor and Adam of Northmoor.

See nos. 117, 347.

420 The abbot of Dorchester gives 10s. for licence to agree with Robert of Little Holcombe; plea of land, by surety of the same Robert.

See no. 282

421 Yorks. Richard de Gaham gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Walter de Hemmington and Joan his wife; plea of land, by surety of Laurence del Broc.

See nos. 70, 474.

422 Ploughley William Puignant, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the abbot of Oseney and many others over the levelling of a dike and common of pasture in Weston on the Green, comes and withdraws himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Guy of Tusmore and Alan of the same, Robert <Ralph> of Deddington and Adam de Gay.

423 The same abbot, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same William over the same, comes and withdraws himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John of Hampton and William Young of the same.

424 Worcs. William (son of Walter) de Beauchamp gives 40s. for licence to agree with W[alter de Cantilupe] bishop of Worcester about custom and service, by surety of the same bishop. And the agreement is as follows: William recognizes that he holds of the bishop 15 knights’ fees in Worcestershire, Gloucestershire, and Oxfordshire, and that he owes the bishop £50 of which he will pay him or his representative £8 6s. 8d. at Easter 1242, £8 6s. 8d. the following Michaelmas, and so from year to year at the same terms until the debt is paid.
425 William de Cantilupe appoints Nicholas Menerel his attorney against William Neyrnyt, plea of warranty of charter. And against a certain woman who claims her dower against him.
See no. 220.

426 William son of William Morin and Margery his wife appoint William Morin their attorney against Stephen de Stallis and Hawise his wife; plea of land.
Cf. no. 188.

427 Roger of Leybourne appoints Ralph the Bastard his attorney against Alan of Aston; plea of custom and service.
See no. 653.
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428 Eve de Gray, by her attorney, claims against William de, Dive one third of the advowson of the church of Deddington, a fishpond, 1 yardland, and a mill, as her dower from Ralph Murdac, her former husband.
William comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there or be able to claim it, since, he says, that in the time of King Richard she was dowered with all the lands and holdings which Ralph had, so that she was satisfied and content with them all the time of King Richard and King John, and the time of Henry III until she started this plea against him. Moreover, he says that he cannot answer her without the king, since, he says, Henry II gave William de Chesney the whole of Deddington by his charter in these words: H[enry] king of England, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, count of Anjou, to the bishop of Lincoln and all his justices and barons and sheriffs and ministers and faithful men of Oxfordshire, greeting. Know that I have given William de Chesney the whole of Deddington, and I wish and firmly order that William and his heirs may have and hold the whole of Deddington of me and my heirs, with all its liberties and customs and appurtenances, peaceably, freely, and honourably. Witness, etc.
Day given them to hear judgement at Canterbury, 3 weeks after Trinity.
See nos. 367, 506; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 228, 939–40, 2041.

429 W[illiam] Ralegh, bishop of Norwich, was summoned to reply to Otnell de Lisle, plea that he render him Giles, son and heir of Robert de Lisle, and his land in Stokes, wardship of which belongs to him because Robert held of him by knight service. Otnell, by his attorney, says that although Robert on the day he died held his land in Stokes of him by knight service so that the wardship ought to belong to him as chief lord of the fee, the bishop unjustly kept the wardship himself, whence he has suffered damage to the value of £100. [And Richard de Hotoft, who was advocate, in mercy–added later]
The bishop comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Isabel, countess of Oxford, who now comes by summons and warrants him, and denies force and injury. And she offers the king 40s. to have a view, and the
view is appointed, namely Robert Purcel, Eustace Purcel, Robert son of Robert Purcel.
And the countess says that Robert de Lisle held the lands of her and did homage for them to her and service for 1 knight's fee (all his life) so that at the time of his death she was seised of his homage and service. Otnell, by his attorney, says that Robert held the land by knight service and not of the countess, since he says that Robert at London 2 years after the war did Otnell homage for the land, and all his life he did Otnell service. And he offers the king 20 marks for an inquiry. Afterwards they reached an agreement and Isabel gave 15 marks for licence to agree. 
Cf. Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 273; Oxon. Fines, 113; Bk. of Fees, 824.

430 Bampton Assize of mort dancester to declare whether Richolda daughter of William, aunt of William Pulein, was seised of 6 a. land and 1 a. meadow in Haddon [in Brize Norton] which Denise daughter of Richolda holds. Denise comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richolda did not die seised, so it is adjudged that he take nothing by that assize, and he is in mercy for a false plea.

431 Northants. William of Morton gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Ralph de Marchamley; plea of land, by surety of Gilbert de Grenville.
Se no. 352.

432 Wallingford William Cross, who brought a writ of entry against Nichola de Stallis and many others, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William Joie and William Goday.

433 Essex Hubert de Ruylli was summoned to answer Isabel de Bolbec, countess of Oxford, on a plea that he render her 64 marks which he owes her. Isabel claims that she sold him the wardship of the lands and heirs of Peter of Ramsey in Ramsey for 100 marks, to be paid to her or her attorney at her castle of Great Canfield, 25 marks within a week of Easter [27 March] 1239, 25 marks within a week of the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following, 25 marks within a week of Michaelmas [29 September] next following, and 25 marks within a week of Christmas next following. Hubert unjustly withholds from her 64 marks, whence she has suffered damage to the value of 20 marks. Hubert comes by his attorney, and they are agreed, and Hubert gives 40s. for licence to agree. The agreement is as follows: Hubert acknowledges the debt to Isabel, whereof he will pay her 16 marks at St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August] 1241, 16 marks at Michaelmas next following, 16 marks at Christmas next following, and 16 marks at mid Lent [30 March] next following. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands. Isabel remits the damages.
Chilterns Assize of mort danccestor to declare whether Richard de la Lee, father of Christine and Alice, was seised of 6 a. land in Mapledurham, of which Peter the miller and Emma his wife hold 4 a. and Robert le Clerk 2 a. They come and call Christine to warrant. She comes and warrants them and says that the assize ought not to lie, since she says that the land is her right and inheritance from her mother Emma. So that on another occasion Christine claimed the land before the justices of the bench against Alice, who is her sister only on her father's side, and against Orenge, Alice’s mother, who held one third in dower. Orenge came in the bench and called Alice to warrant; she warranted her and herself called Hugh de Gurnay to warrant, who came into court and by the judgement of the court went back on his warranty. Afterwards Alice objected that Christine could have no right in that land because she was a bastard. So the plea was transferred to the court Christian where Christine proved that she was legitimate. So by the judgement of the court she recovered her seisin of the land against Alice, as the right and inheritance of her mother Emma. Alice conceded it. So it is adjudged that they hold in peace and Alice in mercy. She is poor.

See no. 366.

Bucks. Rose widow of Erneis de Turreville, who brought a writ of dower against the abbot of Missenden and others, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, that is Walter Scuret of Bourton and William of Twyford of Aynho.

See no. 296.

Oxon. Gillian wife of Adam son of John appoints Adam her husband her attorney against Peter son of William of Barton; plea of land.

Suffolk Thomas Crawe and Simon Siward appoint William Humphrey their attorney against Olive widow of Robert de Frayn; plea of dower.

See no. 498.

Thomas Greasley appoints John de Wyketoft <Wykinton> his attorney against Robert of Pyrton; plea of land <warranty of charter>. And against William of Golder; plea of custom and service.

See no. 443.

Maud de Gurnay appoints Richard of Coleshill her attorney against the abbot of Thame; plea of novel disseisin.

Emma wife of Robert Brand appoints Laurence de Scaccario her attorney against Richard of Stonor; plea of warranty of charter.

See no. 582.

Robert Brand appoints Richard Danvers his attorney against the same about the same.
442 Banbury Walter Black and Alice his wife, Robert de Lothenfeld and Gillian his wife, and William of Stanford and Parnel his wife claimed in the county court against Alice daughter of William Damel I messuage in Banbury, and against Hugh Hurtle I messuage in the same town, as the right of Alice, Gillian, and Parnel. Alice and Hugh placed themselves on the king's grand assize. Since the town of Banbury is of the king's ancient demesne, it is adjudged that the grand assize cannot be taken, but an inquisition should be made on the oath of 12 law-worthy men of Banbury into the rights of the tenants and the claimants. The jurors, chosen by consent of the parties, come and say that Alice and Hugh have more right in the messuages than the claimants. So it is adjudged that they should hold in peace, and the others in mercy for a false claim, by surety of Geoffrey Uhete, Henry Aylward, Adam Dreng, and Richard Black.

See no. 132.

443 Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph de Saucey, John le Mire, and Henry Pipard, 4 lawful knights summoned to elect 12 to make recognition of the grand assize between Thomas Greasley, plaintiff, and William of Golder, deforciant, of custom and services which Thomas exacts from William for 1 hide in Golder. Whereon William, who is the tenant, placed himself on the king's grand assize and claimed a recognition whether he owes Thomas the service of 1/5 knight's fee for that land, as he recognises, or whether he owes the service Thomas exacts, especially ploughing service of 1 a. land for winter sowing at Thomas's expense and 1 man to reap Thomas's corn for 1 day in harvest, with food provided by Thomas. They come and have elected these: [blank in MS.]

Afterwards an agreement was reached and William of Golder gives 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of Thomas Greasley.

See no. 438; Oxon. Fines, 122.

444 Essex, Cambs. Day given to Maud of Heybridge, claimant, and Roger of Huntingfield and Joan his wife, tenants; plea of 57 a. land in Heybridge, Essex, and of 1 1/2 message in Litlington, Cambs.; at Canterbury one month after Trinity, at the prayer of the parties. Meanwhile the sheriff is ordered to make an extent of all the lands and tenements which belonged to William of Heybridge, father of Maud and Joan, except for the capital message of 'Cuheye' in Cambridgeshire and the capital message of 'Mikelington' in Essex, and to make it known at the said term by his letters.

Cf. no. 571.

445 Lincs. John son of Osbert of Lincoln was summoned to answer the master of the Knights Templar in England; plea of by what right he exercises common of pasture in the Templars' lands in Swinethorpe, whereas the master has no common in John's lands, nor does John do him service whereby he ought to have common in his lands. John comes and calls to warrant Ernald du Boys. Let him have him at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity, by aid of the court.

See no. 410.
446 Chiltern Assize of nuisance to declare whether the abbot of Thame unjustly raised a dike in Wyfold to the nuisance of Thomas Siward’s free holding in Mapledurham. The abbot says that the assize ought not to be held, since he did not raise the dike unjustly but by Thomas’s consent. He proffers a deed to which Thomas’s seal is attached. Thomas cannot deny it, so he is in mercy for a false claim.

447 Chiltern Robert of Chineham and Hawise his wife, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against William of Englefield for their free holding in Shiplake, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting are in mercy, namely John Attewall of Shiplake and Simon Forthmorewe.

448 Northants. A jury comes to declare whether 4 yardlands in Higham are free alms belonging to Master Hubert of Godmanstone’s church of Higham, or a lay fee belonging to Ellis Barun, Ellis son of Ralph, Simon Sweet, Robert le Noble, and Walter Spek, of which Ellis Barun holds 1½ yardlands, Ellis son of Ralph 1 yardland, Simon Sweet 1½ yardland, Robert Noble 1½ yardland, and Walter Spek 1½ yardland.

They come and Ellis Barun says that he does not hold the whole 1½ yardlands, since Ralph Gestegod and Sarah his wife hold 1 a., and for the rest he says that the church was never in seisin of that land nor its service. The others say the same, and thereon they place themselves on the jury. Stephen of Newton, Robert le Sauvage, and William son of Gilbert son of Ives, recognitors, have not come, so they are in mercy.

The jury say that Ellis holds the whole 1½ yardlands. They say that all of them hold the land of the church as its lay fee, and that the church was never in seisin of that land but only of its service. So it is adjudged that they should hold in peace by the service which belongs to that land and which they are accustomed to do; and the parson is in mercy.

449 Northants. Ralph de Carun and Flandrina his wife give 20s. for licence to agree with William de Nowers and Isabel his wife, John de Frayn and Loretta his wife; plea of advowson; by surety of the same William.

450 Beds. Valentine, widow of Nicholas of Laund, claims against William de Eltendon one third of ¼ knight’s fee in Totternhoe as her dower from Nicholas.

William comes and calls to warrant Maud and Alice, daughters of Nicholas of Laund. Let him have them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, by aid of the court. Let them be summoned in Buckinghamshire. Valentine appoints Robert de Meysy her attorney. William appoints Niel son of Thomas his attorney.


451 Chiltern Henry son of Alexander, who brought a writ of mort dancestor against William de Scalebrok about a holding in Haseley, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting are in mercy, namely Matthew of Golder and Simon of Clare.
452 *Thame* Robert son of John, who brought an assize of mort dancestar against Gilbert Wiser and others about a holding in Tythrop, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Robert Sperewe and Richard Clec.

453 *Leics.* Hugh vicar of Ryton and Ralph his brother presented themselves on the 4th day against Master John archdeacon of Leicester, Master Geoffrey de Warr, and Robert de Burketon dean of Framland, on a plea of demanding why they hold pleas in court Christian concerning chattels which do not relate to a will or a marriage, contrary to the prohibition. They have not come. The sheriff is ordered [sic] that they have no lay fees by which they can be distrained, so the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to make them come to Canterbury 40 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

454 *Wootton* Peter son of William of Barton, who brought a writ (of entry) against Adam of Studham about a holding in [Westcott] Barton, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John Cook and Walter Miller.

455 *Oxon.* Assize of mort dancestar to declare whether Philip the glover, father of John, was seised of a messuage in Crowmarsh [Gifford] which Roger Waleman holds. Roger comes and says that he cannot be impleaded over the messuage, as he is the countess of Oxford's villein and holds in villeinage. It is adjudged that Roger is without day, and John is *in mercy.* He is poor. He may proceed against the countess if he wishes.

456 Andrew le Blund gives 20s. for licence to agree with Roger de Quincy earl of Winchester; plea of estovers.
   See no. 277; *Oxon. Fines*, 116.

457 *Notts.* Day given to John of G addesden, claimant, by his attorney, and to Maud Hosee, defendant, by her attorney, about the manor of 'Egrum', day after Ascension day at Lambeth, at the prayer of the parties.
   See no. 515.
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458 *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Reynold Foster unjustly disseised John le Mire of his common of pasture in Lyneham. Reynold has not come, nor was he attached, since he was not found, so let the assize be taken against him by default. The jury say that Reynold disseised John, so it is considered that he should recover his seisin by view of recognitors, and Reynold is *in mercy.* *Damages* 12d.
459 Dorchester Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Nicholas formerly abbot of Eynsham and Gilbert of Gloucester unjustly disseised Roger de Hide of his common of pasture in [South] Stoke and Woodcote.

Nicholas has not come, but Richard Mace, steward of Eynsham, comes and answers for him. He and Gilbert say nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Abbot Nicholas when he was abbot of Eynsham alienated 2 a. land in which Roger has rights of common. A certain William held them, who afterwards exchanged them with the abbot for other lands. Concerning certain other commons about which Roger complains, they say that the abbot divided his lands into 3 parts when they had earlier been divided into 2 parts, and so Roger was disseised of a third part. They say that neither Abbot Nicholas nor Gilbert of Gloucester, who is now abbot, disseised Roger, since Abbot John, their predecessor, divided the land into 3 parts, and if Roger was disseised he was disseised by Abbot John. So it is adjudged that Roger should have his seisin in the 2 a. land, and Abbot Nicholas in mercy. Roger in mercy for a false claim against them for the common which he claims in the lands divided into 3 parts.

Afterwards the abbot came and offered the king 1 mark to have the judgement of 24, and it is received, by sureties William Golder and William Morin, who are likewise sureties for prosecuting. Damages 2s.

See Eynsham Cart. i (Oxf. Hist. Soc. xlix), 206.

460 The same Roger, who brought a writ against the abbot of Eynsham for 3 yardlands in [South] Stoke and Woodcote, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Gilbert de Chalcor and Peter son of Siward.

Cf. Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 2044.

461 Gloucs. Somerset Nicholas son of Roger and Gwenlian his wife claim against John Bretasch one third of the manor of Shenington, one third of the manor of ‘Alurington’ except 1 yardland, and one third of 1 ½ the manor of Backwell in Somerset as her dower from John le Sor, her first husband.

John comes and as bailiff of Earl R[ichard] and by licence and consent of the abbot of Beaulieu, Robert de Asthall, and William of York provost of Beverley, keepers of the earl’s lands in England, surrenders her dower to them. Nicholas son of Roger gives 1 ½ mark for licence to agree, and so he is without day, and they are to have their seisin.

Nicholas acknowledges that he owes the earl 235 marks for custody of the land and heir of John le Sor, of which he will pay 80 marks at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 at St. James’s in Bristol, 80 marks the following Easter [20 April 1242] at the same place, and 75 marks at the following feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] in the same place. If he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

462 Laurence del Brok gives 1 mark for licence to agree with William son of Bartholomew and John Terry; plea of land.
   See Oxon. Fines, 120-1, 123.

463 Beds. The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Saer of Pattishall [Wahull], plea that he keep the fine made between them before the itinerant justices at Newport Pagnel over 2 a. land at Brogborough [in Ridgmont]. Saer has not come, and he was given a day in the bench to this day. So let him be distrained to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

464 Chiltern Assize of mort danceto declare whether Thomas of Englefield, father of Thomas of Englefield, was seised of 5 a. land in Aston [Rowant], 7 a. land in Mongewell, and 21 a. in Shiplake, of which Robert de Coppedemere holds 5 a., Robert de la Greyhorne 7 a., William of Englefield 20 a., and Geoffrey le Meger 1 a. They come, and Robert de la Greyhorne and (Robert de Coppedemere) come and give up the land to him. Let him have his seisin, and Robert in mercy for unjust detention. William comes and calls to warrant Roger de Wimbervill, who is present and says he has neither day nor summons to reply to that warranty, nor can he reply further unless the court has given judgement. Afterwards Roger comes and warrants him, and they are agreed, and Roger gives 1½ mark for licence to agree, and Adam of Spaldington, Thomas’s surety, gives 1 mark by surety of Robert de Coppedemere and Robert of Clare. Geoffrey comes and calls to warrant Robert of Chineham. Let him have him at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity, by aid of the court. Robert is to be summoned in Hampshire.

465 Oxon. William son of John Clement presented himself on the 4th day against John son of William Beneyt, plea of a messuage in Kirtlington which he claims as his right. John has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that the messuage be taken into the king’s hand, and John is to be summoned to be at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas.

466 Chiltern Maud de Gurnay, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Roger de Cundicote about a blocked road in Mapledurham and against Geoffrey of Cholsey about a ditch in the same place, comes and withdraws herself. So she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Aluric of Mapledurham and Henry de la Tyuel and Thomas Siward.
   See no. 249.

467 Chiltern Ralph of Hardwick, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Maud and others over common of pasture in Mapledurham, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Robert de la Greyhorne and Henry Wace <War’>.
468 Chiltern Geoffrey of Cholsey, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Maud about common of pasture in Mapledurham, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William du Boys of Caversham and Ralph his brother. 
   See no. 249.

469 Chiltern The same Geoffrey, who brought a writ of trespass against the same Maud and others, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Ralph de Druval and Robert de Greyhone. 
   See no. 249.

470 Oxon. William Puignant gives 1½ mark for licence to agree with the abbot of Oseney, plea of land and plea of pasture, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton. 

471 Richard son of John of Heythrop gives 1½ mark for licence to agree with Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel, sister of Maud and Helen; plea of assize of mort dancestor. 
   See nos. 293, 586; Oxon. Fines, 115–16.

472 Sarah widow of Stephen of Fritwell appoints Robert of Fritwell her attorney against Richard son of Alan and William his brother, plea of naifty.

473 Northants. Flandrina, wife of Ralph de Carun, appoints Ralph her husband her attorney against William de Nowers and Isabel his wife and their parencers, plea of darrein presentment. Isabel, wife of William de Nodarus, appoints William her husband her attorney against the same, same plea. 
   See no. 449.

474 Yorks. Day given to Richard de Gaham, claimant, and Walter de Hemmington and Joan his wife, to levy chirograph; 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Joan appoints Walter her attorney. 
   See nos. 70, 421.

475 Roger le Franceis and Alice his wife, by their attorney, claim against Matthew de Coudrey one third of 1½ knight’s fee in Alkerton as Alice’s dower from her former husband, Thomas. And Matthew comes and calls to warrant Amaury of St. Amand who is in the Holy Land. Let him have him at the arrival of the justices, by aid of the court. 
   See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 1956.
476 Somerset Day given to Robert of Newton, claimant, and James of Orchard, tenant, about the manor of Orchard; at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

Somerset Pleas (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 128.

477 Robert de Padenhale gives 1½ mark for licence to agree with John of Wytham, plea of land, by surety of Robert of Hendred. The agreement is as follows: Robert recognises the land to be John’s right and in accordance with the purport of his charter he warrants him.

478 Thame Assize of mort d’ancestor to declare whether Asgar the smith, father of Agnes, was seised of 1 yardland in Tetsworth which Robert son of William holds. Robert comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Asgar did not die seised, so it is adjudged that Agnes take nothing by the assize; and she is in mercy for a false plea. She is poor.

479 Isolde <Joan> of Brightwell gives 1½ mark for licence to agree with Henry Belejambe and Margery his wife, plea of land, by surety of the same Henry.

See Oxon. Fines, 122.

480 Ralph Hareng appoints Geoffrey de Bruges his attorney against Nicholas of Haversham, plea of warranty of charter.

See nos. 102, 201.

481 Oxon. Helen, wife of Laurence de Scaccario, appoints her husband Laurence her attorney against the prioress of Littlemore, plea of assize of mort d’ancestor.

See nos. 273, 471, 586.
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482 Oxon. John de Plescy, by his attorney, and Drew de Barentine claim against Alexander the vintner of Wallingford 1½ yardlands in Gangsdown [in Nuffield] as their right, in which Alexander had entry only by William son of Geoffrey who held it in villeinage. On this Adam de Horiz comes and says that he is in seisin of the land, and Alexander ought to warrant it to him. Alexander warranted him according to the purport of his charter.

Alexander comes and admits that he had entry into the land by William, as the writ says, but he denies that William held in villeinage, and says he held freely and was a free man. Thereon he puts himself on the country. And John and Hugh [sic] likewise. And Alexander gives 1½ mark to have a jury, by surety of [blank].

Afterwards an agreement was made, and Alexander gave 1½ mark for licence to agree, by surety of Adam de Horiz. The agreement is as follows: John and Drew grant Alexander and his heirs the land to hold in peace for ever by the service which belongs to it, for 14 marks, of which he is to pay them 7 marks at Wallingford at Whitsun [19 May] 1241 and 7
marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following. For this Alexander found sureties, namely Nicholas de Stallis, Stephen his brother, John Robechild and Adam de Horiz, who make themselves principals of the debt at the above terms if he defaults, and he agrees that they may be distrained for it.

483 William Talemasch claims against the abbot of Thame 12 a. land in Stoke Talmage as his right, into which the abbot had entry only by Helen daughter of Avice, to whom Avice Talemasch, who held in dower by gift of her husband Richard Talemasch William’s grandfather, whose heir he is, granted it. The abbot comes and denies force and injury and the entry, and says that he had entry by his predecessor who died seised, and who had entry by Helen, to whom Peter Talemasch, William’s father, gave the land with other lands by charter, which the abbot proffers and which attests this. Afterwards the parties agreed by licence, and the abbot gave 1½ mark for licence to agree.

See Oxon. Fines, 116; cf. no. 572, below.

484 Warws. Alice widow of Hugh le Franceis claims against Reynold of Stretton one third of 20 a. land in Stretton as her dower. And Reynold comes and says he cannot answer the writ without the prior of the Hospital of Jerusalem in England, for he says that he is the prior’s villein and holds the land in villeinage of him, and Alice cannot deny this. So Reynold is without day, and Alice may proceed against the prior if she wishes. She is poor.

485 Chilterns Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether John Hereward and Emma his wife and William Wither unjustly disseised Ralph son of Richard of his free holding in Benson. John and the others come and say that the assize should not be held, since Benson is of the king’s ancient demesne where the writ of novel disseisin does not run. Ralph cannot deny this, so in mercy.

486 Lincs. Cecily widow of John Brisabon presented herself on the 4th day against William son of Edeline and Maud his wife, plea of a moiety of 1 messuage in Stamford, which she claims as her dower. They have not come. Adjudged that the moiety be taken into the king’s hand. They are summoned to be present at the coming of the justices.

487 Norfolk Cecily widow of Richard the cooper presented herself on the 4th day against the prior of Thetford, plea of a moiety of 6 a. land in Honingham; and against John son of Gervase, plea of a moiety of 1½ a. land in the same vill, both of which she claims in dower. They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the moieties be taken into the king’s hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.
488 Rutland Hugh, parson of the church of Ketton, presented himself on the 4th day against Richard de Lindon, plea that he permit him to have common of fishery which he ought and used to have in the waters of the Welland.

Richard has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas.

489 Norfolk, Suffolk Alice of Creeping Hall presented herself on the 4th day against John de Geddinge, plea that he pay her 19 marks which he owes and unjustly withholds.

John has not come. He has made many defaults, so that the sheriff was ordered not to omit, because of the liberty of [the abbey of Bury] St. Edmund, to distrain him by his lands, and to have his body before the justices. The sheriff sends word that John is staying in London, so he is ordered not to omit, because of the liberty of St. Edmund, to take into the king’s hands all John's lands in his bailiwick, both in Norfolk and in Suffolk, and to have his person at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, if he has been found.

490 Beds. Nicholas son of Robert, essoiner of the prior of Caldwell, presented himself on the 4th day against William de Beauchamp of Eton, plea that he warrant him 1 yardland in Sandy and the advowson of the church there, which he holds and claims to hold from him, whereof he had and has his charter.

William has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that he be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.


491 Wallingford Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Reynold of Whitchurch unjustly disseised Roger of Harpsden of his land in Harpsden abutting Roger’s lands, about 30 ft. in one place, about 20 ft. in another place, about 15 ft. in a third place, and about 4 ft. in a fourth place.

Reynold has not come, but Peter de Barewe his bailiff comes and answers for him and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Reynold disseised Roger as the writ says. So it is adjudged that Roger recover his seisin by view, and Reynold in mercy.

Damages 1d.

492 Wallingford Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Roger of Harpsden unjustly disseised Reynold of Whitchurch of his meadow in Harpsden abutting Roger’s land: 12 ft. in one place by his arable land and 6 ft. in another place.

Roger comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Roger disseised Reynold as the writ says. So it is adjudged that Reynold recover his seisin by view, and Roger in mercy.

Damages 6d.

493 Wootton Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert del Brok unjustly disseised Erneis son of Rannulf and Maud his wife of 1½ yardland and 1 messuage in Little Tew.
Robert comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Roger Runcy, John of Barton, and Stephen Runcy, recognitors, have not come, so in mercy. The jurors say that Erneis is a villein and has no free holding. So it is adjudged that he gain nothing by the assize, and he is in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

494 Banbury Erneis son of Richard claims against Richard son of John 2 parts of 1 messuage in Banbury as his right and into which Richard had no entry except by John Beau to whom Alice widow of Hereward Buche, who held them in dower of the gift of Hereward, whose heir Erneis is, demised them.

Richard comes and says he cannot reply since he is under age and his father died seised; he claims his age. So they go without day.


496 Bigota widow of Robert Norman appoints Stephen de Stallis her attorney against William Cross, plea [illegible]

497 Edith Pavi appoints Nicholas de Stallis her attorney against the same, same plea.

m. 11]

498 Suffolk Olive widow of Robert de Frayn claims against Thomas Crawe a moiety of 4 a. land in Framsden; and against Simon Siward and William Humphrey a moiety of 26 a. land in the same vill; and against the same William a moiety of 7 a. land in the same vill; and against John of Bocking a moiety of 25 a. land in Helmingham; and against the same John one third of 4 a. in the same vill; and against Gilbert Altave one third of 12 a. in Rushmere (and 4s. 11d. rent); and against the same Gilbert a moiety of 2 a. in the same vill; and against John de Beaumeis one third of 10½ a. in the same vill; and against Walter de Leiston one third of 4 a. land in the same vill, as her dower from Robert her former husband.

And they all come, and all, except John of Bocking, call to warrant (William son and heir of Robert, who is under age and in wardship to Hamo Pecche), Robert Aguillium, John of Tuddenham and Brian of Ringshall, keepers of Robert’s land. John of Bocking, for the 4 a. of which she claims a third part, calls to warrant Robert Aguillium as one of the keepers of the said land, since Robert’s heir is in the wardship of Hamon Pecche and holds nothing of the land which was Robert’s. For the 6 a. John calls to warrant Richard of Benhall, and for the 19 a. Philip de Wumeston. Let him have them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by aid of the court. John appoints Reynold his brother (or Roger of Thorp) his attorney.
499 *Bampton* Stephen of Fritwell claims against the abbot of Thame 4 a. land in Brize Norton as his right, into which the abbot had no entry except by his predecessor Abbot Laurence to whom Stephen of Fritwell, Stephen’s father whose heir he is, granted them for a term which has expired. The abbot comes and denies force and injury and admits Laurence’s entry, but says Laurence had entry by Stephen of Fritwell’s father who enfeoffed him by his charter which he proffers and which attests this. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Stephen gave 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of the abbot.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 122.

500 *Chilterns* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Thomas of Greasley unjustly disseised Ralph Chenduit of 1 perch of land in Cuxham. Thomas comes and says that he is in seisin of the land, and places himself on the assize. Ellis of Wheatfield and Adam of Watlington and Richard son of William, jurors, have not come, so *in mercy*. The jurors say that Ralph is in seisin of the land, therefore it is adjudged that Thomas go without day, and Ralph is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 112; cf. nos. 261, 530.

501 *Wallingford* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Gilbert Wigod unjustly disseised Ralph son of Roger of 12 a. land in Aston [Rowant]. Gilbert comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Gilbert disseised him as the writ says, so it is adjudged that Ralph recover his seisin and Gilbert *in mercy*. *Damages* 36s. 8d.

502 *Bucks*. Nicholas of Weedon gives 1 *mark* for licence to agree with Geoffrey of Cholsey, plea of custom and service, by surety of the same Geoffrey.

503 *Bucks*. Ralph of Buckland gives 1 *mark* for licence to agree with Philip de Beauchamp and Joan his wife, plea of land, by surety of Laurence del Brok.

504 Savary of Walcot gives 1 *mark* for licence to agree with Alice widow of Reynold son of Isaac about one third of 1½ yardlands which she claims in dower, by surety of Robert Warun of Shipton and Geoffrey son of Isaac. Savary makes an exchange with Robert Warun, whom he called to warrant, for land of the same value, and Robert will give back to him the third part which Alice claims.

505 *Oxon*. Stephen Longespee recognises that he owes Richard Basset 20 marks, of which he will pay him, at London, half at the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August] 1241, and half at the following Michaelmas [29 September]. If he does not, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.
506 Beatrice Murdac, Ralph Hareng and Alice his wife, who brought a writ against William de Dive over one third of 2 knights’ fees in Deddington, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John of Haddon and Ralph Travers.
See nos. 367, 428; Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, nos. 228, 939–40, 2041.

507 Bucks. The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Lucy widow of Ralph Passelewe, plea that she surrender to him John son and heir of Ralph Passelewe, whose custody belongs to him since Ralph held his lands of him by knight service. Lucy has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that she be attached to be at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension Day.
See no. 228.

508 Chadlington Assize of mort dancetor to declare whether Walter the Falconer, brother of Margery daughter of William, was seised of 22 a. land in Enstone which Geoffrey le Kalunce holds. Geoffrey comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Walter did not die seised, so it is adjudged that Margery take nothing by that assize, and she is in mercy for a false plea. She is poor.

509 Assize of mort dancetor to declare whether Thomas of Englefield, father of Thomas who is under age, was seised of 28d. rent in Mongewell which William Hill holds, and of 2s. rent in Newnham [Murren] which William atte Wiston holds. They come and say nothing to prevent him from having his seisin. And they are in mercy for wrongful detention; sureties William Hill, William de Wike, and Robert Aleyn.

510 Northampton Thomas le Waleis gives 1½ mark for licence to agree with Alice daughter of Simon le Waleis, by surety of Hugh de Tivill; plea of land.

511 Bullingdon John son of Eustace who brought an assize of mort dancetor against Alice of Wheatley about his free holding in Wheatley, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William Gernun and Robert Gernun.

512 Ralph de Saucey, Giles of Berkeley, and John le Mire give 10s. for licence to agree with Hawise de Gray, plea of by what right.

513 Berks. Isabel de Mortimer appoints Nicholas le Ostricer her attorney against Robert de Shortcumbe, plea of waste.
See no. 22.

514 Philippa, wife of Richard Siward, appoints John the Fleming or William le Sauser her attorney against Nicholas of Headington and others in a writ of novel disseisin.

515 Notts. Maud Hosee appoints Alured Colekin her attorney against John of Gaddesden, plea of warranty of charter.
Roger de Rumilly gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Richard de Amundevill and Clemence his wife, plea of land whence the grand assize, by surety of Laurence del Brok. See Oxon. Fines, 123.

m. 11d.]

Norfolk William Mauduit and Joan his wife, by Joan’s attorney, claim against Robert du Boys 2 ploughlands and 37s. rent in Fersfield, except for 58 a. wood in Kenninghall, as Joan’s right. Robert comes and says that he ought not to answer this writ because the countess of Warenne [Maud Warenne, countess of Surrey] holds about 3 a. wood and pasture in Lopham which belong to the 2 ploughlands. William and Joan cannot deny this, so they are in mercy for a false claim.

The same Robert against the same; in mercy for wrongful detention, as appears in the roll of the itinerant justices in Cambridgeshire.

Bampton Henry de la Wade claims against William Amyot 2½ yardlands in Brize Norton as his right by writ precipe, of which Henry de la Wade his father was seised in the time of King John, taking profits to the value of 1½ mark. From Henry the right in that land descended to the Henry who now claims as son and heir. That such is his right, he offers to prove. William comes and denies his right and seisin and everything, and places himself on the king’s grand assize and claims a recognition whether he has the greater right to hold that land of Henry, or Henry to hold in demesne. Bardulf of Chastleton, Henry Pipard, Ralph de Saucey and John le Mire, 4 knights chosen to choose 12 come and choose [blank] Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Henry gave 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of William. See Oxon. Fines, 118–19.

Assize of nuisance to declare whether Roger Gernun, Ralph Talemasch, Hugh le Long, William Buche, and Richard Kene unjustly knocked down a dike in Stoke Talmage to the nuisance of Robert son of Ralph’s free holding in the same vill. They all come and say nothing to stay the assize. Guy son of Robert, one of the jurors, has not come, so he is in mercy. The jurors say that Roger and the others knocked down the dike as the writ says. Therefore it is adjudged that the dike be re-erected as it used to be. Roger and the others are in mercy for trespass. Sureties for Roger’s amercement, William Bucke, Hugh le Long; sureties for Richard, Roger Gernun, Hugh Long; sureties for Ralph, Richard Kene, William Talemasch; sureties for Hugh, William Canon and William Talemasch. Damages 1½ mark. Robert Race is in mercy for holding an inquisition in the hundred court about the dike.
521 Hunts. Thomas d’Oilly and Sarah his wife claim against Richard of Ripton one third of 2½ yardlands in [Abbot’s] Ripton and Wennington as Sarah’s dower from William of Ripton, her first husband. Richard comes and claims a view and has it. Day given them at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas. Meanwhile the sheriff is to arrange the view. Sarah appoints Thomas her husband her attorney.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 1964.

522 Banbury Assize of mort dancelor to declare whether Robert Hautein [or Althein] brother of Richard was seised of 1 yardland in Banbury which William Tew holds. He comes and calls to warrant Robert son of Robert Althein, who comes and warrants him, and says nothing to stay the assize.
The jurors say that Robert did not die seised, since he held for the term of his life. So it is adjudged that Richard take nothing by the assize, and his is in mercy for a false plea.

Cf. no. 385.

523 Bucks. Rannulf le Franceis presented himself on the 4th day against Simon de Norwich, plea of why he made waste and destruction of lands and woods of Rannulf’s inheritance in Lavendon which he held in wardship, disinheriting Rannulf contrary to the prohibition. Simon has not come, and he was attached by John of St. Medard and John de Bidon. Let him be placed under better sureties to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas.

524 Robert of Chilham and Clemence his wife were attached to answer Geoffrey Marmion, plea of why they made waste, sale, and destruction of lands, houses, woods, and gardens in Checkendon which they hold as Clemence’s dower. Geoffrey claims that after the king’s prohibition they made waste and sale and destruction, whence he has suffered damages to the value of 20 marks.

Robert and Clemence come by Clemence’s attorney and deny force and injury and place themselves on a jury to inquire into the waste. Geoffrey likewise. So let there be an inquisition.

Afterwards an agreement is reached, and Geoffrey gives 20s. and Robert gives 20s. for licence to agree, by surety of Geoffrey. The agreement is as follows: Robert and Clemence grant to Geoffrey all the land which they hold as Clemence’s dower for 5 marks a year for Clemence’s life.

Cf. Bk. of Fees, 829.

525 Olive widow of Robert de Frayn presented herself on the 4th day against Robert son of Philip, plea of one third of 3 a. land in ‘Wyneston’; and against John of Tuddenham, plea of one third of 5 a. land in Little Bricett; and against the same John, plea of one third of 1½ a. meadow in the same vill; and against William Talemasch, plea of one third of 2½ a. wood and 1 a. land in the same vill; and against William Dent, plea of a moiety of 3 roods land in Caldewell; and against Austin of Westerfield, plea of one third of 2s. rent in Westerfield; and against John de Watheston, plea of a moiety of 40d. rent in Boyton; and against
Robert of Darnford, plea of a moiety of 10 a. brush in Darnford. Which third parts and moieties she claims in dower against them. They have not come, and summonses were issued. Adjudged that the third parts and moieties be taken into the king’s hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

See Curia Regis Rolls, xvi, no. 1958.

526 Oxon. Alice widow of John le Breton claims against Richard de la Mare one third of 14 a. land and a messuage in Kingston [Blount] as her dower from John her husband. Richard comes and calls to warrant Walter son of John. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. To be summoned in Berkshire.

527 Herts. Maud widow of Ralph son of Richard presented herself on the 4th day against William Bonserjant, plea of one third of 3 a. land and 3s. rent in Berkhamstead as her dower. William has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the third be taken into the king’s hand. William is summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

528 Bucks. Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast, by her attorney, claims against Hugh de Bray and Beatrice his wife one third of 100s. rent in Chesham as her dower from Richard. Hugh and Beatrice come and call to warrant John de la Mare, who comes by summons and warrants them. He calls to warrant Richard son of Richard de Sifrewast. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. He is to be summoned in Berkshire.

529 Wootton Walter the miller and Osanna his wife claim against William son of Gilbert the miller one third of a mill and ½ yardland (except ½ a.) in [Steeple] Barton; and against the master of St. John’s hospital, Oxford, one third of ½ a. in the same vill, as Osanna’s dower from Gilbert her first husband. William and the master come, and the master calls William to warrant, who says that he is under age and when he comes of age will show good cause why he ought not to warrant him. So it is adjudged that they have the issues of William’s land to the value of one third of ½ a. until William comes of age, and then if he wishes let him proceed against the master of the hospital. As for the third part which they claim against William, he returns it to them by licence. Let them have their seisin. Be it known that the master handed over to William, before the justices, his father’s charter which he had about William’s mill.

530 Ralph Chenduit and Thomas Greasley acknowledge that they have made an agreement about common of pasture which Ralph claims in the meadows and fields of Pyrton, as the chirograph made between them fully testifies.

531 Yorks. Master John [Romanus], subdean of York, appoints William the chaplain or Henry Denon or John of Hessle his attorney against the abbot of Byland, plea of warranty of charter.

m. 12]

532 Bampton Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Gerard de Oddingeseseles, Robert of Mapledurham, James Potekin, and Roger the reeve unjustly disseised Henry de Pinkeney <Plukeny> of his free holding in Broadwell. Gerard has not come, but Robert of Mapledurham his bailiff comes and acknowledges the disseisin. For this Henry forgives him the damages. They are all in mercy. Roger has not come, and he was attached by Walter the miller of Broadwell and Adam de Suthill. So they are in mercy.

See nos. 186, 289.

533 Ploughley Assize of mort dancstor to declare whether Pagan of Heyford, father of Adam, was seised of 2 yardlands in Heyford which Thomas the tailor holds. Thomas comes and calls to warrant Margery de Rivers, countess of the Isle of Wight. Let him have her at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension day, by aid of the court. The same day is given to all the recognizers who came.

534 Ploughley Robert of Bainton was summoned to answer Robert Purcel, plea that he do him the customs and rightful services for his free holding which he holds of him in Bainton. Robert Purcel says that Robert holds of him ½ hide and ½ yardland in Bainton by service of 7s. a year, and ought to perform 2 harvest services with food provided by him, Robert Purcel, and come with his wife to his house within the Christmas season with 4 loaves of bread, 6 hens, and 6 gallons of ale, and eat with Robert Purcel there. That Robert of Bainton was seised in the time of the present king by that service, and that he owes him that service for the land, he offers to prove.

Robert of Bainton comes and denies force and injury and admits that he holds the land of Robert Purcel, but says that he holds by service of 6s. a year for all services and 12d. hidage. He puts himself on the king's grand assize, and claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold his land of Robert Purcel by service of 6s. a year for all service and 12d. hidage, as he admits, or to hold the land by service of 7s., 2 reaping services with food, and bringing 4 loaves, 6 hens, and 6 gallons of ale to Robert Purcel's house at Christmas, as Robert Purcel says. Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph de Saucey, Gilbert de Hide, and John le Mire, 4 knights chosen to choose 12, come and choose [blank]. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Robert of Bainton gives 20s. for licence to agree, by surety of Roger of Cottsford and Robert de Buckill.

See no. 632; Oxon. Fines, 115.

535 Ralph Chenduit gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Agnes de Verdun, plea of custom and service.
536 *Dorchester* Alice widow of Simon of Drayton, who brought a writ of entry against the abbot of Dorchester, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert le Simple and Walter of Clifton. Afterwards the abbot comes and by licence gives her ¼ a. land which she claims against him. Let her have her seisin.

537 Geoffrey Cross gives ½ *mark* for licence to agree with Nicholas of Widford, plea of 12 a. land in Swinbrook. The agreement is as follows: Nicholas is to keep the whole for 22s. which he pays Geoffrey.

538 *Dorchester* [sic] The same 4 knights [as in no. 534] chosen to choose 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between Peter son of Adam, plaintiff, and William le Buler, tenant, of a messuage in St. Clement’s outside Oxford [in Bullingdon hundred], over which William put himself on the king’s grand assize and claimed a recognition which of them had the greater right in the land, come and choose these [blank]. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and William gives ½ *mark* for licence to agree, by surety of Peter.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 111–12.

539 *Chadlington* Alma de Rumilly, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Richard de Amundeville and Clemence his wife and Robert the carter about a dike knocked down in Pudlicote, has not prosecuted. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John Lunel <Linel> and Geoffrey Cross.

540 Stephen son of Stephen of Fritwell claims against Laurence del Brok 2½ hides less 1 yardland in Fritwell; and against Roger Foliot a mill in the same vill; and against Matthew le Bedel 2½ a. land in the same vill, as his right. Afterwards Stephen comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ. Let him have it.

See no. 324.

541 *Oxon*. Maud and Agnes of Witney, sisters, appoint Thomas le Merchant, Maud’s husband, their attorney against John of Tew, plea of mort dancestor.

See no. 570.

542 *Wallingford* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Stephen Cross, father of Roger, was seised of 4 a. land in Newnham [Murren] which Richard Slav holds. Richard comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is as follows: Roger releases the whole to Richard, and Richard gives Roger 33s.

543 *Chilterns* John Brokepeny gives 20s. for licence to agree with Matthew of Golder, plea of custom, by surety of Matthew. The agreement is as follows: Matthew agrees that John may hold 7 yardlands of him by the service which belongs to that land, which is set out in his deed.
544 **Bucks.** Henry de Scaccario was summoned to answer John Mansel, plea that he acquit him of the service which Geoffrey de Mandeville demands from him for his free holding which he holds of Henry in Missenden. John complains that whereas he ought to hold the holding for 4s. a year for all services, Geoffrey de Mandeville distrains him for homage, relief, and suit of court, whereby he has suffered damage to the value of 20s.

Henry comes and readily acknowledges that he ought to acquit him, but says that he holds the holding of William son of William de Wydemere, and that William ought to warrant the holding to him, and he calls him to warrant. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. The same day is given to John Maunsel in the bench. Henry appoints Walter de Coklegh his attorney.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2111.

545 **Wallingford** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether William of Leckford and Maud his wife disseised Everard de Garland of ½ yardland in Aston [Rowant].

William and Maud have not come, nor were they attached, so let the assize be taken against them by default. The jurors say that William and Maud did not disseise him as the writ says, so it is adjudged that Everard take nothing by that assize, and he is in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

546 Ralph de Normanvill appoints William of Grendon his attorney against Alice widow of Ralph de Normanvill, plea of dower.

See no. 688.

547 **Wils.** Beatrice de Nipred appoints Nicholas Bacon or Peter Gray her attorney against William Quintin and Edith his wife, plea of dower. Agnes, Beatrice’s daughter, appoints the same attorneys against the same, plea of land.

548 **Northants.** Alan of Maidwell acknowledges that he owes Rose de la Rokella 40 marks of the fine between them for custody of the land of Henry of Hinton. Be it known that Rose surrendered to Alan all the lands which she had in wardship of Henry’s fee, and whatever she had of that fee, saving the crop on the land this year, and also all works due for hoeing and reaping and carrying. Alan will pay her half the money at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 and the other half the following Easter [20 April 1242]. If he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

m. 12d.]

549 **Bedford** Ralph Morin was summoned to answer the master of the Knights Templar in England on a plea that he keep the covenant made between them about 34½ a. 1 rood land and 3s. rent in Harrold, and on a plea that he allow him common of pasture in 80 a. land in Harrold. The master, by his attorney, claims that, in accordance with the covenant, he returned to Ralph whatever he had or could have by the gift of Ralph
Carun and Flandrina his wife in Harrold mill with its lands, pools, fisheries, suits and other appurtenances, without retaining anything. Ralph, by the same covenant, gave the Templars, by the extent of honest men, land worth 26s. a year in his new assart; and, in accordance with the covenant, he ought to grant them right of common in the 80 a. without delay, as Godhild, Ralph's aunt, was seised of that common after the division made between Godhild and Albreda her sister on their mother's death. Ralph ought to pay the Templars 3s. a year for the land which was Ralph the reeve's. And he ought to make them his charter, and the Templars should make him their charter. Nevertheless Ralph keeps back from them 1 perch of land worth 26s. a year, and the rent; he has not made them his charter, whence they have suffered damages to the value of 10 marks.

Ralph comes and denies force and injury and freely admits the agreement and its contents, and says that he will perform it freely. He says that since the new assart is not enough land to be fully worth 26s. but lacks 1 rood, he assigned the Templars that rood elsewhere in his land, of which rood they are seised. Of the common he says that he is ready to have it extended by inquisition, according to the agreement, and to make them his charter. Of the 3s. he says that no term for payment has yet passed since the agreement was made.

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Ralph gives 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of the master.


550 Wallingford Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Henry Pipard, father of Henry Pipard, was seised of [20 a. crossed out] 1 yardland [and 9s. 4d. rent—crossed out] in Latchford, of which Hugh of Brockhampton and Alice his wife hold two thirds and Roger of Benson and Maud his wife one third.

They come and say that they cannot answer without Ralph son of Ralph and Alice his wife, of whom they hold the land for a term. And Henry seeks licence to withdraw from his writ; let him have it.

551 Wootton Cecily widow of John of the mill, by her attorney, claims against the prioress of Studley 1 yardland in Wootton as her right and marriage portion.

The prioress comes by her attorney and says that Cecily is in seisin of that land, and Cecily admits it. So the prioress goes without day, and Cecily in mercy, sureties Adam of Barton and Gilbert Foster.

See no. 346.

552 Stephen of Fritwell and John le Brun presented themselves on the 4th day against Martin le Ireis and Joan his wife, plea of ⅓ hide in Foresthill which they claim as their right. They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. _Vacat._

Entry crossed out.
553  **Wootton** Philip son of Rayner of Deddington, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Ralph Hareng and others about a holding in Deddington, has not prosecuted; and he declared on oath because he is poor.

554  **Herts.** Day given to Walter de Billeworth, claimant, and to Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford, whom Reynold Foster called to warrant; plea of wardship; 15 days after Michaelmas, at the prayer of the parties. *Westminster.*

555  **Wootton** Eve widow of Roger le Clerk claims against Ralph of Tew ¼ yardland in Steeple Aston as her dower. Ralph comes and says that Eve ought not to have dower there because she was never legally married to Roger. So the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to call the parties before him and inquire into the truth of the matter, and to make known the result by his letters patent.

See no. 627.

556  **Bucks.** The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Robert le Canceis, plea that he keep the fine made between them at Newport Pagnel about common of pasture in Little Brickhill. Robert has not come, and he had a day in the bench to this day. So the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands into the king’s hands, and to have his body at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension day.

557  **Ploughley** Peter of Donnington was summoned to answer Richard de la Green, plea that he warrant him 1 messuage, 6 a. land, ¾ a. meadow in Kirtlington which he holds and claims to hold of him, and whereof he has a charter of Henry of Donnington, father of Richard [sic] whose heir he is; and whence Peter impleaded in the county court and Richard called Peter to warrant by charter of Henry of Donnington, which he shows and which testifies that Henry gave William his father the messuage and land.

Peter comes and says that he ought not to warrant him the land and messuage, since he says that he holds nothing of the inheritance of his father, who gave the land and messuage to William. Afterwards an agreement was made, and Peter gives ½ mark for licence to agree, by surety of Richard de la Green. The agreement is as follows: Richard recognises the land to be the right of Peter and Peter agrees that Richard and his heirs shall hold it of him and his heirs, by the service contained in the charter.

558  **Chadlington** Ralph son of William and Margery his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Alice of Cleveley, plea of 2 parts of ½ yardland in Cleveley. Alice and Ralph have not come, and they were claimants. So it is adjudged that they go without day, and Alice and Ralph *in mercy.*
559 Wootton Agnes widow of Roger de Verdun, by her attorney, claims against Thomas le Franklin ½ yardland in Hempton as her dower. Thomas comes and by licence returns it to her. Let her have her seisin.

560 Wootton Geoffre of Barton was summoned to answer John Brebanzon and Cecily his wife, plea that he keep the covenant made between them, about a messuage in Barton. Geoffrey comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is as follows: Geoffrey recognises that the messuage is Cecily’s right, to have to her and her heirs according to the purport of her charter.

561 The prior of Laund gives 5 marks for licence to agree with Ralph de Carvill and Hawise his wife; plea of land, by surety of Ralph. The agreement is as follows: Ralph and Hawise recognise that 12 yardlands, 66 a. land and 36 a. meadow in Claydon are the right of the prior and his church, to have and to hold to the prior and his successors of them and their heirs, doing to the chief lords the service which belongs the the land. The prior will give them 100s. rent for their lives. The prior appoints Richard de Brauton, his canon, his attorney; and Ralph and Hawise appoint Robert de Meiby their attorney.

See Oxon. Fines, 117.

m. 13]

562 Bucks. Henry de Nafford gives 2 marks to have a new extent of Ethewin’s lands, by surety of Roger de Wimbervill.

563 Bucks. Day given to the same Henry and Maud his wife, claimants, and Hugh de Tivill, at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. Meanwhile let there be an extent of the lands which belonged to Ethewin in Gravenhurst, Aspley, Kingsey, ‘Sperteshale’ [?Sparsholt], and Pegsdon. The sheriff is ordered to make come before him and Thomas Mansel and Peter Loreng 12 men by whom he should inquire the valuation and measurement by perches of all the lands which belonged to Ethewin in the said vills, and enquire how many acres of land Henry and Maud hold and how many acres Hugh holds. He is to make known the extent to the justices by his letters, and by 2 men.


564 Chadlington Richard son of William claims against John son of Robert 24 a. land in [Chipping] Norton, into which he had no entry except by Robert Muyweder to whom William Lightly, Richard’s father, demised it for a term which has expired.

John comes and defends his right, and says that Richard can claim no right in the land since he had an elder brother Henry, who was in seisin after the death of their father William Lightfoot, and who granted the land to him and quitclaimed it by his charter which he proffers as evidence. John says too that William, Richard’s father, never granted the land to his own father Robert for a term of years, since William was never in seisin. Thereon he puts himself on the country, and Richard likewise.
The jurors say that William, father of Richard, never granted the land to Robert, since he was never in seisin. So it is adjudged that John hold in peace, and Richard in mercy. He is poor.

565 Herts. The abbot of St. Albans was ordered to have record made in his full court of St. Albans of the plea of trespass there between William Bellory and William of Childwick in which William of Childwick claims a false judgement was given him, and to have that record before the itinerant justices at Oxford on this day by 4 free and law-worthy men of the court. On this come Thomas de Wande, Robert de Tebrigge, Peter de Bretewell, and Geoffrey Hill, for the abbot’s court, and say that there was no plea in the abbot’s court, but there was a plea in the hundred of Cashio between Geoffrey Bellory and William about a breach of the abbot’s peace. Day given them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

566 Banbury Gilbert of Epwell and Maud his wife claim against Osbert son of Richard and Emma his wife 3 parts of a messuage in Banbury as Maud’s dower from Robert Verite, her former husband. Osbert and Emma come and call to warrant Thomas son of Robert Verite, who comes and warrants them and says she ought not to have it in dower, since neither on the day he married her nor every afterwards was Robert in seisin of that land so that he could dower her with it. On this he places himself on the jury, and she likewise. Afterwards an agreement was reached by licence. The agreement is as follows: that Osbert and Emma should surrender all their right to Gilbert and Maud for 5s.

567 Chadlington Geoffrey le Franceis, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Giles of Berkley about a holding in Over Norton, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Martin le Palmer and Robert Bokis. Afterwards Geoffrey came and the assize was taken, as appears elsewhere.

See no. 592.

568 Cambs. Richard de Amundevill presented himself on the 4th day against Nicholas of Croydon on a plea that he do him the customs and rightful services which he ought to do him for the free holding which he holds of him in Croydon. Nicholas has not come, but has made many defaults, so the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands and chattels into the king’s hand, and to have him at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas.

569 Oxon. Peter de Barewe presented himself on the 4th day against Nicholas son of Robert and Gunnild his wife, on a plea that they warrant him ½ yardland in Bolney which he holds and claims to hold of them, and whence he has their charter. They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that they be attached in Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire to the coming of the justices.
570 **Bampton** Assize of mort dancelor to declare whether William son of Robert, uncle of Maud wife of Thomas le Merchant and Agnes her sister, was seised of 15s. rent in Astrop which John of Tew took from them. John comes and says that he does not hold that rent, since he says that Paulinus of Northmoor, of whom he holds the land, holds the rent. They cannot deny this, so John thence without day. Let them proceed against Paulinus.  
See no. 541.

571 **Herts.** Maud of Heybridge, Roger of Huntingfield and Joan his wife, by their attorney, claim against Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford the manor of Nuthampstead as the right of Maud and Joan, into which the earl had entry only by the usurpation which he made of that manor after the death of Beatrice de Sumere who hold of Maud, Roger, and Joan. It should have reverted to them after Beatrice's death in accordance with the grant which Geoffrey de Mandeville earl of Essex, the predecessor of the earl of Hereford, made to William of Heybridge, father of Maud and Joan. The earl comes and seeks a view. Let him have it. A day is given at Westminster 7 days after Michaelmas.  
Cf. no. 444.

572 **Chilterns** William Talemasch claims against Hugh le Long ½ a. land in Stoke Talmage as his right, in which Hugh had no entry except by Helen daughter of Avice Talemasch, who held in dower by gift of her husband Richard Talemasch, William's grandfather. Hugh comes and calls Helen to warrant. She warrants him, and an agreement is made, that William give up the whole to Hugh for 5s., which he gave him. So thence without day.  
Cf. no. 483.

573 Fray Punchard gives 1 *mark* for licence to agree with Ralph de Saucey, plea of pasture, and with Henry de Mare, plea of land, by surety of Richard of Brackley and John Punchard.  

574 Laurence del Brok gives 20s. for licence to agree with Stephen Bodin and Alice his wife, plea of land, by surety of the same Stephen.  
See *Oxon. Fines*, 119.

575 The prior of St. Frideswide's appoints his canon William of Staines or Ralph of Mildenhall his attorney against Sampson Foliot, plea of service; and against Ralph of the brewhouse, Jordan [Rufus] and Christine his wife, Simon Balehorn, and Vincent the tailor, plea of warranty.  
576 Stephen of Fritwell claims against Stephen Bodin and Alice his wife 1 hide in Caulcott and Heyford as his right. Stephen and Alice come and say they cannot answer him because they do not hold the whole hide since Maud le Bedel holds 1 cottage and 1 a. and Richard of Hendred holds ½ a. Stephen cannot deny this, so he is in mercy. They go without day.

577 Berks. The prior of St. Frideswide's recognises that he gave Henry of Bath the homage and service of Walter de Becote for 1 yardland in Everington, and he turns Walter over to Henry for the homage and service which belongs to the 1 a. Henry received his homage and made him a charter of warranty.

578 Sussex Muriel de Bohun, widow of Ralph of the Heath, comes before the justices and recognises that she gave Roger of Leybourne at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 [sic, 25 Henry III] the manor of Charlton by Seaford, one third of which she recovered against Roger as her dower and two thirds of which she recovered against the abbot of 'Valledi' and Wysthard [?-hole in MS]. To have and to hold to him and his heirs and assigns of Muriel as long as she lives, paying each year to Muriel or her attorney at Merton 10 marks of silver at 2 terms: 5 marks within the octave of Michaelmas and 5 marks within the octave of Easter. The first payment is to be made at Michaelmas 1241, as is more fully set out in the fine made between them.

m. 13d.]

579 Chadlington Robert of Swinbrook claims against Erneburga of Swinbrook 1 messuage in Swinbrook which he granted for a term which has expired. Erneburga came at another time and called to warrant Belesent, William the chaplain and Emma his wife, Felicity and Gunnora, her own daughters. So they were summoned, and only 3 have come. William and Emma have not come, and the others say that they cannot warrant her without them. So a day is given them at the coming of the justices. Cf. no. 390.

580 The same Erneburga presented herself on the 4th day against William the chaplain and Emma his wife on a plea that they, with Emma's sisters, warrant her the said messuage. They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that William and Emma's lands to the value of one quarter of the messuage be taken into the king's hand, and that they be distrained. They are summoned to be before the justices at the said term.

581 Woolton Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Ralph son of Humphrey, father of Mabel of Barton, was seised of 5s. rent in [Duns] Tew of which Richard de Shethendon deforced her. Richard has not come, and a summons was issued, so let the assize be taken against him by default. The jurors say that Ralph died seised of the 5s. rent and that Mabel is his nearest heir, but he died before the term
specified in the writ. So it is adjudged that she take nothing by the assize, and is in mercy for a false claim. She is poor.

582 Richard of Stonor gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Robert Brand and Emma his wife, plea of land, by surety of Robert.

See no. 440; Oxon. Fines, 112.

583 Chadlington Mabel del Brok claims against Ralph Alewy 1 yardland in Lidstone as her right, into which Ralph had no entry except by Alice of Asthall to whom Robert del Brok, Mabel’s husband whom in his lifetime she could not deny, granted it. Ralph comes and calls to warrant Alice of Asthall, who comes and warrants him, and denies any such entry, since she says that she had entry into that land by Mabel’s charter, which she shows and which attests this. Mabel says that the charter ought not to harm her, since if she made it, she did so when she was married and in the power of her husband. Afterwards they were agreed by licence.

584 Peter Punchard gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Fray Punchard, plea of land, by surety of Fray.

See Oxon. Fines, 118.

585 Beds. A day is given to the master of the Knights Templar in England, by his attorney, claimant, and Ralph Marin, plea of levying chirograph, at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. The sheriff is ordered to have before him and before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, 12 men of the view of Harrold, and by their oaths to make careful inquiry whether Guntonda de Breuse had common of pasture in 24 a. land in Harrold after the partition made between her and Albreda her sister after the death of their mother Aline, as the master says, or not. He is to make known the result of the inquisition at the said term by his letters and 2 men.


586 John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell claim against the prioress of Littlemore ¼ hide in Foresthill; and against Martin le Ireis and Joan his wife ½ hide in the same vill as their right.

The prioress has come and Martin and Joan have not come, but the prioress says that she holds the whole and answers for the whole. She calls to warrant Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel sister of Maud and Helen, who is under age and in wardship to the prioress. Let her have them at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas by aid of the court. The prioress is told to have Isabel her ward there.

Afterwards Isabel came and it was calculated that she was under age. So let them await her coming of age.
587 **Somerset** Jordan of Harpford presented himself on the 4th day against Margery widow of William de Bodevill, on a plea of why she made waste, sale, and destruction of the lands, houses, gardens, and woods of Jordan's inheritance in Langford which she holds in dower, to the disinheritance of Jordan, contrary to the prohibition. Margery has not come. She was attached by Rannulf de Fliny and John Cape, so let her be placed under better sureties to be at the coming of the justices.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 128.

588 **Oxon.** Isabel wife of Geoffrey of Thrupp appoints her husband Geoffrey her attorney against Giles of Berkeley, plea of custom and service.

See no. 111; *Oxon. Fines*, 112.

589 The abbot of Oseney gives ½ *mark* for licence to agree with Peter Buckerel and Maud his wife, plea of land, by surety [blank].

See *Oxon. Fines*, 118; cf. nos. 77, 78, 344, above.

590 **Bampton** A jury comes to declare whether a messuage and 2 a. land in Clanfield are free alms belonging to the church of the dean and chapter of St. Peter's Exeter at Bampton, or a lay fee of William son of Robert the chaplain, who comes and calls to warrant James le Sauvage, who comes and warrants him and calls to warrant Ralph Hareng. Let him have him at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas, by aid of the court.

591 Joan daughter of Thomas claims against Nicholas de Lumms and Agnes his wife, and Alice daughter of Thomas, and William Spigernel, one third of 22 a. land in Adderbury as her reasonable share of the inheritance of Thomas of Adderbury, father of Joan, Alice, and Agnes. Nicholas, Alice, and William have come, and Agnes has not come. Nicholas, by licence gives her one third of 11 a. land. Let her have her seisin. Alice says that she holds nothing, but William holds the whole, and William claims judgement whether he ought to answer the writ, as he is not an heir of Thomas, nor a parcener with Joan, Agnes, and Alice. Joan seeks licence to withdraw, and she has it.

592 **Chadlington** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Giles of Berkeley disseised Geoffrey le Franceis of 2s. rent in Over Norton. Giles comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Giles did not disseise him. So it is adjudged that Geoffrey take nothing by the assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 567.

593 **Wootton** Robert son of Ralph claims against Gilbert Basset 4 yardlands in Nether Woron as his right and escheat because Henry son of John who held the land of Vivian de Bray, brother of Robert whose heir he is, was a bastard and died without heirs of his body. Gilbert comes and says he cannot reply to the writ, since he claims
nothing in the land except in the name of John son of Henry of Worton, who is in his ward.
Afterwards Robert comes and gives $\frac{1}{2}$ mark for licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.
   See nos. 595, 602, 694.

594 Richard son of John of Horspath acknowledges that he owes Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife and Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife 5 marks of silver, of which he will pay them 2\(\frac{1}{2}\) marks at Whitsun 1241 and 2\(\frac{1}{2}\) marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following. If he does not pay, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

595 Gilbert Basset appoints John de Orre, clerk, his attorney against the master of the Knights Templar in England, plea of novel disseisin; and against Robert son of Ralph, plea of land.
   See nos. 593, 602, 694.
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596 Wootton Simon Foliot claims against John de Plescy and Gillian his wife 12 a. land in Rousham as his right, into which they have no entry except by Robert [Foliot], Simon’s uncle whose heir he is, who granted the 12 a. to them for a term which has expired. Afterwards Simon came and sought licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

597 Wootton Assize of mort d'anccestor to declare whether Osbert Giffard, father of Osbert Giffard, was seised of 3 yardlands in [Nether] Worton which Gilbert Basset holds. He comes, and by licence surrenders the land to Osbert; let him have his seisin.

598 Thame Fulk son of William claims against the master of the Knights Templar in England 8 a. pasture in [North] Weston as his right, of which his kinsman Richard de Ducote, whose heir he is, was seised. The master comes by his attorney, and by licence surrenders the land to him. Afterwards Geoffrey of Stockwell, guardian of Fulk, comes and grants to Alice daughter of Fulk, until Fulk’s coming of age, 4 a. of land and a messuage in [North] Weston for 2s. a year. Geoffrey, when Fulk comes of age, will let Alice have Fulk’s charter for the land and messuage for her life, according to the purport of his charter.
   See no. 100.

599 Wallingford William Richedyt and Agnes his wife, who brought a writ de fine facto against Hugh of Abingdon for $\frac{1}{2}$ knight’s fee in Alkerton, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Adam son of Miles and Nicholas of Alkerton Bridge.
Robert of Wheatfield gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Alice widow of Hugh Talemasch, plea of land, by surety of Alexander of Combe. The agreement is as follows: that Robert surrender to Alice ½ yardland which she claims against him, saving to himself half the crop of corn growing on the ground this year.

Chilterns Philip Kemel claims against Walter At Water 1 messuage and 1 a. land in Rotherfield [Greys] as his right, whereof Edith la Long, Philip’s kinswoman whose heir he is, was seised when she died. Walter comes and calls to warrant Eve de Gray. Let him have her at Westminster one month after Michaelmas by aid of the court.

Wootton Robert son of Ralph, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Gilbert Basset and others about a holding in Nether Worton, comes and withdraws himself. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Richard le Piper and Adam son of William. Afterwards it is agreed between them that Gilbert surrender to Robert a certain meadow called Inmede over which he arraigned his assize. Surety for the amercement, Robert.

Wootton Robert Gernun, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Gilbert over a holding in Worton comes and withdraws himself. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William Gernun and John Eustace. Surety, Robert.

Bloxham Idony widow of Richard le Engunur claims against Eustace of Arden 2 yardlands in Drayton as her right and marriage portion, into which Eustace had no entry except by Thomas of Arden to whom Richard, Idony’s husband whom in his lifetime she could not contradict, granted them. Afterwards Idony came and withdrew herself; so she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Roger of Clare and Simon of Harwell.

The same Idony claims against the same Eustace 2 yardlands in Drayton as her right. Eustace comes and calls to warrant Thomas of Arden. Let him have him at Westminster a month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Warwickshire. Eustace appoints Nicholas de Crudewurth his attorney, and Idony appoints John le Engunur her attorney.

Hunts. Roger de Quincy earl of Winchester was attached to answer John le Danesys, plea of why by force and arms and breach of the king’s peace he fished in John’s free fishery of Offord Darcy without John’s licence. The earl comes and seeks a view; let him have it. A day is given, one
month after Michaelmas at Westminster. The earl appoints James Mace or Robert Young his attorney.

607 Wilts. Thomas of Appleton was summoned to answer Gilbert Basset, plea that he acquit him of the service the king demands from him for his free holding which he holds of him in Bathampton, whereof Thomas, who is the mesne tenant between them, ought to acquit him. Whereon he complains that by Thomas’s default he has been distrained. Gilbert says that, since he holds 1 knight’s fee in Bathampton of Thomas and for that fee will have paid Thomas 3 scutages, 40s. for each scutage, Thomas ought to acquit him against the king. He had not acquitted him, whence he has suffered damage to the value of 10 marks. Thomas comes and recognises that Gilbert holds the fee of him and pays him scutage, and that he ought to acquit him, and that he will acquit him freely. Let him be in mercy because he did not acquit him.

608 Devon Avice widow of Jordan Puck claims against Robert Puck one third of 12 a. land in Yarcombe as her dower from Jordan. Robert comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there, since he says that Jordan held his land for a term and not in fee so that he could dower her. Thereon he puts himself on the country and Avice likewise. So the sheriff is ordered to have before him the keepers of the pleas of the crown 12 knights and others by whom the truth can best be known, and who have no connexion with either party, on whose oaths he is diligently to enquire whether Jordan held the land in fee so that he could dower her, or at the will of Robert’s ancestor. He is to inform the justices of the inquest at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by letters and by 2 of the jurors. Avice appoints Richard Puck her attorney.

609 Bucks. William de Beauchamp and Emma his wife, Henry de Airel and Joan his wife, John le Mire and Maud his wife, by their attorneys claim against John son of Henry 10 selions of land in ‘Pyicroft’ as the right of Emma, Joan, and Maud. Afterwards they come and seek licence to withdraw from their writ, and they have it.

610 Chadlington Roger Gulafre was summoned to answer John le Mire, plea that he keep the covenant made between John and his father Roger Gulafre about 20 a. land in Sarsden. Roger comes and an agreement is reached, and Roger gives 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of John.

611 Bucks. Warin FitzGerald and Agnes his wife appoint Geoffrey of Oldfield or Geoffrey de Pek their attorney against Henry de Scaccario and Parnel his wife, plea of waste.
612 Geoffrey de Pek gives \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark for licence to agree with Warin FitzGerald and Agnes his wife, plea of land. See nos. 177, 418, 611; Oxon. Fines, 111.

613 Chilterns Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William of Englefield, come and withdraw. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Laurence del Brok and John le Mire. See nos. 225, 415.

614 William of Englefield and Nicholas Basset claim against Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife 2 parts of 1 knight's fee in Shiplake as their right. Robert and Muriel come and say that they cannot answer him, for they do not hold the whole property since the abbot of Missenden holds the advowson of the church and 2 ploughlands. Afterwards William comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

615 John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell claim against Nicholas of Haversham 1 messuage in Thrupp as their right. Nicholas comes and calls Ralph Hareng to warrant. Ralph was not summoned. Let him be summoned to be at Westminster one month after Michaelmas. See nos. 102, 201, 480.

616 Oxon. Henry of Bath recognises that he owes the prior of St. Frideswide's, Oxford, 50 marks, of which he will pay him 25 on the Nativity of St. John the Baptist [24 June] and 25 at Michaelmas [29 Sept.], and if he does not he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands and chattels.

617 Oxon. Richard Danvers, who brought a writ of mort dancestor against William of Hurstbourne and Sarah his wife about 2 yardlands in Tetsworth and 1 yardland in Swalcliffe, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Geoffrey son of Simon and Henry Wace.

618 Chadlington Marsilia widow of Henry of Langley claims against William of Langley one third of 4 yardlands and a mill in Shipton [under Wychwood] as her dower. William comes and says that Marsilia ought not to have her dower there, since he says that she was never legally married to Henry. The bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and to make the result known by his letters. See no. 107.

619 Oxon. Hugh le Bulor, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Alda <Adam> Bluet, plea that she repay him 8 marks 10s. which she owes him and unjustly withholds.
Alda <Adam> has not come, and she was summoned. Adjudged that she be attached to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity. See nos. 119, 242.

620 Lincs. Geoffrey of Middleton presented himself on the 4th day against Robert of Middleton, plea of one third of 4 yardlands in Middleton (‘Pillesworth’, ‘Thorham’, Ainsworth, and ‘Birkhull’) which he claims as his right. He has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that the third part be taken into the king’s hand. He is summoned to be at Canterbury one month after Trinity.

621 Lincs. Peter son of Richard, essoiner of Inetta de Reppinges, presented himself on the 4th day against Alma Wike, plea of 10 a. wood in Morton. Alma has not come, and she was the claimant. So she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Ralph reeve of Morton and Robert Bole of the same. Inetta thence without day.

622 William le Brode claims against Gilbert son of Daniel 6 a. land in Dunsden; and against the same Gilbert whom William the clerk and Basilia his wife called to warrant and who warranted them 3 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Ellis Mansel called to warrant and who warranted him 3 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Adam Buck called to warrant and who warranted him 2 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom William Pain called to warrant and who warranted him ½ a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Alice widow of Walter Pain called to warrant and who warranted her ½ a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Richard Mansel called to warrant and who warranted him 2 a. land and ½ a. meadow in the same vill, as his right. Gilbert comes and says he cannot answer him because he is under age. He claims his age and has it. See no. 699.

623 Norfolk Robert of Norton and Mary his wife, by their attorney, presented themselves on the 4th day against the prior of Hempton next the pool of Fakenham, plea of 7 a. land in Mileham which they claim are Mary’s reasonable dower from William son of Alan her former husband. The prior has not come, and has made default at Newport Pagnel in the octave of St. Hilary [20 January]. So the sheriff was ordered to take his land into the king’s hand, and the land was taken into the king’s hand. So it is adjudged that they should recover their seisin, and the prior is in mercy. See no. 232.

624 Chilterns Walter Lunet and Alice his wife, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against John son of William over 1 yardland in Shirburn, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Philip of Wormsley and Wigod of the same.
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625  *Chilterns* Richard of Lewknor, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against John son of William over ½ yardland in Shirburn, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert of Pyrton and Walter of Wormsley.

626  Nicholas Fisher, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Robert Bagot and Maud his wife for a holding in Somerford [in Cassington], has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William of Cumnor and Francis of Kiddington.

627  *Wootton* Eve widow of Robert le Clerk claims against Thomas son of Roger one third of 20 a. land in Steeple Aston as her dower. Thomas comes and calls to warrant Ralph of Tew, who comes and warrants him and says she ought not to have her dower there since she was not legally married to Roger. So the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and to make it known by his letters patent.

See no. 555.

628  *Wootton* Hervey son of William, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William de Dive and Isabel his wife over a messuage in Deddington, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Thomas Buffin and John Brebanzon.

629  *Chadlington* John son of Gracia, who brought a writ of right against Richard le Venur over ½ yardland and 1 messuage in Rollright, came and withdrew himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Nicholas Kuye and John Clement. John son of Gracia is poor.

630  *Wallingford* Nicholas de Stallis gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Emma daughter of Roger, plea of land; by surety of Robert of Mapledurham.

631  *Ploughley* The prior of the hospital of St. John of Brackley is summoned to answer William Boron on a plea that he pay him 11 marks 3s. 4d. which he owes him. The prior comes and they agree by licence that William remit him the whole, except 4 marks, of which he will pay 2 marks at the Nativity of St. John the Baptist [24 June] and 2 marks at the feast of St. Giles [1 September]. If not, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

See no. 120.

632  Robert of Bainton acknowledges that he owes Robert Purcel 2 marks of the fine made between them, of which he will pay him 1 mark at Whitsun [19 May] and the other at the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August]. If not, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his land.

See no. 534.

m. 15]
633 *Dorchester* William Quatermain claims against Reynold Foster 1 a. land in Ascott [in Stadhampton] as his right. Reynold comes and they are agreed by licence that Reynold give him back all his right for 20s <5s.>
See nos. 115, 116.

634 *Norfolk* Isabel widow of Richard son of Martin claims against Robert Hill half of 24 a. land in Scoulton as her dower.
Robert comes and calls to warrant Roger son of Richard who is under age and in wardship to William de Mohun. So his guardian is summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, and to have Roger there. He is to be summoned in Cambridgeshire.

635 Walter the miller claims against the prior of Cogges a mill in Fringford; and against the same prior whom William the miller called to warrant and who warranted him a mill and 4 a. land in the same vill as his right, of which his ancestor Robert was seised in the time of Henry II, taking profits worth 1½ mark. From Robert the right descended to Gillian as daughter and heir, and from Gillian, who died without heirs of her body, the right descended to Wymarc as sister and heir, and from Wymarc to Walter as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

The prior comes and denies force and injury and Robert’s seisin and everything, and places himself on the grand assize. He claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold the land and mill in demesne, or Walter to hold of him. He offers the king ½ mark to have notice of the year and day of the assize, and it is received. Bardulf of Chastleton, John le Brun, Richard Foliot, and Roald of Aston, 4 knights chosen to choose 12 to make inquiry of the grand assize, come and choose these [blank].
Afterwards an agreement was reached, and the prior gave 20s. for licence to agree.
See no. 81; *Oxon. Fines*, 111.

636 *Chiltern* Robert of Pyrton, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Thomas Greasley about a holding in Golder, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Maurice le Angevin and William of Clare.
See no. 307.

637 Hugh the serjeant recognises that he gave and confirmed by his charter to Maud his daughter all his land in Iffley, without retaining anything, to have and to hold as the charter witnesses.

638 *Bampton* Ralph Hareng claims against Agnes widow of Robert the smith one third of 1 yardland in Asthall Leigh; and against Walter Stagun and Maud his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Philip of Dean and Gretia his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Alice widow of Ralph of Banbury one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against William Marsh and Gillian his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Roger Marsh and Margaret his wife one sixth of 2 parts
of 1 yardland in the same vill, as his right, and as that which Isabel Hareng held of him as a marriage portion and which ought to revert to him as his escheat because Isabel died without heirs of her body. They all come, and Agnes calls the others to warrant her dower, and they warrant her and answer for the whole and say they cannot answer without Ralph, since Maud and her sisters had another sister called Edith who had 6 daughters, who are under age and who are sharers of the inheritance which was Robert their father's. So they go without day, and let Ralph await the coming of age.

See no. 301.

639 Chadlington Simon son of Ascelin, who brought a writ of entry against John le Mire about a holding in [Chipping] Norton, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John son of Maud of Norton and Roger of Norton.

640 Emma widow of John of Thrupp, who brought a writ of dower against Thomas Attebarre and others, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John Punzard and Geoffrey of Glynpton.


641 Bucks. Meliora widow of Robert son of Peter claims against Adam of Horton one third of 1 ploughland in Horton as her dower. Adam comes and withdraws by licence. Let her have her seisin.

642 Chadlington Robert le Canceis claims against Beatrice Murdac 2 parts of the manor of Dean as his right, of which his kinsman Geoffrey Martel, whose heir he is, was seised at his death. Beatrice comes by her attorney and says that she does not hold the whole of the 2 parts since Alan de Colemore, William le Waleis, and John le Venur hold 1 yardland, and Ellis of Wheatfield holds 1 yardland, and the parson of Spelsbury holds 1 yardland, 1 cottage, and 2 messuages. Afterwards Robert comes and seeks licence to withdraw, and he has it.

See nos. 104, 695.

643 Alice widow of Walter le Moine claims against William son of Walter le Moine, whom John of Hinksey called to warrant and who warranted him, one third of 4 a. land and 1 a. meadow in Clanfield as her dower. William comes by his attorney and admits that she should have her dower in his land to the value of one third of it. So let her have her seisin, and John hold in peace.

644 Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Nicholas son of William, brother of Gunnild and uncle of William son of Roger, was seised of 34 a. land in Churchill which William Brun holds, who comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Nicholas died seised but that William Brun, who holds the land, was his son, born in lawful wedlock. So it is adjudged that
Gunnild and William son of Roger take nothing by that assize. William Brun is without day, and they are in mercy. They are poor.

645 Christine widow of David of Lindsey, who brought a writ of dower against Gerard de Oddingeseles in Bradfield, and against Laurence del Brok and others, has not prosecuted. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Thomas Siward and Robert Greyhone.

646 *Bucks.* William Pipard presented himself on the 4th day against Ellis de Wimbervill, plea that he keep the covenant made between them over 1 ploughland and 12s. rent in 'La Rudige' and ½ ploughland and 35s. rent in 'La Lee'.

Ellis has not come, and he has made many defaults. So let him be distrained by his lands and goods to be at Westminster 5 weeks after Michaelmas.

See no. 136.

647 *Suffolk* Roland son of Herbert presented himself on the 4th day against Godfrey de Burnedis, plea of why he does not allow him to have his seisin of a messuage and 16 a. land and 4s. 6d. rent and ½ a. meadow in Tattingstone which Roland, in the king's court before the justices in their last eyre at Ipswich, recovered against Godfrey by an assise of mort dancestor.

Godfrey has not come, and has made many defaults, and he was attached first by William son of Roger de Hurnedis and Peter Selon, and secondly by Adam Everard and Robert Selon of Bedingfield. So all his sureties are *in mercy*. Godfrey is to be distrained by his lands and chattels to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

m. 15d.]

648 *Chilterns* William of Englefield and John Chubbe were summoned to answer Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife on a plea of why they took their beasts and unjustly detained them. Whence Robert and Muriel claim that on Tuesday before the feast of St. Margaret [19 July] last year they took 4 oxen, 3 cattle, and 4 pigs, and have detained them unjustly until now, whence they have suffered damage to the value of 40s. William and John come and deny force and injury; they admit the taking of the livestock, but say that they took them for a rent of 6d. which was owed them and which Robert and Muriel once paid. After Roger de Wimbervill sold William the rent which Robert and Muriel had earlier paid him, he [William] took cattle because they would not pay. The other animals which he took were taken for damage to his corn. He brings his suit for the recovery of the rent.

Afterwards they were agreed by licence.

See no. 128.

649 *Wallingford* Geoffrey Bertun and Christine his wife were attached to answer Maurice le Angevin, plea of why he deforced him of a meadow belonging to a weir in the Thames which remained to Maurice in a plea
before the justices of the bench at Westminster by a fine made there between them. Geoffreay and Christine come and they have agreed by licence that Maurice retain the whole for Christine’s life for ½ mark. See no. 129.

650 Warws. Parnel of Compton claims her land by surety on Thursday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [2 May], which was taken into the king’s hand for the default which she made against Nichola widow of Simon Bagot, and she has it. See no. 375.

651 Bucks. Thomas of York presented himself on the 4th day against Geoffreay of Cliveden, plea that he pay him 6 marks which he owes and unjustly witholds. Geoffreay has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension Day.

m. 16]

652 Berks. William prior of Noyon presented himself on the 4th day against Walter de Becket, plea of why he has not given back to him a palfrey which he owes him according to the agreement made at the king’s court at Westminster between Peter prior of Noyon, William’s predecessor, and Walter. Walter has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be distrained by his lands to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity. The prior appoints William Turketil or Robert de Swinelund his attorney.

653 Wootton Roger of Leybourne, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Alan of Aston, plea that he do him custom and due service for the free holding he holds of him in [Steeple] Aston. Alan has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas. Alan appoints William Kemp his attorney. See no. 427.

654 Leics. Robert the Butler presented himself on the 4th day against John de Ginpton, plea that he give up to him William son and heir of William the Butler whose custody belongs to him, in that William held his land of him by knight service. John has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

655 Yorks. Muriel widow of Hugh Ko presented herself on the 4th day against Geoffreay of Compton and Hugh his son, plea of one third of 5 a. land and ½ a. meadow in Easingwold which she claims in dower. They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that the third be taken into the king’s hand. A day is given, and they are summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.
656 **Hunts.** Richard son of Simon presented himself on the 4th day against John son of Gregory, plea of 9 a. land, 2 shops, and 4s. rent in Huntingdon which he claims as his right.

John has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land, shops, and rent be taken into the king's hand. A day is given, and John is summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

657 **Bampton** Simon de Averench and Joan his wife, Robert le Franchomme, Robert de Mareis, Robert of Chadlington, and William of Wilby, were summoned to answer James le Sauvage, plea of by what right he demands common in their land in Clanfield whereas James has no common in any land of Simon, Joan, Robert, Robert, Robert, or William, nor do they owe him service whereby they ought to have common in his land.

They have all come and claim a view. A day is given them at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. All except Simon and John appoint Robert de Renham or Ralph the clerk their attorney. 

See no. 105

658 **Wootton** Alice of Shutford, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Thomas of Shutford about 1½ a. land in Cogges, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Roger Whytard and Geoffrey le Long.

659 Nicholas Fisher, who brought a writ against Nicia de Clinton about a holding in 'Leic' [?North Leigh], has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William son of Peter and Peter of Wilcote.

660 **Bampton** Stephen of Fritwell claims against John de Curry 2 yardlands in Brize Norton and Astrop as his right.

John comes and claims a view. A day is given him at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas.

See no. 74

661 **Ploughley** William of Noke presented himself on the 4th day against Richard of Noke, plea of 9 a. land in Noke which he claims as his right.

Richard has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. Richard is summoned to be at Westminster on the day after the feast of All Souls [3 November].

662 **Kent** [sic] William Aquilun presented himself on the 4th day against Richard de Accs, plea that he warrant him 1 ploughland in Hildersham in Cambridgeshire which he holds of him, and whence he has his charter.

Richard has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on Thursday after Ascension Day.
663 Northants. Sarah widow of Hugh son of Roger claims against Aubrey de Cadestoke one third of 1½ a. land and 1½ rood of meadow in Glapping; and against Agnes Sterthup one third of 2½ a. land in the same vill, as her dower. Aubrey comes and calls to warrant Isabel daughter and heir of Hugh. Let him have her at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. Agnes comes and by licence gives up her seisin to Sarah. Let her have her seisin.

664 The same Sarah presented herself on the 4th day against Henry of Sutton on a plea of one third of 2 a. land in Glapping; and against the prior of the hospital of 'Wrottewurth', plea of one third of 12d. rent in the same vill; and against the prior of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem in England, plea of 5 a. wood in the same vill. They have not come, and they were summoned. It is adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas.

665 Northants. Isabel widow of Vincent of Northampton presented herself on the 4th day against Henry son of Geoffrey of Brixworth, plea of 1½ yardland in Brixworth; and against Simon Croy, plea of 1 a. land in the same vill, which she claims as her right. They have not come, and they had a day for their essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 3 weeks after the feast of St. Hilary [3 February 1242].

666 Mddx. Robert Hardel, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against William de Say, plea that he pay him £60 4s. 10d. which he owes him and unjustly withholds. William has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. See no. 59.

667 Hunts. Michael of Berkhamstead and Sancula his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Julian de Haya, plea that he warrant them Agden wood, in which Master Reynold parson of Paxton demands his reasonable estovers. Julian has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 1 week after Trinity. The same day is given to Master Reynold in the Bench. See nos. 62, 302, 668, 743.

668 The same Master Reynold presented himself on the 4th day against Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife, plea that they allow him to have his reasonable estovers in Agden wood. They have not come, and they had a day in the Bench to this day. Afterwards they called the said Julian to warrant. So they are to be attached to be at Canterbury at the above term. See no. 667.
669 Bucks. William de Wydendon, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Gillian de Hales, plea of 1 ploughland in West Wycombe which he claims as his right. Gillian has not come, and she had a day for her essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. She is summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity. See no. 677.

670 Oxon. Parnel of Finmere and Alma her sister acknowledge that they have quitclaimed for themselves and their heirs for ever to the abbot and convent of St. Augustine, Bristol, 3 yardlands in Finmere, as the charter they gave the abbot testifies. Cf. no. 387.

671 Beds. Ambrose de Tylesworth is attached to answer Gervase Marshal and Damette his wife, plea that he keep the fine made between them in the king's court, before the itinerant justices at Norwich, between Damette, plaintiff, and Ambrose, tenant, about one third of ½ hide in Great Brickhill, from which they say he keeps back 6s. rent which remains to them from that fine. Ambrose comes and admits the fine and whatever is contained in it, and by licence he renders to them the 6s., since the rent was not included in the fine. So he goes without day.

672 Bucks. Simon of Gretton and Margery his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against William de Bonous, plea that he keep the fine made between them before the itinerant justices at Newport Pagnell over 6 a. land in Bradwell. William has not come. So the sheriff is ordered to take the land into the king's hand, and to have William at Lambeth on the Wednesday after Ascension day.

673 Be it remembered that the abbot of Oseney placed himself outside his community, on the country, for his liberties.

674 Bucks. William Draper, essoiner of Hugh Miller of Newport Pagnel, presented himself on the 4th day against Maud widow of Roger Weaver, plea of dower which she claims against them in Newport Pagnel. Maud has not come, and she was the claimant, so the essoiner thence without day, and Maud and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy. She did not find sureties. See no. 147.

m. 16d.]

Pleas taken at Wycombe on the Friday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [3 May], on the return of William of York and his colleagues from Oxfordshire.
675 Bucks. Assize of nuisance to declare whether the master of the
Knights Templar in England unjustly raised a certain pond in Wycombe
to the damage of Gilbert Basset’s free holding. Gilbert also claims that
Richard of the Tower built a mill in Wycombe to the damage of his free
holding there.
Afterwards Gilbert came and withdrew his case against Richard, so he is
in mercy. Afterwards it was agreed that Gilbert grant, for himself and his
heirs, that the mill stand as it was on the feast of the Invention of the
Cross [3 May] 1241, and that Richard and his heirs shall not raise the
level of the millpond, nor change it at all to Gilbert’s damage. For this,
Richard and his heirs will pay 12d. a year and do homage to Gilbert and
his heirs. Richard did homage to Gilbert.
The master comes by his attorney and says nothing to stay the assize. The
jury say that Robert de Vipont, who gave the master of the Templars the
mill, had a pond there; afterwards the master enlarged it by about 10 ft.
so that it held more water. They say that when the pond was full of water
boys came and took out the sluice gates, so that the vill of Wycombe
suffered damage by flooding to the value of 1 mark. They say too that if
those sluice gates had been well guarded Gilbert would not have suffered
any damage from the pond, and he would not have had any less suit to
his mill in Wycombe than he used to have.
Afterwards an agreement was reached: Gilbert granted the master the
pond to hold in the same state as it was on the day the assize was held, so
that the master could not raise the level of the pond, or lengthen it, or
broaden it, or change it in any way to the damage of Gilbert or his heirs.
For this the master gave Gilbert a palfrey.

676 Bucks. Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife appoint Thomas
son of Walkelin or Luke of Ashwell their attorney against Basilia de la
Ponne, plea of land.
See no. 328.

677 Oxon. Gillian de Hales appoints Ellis Roland or Walter son of
John her attorney against William de Wydendon, plea of land.
See no. 669.

678 Bucks. Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife claimed the land
by pledge on Friday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [3 May],
which land was taken into the king’s hand for the default which they
made against Margery de la Ponne. They have it.
See nos. 328, 676.

m. 17]

679 Gloucs. John of Berkeley claims against Thomas de Rocheford 2
ploughlands and a messuages, with their appurtenances except the
advowson of the church of ‘Hoseleswurth’ [?Ozleworth], 2 yardlands
and a mill in Ozleworth; and against the abbot of ‘Kingshull’ 2 yardlands
and 3 a. wood in the same vill; and against Nigel of Ozleworth ½ yardland
and 8 a. meadow in the same vill; and against Richard de Lekington 5 a.
meadow in the same vill; and against William Franchevaler 5 a. meadow in the same vill as his right. 
And they all come and claim a view; they have it. A day is given to them at the coming of the justices, and in the meanwhile the view is to be arranged. The abbot appoints Brother Odo his attorney.

680 Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Geoffrey de Clemdon, father of Joan wife of Thomas de Valoynes, was seised of ¼ a. land in Bolney [in Harpsden] which Reynold of Whitchurch holds. He comes [blank in MS.] 
See nos. 87, 229, 245.

681 The same assize to declare whether Joan de Clemdon, mother of Joan wife of the same Thomas, was seised of 18s. rent in Bolney [in Harpsden] of which Reynold and Alice his wife disseised them. They come and say that they cannot answer without Alan of Farnham and Margery his wife their parceners. So they are to be summoned in Berkshire to be at Lambeth on Monday after Ascension day to answer them. The same day is given to the jurors in the Bench. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Thomas gives 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of Reynold; and Reynold gives 10s. for the same by surety of Thomas. 

682 Ralph le Burgeys claims against William the White and Alice his wife and Robert Alice’s son 1 yardland, except a messuage, in [Chipping] Norton as his right, of which Richard his ancestor was seised in the time of Richard I, taking profits worth ¼ mark. From Richard the right passed to Hugh his son and heir, and from Hugh to Agatha and Alditha his daughters and heirs, and since Alditha died without heirs of her body, the whole right reverted to Agatha. From Agatha it descended to Ralph as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove. William and Alice and Robert come, and William and Alice call Robert to warrant, and he warrants them and answers for the whole and defends his right and denies Richard’s seisin. He claims an assize whether he has a greater right in that land than Ralph. Bardulph of Chastleton, John le Brun, Richard Foliot, and Robert Purcel, 4 knights chosen to choose 12, come and choose [blank]. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Robert gives 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of Ralph. 
See Oxon. Fines, 117.

683 Chilterns Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Robert, father of Thomas, was seised of ¼ yardland in Ewelme which Adam Bluet holds. Adam comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Thurstan le Despenser. Let him have him at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity, and let the assize come.
Norfolk Beatrice daughter of William presented herself on the 4th day against John Roras; plea of why he ejected her from a part of a meadow belonging to her free holding in ‘Arlebrig’, the seisin of which she recovered by inquisition before the itinerant justices at Norwich against Bartholomew son of Alexander. John has not come, and he was attached by Robert of Foxhole and Rayner son of Peter. So let him be placed under better sureties to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

Ploughley David of Finmere and Cecily his wife were summoned to answer Alice de Cayham on a plea that they render her 10 marks which they owe her and unjustly withhold. David and Cecily come and deny force and injury. Since she has not produced any suit or writing or anything else to which they ought to answer, it is adjudged that they go without day, and Alice is in mercy. She is poor.

Bloxham John son of Milicent and Thomas son of Isabel, who brought a writ of debt against Adam son of Adam King, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Richard son of Alan and Robert Petit. See no. 275.

Chilterns Gilbert of Golder, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William son of Hugh about a holding in Golder, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Robert of Pyrton and Walter of Wormsley.

Rutland, Lincs. Alice widow of Ralph de Normanvill claims against Ralph de Normanvill one third of 4 ploughlands in Empingham, Horne, and Hardwick in Rutland; and against the same Ralph one third of 10 oxgangs and 3s. rent in ‘Rokesham’, and one third of 13 oxgangs and 40s. rent in Rauceby, and one third of 32 a. land and 15 a. undergrowth, 4 messuages and a water mill in ‘Eston’, and one third of 6 marks rent in Stamford in Lincolnshire, as her dower from Ralph her husband. Ralph comes and by licence grants her all the said third, except the wood in Empingham where she ought to have no part. Let her have her seisin.

Beds. Assize of mort dancetor to declare whether Richard de Bemhal, father of Roger son of Richard, was seised of 44 a. land in Stopsley, of which John son of Richard holds 16 a. <8 a.>, Thomas son of Richard 12 a., Richard son of Richard 8 a., and Henry son of Richard 8 a.

They come and call to warrant William de St. Leger, who comes by his attorney and warrants them and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richard, 5 years before his death, went to Offeley in Essex [Herts.], and there in William’s court made William a charter of the land. Nevertheless, Richard returned to his land as before, and cultivated it and took the profits. A year later Richard went to the manor court of Luton and there had the charter read out, and afterwards, at his
request, William enfeoffed the aforesaid men and handed over to them
the keeping of the land, but nevertheless, as before, Richard returned
home and cultivated the land and took the profits until 15 days before his
death, when he was ill, the feoffees came and entered on the land by
Richard's consent, who was of sound mind, as it is said.
So it is adjudged that he [Roger son of Richard] take nothing by that
assize, and be in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

690 _Cornwall_ Maud widow of William son of Sebright claims against
William of Treneglos one third of ¼ a. land in 'Tregundres' as her dower.
William comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there
because William did not hold the land in fee, so that he could dower her
with it, but in marriage with Alice his first wife. Thereon he puts himself
on the country. And they similarly. So the sheriff is ordered to summon
12 jurors to enquire into the matter and to make known the result of the
inquisition at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by his letters and by 2 of
the jurors.

691 _Yorks._ Gilbert of Preston and Ralph of Aston, executors of the
will of Richard de Watervill, acknowledge that they have received of Sir
William of York, provost of Beverley, 49 marks for Richard's moveable
goods in North Dalton which they sold him, and which has been paid in
full.

692 _Norfolk_ A day is given to William le Blund, tenant, and Warin de
Munchensy to levy chirograph, 1 month after Michaelmas at Westminster,
at the plea of the parties.

693 William of Barking presented himself on the 4th day against John
Goldstan on a plea that he return to him chattels worth 20 marks which
he committed to John's care and which John removed and unjustly took
away.
John has not come, and he was attached by Ralph Red and Simon his
brother. So the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands into the king's
hand, except custody of personal chattels, so that no one lays a hand on
them until he has another royal order. He is to have him at Lambeth on
the Monday after Ascension day.

694 _Wootton_ Robert son of Ralph, who brought a writ of entry against
Gilbert Basset over 4 yardlands in Nether Worton, comes and withdraws.
So he and his sureties for prosecuting _in mercy_, namely Richard le Piper
and Adam son of William. Surety for Robert's amercement, William de
Riston.
See nos. 593, 595, 602.

695 Beatrice Murdac appoints William de Crumhal her attorney
against Robert le Canceis; plea of land.
See nos. 104, 642.

696 _Gloucs._ The abbot of Kingswood appoints his attorney.
Robert of Mapledurham is in mercy for contempt of court.

Anne wife of William of Hardwick appoints William Cook her attorney against Ralph de Briausne; plea of mort dancestor.

m. 17d.]

William le Brode claims against Gilbert son of Daniel 6 a. land in Dunsden; and against William le Clerk and Basilia his wife 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Ellis Mansel 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Adam Butcher 2 a. land in the same vill, as his right. Gilbert and all the others come and seek a view. Day given them on Tuesday [blank]

See no. 622.

Richard of Brackley claims against Gilbert of Banbury a messuage and ½ ploughland in the Grove [in Kirtlington] and 6s. rent in Kirtlington as his right. Gilbert says he ought not to answer him since he does not hold the whole land, as the prior of the hospital of St. Bartholomew in Oxford holds 1 a. Afterwards Richard comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

Christine daughter of Ralph claims against Philip Iwayne ½ messuage in Brackley as her right. Philip comes and calls to warrant Rannulf son of Swyn. Let him have him at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. Let him be summoned in Northamptonshire.

Chilterns Assize of mort dancestor to decide whether Geoffrey de Dunstanvill, uncle of Gilbert de Basevill, William of Englefield, and Alan Basset, was seised of one third of 1 knight's fee in Shiplake which Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife hold. They come and say they ought not to answer the writ since those three make themselves one heir, and in their writ they call Geoffrey their uncle whereas Geoffrey was the grandfather of William and Alan and the uncle of Gilbert. They seek judgement whether they ought to answer the writ. Afterwards Geoffrey and the others come and do not want to prosecute their suit. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William de Grave, Richard de Frayn, William de Hayles, Gilbert le Carver, Miles le Carver, and William le Norreis. Each is the surety of the other's amercement.

See nos. 126, 127.

Bampton Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Thomas of Eydon, uncle of Robert son of Walkelin, was seised of 54 a. land in Langford whereof Hugh of Arden now holds 50 a., Julian of Langford 3 a., and Simon son of Ives 1 a. They come and Hugh says he does not hold the whole of the 50 a. since he holds only 48 a. The others say they do not hold the land since Hugh holds it, and Hugh admits it. Robert cannot deny this, so he is in mercy.
704 Dorchester A jury comes to declare by Alexander of Combe, Richard de Beaupo, Peter Foliot, Robert of Thomley, Ralph de la Pole, Robert of Ibstone, Walter son of Pain, Gregory de Sideham, John Algar, William le Veisin, Thomas de Faloynes, William Wace, and Roger Gernun, whether Gilbert of Drayton, husband of Emma of Drayton, held 1½ yardlands in Drayton [St. Leonard] so freely that he could dower Emma with it, as Emma says, or whether he held in villeinage. Walter son of Basil impleaded him in the county court of Oxford about the land and Gilbert acknowledged that he was a villein, and by so doing stopped the plea between them. Gilbert held in villeinage all his life, and after his death the land was granted to Emma by the bishop [of Lincoln]'s bailiffs according to the custom of the manor, that is in villeinage, to maintain herself and her children, and thus she held the land for 15 years, as the bishop says.

The jurors come and say on their oath that Gilbert, on the day he married her, held that land so freely that he could dower her with it. So it is adjudged that she recover her seisin and the bishop is in mercy.

On this it was recorded by the whole county that there never was a plea in the county court between Walter and Gilbert, but before the war there was a plea in the bench between Gilbert and one Godfrey who had married his mother. By agreement half the land in dispute remained to Godfrey and his wife for their lives, so that after their deaths it ought to revert to Gilbert. After their deaths one of Gilbert's brothers who had been away came and entered on the land, so that when Gilbert impleaded him the same Richard came and acknowledged himself to be a villein.


705 Wootton Sybil <Maud> de Crevequeor was summoned to answer Richard of North Leigh and Margaret his wife on a plea that she keep the covenant made between them concerning 1 yardland in Cogges, whence Richard and Margaret claimed that although in her widowhood she granted them 1 yardland for her life for 40s. which they gave her, Sybil turned them off the land, whence they suffered damages to the value of 20s.

Sybil comes and admits the covenant, and she says that she did not turn them off the land since she says that they are villeins and someone else turned them off. So she says that she cannot and ought not to answer them. They cannot deny this, so Sybil goes without day, and they are in mercy for a false plea. They are poor.

706 Gerard de Oddingeseseles was summoned to answer William of Leach and Lettice his wife <of Filkins> and Ralph son of Lettice on a plea of why he took William and Lettice and Ralph's beasts and unjustly detained them, whence they claim that Gerard took 4 oxen and kept them against gage and pledge for 15 days so that they lost their means of cultivation and suffered damages to the value of 20s. On this they bring their suit.

Gerard de Oddingeseseles comes and denies force and injury and says that he took their beasts justly since he says that Robert son of Ralph, the father of Ralph, on the day and in the year he died, did suit to Gerard's court for the land, and ploughed 3 furrows a year, one in winter one in
spring and the third for fallowing, with his own oxen, and did carrying service with 3 carts of hay from the Thames to Gerard’s house, and he did this with his own carts the whole day, and similarly he carried corn for one day to Robert’s [sic] courtyard. On this the prior of Hertford comes and says that Robert held the land of him, and that Ralph ought to acquit the tenement and not Gerard, since he says that Gerard’s predecessors gave the prior’s predecessors by their charters, which he shows and which bear witness, 1 hide in Broadwell free and quit of all secular dues, so that his predecessors held that land quietly in free alms for 40 years before they enfeoffed Ralph’s ancestors. Gerard says he cannot answer his charters without Gerard of Lindsey his parcener in the inheritance of John of Lindsey. So Gerard of Lindsey is summoned to be at Westminster to answer with him. He is to be summoned in Warwickshire.

See no. 114.

707 Oxon. William de Mohun, by his attorney, claims against Robert le Waleis 100 a. and 2 yardlands in Glympton as his right. Robert comes and they are agreed, and Robert gives 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.

See no. 90; Oxon. Fines, 123.

708 Herts. Agnes of Purton presented herself on the 4th day against John de Beyford on a plea that he restore chattels to the value of 10 marks which he unjustly detains. John has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. Agnes appoints Hugh son of Godfrey her attorney.

709 William of Englefield presented himself on the 4th day against Roger Bacon on a plea that he keep the fine made in the king’s court before the itinerant justices at Norwich between William, plaintiff, and Roger, deforciant, about the custom and service which William exacts from him, whereon a chirograph was made between them. He presented himself against Godfrey de Langetoft on a plea that he hold to the fine made in the same court before the same justices between William, plaintiff, and Godfrey, over the custom and service which William exacts from him, and whereon a chirograph was made between them.

710 Oxon. Robert Hardel, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Gilbert Marshall earl of Pembroke on a plea that he pay him £37 4s. 5d. which he owes and unjustly withholds. The earl has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

711 Oxon. A day is given to Robert de St. Clare, claimant, and Ralph of Chesterton, tenant; plea of land; at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, since Robert has not had his reasonable essoin.

m. 18]
Pleas of the vill of Oxford

From the vill of Oxford, of fines before judgement 40 marks.

712 Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Peter son of Thorold unjustly disseised John of Bridport of a messuage in Oxford. Peter comes and says the assize ought not to be held since John held the holding of him by service of 2s. 6d. a year, and since his service was in arrears he initiated proceedings against John by the king’s writ de iustitiando that John should be made to do him the custom and right service due from his holding.

So John was summoned to be at the court of Oxford to answer Peter. But he would not go to that court, so it was adjudged there that John be summoned again by witnesses to be at the next court. Since he did not come to that court, it was adjudged that he be distrained to be at the third court by a pledge found in the holding. Those who should have distrained by pledge could not find anything in the holding by which he could be distrained. Then, since John did not come to the third court, it was adjudged in that court that the holding be taken into the king’s hand, that John might thus be distrained to come and answer Peter. Since John did not pursue his fee, the court adjudged that the holding should remain in the king’s hand for a year. Then Peter brought the king’s writ that the court should make him record and reasonable judgement; and that he should have seisin of the holding by judgement of the court and not by any disseisin which he made, he places himself on the court. He readily acknowledges that he claims nothing in that holding except on account of his rent which is 18s. in arrears.

The court of Oxford comes, and it is recorded that Peter brought the king’s writ into the court, and that John was summoned once and again, and since nothing was found in the holding by which he could be distrained, it was adjudged that the holding be taken into the king’s hand. Afterwards Peter brought the king’s writ to have this record. Then according to the law and custom of the court of Oxford it was adjudged that the holding should be handed over to Peter to hold until John should come and satisfy him for his rent and arrears, since John had not pursued his fee.

On this Peter comes and shows the charter of feoffment which he had in John Supicup’s name: Know those present and to come that I John Supicup, because of my necessity, have sold and quitclaimed to Peter son of Thorold that land, and all my right which I have or can have in it, which John of St. Cross, son of John of Bridport, holds of me in the parish of St. Mary the Virgin, Oxford, that is for 5s., saving that John may hold of the said Peter and his heirs as he held of me. And that this my sale and perpetual quitclaim may remain firm, I have confirmed this writing with my seal.

713 John abbot of Oseney claims against Geoffrey of Stockwell, whom Robert of Kidlington called to warrant and who warranted him, 1 messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as the right of his church of Oseney, of which his predecessor Hugh was seised in the time of King Richard,
taking thence profits to the value of ½ mark. And that such is the right of himself and his church he offers to prove.

Geoffrey, at another time, came and called to warrant Peter Bodin, who now comes by William Prat, his attorney by the king’s writ, and says that he ought not to attorney by the king’s writ, and says that he ought not to warrant him since the charter which Geoffrey shows in the name of his father Robert Bodin does not say that Robert gave Geoffrey the land to hold to him and his heirs by Beatrice daughter of Robert, and more especially it does not say that Robert and his heirs ought to warrant Geoffrey and his heirs.

Geoffrey says he ought to warrant him by the charter of Robert Bodin, which he shows, and which witnesses that he gave Geoffrey in free marriage with Beatrice the message, doing thence to the chief lord the service due and accustomed, and that he gave him all the right which he had in the message.

Afterwards Peter came by his attorney and warranted Geoffrey, and an agreement was reached by licence.

See _Oxon. Fines_, 115.

714 Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Geoffrey of Stockwell and the prioress of Littlemore unjustly disseised Alan Horlok and Margery his wife of 12s. rent in Oxford.

The jury say that Geoffrey and the prioress did not disseise Alan and Margery, since they never had seisin there. So Geoffrey and the prioress without day and Alan and Margery in mercy.

715 John Sewy and Maud his wife claim against Richard of Dorchester and Gillian his wife, whom Walter the weaver and Maud his wife called to warrant and who warranted them, 1 messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud’s right, of which she was seised in fee and of right in the time of the present king, taking profits worth ½ mark.

Richard and Gillian come and deny Maud’s right and place themselves on a jury of the town whether they have a greater right to hold the land of John and Maud than John and Maud have to hold it in demesne. Laurence son of Ralph, Thomas son of Henry, Laurence Log, Hugh Harding, Thomas Cruste, John Pille, Laurence Rufus, Richard Goldsmith, John of Kingston, William de Eu, William Perle, and Alan son of Walter say on their oath that John and Maud have a greater right to hold that land in demesne than Richard and Gillian to hold of them, since they say that Gillian is a villein. So it is adjudged that John and Maud have their seisin and Richard in mercy. Let there be an exchange with Walter the weaver and Maud his wife.

716 Emma widow of William son of Richerus claims against Geoffrey the Cordwainer and Maud his wife 1 messuage in Oxford as her dower from William her husband.

Geoffrey and Maud come and call to warrant Vincent the tailor, who is present and warrants them, and calls to warrant the prior of St. Frideswide’s, who is present and warrants him and says that she ought not to have the messuage in dower because it, together with other holdings of Emma’s, were mortgaged in the Jewry for their common
necessities, so that by Emma’s will and consent William sold his own messuage to redeem Emma’s holding, she consenting to the same, in the court of Oxford. This was allowed him according to the custom of Oxford.

Afterwards they were agreed, and Emma gives ½ mark for licence to agree, by surety of the prior.

717 Idony of Oxford and Eve her sister claim against Robert le Talt 2 messuages in Oxford as their right and in which Robert had no entry except by Richolda of Oxford who only held them while Idony and Eve were under age and in wardship.

Robert comes and denies their right and such entry. On the contrary, he says he had entry by Richard his father who died seised as of right, and from him the messuages decended to Robert as son and heir. He places himself on the jury. He offers the king ½ mark to have an inquisition, and it is received by surety of Richard of Bedford and William of Woodstock. Idony and Eve say they did not have entry by Richard but by Richolda, and they place themselves on the inquisition.

The jury say that Richard gave the messuages to one Hugh in marriage with his daughter Maud, the mother of Idony and Eve, a long time before his death, so that Hugh and Maud were seised for 5 years, and that Richard did not die seised of the messuages. So it is adjudged that Idony and Eve recover their seisin, and Robert in mercy. He is poor.

718 The prior of St. Frideswide’s gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Richard Curteis about a messuage in Oxford.


719 The plea between the abbot of Dorchester, claimant, and Stephen son of Richard, defendant, warranty of charter of a messuage which the abbot holds in Oxford and which Margery of Pitchcott claimed in the court of Oxford against the abbot by writ of right, is adjourned until the coming of other justices because Stephen had gone to the Holy Land before his summons. The same day is given to Margery.

720 The abbot of Oseney appoints Brother Adam of Adderbury his attorney against Agnes widow of Robert Cachefreye, plea of land.

721 John Marshal appoints William le Messager or Thomas le Page his attorney against Gunnora de Bendinges, plea of dower, and removes William de Camera whom he had earlier appointed.

722 Margery widow of William Goldsmith appoints Peter Herlewin her attorney against the abbot of Dorchester, plea of land.

See no. 248.

723 The abbot of Eynsham appoints Alan the sacristan his attorney against Robert of Derby, plea of land.
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724 Agnes widow of Macei <Marcey> son of Robert claims against John son of Henry and Cecily his wife 6 marks rent in Oxford and its suburbs as her dower.

John, by his attorney, and Cecily come and say that they ought not to answer since Agnes says in her writ that they should return to her her dower of which she has nothing, but she has a messuage which was part of her husband’s free holding. Agnes cannot deny it. So it is adjudged that John and Cecily go without day and Agnes in mercy. Let her take action by another writ if she pleases. Surety for the amercement, Henry Simeon.

725 William Bookbinder and Gillian his wife and Emma and Alice, Gillian’s sisters, claim against Thomas Long 1 messuage in Oxford. Thomas comes and calls to warrant the prior of St. Frideswide’s, who is present and warrants him.

And they are agreed, by licence, that William and the others give up all their claim for 20s.

726 Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Nicholas of Headington, Reynold of Cumnor, Roger de Wose, Stephen son of Robert, Henry his brother, Gilbert de Chesney, and Roger Chaupiurn unjustly disseised Richard Siward and Philippa his wife of 6 a. in the suburbs of Oxford.

Nicholas and Roger Chaupiurn come and say nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Roger and all the others named, except Nicholas, disseised them as the writ says, since they say that some villeins of the abbot of Abingdon had that meadow of Richard’s and Philippa’s tenure. When they died Richard took the meadow into his hands and took the herbage and had the meadow mowed. Then all those named, except Nicholas, came and carried off what had been mowed. So it is adjudged that Richard and Philippa have their seizin, and the others in mercy. Richard likewise in mercy for a false plea against Nicholas. Damages 12s.

727 Henry Simeon was summoned to answer the prior of St. Frideswide’s on a plea that he pay him £7 2s. 6d. which he owes him and which he is in arrears of a rent of 20s. 2d. a year. Of which rent he says that his predecessor Prior Ellis was seised in right of his church in the time of the present king.

Afterwards they are agreed by licence. The agreement is that Henry acknowledges that he holds the holding of the prior and owes an annual rent of 8s. 6d. for it. Henry holds other holdings of other lords, who ought to do service to the prior and have not done it. There is in arrears both from the holding which he holds of the prior as mesne tenant and in chief and from other holdings which he holds of them [sic] through other lords who are intermediate between Henry and the prior, 44s. which Henry will pay the prior at the terms fixed between them. If the other lords distrain on Henry the prior will acquit him.

728 Richard le Ferur claims against Henry le Ferur a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which he was seised in the time of the present king.
Henry comes and defends his right and seisin and says that Richard was never in seisin nor took the profits, worth ½ mark, as he says. He places himself on a jury, and he offers the king ½ mark to have an inquisition, by surety of William the Cutler outside the East Gate. The jury say that Robert le Ferur, father of Henry and Richard, was seised of the messuage as of fee when he died, and after his death the messuage descended by hereditary right to Henry, and Richard was never in seisin of it. So it is adjudged that Henry hold in peace, and Richard in mercy. He is poor.

729 Andrew of Chilton claims against Alice widow of Humphrey the fuller, whom Henry of Botley called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which Humphrey his ancestor was seised in fee in the time of the present king, taking profits of ½ mark. From Humphrey the right descended to his son John, and from John, who died without issue, the right reverted to his brother Sewal, and from Sewal it descended to Andrew who now claims, and that such is his right he offers to porve. Alice comes and denies Andrew’s right and seeks an inquisition into which of them has the greater right in that messuage. So let there be an inquisition. The jury say that Humphrey died seised of the holding as of fee, since he bought it from John Kepeharm, and that messuage was never Alice’s marriage portion. So Andrew has a greater right in it than Alice. So it is adjudged that Andrew have his seisin and Alice in mercy. She is poor.

730 Walter of Wheatley claims against William of Faringdon and Denise his wife and Emma her sister, whom Ralph de Salle and Alice his wife called to warrant, ½ messuage in Oxford as their right, of which Walter was seised in the time of the present king, taking profits worth ½ mark.

William and Denise and Emma come and say that they ought not to answer him since when Walter was in seisin of the moiety of the messuage Alice impleaded him in the court of Oxford and claimed it as her dower, and Walter came to that court and called William and Denise to warrant, and they came and recognized that they ought to warrant him, but said that they had nothing which they could exchange with him. So Alice recovered her seisin as her dower, and Walter lost his seisin. Walter says that, on the contrary, Alice held the whole messuage in dower, and when William wanted to marry Denise, Alice’s daughter, Alice gave William half of the messuage as a marriage portion with Denise, and the other half she gave to her daughter Emma. William and Denise afterwards sold their half to Walter and failed to warrant him the half, as was said above, and the exchange remained to be made, since they then had nothing with which they could make it. Moreover, William and Denise warranted Ralph and Alice the said half messuage, and thus were shown to be in seisin of it. He seeks judgement, since he says that William and Denise can now make exchange of the half messuage, and he says too that when she gave the half messuage to William and Denise in marriage and the other half to Emma, Alice gave back to them, and
quitclaimed, the whole of the messuage as Denise and Emma’s inheritance. And that it is so he places himself on the jury. And on this Ralph and Alice come and say that an agreement has been reached, and Walter gives ¼ mark for licence by surety of Richard of Norwich, and the agreement is that William and Idony [sic], by the consent and wish of Ralph and Alice, grant and give back to Walter the ¼ messuage to hold of William and Idony [sic] and Idony’s heirs, paying ¼d. a year at Easter for the service belonging to it, and acquitting them to the lords.

731 Agreement between Robert, master of the hospital of St. John outside the East Gate, on one part, and Roger Noyf, for the exchange of a messuage in Oxford which Alice of Stockwell recovered against the master by judgement of the king’s court. That is: Roger gives and grants the master in exchange for that messuage his large stone house by St. Ebbe’s church, between the land of Robert le Mercer and the land which he holds of the abbot of Abingdon. For this the master granted Roger the house to hold for his life, of the master and his successors and the brothers of the hospital, paying yearly 2 marks of silver, and after Roger’s death the house is to revert to the master and his successors, quit of Roger’s heirs for ever. Be it known that Geoffrey of Stockwell, who warranted Roger, gave Roger in exchange for the messuage he gave the master of the hospital a rent of 12d., and agreed that 1 a. of meadow which Geoffrey’s mother held in dower should revert after her death to Roger and his heirs.
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732 Assize of nuisance to declare whether the prior of the Friars Preacher in Oxford unjustly obstructed a road in the suburbs of Oxford to the nuisance of the free holding of Henry son of Henry and Adam Feteplace and Agnes his wife. Afterwards it was agreed between them that the prior pay 5 marks to Philip the Miller, Peter Thorald, and Adam Feteplace as trustees to hold until Henry is of age. If Henry then wishes to quitclaim the road to the friars by his charter, then the 5 marks shall be paid to him, but if not, the 5 marks shall be returned to the friars, and Henry shall have his recovery as he ought to have. So without day.

733 Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Alice le Medere unjustly disseised Henry de Worth of a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford. Alice has not come, but Roger of Eynsham her bailiff comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Henry Kepeharm and Robert le Hattere, two of the recognitors, have not come, so they are in mercy. The jury say that Alice did not disseise him, so Alice without day and Henry in mercy.
Assize of nuisance to declare whether Henry Simeon unjustly raised a dike in Oxford to the nuisance of Alice la Mercer's free holding. The jury say that Henry did not raise the dike, since his father Henry raised it. So Henry without day and Alice in mercy.

Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Richard Siward, Adam le Estilier, Nigel le Corun, Geoffrey of Stockwell, and William le Sauser unjustly disseised Edith daughter of Gunnild of a messuage in the suburbs or Oxford.

Richard, Adam, and Nigel have not come, but Richard's bailiff comes and answers for them, and Geoffrey and William come and say nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richard and all the others except Geoffrey disseised her as the writ says, so let her recover her seisin by view, and all the others in mercy. Edith herself in mercy for a false claim against Geoffrey. She is poor. Damages 4 marks.

Walter le Poer and Edith his wife claim against William the Cutler a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Edith's right, into which William had no entry except by Gilbert Gofayre to whom Nicholas Gofayre, brother of Edith, whose heir she is, granted it for a term which has expired.

William comes and admits that he had entry by Gilbert, but not that Gilbert had entry by Nicholas, since in fact Gilbert bought the messuage and afterwards remained in seisin of it until he sold it to William. On this he places himself on the inquisition, and gives ½ mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of John Russi.

Walter and Edith come and acknowledge that Gilbert did indeed buy the messuage, and a long time afterwards he withdrew from it and gave it to Nicholas and put him in seisin, so that Nicholas held peacefully for 6 years, and afterwards when he saw Gilbert, his father, grow poor he had pity on him and granted him that messuage to hold for his life. And that it is so they place themselves on the inquisition and give ½ mark to have an inquisition; it is received, by surety of Henry le Sauser. Let there be an inquisition.

Simon Balehorn, Ralph Goldsmith, Geoffrey Gretun, Henry Wepere, John of Broughton, William Miller, William Cordwainer, Ellis Horlok, William the Cutler, John Crompe, Adam of Cuxham, Roger son of Miles, and Austin Gos come and say on their oath that Gilbert held that messuage all his life until he sold it to William. So it is adjudged that William go without day and Walter in mercy. He is poor.

Thomas of Hordley claims against Ralph le Brascur a messuage in Oxford as his right.

Ralph comes and says he ought not to answer this writ, since the writ for placing the plea before the justices says that the sheriff places the plea which was in his county court, and that plea was never in the county court. Thomas cannot deny it. So Ralph without day and Thomas in mercy.
738 Isolde widow of Ralph Aunfrey claims against William Aunfrey one third of 20s. 6d. rent in Oxford; and against Alan de Derham one third of a messuage in the same vill as her dower.
William Aunfrey comes and Alan comes by John de Derham his attorney, and they say she ought not to have her dower there since her husband was not seised of the rent or the messuage on the day he married Isolde or at any time afterwards so that he could dower her with them. They place themselves on the jury, and Isolde likewise. Let there be an inquisition.
Afterwards William and Isolde come and an agreement is reached. William gives \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark for licence to agree, by surety of John of Coleshill. Afterwards Isolde comes and seeks licence to withdraw from her writ, and has it. So without day.

739 Richard Bleuet and Mary his wife claim against Alan de Derham a messuage in Oxford as Mary’s right, in which Alan had no entry except by Gerard of Dorchester who only had it in wardship while Mary was under age.
Alan comes by John de Derham his attorney and says he ought not to answer them since if another person claims the messuage Richard and Mary are bound to warrant him, since Mary is the heir of Gerard of Dorchester, of whose gift Alan had the messuage, and he shows Gerard’s charter which witnesses to the gift.
Afterwards Richard and Mary come and withdraw themselves. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy namely William Bleuet and Walter of Upton.

740 Henry son of Lewyn claims against Alan Dudeling, whom Ralph Dudeling called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford, of which Robert his ancestor was seised in the time of the present king as of fee and right, taking profits to the value of \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark. From Robert, because he had no heirs of his body, the right descended to Lewyn his brother, and from Lewyn to Henry, who now claims, as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.
Alan comes and defends his right and says that he ought not to answer, since Robert, whose seisin Henry pleaded, was not seised of the messuage in own right, but in right of his wife Rose whose marriage portion the messuage was. Moreover he says that Henry is a villein, and so he places himself on the vill. He offers \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of Nicholas Erneburg.
Henry says the messuage was not Rose’s marriage portion, but Alan enfeoffed Robert of it. He offers the king \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark to have an inquisition, and it is received by surety [blank]
The jury say that the messuage was Rose’s marriage portion, and moreover Robert her husband sought an agreement with Roger Dudeling, who gave it in marriage with Rose, by which he made Robert a charter of feoffment. Moreover they say that Robert was a villein, and so was Lewyn his brother. So it is adjudged that Alan hold in peace and Henry in mercy for a false plea.
741 Robert Hella claims against Anastasia daughter of Henry a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right.

742 It is agreed between Robert of Kidlington and Alice la Mercer about an exchange of a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford which the abbot of Oseney recovered against Robert, that Robert give up for himself and his heirs to Alice and to Peter Bodin and to their heirs for ever all his right and claim in the messuage. In warranty and exchange Alice will give Robert 6 marks of silver which she will pay in rent at the next St. Botolph's fair. If she does not, she agrees that the bailiffs of Oxford shall do so from her chattels. Moreover Peter Thorold and Adam Cruste undertake to make payment at that term.

743 *Hunts.* Master Reynold, parson of the church of Paxton, appoints William of Wells or John of Paxton his attorney against the prior of St. Neots; plea of his reasonable estovers in the prior's wood in Brikendon. The same parson appoints the same attorney against Julian de Haya whom Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife called to warrant. The same parson appoints the same attorney against Michael of Berkhamstead and Senicla his wife, whom Julian called to warrant.

See nos. 62, 302, 667, 668.
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744 Alice widow of Richard of Stockwell claims against Robert, rector of the hospital of St. John the Baptist, Oxford, whom Gillian widow of Walter le Harenger called to warrant and who warranted her, a messuage in Oxford as her right, of which Alice was seised in King John's reign, taking profits worth ¼ mark.

Robert came and called to warrant Thomas of Aston and Gillian his wife, who come and call to warrant Roger Noyf, who is present and warrants him and calls to warrant Robert son of Simon, who is present and warrants them and calls to warrant Geoffrey of Stockwell, who comes and warrants him but says he has nothing of the inheritance of his father Richard except 12d. rent which he exchanged, as the extent shows, but he says nothing whereby Alice ought not to recover the messuage. So it is adjudged that Alice have her seisin.

745 Lettice widow of Robert le Ferur claims against Theodulf Hill a messuage in Oxford as her right, in which Theodulf had no entry except by Robert, Lettice's husband whom Lettice could not contradict in his lifetime, who demised it to him.

Theodulf comes and says he ought not to answer this writ because Lettice has elsewhere impeaded him by a writ of right for the same holding. He claims judgement. Lettice cannot deny this. So Theodulf without day, and Lettice in mercy. She is poor.

746 William of Faringdon and Denise his wife were summoned to warrant Walter of Wheatley ½ messuage in Oxford, for which he has his charter and whence Walter claims that Ralph de Salle and Alice his wife
recovered the messuage against him by William and Denise's default. So he calls them to warrant.
William and Denise come and say that they ought not to answer this writ, since on the day that the writ of warranty was sued out Walter was not in seisin of the holding. Moreover they have nothing whereby they ought to warrant him. Walter cannot deny this. So William and Denise without day, and Walter in mercy.

747 William son of Alan claims against Agnes widow of Alan the Fowler ½ messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which his father Alan was seised in the time of the present king, taking profits from it. From him the right descended to William as son and heir.
Agnes comes and says she ought not to answer the writ since if anyone else were to claim the ½ messuage against her, William is bound to warrant her, since she holds it as her free bench and he is her warrantor. William cannot deny this. So Agnes without day, and William in mercy.

748 Ralph...[blank]

749 Reynold of Harwell and Maud his wife claim against Henry Smartknave and Christine his wife ½ messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud's right, in which Henry and Christine had no entry except by William Smartknave to whom Christine widow of William le Bolter demised it, who only had custody of it while Maud was under age and in her wardship.
Henry and Christine come and call to warrant William Smartknave, who is present and warrants them, and says that he did not have entry into the land by Christine but by John son of William le Bolter, Maud's brother, who was seised of it after the death of William his father and who sold it to William Smartknave. He places himself on the vill of Oxford, and he offers the king ½ mark to have an inquisition and recovery, by surety of Geoffrey of Hagbourne.
Reynold and Maud say that William le Bolter, Maud's father, enfeoffed Maud with it and Christine her mother sold it to William, as she says. She places herself on the jury and offers ½ mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of [blank].

750 Adam chaplain of Woodstock gives ½ mark for licence to agree with Godfrey Boveton and Lettice his wife; plea of covenant about a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford; by surety of the same Godfrey.

751 William son of Hugh and Margery his wife, who brought a writ of warranty against John son of Henry and Christine his wife, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties in mercy, namely [blank].
 Afterwards it was discovered that that plea was finished.

752 The same Reynold and Maud [i.e. as in no. 749; nos. 750 and 751 have been inserted in the gap left for the completion of that plea.] claim against William Smartknave ½ messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud's right, in which William had no entry except by Christine widow of William le Bolter, who only had custody while Maud was under age.
William comes and answers as above. Let there be a jury on it. The jury say that John son of William le Bolter was seised of the ½ messuage and the ½ messuage after his father’s death and sold them to William Smartknave. William did not have entry by Christine. So it is adjudged that William go without day, and Reynold in mercy. He is poor.

753 Adam son of Hugh claims against Warin of Dorchester, whom Master Roger le Mason called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in Oxford as his right, of which Hugh his ancestor was seised in the time of the present king. From him the right descended to Adam who now claims.
Warin comes and calls to warrant John Pilet, who comes and warrants him and denies Adam’s right and says that Hugh, Adam’s father, never held the messuage in fee but for a term from year to year. He places himself on the jury. He offers the king ½ mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of Wiliam Burgeys. The jury say that Hugh was never seised of the messuage except for a term. So it is adjudged that Warin hold in peace, and Adam in mercy. He is poor.

754 Ellis le Sor claims against Henry Simeon a messuage in Oxford as his right, into which Henry has no entry except by Henry Simeon his father, to whom Roger le Sor, father of Ellis, granted it for a term which has expired.
Henry comes and calls to warrant his brother Stephen Simeon. Let him have him 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury by aid of the court.

755 Thomas Under Wall and Christine his wife are summoned to warrant John son of Mazelme a shop in Oxford for which he has Christine’s charter. Thomas and Christine come and warrant them [sic]. Be it known that Thomas son of Erneis impleaded John about the shop in the court of Oxford, wherefore he called them to warrant.

756 Agnes widow of Robert Bard and John le Carpenter and Agnes his wife, who brought a writ of entry against Thomas Under Wall over a messuage in Oxford, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Nicholas of Eynsham and Robert <Osbert> Baker.

757 Theodulf Hill, who brought a writ of warranty of charter about ¼ house in Oxford against Henry son of Robert, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John Waleis and William le Vaus.

758 Ralph of St. Frideswide and his sureties for prosecuting, namely Thomas of St. Edwards and Adam of St. Edwards, in mercy because they have not proceeded against the prior of St. Frideswide’s in a plea of warranty of charter over a messuage in Oxford.
<758a> Master William of Lichfield and his sureties for prosecuting, namely John of Stanley and Robert Rufus of Oxford, in mercy because he has not proceeded against Thomas de Suwell, scribe, plea of warranty of charter over a messuage in Oxford.

758b Henry the miller and his sureties for prosecuting, namely R. de Derham...

758c Oxon. Henry Simeon appoints Walter of Oseney his attorney against Ellis le Sor, plea of land.>

759 Names of the sheriffs since the last eyre: Godfrey of Crowcombe, John of Tew, Richard Siward, Paulinus Peyvre, William Hay.

760 Names of the coroners in the same period: Geoffrey Marmion, Richard Foliot, Nicholas de Nowers.

761 Chadlington Hundred. Ralph of Shipton, serjeant
   Electors: Roger Gulafre, sworn
               Robert de Cerceden, sworn
     Robert de Saucey, sworn               John of Charlton, sworn
     Savaray of Walcot, sworn               Geoffrey Cross, sworn
     Ralph Boveton, sworn                   Iwan le Breton, sworn
     Geoffrey de Columnnis, sworn           Hugh le Franklin, sworn
     Robert of Broadstone, sworn            Thomas of Fifield, sworn

762 Wootton Hundred. Robert of Wootton, serjeant
   Electors: Philip of Wootton, sworn
   [Gilbert de Hide-crossed out]            [Amisius of Cassington-crossed out]
     Philip of Whitehill, sworn              Simon Scorchebeef, sworn
     Robert of Aston, sworn                  Philip of Cotes, sworn
     Robert of Leigh, sworn                  Richard of Morton, sworn
     Robert de Brok, sworn                   [Peter de Stanes-crossed out]
     William son of Peter of Cassington, sworn        Roger Runcy, sworn
     Peter of Leigh, sworn

763 Ploughley Hundred. Matthew of Wootton, serjeant
   Electors: Hamon of St. Faith, sworn
               John le Poer, sworn
  Robert Purcel, sworn               Roger de Scaccario, sworn
  Richard of Middleton, sworn       John de Bereworth, sworn
  Simon of the same, sworn          William Falconer of Godington, sworn
  Guy of Tusmore, sworn              John Silvester of Souldern, sworn
  Walter Hilber, sworn               Thomas de Hay, sworn
764  Bloxham Hundred. William de Verdun, serjeant
    Electors: Ralph of Barford, sworn
              Henry de Lincheure, sworn
John Gulias, sworn        Ralph Young, sworn
Walter Clement, sworn     William de Port, sworn
Walter Humfrey, sworn     Robert Petit, sworn
Richard Whitfield, sworn  Richard Abbot, sworn
Richard son of Alan, sworn William Noble, sworn

765  Banbury Hundred. Omnibon [sic], serjeant
    Electors: Richard of Prescote
              Hugh of Cropredy
Thomas Smart, sworn       Robert de la Quarere, sworn
Walter of Walcot, sworn   Robert Harauld, sworn
Geoffrey of Shutford, sworn William son of Simon, sworn
Nicholas Danvers, sworn   William Danvers, sworn
John at Well, sworn       Andrew le Sage, sworn

766  Bullyingdon Hundred. William of Milcombe, serjeant
    Electors: Alexander of Combe, sworn
              Peter Foliot, sworn
Ralph of Sandford, sworn  Richard de la Wose, sworn
Robert de Luches, sworn   William Cornish, sworn
Hugh of Garsington, sworn Thomas Durand de Randendinur, sworn
Walter son of Pain of the same, sworn
William de Randendinur, sworn

767  Dorchester Hundred: Walter de Burton, serjeant
    Electors: Reinbald Cusin, sworn
              William of Woodcote, sworn
William Monk of Clifton, sworn Henry Cook de Bridecote, sworn
Geoffrey Carter of the same, sworn
William Franklin, sworn
Robert son of Gilbert le Franceis, sworn
John Cook of Dorchester, sworn
Alexander de la Breche, sworn
Adam Clerk of Clifton, sworn

768  Thame Hundred. Same Walter, serjeant
    Electors: Alan of Middleton, sworn
              Bartholomew Foliot, sworn
John le King, sworn        Bartholomew son of Richard, sworn
Geoffrey Bocke, sworn      Ralph le Franceis, sworn
Guy of Tusmore, sworn      Rannulph of Childworth, sworn
William son of Peter, sworn John of Chadlington, sworn
Roger Pede, sworn
John of Henley, sworn
769  Binfield Hundred. John Clerk, serjeant
  Electors: Walter at Water
  Ralph of Hardwick
  Ralph Young, sworn
  William le Franklin, sworn
  Peter de Barewe, sworn
  Richard Mansel, sworn
  Roger of Harpsden, sworn
  William Clerk of Dunsden, sworn

770  Lewknor Hundred. Same John, serjeant
  Electors: Simon de Wildehale, sworn
  Ralph de la Pole, sworn
  Gilbert Wace, sworn
  Simon of Chinnor, sworn
  Henry of Lewknor, sworn
  Thomas of Kingston, sworn
  Robert Hendred, sworn

771  Langtree Hundred. Same John, serjeant
  Electors: William de la Wike, sworn
  William Morin, sworn
  Ralph of Hardwick, sworn
  Robert of Mapledurham, sworn
  Nicholas of Mongewell, sworn
  Roger of Whitchurch, sworn
  John Munsorel, sworn

772  Pyrton Hundred. Same John, serjeant
  Electors: Ellis of Wheatfield, sworn
  Robert of Pyrton, sworn
  William at Water, sworn
  Richard of Woodcote, sworn
  William le Bret, sworn
  William Hingan, sworn
  Richard of Stonor, sworn

773  Benson Half Hundred. Same John, serjeant
  Electors: Peter son of Oliver, sworn
  William Wace, sworn
  Gilbert of Stanford, sworn
  William Selveyn, sworn
  Ralph Clerk of Rycote, sworn
  John Quatermain, sworn
  Richard de Rokele, sworn

774  Bampton Hundred: William of Shipton, serjeant
     Electors: William Amyot, sworn
               Walter le Plumer of Weald, sworn
  John le Brun, sworn          Peter Tiler, sworn
  Robert Marsh, sworn          Roger of Caswell, sworn
  William of Leach, sworn      John of Hinksey, sworn
  William Patericus, sworn     Henry of Fifield, sworn
  Robert de Grafton, sworn     Eustace of Ashall, sworn

775  [Honor of Wallingford. William de Godesfend, serjeant]

776  Chipping Norton Borough. Hugh son of Richard, bailiff
     Electors: John Serjeant, sworn
               Richard Clerk, sworn
  Henry Medicus, sworn         Walter Papa, sworn
  John Muriwred, sworn         William White, sworn
  Robert of Kent, sworn        Robert Wale, sworn
  Robert Makeblithe, sworn     Ralph Burgeys, sworn
  Roger Makeblithe, sworn      Richard Briton, sworn

777  Woodstock Borough. Robert le Turner, serjeant
     Electors: Richard le Despenser, sworn
               Henry le Masceres, sworn
  Andrew Caperun, sworn        William of Aylesbury, sworn
  Richard le Turner, sworn     Roger le Turner, sworn
  Richard le May, sworn        John Dyer, sworn
  William of Dunthrop, sworn   Richard Baker, sworn
  Richard le Turner the younger, sworn
  Walter Despenser, sworn

m. 20d.]

778  Deddington Borough. John Jukel, bailiff

779  Banbury Borough. John Dreng, bailiff

780  Burford Borough. Roger le Noble, bailiff

781  Witney Borough. Martin le Parmenter, bailiff

782  Henley Borough. William son of Estrild, bailiff
     Electors: Ralph de la Lake
               Thomas Karbonel

m. 21]  
Pleas of the Crown for the County of Oxford. Eyre of William of York,
provost of Beverley, and his associates, 1241.

The Hundred of Binfield comes by 12
Adam son of Robert of Chineham hit Amice daughter of Alice de Crochall on the head with a stick so that she died, and he fled at once. He was not in tithing, since he was under age, but he was of his father Robert's household, so Robert is in mercy. The vill of Shiplake did not pursue Adam when he fled, so in mercy. Adam is suspected of that death, so he is exacted and outlawed. He had no chattels.

Simon Forthmorewe appealed Miles Rol of breach of the king's peace and wounding, and he appealed William his son. Simon comes. Miles is dead, but William comes, and Simon does not wish to proceed against him, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Gilbert del Frith; the other surety has died. The jury say that William is guilty of the violence, so he is to be taken into custody. He makes fine for $\frac{1}{2}$ mark, by surety of Edmund Harding and Gilbert del Frith. Afterwards Simon comes and makes fine for himself and his sureties for 10s.; sureties Henry Slepy of Shiplake and Richard Corok of the same.

Evildoers came to Thomas of Englefield's house and killed him and bound Geoffrey and Sewal his servants. They come and are not suspected. William Reel, charged with that death, comes and denies it and places himself on the jury. The jury say he is not guilty, so he is acquitted. No one else is suspected. Alice, Thomas's daughter, was attached for that death and has not come, so she and her sureties in mercy, namely Hugh Young of Shiplake and Roger at le Riding. It is not known who killed Thomas. No Englishry was presented, so murder.

Walter Wigayn killed John de Hara Mara and fled. So he is exacted and outlawed. The vill of Mapledurham Gurnay did not pursue him, so in mercy. Walter was not in tithing, but in Geoffrey Chausy's household, so Geoffrey is in mercy. Afterwards evidence is given that Walter was outlawed by suit of Richard Carter in the county court. Since that court cannot show that Walter was outlawed according to the law of the land, it is in mercy. Walter's chattels 12d. for which let the heirs of Hugh de Gurnay answer. Afterwards evidence is given that Geoffrey de Chausy has died, so nothing from him. To the judgement of the county.

Alan the cook killed Ellis, Maud de Gurnay's doorkeeper, and fled, so he was exacted and outlawed. Richard Mansel, charged with the death, fled and is suspected, so he is exacted and outlawed. Brian, Maud's functionary, Emma her laundress, and Richard her hayward were guilty of that death and fled, so exacted and outlawed. Alan was not in tithing but in Maud's household, as were all the others except Richard the hayward, so she is in mercy. None of them had chattels. The vill of Mapledurham Gurnay did not present the plea at the county court, so in mercy. The coroners witness that Jordan de Bideford pledged to have Richard Mansel before the justices, so in mercy. Afterwards Richard comes, and he is a clerk and is delivered to [Robert Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln. Error.
Afterwards Ellis came and appeared before the justices, and since the court established that he is the same man who was presented as killed, nothing of the whole plea, and all those accused of the death may come back. The 12 jurors of the vill of Mapledurham Chazey and Mapledurham Gurnay in mercy for a false presentment.

First paragraph crossed out in MS.

788 Rose widow of Robert King and Maud her sister killed Robert and fled. So exacted and outlawed. They had no chattels. No one else is suspected. Linota, Robert's sister, first finder, has died.

789 The jurors say that the church of Henley is in the king's gift. Nicholas de Neville holds it; it is worth 40 marks.

790 Of defaulters they say that the earl marshal, the bishop of Salisbury, Robert Danvers, Gilbert Warin, John Lecchebrock, Hugh of Whitchurch, Robert de Gray, and Robert Braunt did not come on the first day, so all in mercy.

791 Peter Gurlewambe was hanged for theft at Mapledurham by the justices for gaol delivery. He had chattels worth 71s. for which let William Hay, the sheriff, answer.

The Borough of Henley comes by 12

792 Unknown evildeors killed Reynold Carter of Sonning next to 'Essindene' wood. William son of Jordan, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. It is not known who the killers were. No Englishry presented, so murder.

793 Geoffrey son of Philip appeals Sampson the tailor of Henley that on Monday before St. Mary Magdalen's day [16 July] 1240 he came to him as he went into his house in Henley and wounded him on the head with a stick and afterwards he wounded him in the upper part of his right arm with a dagger, and stole 13½d. and a silver button in a leather purse. That he did this wrongly and feloniously and in breach of the peace he offers to prove on his body as the court may decide. Sampson comes and denies the breach of the peace, felony, robbery, and everything, and offers to deny it on his body as the court may decide. Since it is demonstrated that the wounds were seen when they were fresh, and the pursuit was properly carried out, it is adjudged that there be a duel between them. Sampson gives surety to deny and Geoffrey surety to prove. Sampson's sureties are Robert Braunt, Roger de Scaccario, John the Fleming, Robert of Hendred, and Peter Barewe. Geoffrey is in custody until he finds sureties. Afterwards he found sureties, Roger of Harpsden, Richard Danvers serjeant of the Bench, William of Golder, and Robert de la Greyhorne.

Afterwards Geoffrey came and withdrew his appeal. Evidence was given that there was collusion; so in custody. Afterwards Sampson came and made fine for himself and his sureties, and for Geoffrey and his sureties, for 40s. by surety of Robert Braunt, Reynold Foster, Robert de Badhal,
Robert of Hendred, Geoffrey son of Philip of Hendred, and Henry Wanred. Day given them, Monday before St. Philip and St. James [1 May], and let them come armed.

The Hundred of Ploughley comes by 12

794 Alice of Kingham stole a tunic at Newton Purcel and fled to the church there. She admitted the theft and abjured the realm. She had no chattels.

795 Walter de Culerne, who has died, appealed Walter Stubbe of Finmere of robbery and wounding and breach of the king’s peace. Walter Stubbe had not come, so in mercy, and his sureties in mercy, namely Reynold de Frayn and Richard de Grenville. He appealed Roger Gray of Buckingham of the same. Roger has not come, so he and his sureties in mercy, namely Laurence le Brun, and all the other sureties have died.

796 Richard Brun of Godington, charged with the death of Maud daughter of Robert Cook of Chetwode who was found killed in Barton Hartthorn field in Buckinghamshire, comes and places himself on a jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say that he is not guilty of the death, so he is acquitted.

797 Walter of Langton who lives at Stoke Lyne, charged with sheep stealing, withdrew himself, and he is not suspected, so let him return if he wishes.

798 Hugh of Swinerton was crushed to death by a beam. Walter of Swinerton, first finder, comes and is not suspected. Misadventure. The beam was worth 12d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

799 The jury say that Joan Arsic, daughter of Robert Arsic, holds £7½ worth of land in Somerton of the king in chief, and she is in the king’s gift. Alexandra her sister holds £7½ worth of land in the same vill, likewise of the king in chief, and she is in the king’s gift and has married Thomas de Haya. Afterwards evidence is given that Joan has married Stephen Simeon. So the sheriff is ordered to take all the land she holds of the king in chief into the king’s hand.

800 Henry le Punter of Horton who lives at Caversfield, Bucks., accused of many thefts, withdrew himself. He is suspected, so exacted and outlawed. He had no chattels in the county. He was first received at Horton outside frankpledge, and afterwards in the vill of Caversfield. So the vill is in mercy.

801 Robert son of Goldeburg of Kirtlington was crushed to death by a branch which fell on him. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. Verdict, misadventure. The branch is worth 1d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.
Richard of Prescote holds 1 hide in Bletchingdon of the king in chief by serjeanty of carrying the spit for the king's dinner. It is worth 20s. a year.


Gilbert Basset holds the vill of Kirtlington of the land of the Normans; it is worth £20 a year.


William Legwyn of Bainton, his stepson Henry, and William Annor, were accused of theft and receiving stolen goods. They come and deny the theft and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are all acquitted.

Defaults The jurors say that Roger son of Richard of Fritwell, Richard de Clifford of Lillingstone, James le Sauvage of the same, Azo le Sauvage of the same, Alexander of Swinerton, Maurice de Aundley, William son of Amaury of Wendlebury, Gilbert Basset, William de Ranleye, Robert Dayvill, Ralph de Pichesthorn, the abbot of Woburn, the abbot of Missenden, the abbot of Westminster, and Rober de Aumary did not come on the first day.

The jurors say that Ralph de Carvill holds of the vill of Lillingstone of the land of the Normans; it is worth 100s. a year. The king is patron of the church there.

They say that Hugh of St. Martins holds of the vill of Lillingstone [Lovel] of the king by serjeanty; it is worth £10 a year.


Rose de Verdun is in the king's gift. Since the 12 jurors have not presented that, to the judgement.

The jurors say that Rannulf parson of Finmere made an encroachment on the king's highway in Finmere, so in mercy.

The Hundred of Pyrton comes by 12

Maud daughter of Aylwine of Pyrton appealed Thomas of Fifield of rape, and now she comes and appeals Thomas of lying with her by force in Watlington and deflowering her. Thomas comes and denies force and rape and everything. Since the coroners witness that she did not bring her suit against him at once, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and she is in mercy. Let there by an inquiry by jury. The jurors say that Thomas is not guilty, so he is acquitted and Maud committed to gaol.
811 Of serjeanties they say that Thomas le Napper holds 1 yardland in Pishill by serjeanty of yielding to the king a napkin worth 3s. or else 3s. a year.


812 John Fisher of Wallingford, Adam Feyrbek of Chalgrove, and John son of Gunnild of Shirburn, accused of several thefts, withdrew themselves and are suspected, so they are exacted and outlawed. Adam was in frankpledge of Henry son of Reynold of Golder, so in mercy. He had no chattells. John Fisherman was not in tithing since he lived at Clapcot in Berkshire. John son of Gunnild was in the tithing of Hugh the reeve of Shirburn, so in mercy. He had no chattells.

813 Hugh of Swincombe, John son of Ralph of Cotes, and Hugh Heruy of Shirburn, accused of theft, come. Hugh of Swincombe and John come and deny the theft and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted. Hugh Heruy comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say they only suspect him of stealing hens and corn in harvest and such small thefts. So the sheriff is ordered to take pledges from him.

814 William of Golder appealed Giles de Stibbeneye of breaking the king’s peace and of felony, and prosecuted him. Giles has not come. He was attached by Ellis of Wheatfield, so in mercy. William is told to prosecute him in the county court when he is attached or outlawed, if he wishes.

815 Of defaults they say that the abbot of Notley, Richard de Pyrun, Philip de Beaumont, Amaury de Sulham, Thomas le Napper, John Bretasch, and Ralph Coby did not come on the first day.

The Borough of Deddington comes by 12

816 Robert Smith of Deddington was taken at Deddington with stolen cloth, and afterwards he escaped from the town prison and fled to the church, and he admitted the theft and abjured the realm. John of Tew, then sheriff, took 100s. from the vill for that escape. So it is to be discussed. Robert was in the tithing of Walter Thurugod in Deddington, so in mercy. Robert’s chattells worth 4s. 10d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

817 Richard le Enveyse stole some wax in Deddington church and fled to that church and would not leave. He admitted the theft and abjured the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a clerk. He had no chattells.

818 The jurors say that Richard Buckechike and William of Tysoe and Adam le Draper sold cloth contrary to the king’s assize. So in mercy.

819 They say that the church of Deddington was in the king’s gift; now they do not known whether it is in the king’s gift or Ralph Hareng’s.
The Hundred of Benson comes by 12

820 Walter son of Adam of Chalgrove hit Henry le Harperon the head with a stick so that he died, and fled. So exacted and outlawed. And he was outlawed in the county court by suit of Joan wife of Henry le Harper. He was in the tithing of Adam Blacberd of Chalgrove, so in mercy. he had no chattels. The vill of Chalgrove did not pursue him, so in mercy. No Englishry, so murder.

821 John son of Geoffrey Bertram killed Thomas son of Stephen of Britwell and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was receivèd in the vill of Holcombe out of frankpledge, so in mercy. Miles Kachepappe was attached for that death by John Triete and his whole tithing in Berrick [?]Salome], and he has not come, so he and the whole tithing in mercy. David le Brid, William son of Stephen, Robert son of Wluric, Thomas his brother, Richard Butcher, William de Hegge, and Peter son of Wimarc were on the spot when Thomas was killed, and Ingelard de Cigogne, the bailiff, was ordered to attach them and did not attach them, so in mercy. Afterwards Miles Kachepappe came and denied the death and everything and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. And the jurors say that Miles first hit Walter Carter, so that the fight in which Thomas was killed arose through him, but Miles did not hit Thomas or lay hands on him. So it is adjudged Miles be acquitted. No one else is suspected of that death. John had no chattels. Since the vill of Newington did not pursue him, it is in mercy.

822 A boy was found dead between Cadwell and Brightwell Baldwin. Maud his mother, who first found him, comes. No one is suspected of his death. No Englishry, so murder.

823 Four men of Rycote were drowned from a boat in the river Thame. No one is suspected. Verdict, misadventure. Price of the boat 12d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. Deodand.

824 A small boy was dragged to death by a calf to whose tail he had tied his belt. Maud his mother, first finder, comes. No one is suspected of that death. Verdict, misadventure. Price of the calf 18d.; given to Maud because it was her calf.

m. 22.]

825 Thomas Blundel appeals Simon Foot that as he was in the vill of Milton on the Sunday before St. Nicholas’s day [2 December] 1240 he hit him a blow on the head with an axe and took from him by force and robbery a silver clasp worth 8d. and an axe worth 5d. That he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king’s peace he offers to prove on his body, as the court shall award.
The same Thomas appeals Roger brother of Ellis le Messer of Rycote that on the same day at the same time and in the same place he hit him on the head with an iron fork (and on the hand with a stick so that he is now maimed) and was in league with Simon in the robbery done him. That he
did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king’s peace he offers to prove as a maimed man, if the court considers he is maimed, if not, on his body.

The same Thomas appeals Ralph de Frayn that on the same day at the same time in the same place he hit him on the right side of the head with an axe and beat him with a stick under the feet (and on his arms so that he was maimed) and he was in league with Simon and Roger to rob him. That he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king’s peace he offers to prove as a maimed man, or on his body.

Simon comes and denies wounding and robbery and breach of the king’s peace and everything, and offers to deny it on his body, if the court so judges. He says that Thomas has not made a reasonable suit against him, that he changed his appeal, and now appeals otherwise than he did in the county court. Since it is found that Thomas had followed his suit sufficiently and shown his fresh wounds, and it is found that he had not changed his appeal, it is adjudged that there be a duel between them and that Simon give surety for denial and Thomas give surety for proof. Simon’s sureties are Thomas Kemp of Rycote, Ralph le Palmer of the same, Hugh Blund of the same, Nicholas son of Roger of the same. Since Simon could not find more sureties he is in custody. Likewise Roger and Ralph who are appealed of mayhem.

Afterwards Thomas comes and withdraws himself altogether from his appeal, and evidence is given that there was an agreement. So Thomas and the others in custody. Afterwards Simon and Roger and Ralph make fine for themselves and Thomas and his sureties, 6 marks, by surety of Geoffrey of Stockwell, Henry son of Robert of Ewelme and Gilbert Wace of Eye.

826 Evildoers came by night to the house of the dean of Haseley and wounded the dean and killed Thomas Gernun, husband of the dean’s daughter, and fled. William Joscelin and Robert Spilwater, charged with that death, fled and are suspected, so exacted and outlawed. They were not in tithing since they were vagrants and foreigners. Nor were any chattels found.

Margery the dean’s daughter was attached for that death by William Despenser of Haseley and Roger Maynard of the same. Margery has not come but has withdrawn herself, so her sureties in mercy. The 12 jurors say that they suspect her of that death, so exacted and waived. She had no chattels. No one else is suspected.

827 Master Vincent Cornwall, once a scholar of Oxford, was killed at Benson at the house of Alice of Hackney. Hugh Wither, Austin his carter, and Siward Hugh’s man were hanged for that death before the justices of gaol delivery. John of Middleton and Hugh son of Richard of Middleton and Alice of Moreton are suspected of that death, so exacted and outlawed, and Alice waived. Evidence is given that Alice of Moreton was taken for that death by Ingelard de Cigogne the bailiff, who sent her, by surety of Robert Basset parson of Moreton, into Berkshire. Since he has not got her, in mercy.

And afterwards William Hay the sheriff came, and because of this took without warrant all Robert’s chattels in Moreton and led them into
Oxford castle, so the sheriff in mercy. Since Ingelard sent Alice away by
surety of Robert Basset without warranty, he is in mercy.
The chattels of Hugh who was hanged 26s. for which let William Hay the
sheriff answer. None of the others had any chattels.
Afterwards the said Robert came and claimed his chattels, and the sheriff
came and said that after Vincent’s death he, by inquisition made on
the king’s orders, found out that Alice of Hackney, whose chattels those
were, was guilty of that death, and so he seised the chattels into the
king’s hand and sent them away into the house where the chattels were
for half a year, and since no one claimed them by surety, he took them
into Oxford castle. To judgement.

828 An unknown man was found dead in Drayton [St. Leonard] on the
abbot of Dorchester’s land. No one is suspected; no Englishry, so
murder. The 12 jurors did not present any finder on their roll, so to
judgement of 12.

829 Adam Bluen narrowed the king’s road next to his fishpond in
Ewelme, and so Adam is in mercy. The sheriff is ordered to amend the
road by view of law-worthy men.

830 Thomas son of Robert was kicked to death by a horse. No one is
suspected; verdict misadventure. Price of the horse 4s. for which let
William Hay the sheriff answer. Since the coroner did not have the price
of the horse on his roll, to the judgement of the coroner.

831 The jurors say that the church of Chalgrove is in the king’s gift,
and Master John Romanus, subdean of York, holds it of the gift of King
Richard; it is worth 40 marks.

832 Andrew le Sopere of Ewelme threw a stick at a hen and hit a boy so
that he died, and he fled to the church and acknowledged the crime and
abjured the realm. He was in the tithing of Simon Blund of Ewelme, so
in mercy. He had no chattels.

833 Robert of Wendlebury, whom Geoffrey Bernard called to warranty
about goods stolen within the liberty of Thame, was taken and
imprisoned in the abbot of Battle’s prison at Crowmarsh [Gifford]. He
escaped from there. So to judgement of escaping. Robert is exacted and
outlawed. His tithing and chattels are unknown. It is said that he lived at
Compton in Berkshire, so let enquiry be made there.

834 Emelota le Pape appealed Robert Nel that he slept with her by
force and deflowered her, and now she comes and prosecutes him. He
has not come, and he was attached by William Pole Perle of Oxford
and William Morin of the same. So the sureties in mercy. Emelota is told
to prosecute him in the county court if she wishes anyone outlawed or
attached.
The jury present that Ingelard de Cigogne distrained the free men of Benson hundred who owe no suit to the king’s mill of Benson and used not to do any suit there. So let enquiry be made.

The jury say there are no defaulters in the hundred.

The Hundred of Wootton comes by 12

Richard the Priest and William le Fayre, indicted for theft by William le Nocce who acknowledges that he is a thief, come and deny theft and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect them, so Richard and William are acquitted. William le Nocce was sent to London and there hanged. He had no chattels.

Thomas de Sutteford and Richard his brother, arrested for the death of Martin son of John chaplain of Cogges who was crushed to death by a stone in Cogges, come and deny the death and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. And the vill of Cogges did not present that plea at the county court, so in mercy. The jurors say that they are not guilty of that death, so Thomas and Richard are acquitted. The 12 jurors foolishly and falsely presented that plea, so in mercy.

Agnes daughter of William Carpenter appealed Walter le Wayder that he slept with her by force. She has not come, nor did she find pledge or surety, so they are to be taken. Walter has not come, and he was attached by Richard of Combe in North Leigh, so in mercy. The other surety has died. The 12 jurors say Walter is guilty, so in mercy.

Maud daughter of Alice Cook appealed Peter son of Stephen Simeon of force and rape, and now she comes and prosecutes. He has not come, nor was he attached since he is of the vill of Oxford. Maud is told to prosecute him in the county court until he is attached or outlawed. Maud has claimed the king’s peace of Peter, let her have it.

Three clerks were walking through the vill of Slape and a dispute arose between one of them, who was called Robert son of the reeve of Billesley, and Peter Foster. Robert hit Peter with an axe on the head so that he died, and Robert fled to Glynpton church and admitted the crime and abjured the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a clerk. He had no chattels. No one else is suspected. The other two clerks, Adam at Well and Richard Cotun, and Richard de Alton their servant who was there when Peter was killed, come and the 12 jurors say that they are not guilty of that death, so they are acquitted.

m. 22d.]

William Hunger of Witney stole sheep and fled to Cogges church and admitted the theft and abjured the realm; nothing is known of his chattels or tithing, since he lived in the liberty of Witney; let enquiry be made there.
William of Lyneham was crushed to death by a cart. William son
of Richard, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else.
Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart and the 2 horses in it 12s. for
which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

Roger Underwood appealed William le Messer of breaking his arm
and cutting his sinews. William has not come, nor was he attached since
the crime has just been committed. So Roger is told to prosecute him in
the county court until William is attached or outlawed.

Maud daughter of William Young appealed Hugh son of Guy of
rape. Now she comes and does not prosecute him, nor did she find surety
or pledge. Hugh comes. The jurors say that Hugh is not guilty, nor has
there been an agreement. So Maud is in mercy. She is poor.

Erneis del Frith, Robert the clerk, and John del Frith were killed
between Eynsham and Hanborough. It is not known who killed them.
No Englishry, so murder.

Geoffrey of Haddon was drowned at Eynsham. The first finder
comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so murder.

Geoffrey Spragin fell from a boat into the water at Godstow and
drowned. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else.
No Englishry so murder.

John son of Adam of Hanborough killed Gillian his wife and fled,
and he was received in the vill of Hanborough outside frankpledge, so in
mercy. He had no chattels.

Adam le Cuver was crushed to death by a cart. The first finder
comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement,
misadventure. Afterwards evidence was given that he was killed by a cart
in which there were 3 barrels and 1 horse, price 9s. 3d., for which let
William Hay the sheriff answer.

William brother of Robert the shepherd of Wolvercote found
Robert his brother killed in Wolvercote field. He has not come, so he and
his sureties in mercy, namely Walter of Leigh and Richard son of Maud
of Yarnton. Richard Gambun of Kidlington was suspected of that death
and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Henry
Pigacce of Kidlington, so in mercy. He had no chattels.
Cf. no. 864.

The jurors say that Wolvercote chapel is in the king's gift, and
Pontius de Ponte holds it of the king's gift. It belongs to the church of
St. Peter in the East, Oxford, and St. Peter's and its chapels are worth 40
marks a year.
852 Alice daughter of Eustace was crushed to death by a cart. Maud her mother, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart 4s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

853 Henry le Hunte, John Miller of Barford, and Richard son of Richard Wret fled to the church of Hensington and admitted they were thieves and abjured the realm. They had no chattels. John was received in the vill of Barford outside frankpledge, so in mercy. The other two were not in tithing since they were clerks.

See no. 878.

854 Richard son of Odo of Barton, arrested for the death of John son of Panye, comes and denies the death and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty of that death, so acquitted. And Geoffrey Ayulred, charged with the same death, withdrew himself. The jurors say that Geoffrey wounded John in a dispute between Richard son of Odo and John. But they say that John was cured of that wound a long time before he died, so they do not suspect Geoffrey of his death. So let him return if he wishes and be under surety.

855 William Colhop stole 3 lambs in the fields of Bletchingdon and Richard son of Odo took him and imprisoned him. William escaped from prison. So to judgement for escaping. Evidence is given that Richard paid 100s. for that escape, that is 50s. to John de Plescy the sheriff and 50s. to Robert of Wootton bailiff of the hundred, who acknowledge it. William fled to Steeple Barton church and abjured the realm. He was received in the vill of Islip outside frankpledge, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

856 Henry Ferthing of Steeple Barton killed Gunnild his wife in Steeple Barton and fled. (So exacted and outlawed.) He was received in Steeple Barton outside frankpledge, so in mercy. No one else is suspected of the crime. He had no chattels.

857 Robert Miller of Steeple Barton was crushed to death by an oak. Blanche his wife, first finder, comes and. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Nothing for the oak because no one would buy it.

858 William Russel appealed William Pudding (the younger), Geoffrey of Sape, Richard Parker, Nicholas Foster, and John Foster of breach of the king's peace and felony. Now he comes and will not prosecute them. So in custody, and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William of Ludwell and Robert Prat of Wootton. None of those appealed comes except Geoffrey. William Pudding was attached by Reynold Foster of Wootton and Peter son of Hugh of the same, and Richard Parker by John Buttercake of Woodstock and William Pudding the elder. (So in mercy), and John Foster by the said Geoffrey of Sape. Afterwards John came and said nothing of his sureties; Nicholas was not found. Evidence
was given that there was collusion and that William Pudding and the others were guilty of that felony, so *in mercy*.

859 Richard son of Rufus appealed Peter Rufus son of Zachary of robbery and felony. Richard has not come since Peter was *outlawed* at Richard’s suit in the county court. Hugh of Glympton appealed Robert, servant of the said Zachary, who was *outlawed* by suit of the said Hugh. Alan Cook was *outlawed* for the same by suit of Peter Gross. Peter Rufus (and all the others appealed) were not in tithing in that county since they were from Staffordshire. Nor is anything known of their chattels. So nothing.

860 The jurors say that Richard de Harcourt holds Stanton Harcourt in chief of the king by service of ¼ knight’s fee and by serjeanty of collecting ivy in winter for the king’s beasts and mowing the king’s meadow under Everswell and making hay. It is worth £40 a year. Henry de la Wande holds £8 worth of land in the same vill by service of keeping the king’s falcons.

861 Hugh le Devenays appeals Philip son of Robert le Waleis that on the Wednesday before Whitsun 3 years ago [19 May 1238] he came to Glympton to the manor of William de Mohun who is Hugh’s wardship, and broke his lord’s fishpond and fished there with others and carried off his lord’s fish by force. That he did this wickedly and in felony and against the king’s peace he offers to prove on his body. Philip comes and denies the felony and breach of the king’s peace and everything and he claims judgement exculpating him. Since it is adjudged that the appeal is null to put Philip to law, Hugh is *in mercy*. Let the jurors enquire into the facts. The 12 jurors say that Philip is not guilty, so he is acquitted and Hugh committed to *gaol*.

862 Fulk son of Peter of Kidlington fell under a cart and was crushed to death by its wheel. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart 4s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. *Deodand* 4s.

863 Richard de Camera fell under the wheel of a mill in Kidlington and was crushed to death. The first finder comes and is not suspected; nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the wheel ½ mark, for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. *Deodand* ½ mark.

864 Richard Gambun was suspected of the death of a shepherd killed in Wolvercote fields, and of robbery, and he fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Henry Pigace of Kidlington, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

Cf. no. 850.

865 About encroachments they say that the prior of St. Frideswide’s obstructed part of the river Cherwell by a weir which he enlarged so that boats could not cross it as they used to. To judgement of the prior, and *enquire* into the obstruction.
Of prizes by sheriffs and bailiffs they say that John of Tew, when he was sheriff of Oxfordshire, took 5 marks from the vill of Kidlington, against the vill’s will, because a man was found dead in the vill’s marsh. Reynold of Wroxton, John’s servant, took \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark for the same, and William le Megre took \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark on the same occasion. So to judgement. The jurors witness that it is true that an unknown man was found killed there. Since it was not known who he was no Englishry was presented, so murder. The vill of Kidlington did not present the case at the county court, so in mercy.
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William of Feckenham fled to Tackley church and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He had no chattels, nor was he in tithing since he was a foreigner.

William Belche was suspected of the theft of pigs and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Richard Thedric of Whitehill, so in mercy. His chattels 20s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

Reynold of Northampton passed through Rousham and fled to the church there and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was not in frankpledge since he was a vagrant. His chattels 5d. for which let the sheriff answer.

Thomas Buffin, charged with stealing 2 colts, comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty, so he is acquitted. They say that Henry Peyngnant was suspected of that theft and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of John le Esquire in Middle Aston, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

Of serjeanties they say that Paulinus the king’s caterer holds \( \frac{1}{2} \) hide of land in Middle Aston by serjeanty of acting as the king’s doorkeeper. It is worth [blank] a year.

Whole entry crossed out.


The same Thomas Buffin holds \( \frac{1}{2} \) hide and 2s. rent in Middle Aston by serjeanty of serving in the king’s army for 40 days at his own expense. If he serves for more than 40 days it will be at the king’s expense. It is worth 2 marks.

See Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure*, 27.

Paulinus the king’s caterer holds \( \frac{1}{2} \) hide and 30s. rent in Middle Aston of the gift of Geoffrey son of William and Gunnor his wife. Geoffrey and Gunnor held it of the king’s gift by serjeanty of being keeper of the king’s chamber door. It is worth 4 marks a year. So to judgement.

See Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure*, 27.
874 Of defaulters they say that William of Stoke, Gunter of Stoke, Robert Stoyl, Geoffrey Despenser, Andrew de Houton, William de Mohun, Thomas son of Robert of Kidlington, John de Pewton, Gilbert le Esquire, the earl of Arundel, Baldwin de Ver, Roger de Sumery, John of Barford, and Nicholas de Moels did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

875 Reynold servant of Hugh of Tew appeals Robert le May that on the Tuesday before Epiphany [5 January] 1238 he came to him in Duns Tew and hit him and wounded him with an axe in the head so that he knocked him to the ground. And that he did this wickedly and in felony and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Robert comes and denies breach of the peace and felony and everything. He offers to deny it on his body. Since the suit was sufficiently made and the fresh wound was seen by the coroner, it is considered that there should be a duel between them, and that Robert should give surety of denial and Reynold of proof. Reynold's sureties: Robert son of Ralph, Henry of St. Paul, Hugh of Tew, and Hugh the Butler. Robert is in custody.
A day is given, Thursday the day after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [2 May], and let them come armed.

876 The same Reynold appeals Hugh the Priest that on the same day, hour, and place he wounded him twice with a dagger, once in the right arm and once in the left thigh, and robbed him of 32d. of his lord's money. And that he did this wickedly and feloniously, and against the king's peace, he offers to prove on his body.
Hugh comes.

877 The same Reynold appeals Richard son of Roger and Roger his father of force.

878 John of Barford, Richard son of Richard Miller, and John Miller killed Nicholas Miller of Bodicote and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing since he a was a clerk; he had no chattels. Richard and John Miller fled to Hensington church and admitted the death and abjured the realm, as appears above.
See no. 853.

879 Thomas son of Peter of Newington killed Henry son of Richard of the same and fled. So exacted and outlawed; and he was in the tithing of Osbert of Newington in South Newington, so in mercy. His chattels 18s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

880 The jurors say that Nicholas de Moels holds of the king in chief the manor of Over Worton by service of a pair of gold spurs; he used to hold by serjeanty of keeping the king's falcon. It is worth £10 a year.
881 William son of Robert of Nether Worton holds 7½ yardlands in Nether Worton by serjeanty of carrying the pennon before the hundred in the king's army for 40 days at his own expense, and if he serves more than 40 days it is at the king's expense. It is worth 2 marks a year. See E. G. Kimball, Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England, 74.

882 William at Well, accused of theft, comes and denies the theft and everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say they suspect him of many thefts. So he is to be hanged. He had no chattels.

883 Wymarc wife of Adam Avenel appeals Maud wife of Richard Furel that she hit her on the womb when she was pregnant so that she miscarried. Wymarc has not come, and she found sureties for prosecuting, Warin of Eynsham and Alan of Somerford. So in mercy. Maud comes. The jury say she is not guilty, nor was there collusion, so Maud is acquitted.

884 Peter of Leigh, charged with the death of Erneis del Frith who was killed between Eynsham and Hanborough, and with carrying off about 18 cartloads of Erneis's corn at midnight, comes and denies the death and everything, and gives £10 to have an enquiry, by surety of Ralph de Saucey, John le Brun, John le Mire, Henry Pipard, Gilbert de Hide, Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph of Barford, Roger Gulafre, Robert of Sarsden, William of St. Owen, Hugh the Butler, Stephen of Northmoor, John le Rus, and Adam Dreng. The 12 jurors say that Peter is not guilty of Erneis's death, nor do they know who killed him, but they say that Peter carried off Ralph's [sic] corn after he was killed. So it is adjudged that Peter be acquitted and in mercy for trespass. Since the 12 jurors did not present it in their presentment, they are in mercy for concealment. To judgement of 12 Alexander del Frith, charged with the same death, denies it and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say he is not guilty, so he is acquitted.

The Hundred of Lewknor comes by 12

885 Nicholas son of William of Stokenchurch appeals Ralph son of Richard of the same of breach of the king's peace and wounding. Nicholas has not come, and he found sureties for prosecuting, Nicholas Mut of Pophley [in Stokenchurch] and John his brother. So in mercy. Ralph comes, and the jurors say he is not guilty, nor was there collusion, so Ralph is acquitted.

886 Erneis Thrucke of "Turesye" was suspected of the death of Auger of Aston, killed at Thame, and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing in the county because he lived at "Turesye" in Buckinghamshire. Nothing is known of his chattels. No Englishry, so murder.
887 Hervey de Paterhull, the abbot of Thame’s hayward, killed Simon son of Thurstan and fled; judgement, exacted and outlawed. He was in the household of the abbot of Thame, so in mercy. The vill of Sydenham did not pursue him, so in mercy.
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888 Hugh le Sinker of Tythrop fled to Kingsey church and recognized that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was in the tithing of Richard son of William of Tythrop, so in mercy. His chattels 4s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

889 Robert Gangy was hanged at Aylesbury for theft. He had chattels worth 12d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

890 Roald son of Alan, who appealed Henry de Scaccario of breach of the king’s peace, came and withdrew himself. The jury say there has been collusion, so both in mercy. Neither of them found sureties.

891 Of escheats they say that Roger de Quincy, earl of Winchester, holds Chinnor and Sydenham which were lands of the Normans; they are worth £25.

892 Of serjeanties they way that Roger de Scaccario holds land in Aston Rowant by serjeancy of being usher at the king’s exchequer; it is worth 20s. a year.


893 Richard of King’s Walden, taken at Emmington with stolen cloth, comes and says he is a clerk, and he says that Ralph Scherewind of Emmington raised the hue and cry against him in Emmington out of hatred and malice. The whole vill of Emmington together with Ralph, charged him with having stolen a bundle of cloths. The jurors are asked whether they suspect Richard, and they say on their oath that he is not guilty, but Ralph charged him out of hatred and malice. So Richard is acquitted and Ralph committed to gaol. Since the vill of Emmington falsely presented that Richard stole the cloth, in mercy.

894 Ralph Evening of Henton fled for the death of a Buckinghamshire man, and he is suspected. So exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing in the county. His chattels 10s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

895 Geoffrey son of Gilbert of Lewknor, Ralph de Frayn, and Matthew miller of Henton, charged with theft and with receiving stolen goods, withdrew themselves. Ralph is not suspected, so let him return if he wishes. Geoffrey and Matthew are suspected, so exacted and outlawed. Matthew was in the tithing of Robert de Cadamo <Kam> in Henton, so in mercy. He had no chattels. Geoffrey was in the tithing of William Godwyn of Lewknor, so in mercy. He had no chattels.
Robert Smith of Stokenchurch and Hugh Ploughman of Crowell, charged with theft and receiving stolen goods, come and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted.

Of defaulters they say that Paulinus Peyvre, Robert Bussard of Sydenham, the abbot of Abingdon, Miles de Morley, Hugh le Sage of Lewknor <Adwell>, Amaury son of Robert of Henton, Miles Neynuyt, Ralph de Verney, Andrew le Blunt, Nicholas de Moels <Richard de Mire>, Thomas of Kingston, Roger de Quincy, Robert Loreng, Hugh son of Stephen, Robert Foster, Simon of Chinnor, Robert Brand, Hugh clerk of Aston, Hugh son of Michael, Hugh of Ploughley, Walter Batun, Hugh de Copicote, and the vill of Aston Rowant did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

The Hundred of Langtree comes by 12

Unknown evildoers burgled the house of Ralph son of William of Whitchurch and the suit was not presented at the county court nor before the coroner, so the vill of Whitchurch in mercy.

Henry Cross and William Grosmund were drowned from a boat in the Thames. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the boat 6d., for which let the sheriff answer. Deodand.

William Yve, reeve of Stoke, withdrew himself for theft of ½ qtr. of malt. He is not suspected of any other theft, so let him return if he wishes. Since he fled, and was in tithing of William son of Robert de Hayles, in mercy. His chattels, 6 marks, for which let Richard Siward answer. Afterwards the 6 marks were remitted to Richard, since evidence was given that William did not flee because of the theft but because he could not render his account.

Edmund the glover was found dead of cold outside the vill of Mongewell. Linota his wife, the first finder, has not come. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so murder. <Judgement, misadventure.> Since the vill of Mongewell presented that Linota had died and evidence is given by the 12 jurors that she is alive, the vill is in mercy; it finds no pledge or surety.

Richard Boveton, having a falling sickness, was found dead at Checkendon. Alditha his mother, who first found him, has not come. She was attached by Walter Boveton and Hugh Boveton, so in mercy. Since the vill of Wyfold falsely presented that Alditha had died, in mercy. No Englishry, so murder.

Henry son of Geoffrey of Crowmarsh hit Ralph le Poer with a knife in the belly so that he died, and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing in that hundred since he lived in Wallingford. Nothing is known of his chattels.

Alice, Henry's sister, fled to Crowmarsh [Gifford] church and admitted
that she was a party to that death, and *abjured* the realm. She had no chattels. The vill of Crowmarsh [Gifford] (which is in Oxfordshire) did not pursue Henry, so *in mercy*. Geoffrey Pachet, charged with that death, comes and denies it and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty, so he is *acquitted*.

904 William Pluggy appealed William the cowherd of Crowmarsh of theft and receiving stolen goods, and William Pluggy was afterwards hanged at Moreton in Berkshire. William the cowherd withdrew himself, and he was attached by Ralph reeve of Crowmarsh and Achard of the same, so *in mercy*. The jury say they do not suspect William the cowherd, so let him return if he wishes. William Pluggy had no chattels in the county.

905 Humphrey Athelard, Gunnild, Joan, and Maud de Toffend, charged with receiving thieves, come and deny it and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

906 Unknown evildoers came by night to the house of Agnes daughter of Robert Cook in ‘Toffend’ and killed her and carried off her goods. Adam Cook, who first found her, comes and is not suspected, nor is it known who killed her. Hugh son of Edith was charged with that death and is not suspected.

907 Of defaulters they say that the countess of Oxford, Margery de Rivers, Geoffrey de Coudrey, William de la Beche, Robert of Chilham, Hugh de Druval, Bartholomew of Badlesmere, Ralph Kerde, Robert Brand, William Fisher of Stoke, and William of Clifton did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

The Hundred of Dorchester comes by 12

908 Hugh son of Robert of Dorchester fell into a ditch in Dorchester and drowned there. Mary his mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so *murder*.

909 Richard Wrenne was found drowned in the River Thame. Beatrice his daughter, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Englishry was presented.

910 Millicent, wife of Peter Hereward in Wittenham, was found killed in the Thames. Felicity daughter of Gilbert, first finder, comes and is not suspected. Peter Hereward, charged with that death, fled and is suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing because he was a free man. His chattels 1 mark, which was paid to Hugh Pattishall, bishop of Coventry, by the king’s writ. Peter had 1 yardland in Chislehampton; evidence is given that the king had it for a year and a day and afterwards it was committed to Denise of Wittenham by the king’s writ.
Thomas Hareng, charged with that death, was taken and imprisoned at Wallingford, and he escaped from prison and fled. He is suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. Neither his tithing nor his chattels are known since he lived in Berkshire.
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911 A piece of glass wounded a boy named Richard so that he died. Godfrey swineherd, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement *misadventure*. Price of the glass 2s., for which let the sheriff answer.

912 William Spakeman and Maud his wife, charged with the death of a scalded man in Dorchester, come and deny the death and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

913 Of *defaulters* they say that Robert [Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln, Ralph son of Roger of Chislehampton, James son of Alice of the same, Robert of Holcombe, Roger de Hide, John his brother, William of St. Helen, esquire of Matthew Hose, did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

914 Robert Benet of Epwell was suspected of theft of sheep and many other thefts, and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Robert le Franceis of Epwell, so *in mercy*. His chattels 6s., for which let Walter of Barton, the bishop of Lincoln's bailiff of Dorchester, answer. Robert had ½ yardland and 1 messuage; a year and a day's waste to the king, ½ mark, for which let Walter the bailiff answer.

The Hundred of Bampton comes by 12

915 Agnes daughter of Sybil of Bampton was drowned in a pit; Sybil her mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*.

916 William son of Roger was drowned in a well in Bampton. Gilbert the reeve, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so *murder*.

917 Richard Thorold accidentally hit himself in the leg with a sickle so that he died. Haugenild his wife, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. The sickle was committed to the vill of Bampton to have before the justices, and since the vill has not got it, *in mercy*.

918 Azo of Lewes was on another occasion charged before the justices with theft so that he withdrew himself, and was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. Before he was outlawed he found pledges to stand to right. Now he comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect
him, so the sheriff is told that he should be under surety, and that he
should take 6 sureties from him.

919 John Tovy was on another occasion charged with theft before
William of York and his fellow justices, so that he withdrew himself and
was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. Before he was outlawed he
came back and found sureties to stand to right. Now he has not come, so
the judgement proceeds according to his former outlawry, and his
sureties in mercy, that is Adam Tovy of Aston, John son of Roger Tovy
of the same, Robert Lovel, Walter Martin of la Putte [in Clanfield],
John Crume of Aston, Adam son of Roger in Minster [Lovell], Nicholas
the scribe of Eynsham, Richard Sileman, Walter son of Jordan, William
Jordan, Nicholas Rauf, and Walter Young of Cote. It is ordered that
John be taken, and his chattels, if he have any, be taken into the king’s
hand. Evidence is given that he had chattels worth 15s.
Afterwards John was taken and came and denied the theft and
everything, and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors of
the 4 vills say that they do not suspect him, so John is acquitted.

920 Richard Puck was on another occasion charged with theft before
William of York and his fellow justices, so that he withdrew himself and
was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. But nothing was done about it.
Richard has not come, nor did he quit himself of the earlier charge, so
as before exacted and outlawed. To the judgement of the county because
he was not outlawed earlier. Evidence is given that the vill of Shifford
received him without warrant after he had been ordered to be outlawed,
so in mercy.

921 Richard son of Alice of Kelmscott fell from a cart so that he died.
Alice his mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected nor is anyone
else. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart and horse 7s. 6d., for
which let the sheriff answer.
Hugh le Ken, who was in the cart when he fell, fled to the church and
admitted he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. On this came
William of Shipton, bailiff of Bampton Hundred, and showed the king’s
writ by which the king pardoned him that abjuration, so that he should
stand to right. Afterwards the 7s. 6d. was given to Alice by the justices
because she is very poor.

922 Adam son of Frank, charged with associating with a thief who was
an approver, comes and denies the association and everything and places
himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say he is not guilty, so he is
acquitted.

923 Richard Mirimuth, suspected of theft of horses and sheep, fled. So
exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of William reeve of Astrop,
so in mercy. His chattels 12d., for which let William de Hay the sheriff
answer.

924 William son of Robert of Brize Norton appealed William son of
Sarah of Fritwell and William Coker of breach of the king’s peace and
felony, and appealed Michael of Astrop of force. William son of Robert has come and the others have not come, nor were they attached. William son of Robert does not wish to proceed against them, so he and his sureties in mercy, that is Robert Page of Brize Norton and Peter Pese of the same. The jurors witness that there was collusion, so all in mercy.

925 Henry son of Maurice of Curbridge fled to Curbridge church and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He had no chattels, but he was in the tithing of Walter of Bruern in Curbridge, so in mercy.

926 William le Devenays of Hailey killed William le Strange and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He had no chattels. He was in the tithing of Ralph Edwin in Hailey, so in mercy. No Englishry, so murder. No one else is suspected.

927 John Bellard of Witney killed himself with a razor; Roger his son, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, suicide. His chattels 8s., for which let Roger Sharp and Richard Benerasche of Witney answer. He had a messuage, whence a year and a day to the king, and waste on the vill of Witney. Afterwards evidence is given that the waste is worth 40s., for which let Paulinus Peyvre, then sheriff, answer.

928 The jurors say that William of Shenington sold wine contrary to the king's assize, so in mercy. Likewise Roger Cockol and Ralph chaplain of Burford.

929 Of serjeanties they say that Geoffrey son of William holds Alvescot by serjeanty of being the king's doorkeeper; it is worth 10 marks a year. See E. G. Kimball, Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England, 27.

930 Beatrice Murdac holds Broughton Poggs by serjeanty of raising a goshawk and carrying it until it ought to fly. She is in the king's gift. Her land is worth £10 a year.

931 Robert de Elefod holds 4 yardlands in the vill of Lew by serjeanty of raising a falcon. It is worth 20s. a year. He holds 3 yardlands in Aston by serjeanty of riding with the king in the army for 40 days at his own expense.

932 Earl Richard holds Asthall of the land of the Flemings, worth £10 a year.

933 Imbert [Pugeys] holds half of Bampton of the king's gift and half at farm. The manor is worth £61 a year and is of the fee of Bonoun. See Cal. Chart. R. 1227-57, p. 235.

934 Of defaulters they say that Reynold of Asthall, Richard Barberel, Reynold parson of Broughton [Poggs], Gerard de Oddingeseseles, Richard of Bickerton, the prior of Hertford, Paulinus of Burford, John Pepercot, William Dosy of Kencot, John Cipryan of the same, John of
Buckland, Simon de Haverill, Miles of Hastings, William Barenel of la Putte [in Clanfield], James le Sauvage, Peter Bukerel, and the vill of Lew did not come on the first day, so in mercy. And the vill of Langford.

935 Geoffrey of Little Leach, charged with the death of William of Upton, came and denied the death and everything, and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. The jury of 4 villls say he is not guilty so he is acquitted.

The Borough of Banbury comes by 12

936 Pain de Edenburg fled to Banbury church and admitted he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a traveller. His chattels 4d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

937 Alice daughter of Robert Lothenfeld was struck down and killed by a piece of wood. Gillian her mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the wood 4d., for which let the sheriff answer.

938 Henry Humbert was hanged at Oxford for the death of Philip Herbert. His chattels 2d., for which let the sheriff answer.

939 Nicholas Core of Banbury was suspected of theft and fled (so exacted and outlawed). He was in the tithing of Richard de Len in Banbury, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

940 Ralph merchant of Banbury, Nicholas son of Hugh Rigold of the same, and Roger Brown of the same, were suspected of the death of John le Palmer and fled, so exacted and outlawed. Ralph was in the tithing of Richard le Clerk of Banbury who lived on the fee of the parson of Banbury, and Nicholas was in the tithing of Hugh his father, and Roger was in the tithing of Geoffrey Marsh of Banbury; so all the tithings in mercy. Roger’s chattels 2d., for which let the sheriff answer; the others had no chattels.

941 Of prises they say that the men of Newton took toll from them for all their merchandise, although they ought not to take it except of lead, salt, salted leather, lambskins, linsey-woolsey, and boards. So let it be enquired into.

942 Of wines they say that Stephen le Mercer, Walter le Mestre, Adam Whiting, Simon Mauger, and Warner of Oxford sold wine contrary to the assize, so in mercy.

943 Robert Coket was suspected of theft and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Hugh Travail of Banbury, so in mercy.

944 Of cloth sold contrary to the assize they say that Simon Maugh er and Robert of Chesterton sold cloth contrary to the assize, so in mercy.
m. 24d.]  
The Hundred of Banbury comes by 12

945  Henry of Dymock was suspected of the theft of sheep and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in Finstock outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. Chattels worth 11s. 4d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

946  Engelesya wife of Henry Clerk appealed Gilbert Makeblithe of the king's peace and robbery, and she appealed his servants Molekin son of Christine le Mire and Richard and William of force. They have not come, nor were they attached. So Engelesya is told to proceed against them in the county court until they are exacted and outlawed. Evidence is given that Ralph Clerk of Shipton was ordered to attach them, and he did nothing about it, so *in mercy*.

947  Geoffrey son of Vincent of Bourton, accused of theft, comes and denies the theft and everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors of 4 vills say they do not suspect him, so he is *acquitted*.

948  Robert le Bule of Williamscot was suspected of theft and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Jordan Toky of Williamscot, so *in mercy*. His chattels 7s. for which let Robert [Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln answer.

949  Of *defaulters* they say that the vill of Swalcliffe, Hugh son of Richard, Stephen Long of Shutford, William de Asseho in Wickham, Robert de Gaunt in Claydon, and Richard Dreng did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

950  Roger Giberis, accused of stealing fish in fishponds, and Richard Boveton, accused of theft, come and deny the theft and everything and place themselves on a jury for good or ill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

951  Maud Babbe and Alice Baldes were suspected of theft and of receiving stolen goods, and fled. So *exacted and waived*. They had no chattels.

952  John Athill of Williamscot was suspected of theft of sheep and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Jordan Toky of Williamscot, so *in mercy*. His chattels 3s., for which let the bishop of Lincoln answer.

The Hundred of Thame comes by 12

953  Azo son of Ralph was found drowned in the river Thame. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so *murder*.

954  William Pyche cot of Woodstock appeals Matthew of Thame, Roger the clerk, and Adam le Bel that they unjustly hanged his son
Walter by a false judgement of Thame court. Since William has not offered to prove it against them on his body, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and William in mercy and in custody. Let the jurors make enquiry.

The jurors say that Walter was found in possession of stolen cloths which were Mary of Dorchester's, so that Walter, by Mary's suit, was proceeded against for theft in Thame court and was hanged by judgement of the court. So it is adjudged that Matthew and the others be acquitted, and William committed to gaol. He made fine of ½ mark by pledge of Walter of Tew.

955 Reynold of Aston killed Auger of Thame in Thame and fled. So exacted and outlawed. Nothing is known of his chattels or his tithing since he did not live in the county.

956 Ralph Quatermain appeals Roger Bluber of Thame that he with William deacon of Thame, Laurence clerk of the same, and Luke clerk of the same, came to him at Thame (on the morrow of [illegible] and there assaulted him. Roger hit him on the head with an axe, and Roger and the others robbed him of 4s. That they did it feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Ralph appeals William, Laurence, and Luke of force.

Roger and the others come and deny breach of the peace, blows, and everything. They are clerks. Afterwards Ralph came and withdrew himself, so he is in custody and his sureties in mercy. He made fine for himself and his sureties with 10s. by surety of Matthew of Thame, Roger son of Geoffrey of the same, and Guy of Shabbington.

957 Robert clerk of Thame, arrested by appeal of an approver who appealed him of complicity and who was afterwards hanged at Winchester, comes. He is a clerk. The jurors say he is a receiver of stolen goods and an associate of thieves. On this the dean of Oxford comes and claims him as a clerk on behalf of the bishop of Lincoln in the ecclesiastical court. Let him have him.

958 Gilbert Tiler, arrested for theft, was delivered to William Hay, sheriff of Oxfordshire. He was imprisoned at Oxford and escaped from Oxford gaol. So the judgement of escaping. He was not in tithing in that county, since he was from Buckinghamshire. He had no chattels.

959 William le Piper, indicted by an approver of theft and of associating with thieves, comes and denies everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect him, so William is acquitted.

960 Of cloth they say that Thomas of Totternhoe in Thame and Walter of Upton sold cloth contrary to the assize at Thame, so in mercy.

961 Of defaulters they say that Geoffrey son of Roger of Attington, Geoffrey of Lewknor, Reynold Foster, Ralph son of Reynold, William of Hurstbourne, the abbot of Thame, and Gilbert Seagrave did not come on the first day, so in mercy.
Robert reeve of 'Tutendon' [?Attington in Tetsworth] appealed Geoffrey Barnard of Attington of the theft from Rose de Ruppella his lady of an ox which was in his custody. Geoffrey, who was found in possession of the ox, came and called to warranty Robert of Wendlebury from whom he had hired the ox for ½ year for 8d. Evidence was given that Robert of Wendlebury was taken at Geoffrey's suit and imprisoned at Crowmarsh [Gifford] in the abbot of Battle's prison, and he escaped from there.

Since Robert of Wendlebury fled and is as if attainted of the theft of the ox so that he is not expected to answer about the ox according to the law, let an enquiry be made by jury whether Geoffrey stole that ox or whether he hired it from Robert of Wendlebury. The jurors witness that he hired the ox, so Geoffrey is aquitted, and let the ox be returned to Robert the reeve.

Robert Kangun was suspected of the death of Amice of Stoke and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing since he was a foreigner and a traveller, nor is anything known of his chattels.

Simon Feyter stole a tree trunk which was Richard of Cornwell's and made him carry it to his house in Milton. It is said that Richard on that occasion took 20s. from Simon. The jury say that Simon made fine for the trunk for 20s. with Richard, but they do not know whether or not Richard received it. To judgement.

Alexander the pelterer of Thame was hanged at Oxford before the justices of gaol delivery. His chattels 3s. 6d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

The Borough of Burford comes by 12

Of fines before judgement, except vintners and drapers, 40s.

They say that Martin Urry sold cloth contrary to the assize, so in mercy.

They say that Roger Cockol and Ralph the priest sold wine contrary to the assize, so in mercy.

The Hundred of Bullingdon comes by 12

Stephen le Palmer was drowned at Harpeford [Wheatley] Bridge, and with him was found 27s. which should be distributed for his soul. Sir Henry of Bath has the money. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. No Englishry, so murder. Afterwards the justices gave the money to the nuns of Littlemore.

Hugh Gode of Wheatley withdrew himself at the coming of the other justices (for a man's death), and afterwards he returned, it is not known by what warrant. Now he comes, and similarly Walter Lunel and William son of Peter (of Woodperry) charged with theft and receiving,
and they deny the theft and everything and place themselves on a jury for good or ill. The jurors and 4 vills say that Hugh and Walter are not guilty, so they are acquitted. Of William they say that Godwin Halvedenel, who abjured the realm, passed by his house, entered it, and found William at a meal. William gave him food for fear of him, and afterwards he slept for a while in William's garden without William's raising the hue and cry. They do not know of William's receiving any other. So to judgement.

See no. 975.

971 A pig savaged William son of Geoffrey-Thurbern so that he died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the pig 16d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

972 John, packman of Warin FitzGerald, appealed Robert son of Robert Owen, Henry son of Stephen Simeon, Thomas of Elmley, and Philip Pady of breach of the king's peace and mayhem. John has not come, and he found sureties, Roger Kibbel of Iffley and Robert the White of the same, so in mercy. Let the plea be more fully investigated in Oxford borough, since it is said that they reached an agreement about the appeal before the king.

973 Hugh son of Gilbert of Holywell was drowned from a boat in the Thames. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the boat 10d., for which let the sheriff answer.

m. 25.]

974 William deacon of South Moreton fell from a cart so that he died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart 4s., for which let the sheriff answer. A foreigner who lives in Berkshire took away the horses which were in the cart.

975 Godwin Halvedenel fled to St. Clement's church in Oxford and admitted he was a thief and abjured the realm. Since he was received in Woodperry outside frankpledge, in mercy. His chattels 4s. for which let Roger of Denham, Earl Richard's bailiff, answer.

See no. 970.

976 Richard Carver of Beckley was killed by a horse which belonged to John son of John, who is with Earl Richard. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the horse 3s., for which let Roger clerk and Adam le Brun of Beckley answer.

977 Henry son of William Gardener of Woodeaton fled to Woodeaton church out of fear, and afterwards came out and found sureties to stand to right, that is William Gardener of Holton and Alan reeve of Stanton [St. John]. He has not come, so his sureties in mercy. The jurors say they do not suspect Henry, so let him return if he wishes.
978 Roger Cotun and Richard his brother were suspected of the death of Hugh le Blunt of Waterperry and fled. So exacted and outlawed. Roger was in the tithing of Hugh Smith of Waterperry, so in mercy. Richard was not in tithing in the county since he was from Buckinghamshire, nor is anything known of his chattels. Roger’s chattels 7s. 3d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

979 Nicholas son of Roger of Thame fled to Thame church and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was received in the vill of Thame outside frankpledge, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

980 William le Blunt of Chilworth fell from a boat into the water at Harpeford [in Wheatley] and was drowned there. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the boat 12d., for which let the sheriff answer.

981 Of serjeanties they say that Philip Mimekan holds £10 worth of land in Headington of the king by serjeanty as keeper of the forest of Shotover and Stowood.

982 They say that John of Tew, former sheriff of Oxfordshire, amerced the vill of Garsington 2¼ marks for the default of a man who did not come for an inquest into a death, and Richard Siward afterwards received the money. So let enquiry be made.

983 Of defaulters they say that the abbot of Abingdon, Thomas of Sandford, Warin FitzGerald, the bishop of Lincoln, William Aguillun, William de Morteyn, Erneis de Scorpes, Roger son of Simon of Baldon, Richard son of John of Horsepath, Geoffrey Despenser, Robert of Asthall of Chippinghurst, Roger de Aumary, Peter Gaudyn, Ralph Hareng, Roger Gernun, Matthew of Wheatley, William Cornish, Richard of the mill, and Thomas Buttol of Sandford did not come on the first day.

984 To judgement of 12 for concealing the king’s escheats, that is of Beckley which Richard earl of Cornwall holds and Piddington which Godfrey of Crowcombe holds.

The Borough of Witney comes by 12

985 Of fines before the justices, except drapers and vintners, 40s.

986 They say that William son of William of Shenington sold wine contrary to the assize, so in mercy.

987 William Doberneth fled to Cogges church and admitted he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was in the tithing of Herbert Pyrch in Witney, so in mercy. His chattels 55s. 6d. for which let Paulinus Peyvre answer.
988 Adam le Mercer of Witney, indicted by the appeal of Ellis of Witney, approver, fled and is suspected. So exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Robert Tysoe of Witney, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

The Hundred of Bloxham comes by 12

989 William son of Herbert of Barford, William le Franceis, and John Pare of the same burnt Thomas of Warblington's house in Barford St. John. They fled and are suspected. So exacted and outlawed. They were all in the tithing of Alan son of Hugh in North Barford [Barford St. John], so in mercy. Their chattels £7 8s. 10d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

990 Maud daughter of Thory of Bodicote appealed Robert son of William shepherd of Banbury of rape. She has not come, nor had she sureties. Robert was attached by Roger Champion of Banbury, and he has not come, so in mercy. The other surety has died.

991 Roger le Brun, Roger Champion, and Nicholas son of Hugh of Banbury killed John le Palmer of Bloxham in the hundred. Since there was no Englishry, murder.

992 William Hert, clerk, killed his brother Walter, a layman, and fled to Alkerton church and abjured the realm. He was not in tithing because he was a clerk. He had no chattels. No one else is suspected. The vill of Hornton did not make pursuit, so in mercy.

993 Rannulf son of Gunnild fled to Bloxham church and admitted he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was in the tithing of Thomas Martin of Bloxham, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

994 John Prat of Broughton and William Tovy wounded Richard son of Ellis of Adderbury so that he died, and they fled. So exacted and outlawed. Their tithings were elsewhere. They had no chattels.

995 Walter Beaufiz of Hanwell killed Robert son of Edwin of Bloxham and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was not in tithing but was in the household of the parson of Hanwell, who has died, so nothing of his tithing. His chattels 25¼d. <2s. 3¼d.>, for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. Since the 12 jurors concealed his chattels, they are in mercy.

996 Richard son of Osbert of Bloxham fell from a cart and died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart and 1 horse in it, ½ mark, for which let the sheriff answer. Deodand.

997 John Grim of Milton and John of Barford killed Nicholas Miller of Banbury and fled. So exacted and outlawed. John of Barford was not in tithing since he was a clerk, and John Grim was in the tithing of Hugh at Well in Adderbury, so in mercy. They had no chattels.
Agnes daughter of Richard miller of Barford was crushed to death by a mill wheel in Barford St. John. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the wheel 18d., for which let the sheriff answer.

Of *defaulters* they say that Peter the Butler of Milcombe, John de la Bere, Reynold le Sauser of Adderbury, the abbot of Cirencester, William Leuke of Balscott, John de Dunneheth, Henry Myse of Wigginton, William Despenser of North Newington, Henry miller of the same, Robert clerk of Adderbury, John of Holcot, Adam of Bloxham in Milton, did not come on the first day.

The Borough of Chipping Norton comes by 12

They say that Robert Wall in Chipping Norton sold cloth contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

The Borough of Woodstock comes by 12

Anselm Hurtot, servant of a Welsh clerk, was drowned in the king’s manor of Woodstock. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so *murder*. Afterwards it was learnt that the king had sent word to the justices by his writ that he had had Anselm taken from the water by the sheriff and viewed and buried by others, and for that reason they should not prosecute the vill of Woodstock.

See *Close Rolls* 1234–7, 371

Of churches they say that the churches of Wootton, Bladon, and Stonesfield are in the king’s gift. Andrew de Vitry has Wootton church of the gift of Eleanor formerly countess of Salisbury, and it is worth 30 marks a year. Ralph de Hauvill holds Bladon church of the gift of King John, and it is worth 30 marks a year. Joseph [of Farnham], chaplain, holds Stonesfield church of the king’s gift; it is worth 100s. a year.


Adam son of Henry Blund of St. Giles’s parish in Oxford, charged with the theft of leather goods at Woodstock in the court of Henry de Penradon, was suspected and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Nothing is known of his tithing or chattels, since he lived in the suburb of Oxford, so let it be more fully enquired into there. *Enquire under Oxford.*

m. 25d.]

The Hundred of Chadlington comes by 12

William Writhelock killed Hugh his brother at Taynton and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Emma daughter of William, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Nothing is known of Hugh’s chattels or tithing since he was from Gloucestershire. No Englishry, so *murder*. 
1005 Margaret daughter of Semaun of Fulbrook was crushed to death by a cart. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart and horse 3s., for which let the sheriff answer.

1006 Austin chaplain of Taynton and John his clerk tied Ralph the chaplain's son to a horse's tail to punish him because he would not learn any more, and the horse escaped from their hands and dragged the boy to death. Austin and John fled to Taynton church and abjured the realm. They had no chattels. Evidence was given that they lived at Faringdon in Berkshire, so enquire in Berks.

1007 Ralph son of Richard of Lidstone killed Ralph son of Ellis at Lidstone and fled. So exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Eudes the miller of Chalford, so in mercy. He had no chattels. The first finder comes; no one else is suspected.

1008 William Carpenter appealed Thomas of Appleton that on Friday after the translation of St. Martin [8 July] 1239 he came to Northmoor, into the prior of Deerhurst's house, and in a premeditated attack he wounded him with a lance between the belly and the legs, and robbed him of 2s. and a garment worth 3s. And that he did this feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Thomas comes and denies everything and says that when William first appealed him in the county court he did not assign a day or an hour, as he ought to have done, nor show his wound or the place of the wound. It is witnessed by the coroner that when William first made his appeal he made no mention of the day or hour and showed no place of the wound, so it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and that Thomas be quit of the appeal. William is committed to gaol. Let the jury make enquiry.

1009 John son of Roger appealed the same Thomas of Appleton of the same beating and of wounding him in the head with an iron nail and beating him badly, maltreating him disgracefully, and robbing him one a tunic and a blue cloak worth 3s. And that he did this wickedly, etc., he offers to prove on his body. Thomas comes and denies everything and says, as above, that when John first appealed him in the county court he made no mention of the day or hour, etc. It is witnessed by the coroner that when John appealed him in the county court he made no mention of the day nor showed a wound, so it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and that Thomas be quit of it. John is committed to gaol, and let the jury enquire into the facts. The jury say Thomas is not guilty, so he is acquitted, and William and John are in mercy.
Afterwards William and John came and made fine for 1 mark, by surety of John Pynne of Northmoor and William Thedwyn of the same.

1010 Hugh Legard killed William of Cubbington in the abbot of Bruern's court. Nicholas Erniyn, first finder, comes. Hugh fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the abbot's household, so in mercy. He
had no chattels. Afterwards evidence is given that he *abjured* the realm. No one else is suspected.

1011 Thomas Stelhard of Lyneham was drowned from a boat in the river Bladon [Evenlode]. The first finder comes and is not suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the boat 10d., for which let the sheriff answer.

1012 Philip son of the smith of Hook Norton killed William son of the reeve of Rollright and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. Afterwards evidence was given that Philip was *outlawed* by suit of Philip’s wife in the county court.

1013 Peter the smith of Hook Norton killed William the clerk and fled, so *exact* and *outlawed*. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so *in mercy*. His chattels 3s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

1014 Walter Wace was drowned from a horse in the river Bladon [Evenlode]. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the horse 3s., for which let the sheriff answer.

1015 John de Culunces appealed Richard son of Henry of Enstone of the king’s peace. He has not come, nor has he found pledge or surety. Richard comes. The jury give evidence that there was a compromise, and that Richard is guilty of the offence of which John appealed him, so Richard in *custody*.

1016 Gillian of Ascot appealed John son of Nicholas of Kingham of force and rape. They both come, and she does not wish to proceed against him, so her sureties *in mercy*, namely William son of Simon of Ascot and Henry son of Stephen of the same. The jury give evidence that there was collusion, so both in *custody*, surety of their amercement for both of them, Roger d’Oilly.

1017 Roger the cobbler of Shipton under Wychwood was found dead in his bed. The first finder comes; no one is suspected. Since the vill of Shipton under Wychwood did not present the plea at the county court, *in mercy*.

1018 Of *serjeanties* they say that Thurstan Despenser holds 10 marks of land in Rollright by serjeanty of being steward of the king’s household. See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 56.

1019 Henry de Ludinton holds 40s. rent in Milton under Wychwood by serjeanty of giving water to the king when he comes into Cornbury; and then he shall have the basin.
1020 They say that William of Langley is forester in fee of the forest of Wychwood, and his land is worth 40s. a year.

1021 Peter Rufus of Hook Norton fled at the coming of the justices. Afterwards he returned and found sureties, namely John Karpy, Richard Parker, William son of the reeve, Sweting of Hook Norton, William son of Stephen, Roger son of Stephen, Sebric Costeyn, Simon of Southrop, Ralph Percehaye, Richard of Swalcliffe, Ralph Sparwe, and Robert Overbrook. Now Peter has not come, so his sureties in mercy. Peter is suspected of many thefts, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so in mercy. His chattels 2s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

1022 Ralph Woodcock was suspected of theft and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of Harding of Leafield in Shipton under Wychwood, so in mercy. He had no chattels.

1023 Geoffrey de Karkewell, charged with theft, comes...[blank]

1024 Walter Pynnock was taken for theft and imprisoned in Philip de Mandeville’s prison at Kingham; he escaped and fled to Kingham church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. So to judgement for escaping. He was in the tithing of Simon son of William of Kingham, so in mercy. His chattels 1s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

1025 John of Slaughter appealed William son of Hugh Pyrun of breaking the king’s peace and wounding, and now he comes and does not wish to proceed against him. So he and his sureties in mercy, namely Henry de Mare. William comes and the jurors give evidence that there was collusion, so both in custody. Since the 12 jurors did not present the plea, nor many other pleas which were found in the coroner’s roll, in mercy. Afterwards William son of Hugh comes and makes fine for himself and John ½ mark, since evidence is given that they are poor, by surety of Ralph of Shipton serjeant of Chadlington.

1026 Of defaulters they say that Earl Richard, Richard of Clare, John Lunel, John de Mar of Little Minster, the prior of Deerhurst, Brian de Braunton, William de Mandeville, Richard de Amundevill, Reynold Foster, John le Mire, Bardulph of Chastleton, Robert de Gray, Richard Hunter, Richard son of John, the earl of Warwick, Reynold son of Peter, William le Waleis, Alan de Colemore, John Hunter of Chadlington, Angerus de Beauchamp, the abbot of Winchcombe, and the abbot of Bruern did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

m. 26]

Pleas of the Crown of the Vill of Oxford
1027 Of churches they say that St. Peter-in-the-East with its chapels is in the king's gift, and Pontius de Ponte holds it of the king's gift; it is worth 60 marks a year.

1028 John Zachary killed Henry of Swinford and fled, so exacted and outlawed. John had a house in Oxford, out of which a year and a day waste to the king.

See no. 1030.

1029 Of old pleas of the crown they say that William Bol of Marlborough and Richard le Ruther and others, whom William of York and his colleagues, justices in eyre in Oxfordshire, left in gaol, together with Angerus of Bedfordshire and William le Fencerer, all afterwards escaped from Oxford gaol. The gaol was in the charge of Walter Goldsmith and Simon son of Bund. It was said that the burgesses of Oxford satisfied the king for the escape, since they brought the king's writ addressed to the sheriff saying that he had granted the good men of Oxford, to help wall their town, £35 which they owed him for the escape of the said thieves.

See Close Rolls 1234–7, 146.

1030 John Zachary killed Henry of Swinford and fled, so exacted and outlawed. John held a house in Oxford which William son of Guy, predecessor of Andrew Blund, once held, with other large tenements which were next to the house and which were in Andrew's hand. William gave the large house to Zachary, John's father, to hold by service of 12d. a year. Zachary made his charter to the brethren of the hospital of St. John by which he granted them in pure and perpetual alms the lands and houses he had of the gift of William son of Guy of London, paying each year to William and his heirs ½ lb. of cummin or 1d., and to Henry Simeon and his heirs 12d. in the name of William and his heirs for all services, provided that John son of Zachary should have and hold the land and houses for his life, paying the hospital and brethren 3s. a year, and that the brethren should warranty the land and houses. He made the grant saving to Zachary seisin of the houses as long as he wished to remain in them.

On this came Andrew and offered the king £10 to have seisin of the houses, and for the king's waste. Be it known that Zachary held the land and houses for the whole of his life, paying 3s. to the hospital, and so did John after his father's death pay 3s. a year to the hospital. John's chattels 7s., for which let the sheriff answer. Afterwards evidence is given that the king's year in the house is worth 20s., for which let the bailiffs of Oxford answer.

1031 Roger le Turner killed Maud his wife and fled, so exacted and outlawed. His chattels 7d., for which let William Calvus answer. Roger lived in John Sewy's aldermanry.

1032 William son of John le Waleis was found drowned in his father's court <drain>. <John his father first found him.> No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure, since the boy was 3 years old.
Walter Goldsmith and Simon son of Bund, then bailiffs, were ordered to attach the neighbours, and they did not, so in mercy.

1033 Philip of Abingdon and Thomas Traveris are suspected of the death of Herbert le Furbur, so exacted and outlawed. Nicholas of Gloucester, whom Herbert's wife Gillian appealed of his death, comes and is not suspected. Since he was taken and William Clerk, then bailiff, released him by surety without warrant, in mercy.

1034 William Wygesnail fled to St. Mary's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. His chattels 2s. <3s.> for which let Adam Cruste and Hugh Fane, then bailiffs of Oxford, answer.

1035 John of Ireland fled to St. Mary's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He had no chattels. To judgement of 12 jurors of Oxford, since they did not present the finder.

1036 Alice of Oxford was crushed to death by part of a house. No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure. The coroner did not value the part of the house which killed her, so in mercy. Afterwards evidence is given that the part which killed her is worth 5s., which is given to the owner of the house because of his poverty.

1037 Henry Rufus, Walter Harding, Godfrey le Arzuner, Robert Lamberd, Hugh brother of Godfrey and William of Hagbourne, arrested for the death of William of Aston and Robert his brother, and likewise Robert son of Anfrid arrested for the same crime, come and are not suspected, so they are acquitted. Ives son of Almer, Robert Arzuner, and Ralph <William> Arzuner were charged with that death. Ives fled to St. John's church in Oxford and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. He had no chattels, and was in the household of Walter of Worcester. Robert fled to Moreton church and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. He had no chattels. Ralph fled to Hagbourne church and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm; he had no chattels. Evidence is given that Henry the weaver was beaten then, and the 12 jurors of Oxford concealed it, so in mercy. Ralph was in Henry Rufus's household.

1038 William Hangman killed Geoffrey le Wayte, so exacted and outlawed; his chattels 2s., for which let the bailiffs answer.

1039 John de Burcham, suspected of the death of a boy killed in St. Cross parish, is exacted and outlawed.

1040 William son of Richard le Brunestre killed Ingram clerk and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the household of Richard his father, so in mercy. Roger Mock, Walter son of Alan, Gilbert Hattere, John son of Matthew, William Crompe, and William Smith, charged with that
death, come and place themselves on the vill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted.

1041 Ralph son of Ralph the Brazur was killed by a horse; judgement, misadventure. No one is suspected. Price of the horse 8s., for which let the bailiffs answer. Herbert, Hugh de Lers’s servant, who was riding <leading> the horse, is not suspected, so let him come back if he wishes. Deodand.

1042 Walter Pas, indicted by John of Cirencester, approver, fled to St. Frideswide’s church and escaped from there. So William Clerk, then bailiff, in mercy since he did not order the parishioners to guard him. Walter was charged with theft, so exacted and outlawed.

1043 Nicholas of Merton and Ralph de Bedington were charged with the death of Nicholas de Cadesden. Exacted and outlawed. They had no chattels. Evidence is given that Nicholas of Merton was handed over to Master John of Reigate, acting for the bishop of Lincoln, to have him before the justices; since he has not come, to judgement of the bishop.

1044 William Miller and Henry of London fell into the Thames by accident. Henry was drowned under a mill wheel. Price of the wheel 2s.; William barely escaped.

1045 Thomas Weaver threw a tile at Gilbert Bunny’s head so that he died from the wound. Denise, Thomas’s [sic] wife, prosecuted him for her husband’s death. She is told to pursue the case in the county court. His chattels 5s. 2d., for which let the bailiffs answer.

1046 Robert de Heyham was taken for wounding Robert of Norwich by the appeal of Alice, Robert’s wife. Adam Cruste, the bailiff, released him on insufficient surety, and Robert of Norwich died of the wound, so in mercy. The jurors give evidence that Robert de Heyham is guilty of that death, so exacted and outlawed. Evidence is given that the abbess of Godstow received him after the crime and made him a brother of her house, so in mercy.

m. 26d.]

1047 Emma wife of Walter of Elsfield appeals Roger Mock that on the Thursday after Epiphany [8 January] 1238 he came to her in her house and hit her with a pair of tongs in the eye so that she lost her right eye. And that he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king’s peace she offers to prove. Roger comes and denies everything, and since it is demonstrated that she made no appeal against him until now and this happened 3 years ago, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and let an enquiry be made by jury. The jurors say that Roger is not guilty, so he is acquitted, and likewise John Mock who was appealed of force, but they say that Walter of Elsfield, Emma’s husband, hit her so that she lost her eye, so he is committed to gaol.
Henry le Ferur appeals Adam Feteplace that on the Monday before Christmas 1235 he came up to him as he was going along the king’s road, dragged him into his house, basely beat and ill treated him, and robbed him of a gold ring. Adam comes and denies everything. Since it is demonstrated by the coroner that when he first appealed him Henry made the appeal otherwise than he does now, it is adjudged that the appeal is null. So Adam is acquitted and Henry is in mercy. He makes fine of 1 mark by surety of William the Cutler outside the North Gate. The jurors say that Adam hit him in his house and threw a cup of ale in his face, so in mercy, by surety of Philip Miller and Henry Simeon.

Walter Baker of Oxford impleaded Laurence [Log], then bailiff of Oxford, that Roger of Middleton wounded his brother and for that Laurence took him and imprisoned him and kept him in prison for 15 days without Walter’s finding sureties for prosecuting, so in mercy by surety of Adam Cruste and Thomas his brother. Let him be committed to gaol.

Of encroachments they say that Richard Goldsmith encroached on the king’s highway for almost 2 ft., so in mercy. He is to amend the encroachment. Afterwards Richard came and made fine for his amercement and that the encroachment might remain, 1 mark, by surety of William Burgeys.

Of measures they say that Niel of Coleshill, John Achard, and Nicholas le Palmer sold by illegal measures, so all in mercy.

Colman the poulterer, charged with theft, fled, so exacted and outlawed. His chattels 2s., for which let the bailiff answer.

Thomas of Ireland was hanged for theft in Oxford. He had chattels worth 52s., for which let John Sewy answer.

Gregory de Piclesthorn was hanged there; he had chattels worth 5s., for which let Laurence Log answer.

Presentments of the Suburb of Oxford, which comes by 12

Roger Waterman killed a Scottish clerk named Turfin and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He had no chattels, but was in the household of Lettice widow of Robert le Ferur, so in mercy.

Stephen of Grittenham wounded Richard of Grittenham with a knife in the shin, and fled to St. Mary Magdalen’s church, so exacted and outlawed. He had no chattels. The jurors presented that Richard killed Stephen, so they are in mercy for a false presentment.

Matthew of Somerton killed a man outside the vill of Oxford and fled to St. Giles’s church and escaped from there. So the fee of the abbess of Godstow in mercy for the escape.
1058 Clerks lodging at Alding <Aldive> of Elsfield's house escaped from the house under suspicion of theft. William le Sauser, then bailiff, had a horse worth 8s., for which let him answer. Afterwards evidence is given that Roger of Clare was one of those clerks.

1059 A foreign thief named Godfrey fled to St. Giles's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. His chattels 11s. for which let William le Sauser answer.

1060 The chaplain of St. Giles's made an encroachment on the king's highway, so he is ordered to amend it, and he is in mercy.

1061 William le Roper fled to the church at Bath. His chattels in the vill of Oxford 12d., for which let William le Sauser answer.
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Amercements of the eyre of William of York and his colleagues in Oxfordshire.

1062 The county, for a fine before judgement, 80 marks

Binfield Hundred

1063 The hundred, for murder, 40s.

1064 The vill of Shiplake, for not prosecuting, 20s.
   See no. 783

1065 Simon Forthmorewe, for a fine because he did not prosecute his appeal, 10s. By surety of [Henry] Slepy of Shiplake and Richard Roker [Corok] of the same.
   See no. 784

1066 William son of Simon, for a fine for trespass, 10s. By surety of Edmund Harding and Gilbert del Frith.
   Cf. no. 784

1067 William Hay, sheriff, for the chattels of Peter Gurlewand [Gurlewambe], hanged, 11s.
   See no. 791

1069 The vill of Mapledurham because it did not prosecute, and for a false presentment, 20s.
   See no. 787

1070 The sheriff for the chattels of [Walter] Wygorn [Wigayn], fugitive, 20s.
   See no. 786
Vill of Henley

1071 The vill of Henley, for murder, 40s.
   See no. 792

1072 Sampson the tailor of Henley, for trespass, 40s. By surety of
   Robert Braund, Reynold Foster, Robert de Padhull [Badhall], Robert of
   See no. 793

[Binfield Hundred]

1073 Robert Young for a false presentment, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1074 William Franklin of Caversham for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1075 Peter de Barewe for the same, ¼ mark
   See no. 769

1076 Richard Mansel for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1077 Roger of Harpsden for the same 10s.
   See no. 769

1078 Walter at Water for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1079 Ralph of Hardwick for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1080 William Clerk of Dunsden and Walter Alein for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1081 Philip Kaynes [Keynel] for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1082 Robert of Assendon for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

1083 Thomas Siward and John of the mill for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 769

Ploughley Hundred

1084 Reynold de Frayn de Tornebergh, because he did not produce the
   man for whom he was surety, ¼ mark.
   See no. 795
1085 Richard of Cornwell [Grenville] for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 795

1086 Laurence le Brun for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 795

1087 The vill of Horton for receiving Walter le Punter outside frankpledge, 1 mark.
   See no. 800

1088 Maurice de Aundley for default, ½ mark.
   See no. 805

1089 William son of Amaury of Wendlebury for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 805

1090 Ralph de Piclesthorn for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 805

1091 Robert Purcel for concealment, 10s.
   See no. 763

1092 Richard of Middleton for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1093 Simon of Middleton for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1094 Guy of Tusmore for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1095 Walter Ylser for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1096 Hamon of St. Faith for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 763

1097 John le Poer for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 763

1098 Roger de Scaccario de Ake for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1099 John de Bereworth for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1100 John Silvester of Souldern for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763

1101 Thomas Hay of Kirtlington for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 763
Pyrton Hundred

1102 The tithing of Henry son of Reynold of Golder for the flight of Adam Fairloc, ½ mark.
   See no. 812

1103 The tithing of Hugh reeve of Shirburn for the flight of John son of Gunnild, ½ mark.
   See no. 812

1104 Ellis of Wheatfield for many amercements, 40s.
   See nos. 772, 814

1105 Richard de Beaumont for default, ½ mark.
   See no. 815

1106 Amaury de Sulham for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 815

Vill of Deddington

1107 The tithing of Walter Turnegant [Thurugod] in Deddington for the flight of Robert Smith, ½ mark.
   See no. 816

1108 William Hay, sheriff, for Robert’s chattels, 4s. 10d.
   See no. 816

1109 Richard Buckechike of Deddington for cloth sold contrary to the assize, 1 mark.
   See no. 818

1110 William Tysoe for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 818

1111 Adam Draper of Deddington for the same, ½ mark
   See no. 818

Bensington Hundred

1112 The hundred for murder, 1 mark.

1113 The tithing of Adam Blacberd in Chalgrove for the flight of Walter son of Adam, 1 mark.
   See no. 820

1114 The vill of Chalgrove with its soke because it did not pursue, 4 marks.
   See no. 820
1115 The vill of Holcombe for receiving John son of Geoffrey [Bertram], 1 mark.
   See no. 821

1116 Simon Foot and his associates for a fine for trespass by surety of Geoffrey of Stockwell of Oxford, Henry son of Robert of Ewelme, and Gilbert Wace of Eye, 6 marks.
   See no. 825

1117 Robert Basset, who was parson of Moreton, because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, 40s.
   See no. 827

1118 William [Hay] the sheriff for the chattels of Hugh Wither, hanged, 26s.
   See no. 827

1119 Adam Bluet for trespass, 1 mark.
   See no. 829

1120 The tithing of Simon Blund in Ewelme for the flight of Andrew le Sopere, 10s.
   See no. 832

1121 The abbot of Battle for the escape of Robert Wendlebury, 100s.
   See no. 833

1122 William Perle of Oxford because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ¼ mark.
   See no. 834

1123 William Morin of Nuneham [Courtenay] for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 834

1124 Peter Oliver for concealment, ¼ mark.
   See no. 773

1125 William Wace for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 773

1126 William Selveyn for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 773

1127 Ralph Clerk of Rycote for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 773

1128 John Quatermain for the same, 10s.
   See no. 773

1129 Richard de Rokele for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 773
1130  John d'Oilly and Thomas Croke for the same, ¼ mark.
       See no. 773

1131  Henry of Latchford for the same, 10s.
       See no. 773

1132  Ralph son of Richard Restwald for the same, ¼ mark.
       See no. 773

1133  Robert Race for the same, ¼ mark.
       See no. 773

Wootton Hundred

1134  The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 6 marks.
       See nos. 846-8, 866

1135  The vill of Cogges for concealment, 1 mark.
       See no. 838

1136  Walter le Wayder, because he did not come, 1 mark.
       See no. 839

1137  Richard of Combe in North Leigh because he did not have the
       same Walter, ¼ mark.
       See no. 839

1138  The vill of Hanborough for receiving John son of Adam outside
       frankpledge, 1 mark.
       See no. 848

1139  Walter of Leigh and Richard son of Maud of Yarnton because
       they did not have the man for whom they were sureties, ¼ mark.
       See no. 850

1140  The tithing of Henry Pigacce in Kidlington for the flight of
       Richard Gambun, ¼ mark.
       See no. 850

1141  The vill of Barford Cheyney [St. Michael] for receiving John
       Miller outside frankpledge, 1 mark.
       See no. 853

1142  Robert le May for a fine for trespass, by surety of Roger Runcy of
       Duns Tew, Gilbert Foster, Robert of Nethercote, Roger le May of Duns
       Tew, Thomas le Mason of Adderbury, Nicholas de Wikinam, 4 marks.
       Cf. no. 875
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1143 The vill of Islip for receiving William Colhop outside frankpledge, ¼ mark.
   See no. 855

1144 The vill of Steeple Barton for receiving Henry Ferthing outside frankpledge, 2 marks.
   See no. 856

1145 William Russel because he withdrew from his appeal, by surety of
   Richard Kemel and Robert Prat, ¼ mark.
   See no. 858

1146 Walter of Ludwell and Robert Prat of Wootton because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties, ½ mark.
   Cf. no. 858

1147 William Pudding the younger because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ½ mark.
   Cf. no. 858

1148 Reynold Foster of Wootton since he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ½ mark.
   See no. 858

1149 Peter son of Hugh of Wootton for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 858

1150 Richard Parker because he did not come, and John Buttercake of
   Woodstock by surety of the same, and William Pudding the elder for the same, 10s.
   See no. 858

1151 John Foster of Bloxham for trespass, ¼ mark.
   See no. 858

1152 Hugh le Devenays for false appeal, by surety of Richard reeve of
   Glympton and Pagan of the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 861

1153 The vill of Kidlington for concealment, 2 marks.
   See no. 866

1154 William le Megre for trespass, 1 mark.
   See no. 866

1155 The tithing of Richard Thedric of Whitehill for the flight of
   William Belche, ½ mark.
   See no. 868

1156 William [Hay] the sheriff for William’s chattels, 20s.
   See no. 868
1157 The sheriff for the chattels of Reynold of Northampton who abjured the realm, ¼ mark.
   See no. 869

1158 The tithing of John le Esquire of Middleton for the flight of Henry Peyngnant, ¼ mark.
   See no. 870

1159 William of Stoke in South Leigh for default, ¼ mark.
   See no. 874

1160 Andrew de Houton for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 874

1161 Philip of Whitehill for concealment, 1 mark.
   See no. 762

1162 Robert of Aston for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 762

1163 Robert of Leigh for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 762

1164 Robert del Brok for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1165 William son of Peter of Cassington for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1166 Simon Scorchebeef for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1167 Philip of Cotes for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1168 Richard of Worton for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 762

1169 John Martin of North Aston for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1170 Richard clerk of [South] Newington for the same, ¼ mark.

1171 Roger Runci for the same, ¼ mark.
   See no. 762

1172 The tithing of Robert [Osbert] of Newington in South Newington for the flight of Thomas son of Peter, 10s.
   See no. 879
1173  William [Hay] the sheriff for Thomas's chattels, 18s.
See no. 879

1174  Wymarc Avenel of Eynsham because she did not come, ½ mark.
See no. 883

1175  Alan of Somerford and Warin of Eynsham because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties, ½ mark.
See no. 883

1176  Peter of North Leigh for having an inquisition, by surety of Ralph de Saucy, John le Brun, John le Mire, Henry Pipard, Gilbert de Hide, Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph of Barford, £10.
See no. 884

Lewknor Hundred

1177  The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 6 marks.
See no. 886

1178  Nicholas Mut of Pophley and John his brother, for surety, ½ mark.
See no. 885

1179  The vill of Sydenham since it did not pursue, 40s.
See no. 887

1180  The abbot of Thame, for his household, [blank].
See no. 887

1181  The tithing of Richard son of William of Tythrop for the flight of Hugh le Sinker, ½ mark.
See no. 888

1182  The sheriff for Hugh's chattels, 4s.
See no. 888

1183  The same for the chattels of Robert Gangy, hanged, 12d.
See no. 889

1184  Roald son of Alan of Aston [Rowant] because he withdrew himself, 20s.
See no. 890

1185  Henry de Scaccario for trespass, [blank].
See no. 890

1186  The vill of Emmington for a false presentment, 2 marks.
See no. 893
1187  The sheriff for the chattels of Ralph Evening, fugitive, 10s.  
See no. 894

1188  The tithing of Robert Cadamo for the flight of Matthew Miller, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 895

1189  The tithing of William Godwyn of Lewknor for the flight of Geoffrey son of Gilbert of Lewknor, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 895

1190  Robert Bussard for default, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1191  The abbot of Abingdon for the same, [blank].  
See no. 897

1192  Miles de Morley for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1193  Miles Neyrnyut for the same, 20s.  
See no. 897

1194  Andrew Blunt of Kingston [Blount] for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 897

1195  Thomas of Kingston for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1196  Robert de Loreng of Chinnor for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1197  Hugh clerk of Aston [Rowant] for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1198  Hugh son of Michael of the same for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 897

1199  The vill of Aston [Rowant] for the same, 2 marks.  
See no. 897

Langtree Hundred

1200  The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 3 marks

1201  The tithing of William son of Robert de Hayles for the flight of William Yve, reeve, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.  
See no. 900

1202  The vill of Mongewell for a false presentment, 20s..  
See no. 901
1203 Walter Boveton and Hugh Boveton of Mongewell because they did not have the woman for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
See no. 902

1204 The vill of Wyfold for a false presentment, 10s.
See no. 902

1205 The vill of Crowmarsh because they did not pursue, 1 mark.
See no. 903

1206 Ralph reeve of Crowmarsh because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ½ mark.
See no. 904

1207 Geoffrey de Coudrey for default, ½ mark.
See no. 907

1208 William de la Beche for the same, ½ mark.
See no. 907

1209 Bartholomew of Badlesmere for the same, ½ mark.
See no. 907
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Thame Hundred

1210 The hundred for murder, [blank].

1211 William Pychecot for fine for a false appeal, by surety of Walter of Tew, ½ mark.
See no. 954

1212 Ralph Quatermain for fine for a false appeal, by surety of Matthew of Thame and Roger son of Geoffrey de Scobucers [for Shabbington], 10s.
See no. 956

1213 William Hay for escape, [blank].
See no. 958

1214 Thomas of Totternhoe for cloth sold contrary to the assize, 10s.
See no. 960

1215 Walter of Upton for the same, ½ mark.
See no. 960

Chadlington Hundred

1216 The hundred for murder, 4 marks.
1217 The tithing of Eudes the miller of Chalford for the flight of Ralph son of Richard, ½ mark.
   See no. 1007

1218 William Carpenter and John son of Roger for a false appeal, by
   surety of John Pynne of Northmoor and William Thedwyn of the same,
   1 mark.
   See nos. 1008, 1009

1219 The tithing of William Herbert in Hook Norton for the flight of
   Philip son of the smith, ½ mark.
   See no. 1012

1220 William [Hay] the sheriff for the chattels of Peter smith, fugitive,
   3s.
   See no. 1013

1221 William son of Simon of Ascot and Henry son of Stephen of the
   same because they did not have the man for whom they were surety.
   See no. 1016

1222 The vill of Shipton under Wychwood for concealment, 20s.
   See no. 1017

1223 William Hay the sheriff for the chattels of Peter Rufus, fugitive,
   2s.
   See no. 1021

1224 John Karni of Hook Norton, Richard Parker, William son of the
   reeve, Sweting of Hook Norton, William son of Stephen, Roger son of
   Stephen, Seldrich Costeyn, Simon of Southrop, Ralph Percehaye,
   Richard of Swalcliffe, Ralph Sparwe, and Robert Overbrook, for the
   flight of Peter Rufus, 2 marks.
   See no. 1021

   See no. 1024

1226 William the sheriff for the chattels of Walter Pynnock, fugitive,
   8s.
   See no. 1024

1227 John of Slaughter and William son of Hugh Pyrun for a fine for
   trespass, by surety of Ralph serjeant of Shipton under Wychwood, ½
   mark.
   See no. 1025

1228 John de Mar of Little Minster for default, ½ mark.
   See no. 1026
1229  Bernard de Braunton for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 1026

1230  Richard de Amundeville for the same, 1 mark.
       See no. 1026

1231  William le Waleis of Chadlington and Richard Hunter of Rolllright for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 1026

1232  The abbot of Winchcombe for the same, 40s.
       See no. 1026

1233  Roger Gulafre for concealment, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1234  Robert de Cerceden for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1235  Ralph de Saucey for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1236  Savary of Walcote for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1237  Ralph Boveton for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1238  Geoffrey de Columnnis for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1239  John of Charlton for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1240  Geoffrey Cross for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1241  Eudes Briton and Thomas of Fifield for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

1242  Robert of Broadstone and Hugh Franklin for the same, ½ mark.
       See no. 761

Amercements of Pleas in Oxfordshire

1243  Roger de Cundington [Cundicote] for diseseisin, by surety of
       William son of Thomas du Boys and William de la Hake, 1 mark.
       See no. 240

1244  Peter son of Osger because he did not come, 1 mark.
       See no. 240
1245 Philip Keynel for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 240

1246 Reynold of Whitchurch for the same, and for disseisin, 1 mark.
   See no. 240

1247 Thomas Greasley for a disseisin, [blank]. Baron.
   See no. 261

1248 Robert of Burghfield for unjust detention, by surety of John son
   of Alexander of Burghfield and Walter Lunet of Shirburn, 10s.
   See no. 261a

1249 William de Scalebrok in Haseley for the same, by surety of
   Wuliam Siluam and Henry Wace, ½ mark.
   See no. 262

1250 Geoffrey Tregot for unjust detention. 20s. Suffolk.
   See no. 268

1251 Adam of Bedingfield for the same. ½ mark. Suffolk.
   See no. 269

1252 Ralph of Colwell for the same. ½ mark. Suffolk.
   See no. 269

1253 Roger of Somerton for disseisin. [blank] Baron.
   See no. 278

1254 Henry Martin and William de Creton because they did not have
   the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
   See no. 278

1255 Philip of Cotes because he did not come, ½ mark.
   See no. 278

1256 Gilbert de Hide in Hempton for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 278

1257 Henry of Tew because he did not come, 10s.
   See no. 279

1258 Richard of Studley and Thomas de la Hutte for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 279

1259 John de Brebazun for a false plea, by surety of William [Hay] the
   sheriff, ½ mark.
   See no. 279
1260 Geoffrey son of Isaac for a disseisin, by surety of Ralph of Shipton, ½ mark.
    See no. 288

1261 Henry son of William of Hensington for a disseisin, by surety of Eustace son of Geoffrey of Hensington and Eudes of the same, ½ mark.
    See no. 288

1262 Alexander de la Brecche because he did not come, ½ mark.
    See no. 333

1263 Richard Edmund of Banbury because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ½ mark.
    See no. 315

1264 Hugh Hurtle of the same for the same, ½ mark.
    See no. 315

1265 Richard son of John of Hinksey for a false plea, by surety of Laurence le Brun and Henry Inge, ½ mark.
    See no. 369

1266 John of Hinksey for a disseisin, by surety of William le Bacheber and William son of Robert de Chenesfeld, 10s.
    See no. 369

1267 Alan [son of Alan] of Withersdale for unjust detention, ½ mark. Suffolk.
    See no. 378

1268 Robert White of Banbury and John Carter of Middleton because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
    See no. 388

1269 Rose of Begbroke for disseisin, by surety of William Hay the sheriff, ½ mark.
    See no. 389

1270 Richard of Wolford of Chipping Norton for the same by surety of Hugh reeve of the same and Bundkin Winter, ½ mark.
    See no. 392

1271 Robert de Wantham for a disseisin, by surety of John son of Walter of the same, 1 mark.
    See no. 394

1272 Reynold son of Simon of Drayton because he withdrew, by surety of Robert of Tew and William of Drayton, ½ mark.
    See no. 398
1273 Walter of Clifton and William of Stafford, by surety of the same Reynold, ½ mark.
   See no. 398

1274 William son of Robert of Chipping Norton for a disseisin, ½ mark.
   See no. 401

1275 John Sundy because he withdrew, by surety of Laurence del Brok and William de la Port of Crowmarsh, 10s.
   See no. 417

1276 Robert of Mapledurham because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, 10s.
   See no. 417

1277 The prior of Deerhurst for a false plea, 40s.
   See no. 419

1278 William Puignant because he withdrew, 1 mark.
   See no. 422

1279 Guy of Tusmore and Alan of the same because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
   See no. 422

1280 Robert of Deddington (40d.) and Adam de Gay (½ mark) for a trespass, 10s.
   See no. 422

1281 John son of the priest of Hampton and William Young of the same for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 423

1282 Richard de Hocot [Hotoft] [blank]
   See no. 429

1283 William Pulein of Haddon [in Brize Norton] for a false plea, by surety of Sampson le Esquire of Norton and Robert Roch, ½ mark.
   See no. 430

1284 William [Cross] of Nuneham because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 432

1285 William Joie and William Goday, by surety of the same William, ½ mark.
   See no. 432

1286 Walter S curet of Bourton, [blank] Bucks.
   See no. 435
1287 William of Twyford in Aynho, bu surety of Rose de Turreville, [blank] Bucks.
See no. 435

1288 Walter Black of Banbury for a false plea, by surety of Adam Dreng and Richard Black of the same, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 442

1289 Thomas Siward of Mapledurham for a false plea, by surety of Alexander Cook of Checkendon and Robert of Mapledurham, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 446

1290 John Attewall of Shiplake and Simon Forthmorewe because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 447

1291 Stephen of Newton, Robert le Sauvage, and William son of Gilbert son of Ives, recognitors of the assize of Higham, because they did not come, 10s. Northants.
See no. 448

1292 Matthew of Golder and Simon of Clare because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 451

1293 Robert Sperewe of Chalgrove and Richard Clec of the same for the same, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 452

1294 Peter son of William of Barton William [Westcott Barton] because he did not prosecute, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 454

1296 Reynold Foster for disseisin, 1 mark.
See no. 458

1297 The abbot of Eynsham for trespass, [blank].
See no. 459

1298 Maud de Gurnay because she withdrew, [blank].
See no. 466

1299 Alured [Aluric] of Mapledurham and Henry de la Tyvel, by surety of the same Maud, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 466

1300 Henry Wace and Robert de la Greyhone for the same, $\frac{1}{2}$ mark.
See no. 467

1301 Geoffrey of Cholsey because he did not prosecute, 10s.
See no. 468
1302 William du Boys in Caversham and Ralph his brother because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, by surety of the same Geoffrey, ½ mark.
   See no. 468

1303 Ralph Druval for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 469

1304 Ralph son of Richard of Stokenchurch and Joan his wife for a false plea, ½ mark.
   See no. 485

m. 2d.]

1305 Roger of Harpsden for disseisin, by surety of Philip Camel and Robert of Hendred, ½ mark.
   See no. 492

1306 Roger Runcy because he did not come, ½ mark.
   See no. 493

1307 John of Barton William [Westcott Barton] and Stephen Runcy for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 493

1308 Adam of Watlington for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 500

1309 Ralph Chenduit for a false plea, [blank].
   See no. 500

1310 Gilbert Wigod for a disseisin, by surety of Henry de Scaccario, 2 marks.
   See no. 501

1311 Ralph Hareng because he did not prosecute, [blank].
   See no. 506

1312 William Hill for unjust detention, by surety of William de Wike and Robert Aleyn, ½ mark.
   See no. 509

1313 John son of Eustace of Wheatley because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 511

   See no. 511
1315 William son of Richard Mauduit for a false plea, 1 mark. *Norfolk.*
   See no. 517

1316 Robert du Boys for the same, 1 mark. *Norfolk*

1317 Guy son of Robert because he did not come, 1 mark.
   See no. 520

1318 Roger Gernun for disseisin, by surety of William Buche and Hugh Long, 1 mark.
   See no. 520

1319 Ralph Talemasch for the same, by surety of Richard Kene and William Talemasch, 1 mark.
   See no. 520

1320 Hugh le Long for the same, by surety of William Canon and Ralph [William crossed out] Talemasch, ½ mark.
   See no. 520

1321 William Buche for the same, by surety of Roger Gernun and Hugh le Long, ½ mark.
   See no. 520

1322 Richard Kene for the same, by surety of the said Roger and Hugh, ½ mark.
   See no. 520

1323 Gerard de Oddingeseles for disseisin, 2 marks.
   See no. 532

1324 Roger reeve of Broadwell because he did not come, and Walter Miller of the same and Adam de Suthill as sureties for Roger, 10s.
   See no. 532

1325 Alma de Rumely because she did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 539

1326 John Lunel as surety for Alma, [blank].
   See no. 539

1327 Cecily widow of John of the mill for a false plea, by surety of Adam of Barton and Gilbert Foster, ½ mark.
   See no. 551

1328 Martin le Palmer of Burford and Robert Bure [Bokis] because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
   See no. 576
1329  William of Fritwell for a false plea, ¼ mark.
      See no. 576

1330  Nicholas Fisher of Somerford because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
      See no. 626

1331  Peter of Wilcote as surety for Nicholas, ¼ mark.
      Cf. no. 626

1332  Robert of Pyrton because he did not prosecute, 1 mark.
      See no. 636

1333  Maurice le Poitevin [Angevin] as Robert’s surety, ¼ mark.
      See no. 636

1334  Robert [William] of Clare for the same, ¼ mark.
      See no. 636

1335  Simon son of Ascelin of Chipping Norton because he did not prosecute, John son of Maud of the same and Roger of Norton, Simon’s sureties, ¼ mark.
      See no. 639

1336  John Punchard because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ¼ mark.
      See no. 640

1337  Godfrey de Burnedich because he did not come, 10s. Suffolk.
      See no. 647

1338  William son of Roger de Burnedich and Peter le Selon as sureties for Godfrey, ¼ mark.
      See no. 647

1339  Adam Everard and Robert Selon of Bedingfield as sureties for the same, ¼ mark.
      See no. 647

1340  Robert son of Adam [Milicent crossed out] Petit because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, ¼ mark.
      See no. 686

1341  Gilbert of Golder because he did not prosecute, ¼ mark.
      See no. 687

1342  Robert of Mapledurham for a trespass, 1 mark.
      See no. 697
1343  Richard le Piper of Glympton and Adam son of William of the same, because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
      See no. 694

1344  Robert son of Ralph because he did not prosecute, by surety of Robert [William] de Riston, 10s.
      See no. 694

1345  Gilbert de Basevill because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
      See no. 702

1346  William of Englefield for the same [blank].
      See no. 702

1347  Alan Basset for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 702

1348  William de Grave and Richard de Frayn because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, by surety of William of Englefield, ½ mark.
      See no. 702

1349  William de Hayles and Gilbert Carter [Carver] for the same, by surety of William of Englefield, ½ mark.
      See no. 702

1350  Miles Carter [Carver] and William le Norreis for the same, by surety of William of Englefield, ½ mark.
      See no. 702

1351  The bishop of Lincoln for unjust detention, [blank].
      See no. 704

1352  Adam of Burcot and Nicholas of Alkerton Bridge, because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, ½ mark.
      See no. 599

1353  Roger of Clare of Bodicote for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 604

1354  Thomas of Appleton for unjust detention, ½ mark.
      See no. 607

1355  Robert Danvers because he withdrew, 1 mark.
      See no. 613

1356  Laurence del Brok as Robert’s surety, [blank].
      See no. 613
1357  John Medicus [le Mire] for the same, ½ mark.  
      See no. 613.

1358  Richard Danvers of Tetsworth because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.  
      See no. 617

1359  Geoffrey son of Simon in Oxford and Henry Wace as Richard’s sureties, ½ mark.  
      See no. 617

1360  Walter Lunet of Shirburn because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.  
      See no. 624

1361  Richard of Lewknor for the same, ½ mark.  
      See no. 625

1362  William of Cumnor and Fray Punchard [Francis of Kiddington] because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties, ½ mark.  
      See no. 626

1363  Nicholas Kuigt [Kuye] of Chastleton and John Clement for the same, ½ mark.  
      See no. 629

1364  The abbot of ‘Dure’ [?Dorchester] for unjust detention and a false plea, ½ mark.

1365  Peter de Stanninges for a false plea, ½ mark.

1366  Nicia de Clinton for unjust detention, ½ mark.  
      Cf. no. 659.

1367  Hamon de Crevequeor for unjust detention against William Longespee, ½ mark. Kent.

1368  John le Marshal outside the gate for unjust detention, and John Rufus de Cleudon, ½ mark.

1369  Stephen Simeon of Oxford for the same, 1 mark.

1370  Simon Balehorn for a false plea, [blank].

1371  The vill of Oxford for a fine before judgement, 40 marks.

1372  Alan Horloc for a false plea, by surety of Adam Cruste, ½ mark.  
      See no. 714

1373  Richard of Dorchester for unjust detention, by surety of Adam Cruste, ½ mark.  
      See no. 715
1374 Emma widow of William son of Richer for licence to agree, by surety of the prior of St. Frideswide's, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 716

1375 Tobert le Thal [Talt] to have an inquisition, by surety of Richard of Bedford and William of Woodstock, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 717

1376 The prior of St. Frideswide's for licence to agree with Richard Curteis, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 718

1377 Agnes widow of Mace son of Robert for a false plea, by surety of Henry Simeon, 1 mark.
   See no. 724

1378 Reynold of Cumnor for a disseisin, 1 mark. Berks.
   See no. 726

1379 Roger de Wose, Stephen son of Robert, Henry his brother, and Gilbert de Chesney for the same, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark. Berks.
   See no. 726

1380 Richard Siward for the same, [blank].
   See no. 726

1381 Henry le Ferur to have an inquisition, by surety of William le Cutler outside the east gate, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 728

1382 Walter of Wheatley for a false plea, by surety of William [Richard] of Norwich, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   Cf. no. 730

1383 William Smartknavve to have an inquisition, by surety of Godfrey of Hogbourne, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 749

1384 William le Sauser for many amercements, 1 mark.

1385 Willliam the Cutler of Holland to have an inquisition, by surety of John Rufus, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 736

1386 Walter le Poer for the same, by surety of Henry le Sauser, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 736

1387 William Aunfrey for licence to agree, by surety of John of Coleshill, \( \frac{1}{2} \) mark.
   See no. 738.
1388 Richard Bluet because he withdrew, by surety of William Bluet and Walter of Upton, ½ mark.
   See no. 739

1389 Alan Dudeling to have an inquisition, by surety of Nicholas Harburg [Erneburg], ½ mark.
   See no. 740

1390 Theodulf de la Hulle because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 757

1391 John le Waleis and William le Vaus as sureties for him, and Ralph of St. Frideswide’s because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 757–8

1392 Thomas of St. Edward’s and Adam of the same as sureties for Ralph of St. Frideswide’s, ½ mark.
   See no. 758

1393 Master William of Lichfield because he did not prosecute, ½ mark.
   See no. 758a

1394 John of Stanley and Robert Rufus as sureties for William, ½ mark.
   See no. 758a

1395 John [Henry crossed out] Miller because he did not prosecute [crossed out], by surety of Henry Miller, ½ mark.
   Cf. no. 758b

1396 Michael of Holton, William Gardener, Richard le Waleis, and John Clerk of Holton, by surety of Peter son of Osbert, ½ mark.
   Cf. no. 977

1397 Walter of Wheatley for licence to agree, by surety of Richard of Norwich, ½ mark.
   See no. 730

1398 Andrew Blund for waste of the houses which were John Zachary’s, fugitive, £10.
   See no. 1030

1399 William Hay the sheriff for John Zachary’s chattels, 7s.
   See no. 1030

1400 Adam Cruste and Hugh le Fane, bailiffs, for the king’s year in John’s lands, 20s.
   See no. 1030
1401 The same bailiffs for the chattels of Roger [le Turner], fugitive, 7d.
   See no. 1031

1402 Simon son of Bund for trespass, ½ mark.
   See no. 1032

1403 William Clerk for many trespasses, ½ mark.
   E.g. no. 1033

1404 Adam Cruste and Hugh Fane for the chattels of William Wygesnail, 2s.
   See no. 1034

1405 Thomas under Wall for trespass, ½ mark.
   Cf. no. 756

1406 The same bailiffs for the chattels of William Hangman, fugitive, 2s.
   See no. 1038

1407 The same bailiffs for the chattels of Thomas Weaver, fugitive, 5s. 2d.
   See no. 1045

1408 Walter of Elsfield for trespass, by surety of the said bailiffs, ½ mark.
   See no. 1047

1409 Adam Feteplace for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 1048

1410 Henry le Ferur for a false appeal, by surety of William the Cutler outside the north gate and William Barun, 1 mark.
   See no. 1048

1411 Laurence Log for unjust imprisonment, by surety of Adam Cruste and Thomas Cruste his brother, 1 mark.
   See no. 1049

1412 Richard Goldsmith for a fine for an amercement, by surety of William le Burgeys, 1 mark.
   See no. 1050

1413 Niel of Coleshill for trespass, 20s.
   See no. 1051

1414 John Achard for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 1051
1415  Nicholas le Palmer for the same, ½ mark.
      Se no. 1051

1416  The bailiffs for the chattels of Colman the Poulterer, fugitive, 2s.
      See no. 1052

1417  John Sewy for the chattels of Thomas of Ireland, hanged, 52s.
      Se no. 1053

1418  Laurence Log for the chattels of Gregory de Piclesthorn, hanged,
      5s.
      See no. 1054

1419  The abbess of Godstow's fee outside the north gate for the flight
      of Matthew of Somerton, 1 mark.
      See no. 1057

1420  William le Sauser for the chattels of Roger Clare, fugitive, 8s.
      See no. 1058

1421  The same William for the chattels of Godfrey, fugitive, 11s.
      See no. 1059

1422  The same William for the chattels of William le Roper, fugitive,
      12d.
      See no. 1061

1423  Laurence son of Ralph and Thomas son of Henry for a false
      presentment, ½ mark.
      See no. 715

1424  Hugh Harding for the same, 1 mark.
      See no. 715

1425  John Pille for the same, 1 mark.
      See no. 715

1426  Laurence Rufus for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 715

1427  John of Kingston for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 715

1428  William de Eu and William Perle for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 715

1429  Alan son of Walter for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 715

1430  Simon Balehorn for the same, ½ mark.
      See no. 736
1431 Ralph Goldsmith and Roger son of Miles for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 736

1432 Geoffrey Gretun for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 736

1433 [William Cordwainer] for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 736

1434 Ellis Horloc for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 736

1435 William the Cutler and John of Broughton for the same, ½ mark.
   See no. 736

1436 John Crompe for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 736

1437 Adam of Cuxham for the same, 1 mark.
   See no. 736
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Abbot, Ric., 764
Abbrintis [Averench]:
  Joan, 106, 657
  Sim. de, 105, 657
Aberavenny:
  Thos. de, and Cecily his w., 181
  Wal. de, 181
Abingdon:
  Hugh of, 599
  Phil. of, 1033
Abingdon (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 365
  abbey, abbot of, 726, 731, 897, 983
Ablington, Wm. of, 143, 295
Accs, Ric. de, 662
Achard, John, 1051
Achilles, Rog. s. of, see Ashild
Adam, chaplain of Littlemore, 293
Adam, reeve of Stanton St. John, 977
Adam:
  Geof. s. of, 72
  John s. of, 157, 848, 1138
  Peter s. of, 538
  Phil. s. of, 157
  Wal. s. of, 60, 168, 820, 1113
Adderbury:
  Adam of, 720
  Agnes of, w. of Nic. de Lumms, 591
  Alice of, 591
  Joan of, 591
  Ric. s. of Ellis of, 994
  Rob. clerk of, 999
  Thos. of, 591
  Wal. of, 79
Adderbury (Oxon.), 303, 591, 994, 997, 999
Adwell (Oxon.), 897
Aer, Rob. s. of, 67
Agatha, 682

Agden wood (Herts.), 667, 668
Agnes, 146, 478
Agnes sister of Maud, 570
Aguillium [Aquillum], Rob., 498
Aguillun, Wm., 983
Ailric, Wal. s. of, 306
Ainsworth (Lancs.), 620
Airel:
  Hen. de, 297, 609
  Joan de, 609
Akingny, Baldwin de, 257
Alan s. of Hen. the scrivener, 399
Alan the sacristan, 723
Alan:
  Alan s. of, 378, 1267
  Ric. s. of, 225, 472, 686, 764
  Roald s. of, 265, 890, 1184
  Wal. s. of, 1040
  Wm. s. of, 472, 623
Alard, Phil. s. of, 56, 352
Albemarle:
  Christine de, 50
  Wm. de, 49
Albreda, 549
Alditha, 682
Aldoluestre, Sim. de, 321, 355
'Aldoluestre' (Warws.), 355
Alein, see Aley
Aley, Wm. Ralph, 583
Alexander:
  Bart. s. of, 684
  Hen. s. of, 451
  Isabel dau. of, 150, 151
  Niel s. of, 69
  Steph. s. of, 20
  Wm. s. of, 381
Aley [Alein]:
  Rob., 509, 1312
  Wm., 341, 769, 1080
Alfthem:
  Margery, 385
  Ric., 385
Rob., 385
Algar, John, 704
Alice, 303, 725
Alice:
	Adam s. of, 275
	Jas. s. of, 913
Aline, sister of Muriel Duston, 38
Alkerton (Oxon.), 475, 599, 992
Alkerton Bridge, Nic. of, 599, 1352
All Saints, Steph. of, 286
Almer, Ives s. of, 1037
Alney, Hen. de, 312, 414
Altave, Gilb., 498
Alterbee, Gilb., 256
Althein, Rob., 522
Alton, Ric. de, 841
Alured, Ric. s. of, 272
Aluric, Rob. s. of, 390
‘Alurington’ (Gloucs.), 461
Alvescot (Oxon.), 929
Amaury, Wm. s. of, 805, 1089
Amyot, Wm., 519, 774
Amundevill [Andevill, Aundevill]:
	Clemence, 287, 516, 539
	Ric. de, 20, 287, 516, 539, 568, 1026, 1230
Andrew, Peter s. of, 52
Anestan, Rob. de, 244
Anfrid, Rob. s. of, 1037
Angod, Alice, 297
Arches, Jordan de, 297
Arden:
	Eustace of, 88, 604, 605
	Hugh of, 96, 247, 703
	Thos. of, 604, 605
Argentom, Ric. de, 51
‘Arlebrig’ (Norfolk), 684
Armiger, John, 770

Arsic:
Alexandra, m. Thos. de Haya, 351, 799
Joan, m. Steph. Simeon, 351, 799
Rob., 351, 799
Arundel, Wm. of, 394
Arundel, earl of, see Aubeney, Hugh de
Arundel priory (Sussex), prior of, 19

Arzuner:
Godfrey le, 1037
Ralph, 1037
Rob. 1037
Ascelin, Sim. s. of, 639, 1335
Ascot:
	Hen. s. of Steph. of, 1016
	Gillian of, 1016
	Wm. s. of Steph. of, 1016
Ascott-under-Wychwood (Oxon.), 1016, 1221
Ascott (in Stadhampton, Oxon.), 633
Ash, John of, 160
Ashild [Achilles], Rog. s. of, 73
Ashwell:
	Luke of, 676
	Steph. of, and Aubrey his w., 328, 676, 678
Aspall (Suffolk), 268, 269
‘Aspley’ (Bucks.) [?Aspley Guise, Beds.], 563
Asseho, Wm. de, 949
Assendon, Rob. of, 769, 1082
Astcote, Ric. of, 47
Asthall:
	Alice of, 583
	Eustace of, 774
	Reynold of, 934
	Rob. of, 461, 983
Asthall (Oxon.), 932
Asthall Leigh (Oxon.), 638
Aston:
	Alan of, 427, 653
	Auger of, 886
	Gillian of, 744
	Hugh clerk of, 897
	Ralph of, 691
	Reynold of, 955
	Roaald of, 635
	Rob. of, 762, 1037, 1162
	Thos. of, 245, 744
	Wm. of, 1037
Aston (in Bampton, Oxon.), 919, 931

and see Esquire
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Aston, Middle (Oxon.), 870, 871, 872, 873
Aston, North (Oxon.), 1169
Aston Rowant (Oxon.), 400, 464, 501, 545, 892, 897, 1184, 1197, 1198, 1199
Aston, Steeple (Oxon.), 399, 555, 627, 653
Astrop, Mic. of, 924
Astrop (in Brize Norton, Oxon.), 570, 660, 923
At Water:
    Ellis, 770
    Hugh, and Christine his w., 349
    Wal., 769
    Wm., 772
At Well:
    Alice, 400
    Geof., 400
    Hugh, 997
    John, 402, 765
Athelard, Humph., 905
Athis, John, 952
Attebarre, Thos., 640
Atteneastan,
    Joan, see Pirot
    Ric. de, 330
Attewall, John, 447
Atewiston, Wm., 509
Avington (in Tetworth, Oxon.), 961, 962
Aubeney, Hugh de, earl of
    Arundel, 2, 874
Audely, Wm. de, 419
Audrington, Dennis de, 125
Aumary, Rog. de, 805, 983
Aundely, Maurice de, 805, 1088
Aundevill, see Amundevill
Aunfrey:
    Isolde, widow of Ralph, 738
    Wm., 738, 1387
Aubin, carter of Hugh Wyther, 827
Austin, chaplain of Taynton, 1006
Avenbury, Wal. of, 43
Avenel:
    Wm., 236
    Wymarc w. of Adam, 883, 1174
Averench, see Abbrintis
Avice, Helen dau. of, 483
Aw, Ric. le, 43
Aylesbury, Wm. of, 777
Aylesbury (Bucks.), 889
Aylred, Geof., 854
Aylward, Hen., 442
Aylwine, Maud dau. of, 810, Aynho (Northants.), 435, 1287
Ayton, Rob. de, 96
Babbe, Maud, 951
Bacheber, Wm. le, 1266
Backford, Adam of, 171, 173
Backwell (Somerset), 461
Bacon:
    Nic., 547
    Rog., 709
Badhal [Padhull], Rob. de, 783, 1078
Badlesmere, Bartholomew. of, 907, 1209
Badun, John, 210
Bagot:
    Isabel, 380
    Maud, 626
    Nicola widow of Sim., 23, 156, 375, 380, 650
    Rob., 110, 626
Bagvill, Gilb. de, 219
Baillemur, Wm., 118
Bainton, Rob. of, 534, 632
Bainton (in Stoke Lyne, Oxon.), 534, 804
Bakepeth, Mat. de 295
Baker:
    Ric., 777
    Wm., 756
    Wm., 1049
Baldes, Alice, 951
Baldon, Rog. s. of Sim. of, 983
Balehorn, Sim., 575, 736, 1430
Balliol:
    Devorguilla de, 21, 412
    John de, 21
    Hen. de, 34, 35, 335, 341, 407
    Laura de, 34, 35
Balscott (Oxon.), 377, 999
Bampton:
    Agnes dau. of Sybil of, 915
    Wal. of, 96
Bampton (Oxon.), 916, 917, 933, and see Cote; Shifford; Weald hund., 774
    bailiff of, see Shipton, Wm. of
Banbury:
    Alice, of, 301, 638
    Gilb. of, 700
    Ralph of, 301, 940
Banbury (Oxon.), 315, 385, 442, 494, 522, 566, 779, 936-44, 990-1, 997, 1263, 1268, and see
    Wickham
    bailiff of, see Dreng, John
hund., 765
Bangeriant, John, 33
Barberel, Ric., 934
Bard, Alice widow of Rob., 756
Barecis, John de, 313
Barenel, Wm., 934
Barentine, Drew de, 482
Barewe, Peter de, 491, 569, 769, 793, 1075
Barford:
    Hugh of, 99
    John of, 874, 878, 997
    Ralph of, 764, 884, 1176
Barford St. John (Oxon.), 989, 998
Barford St. Michael (Oxon.), 853, 1141
Barking, Wm. of, 693
Barking abbey (Essex), abbess of, 379
Barnard [Bernard]:
    Geof., 833, 962
    Warin, 403
    Wm. s. of, 162
Barnwell, Geof. of, 341
Barre, Ralph, 209, 414
Bartholomew, Wm. s. of, 462
Barton:
    Adam of, 551, 1327
    Geof. of, 560
    John of, 493, 1307
    Mabel of, 581
    Wal. of, 914
Barton, Steeple (Oxon.), 279, 529, 560, 854, 855, 856, 857, 1144
Barton, Westcott (Oxon.) 436, 454, 1294, 1295
Barton Hartshorn, (Bucks.), 796
Barun:
    Ellis, 448
    Wm., 1410
Basevill, Gilb. de, 126, 127, 702, 1345
Basil, Wal. s. of, 704
Basset:
    Alan, 126, 217, 225, 415, 702, 1347
    Fulk, 293
    Gilb., 593, 595, 597, 602, 603, 607, 675, 694, 803, 805
    Nic., 614
    Ralph, 49, 412
    Ric., 505
    Rob., parson of Moreton, 827, 1117
Bastard, Ralph le, 427

Bath:
    Hen. of, 577, 616, 969
    Master Reynold of, parson of Paxton, 62, 302, 667, 668, 743
    Wal. of, 339
    Wm. of, 28
Bath (Somerset), 1061
Bathampton, (Wils.), 607
Batun, Wal., 897
Battle (Sussex), abbot of, 833, 1121
Baynhal:
    Hen. de, 71, 235
    John de, 71, 235
    Ric. de, 71, 235
    Thos. de, 71, 235
Bean, John, 494
Beauclair:
    Angerus de, 1026
    Emma de, 609
    Iseult de, 212
    Miles de, 414
    Phil. de, and Joan his w., 503
    Wal. de, 424
    Wm. de, 161, 291, 297, 424, 490, 609
Beaufiz, Wal., 995
Beaufo, Ric. de, 704
Beaulieu abbey (Hants.), abbot of, 461
Beaumais, John de, 498
Beaumont, Phil. [Ric.] de, 815, 1105
Beche, Wm. de la, 907, 1208
Becket, Wal. de, 652
Beckham, John of, 175
Beckhampton, Hamon of, 357
Beckington:
    Barth. of, 122, 182, 183
    Thos. of, 182
Beckley (Oxon.), 984
Becote, Wal. de, 69, 577
Becested, Adam de, 266
Bedel:
    Mat. de, 540
    Maud de, 576
Bedford, Ric. of, 717, 1375
Bedfordshire, Angerus of, 1029
Bedingfield, Adam of, 269, 1251
Bedingfield (Suffolk), 647, 1337
Bedington, Ralph de, 1043
Bedwyn, Joscelin of, and Cecily his w., 37
Begbroke:
    Rose of, 389, 1269
    Wm. of 389,
    Begbroke (Oxon.), 389
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Bel, Adam le, 954
Belby, Thos. of, 194
Belche, Wm., 868, 1155, 1156
Bellembe, Hen., and Margery his w., 479
Belgrave, Hen. of, 383
Bellard: John, 927
Rog., 927
Bellius, Theobald de, 350
Bellory: Geof., 565
Wm., 565
Belomes, John de, 256
Bemhal: Ric. de, 689
Rog. de, 689
Bencelina widow of Ingenolf, 30
Bendenges: Burgia de, 314
Gunnora de, 149, 721
Peter de, 314
Thos. de, 314
Benerasche, Ric., 927
Benerstan, Sim. de, 5
Benet, Fulk s. of, 397
Beneyt: John, 465
Rob., 914
Wm., 465
Benhall, Ric. of, 498
Benning, Burgia widow of Peter de, 152
Benson, Rog. of, and Maud his w., 550
Benson (Oxon.), 485, 827, 835
half hund. of, 773, 835, 1112
Bere, John le (de la) 124, 271, 329, 999
Bereford, Ric. de, 33
Bereworth, John de, 763, 1099
Berkeley:
Giles of, 111, 512, 567, 588, 592
John of, 679
Berkhampstead:
Michael of, 62, 667
Sanicula of, 62, 667, 743
Berkhampstead (Herts.), 527
Berners:
Cecily de, 65
John de, 65
Ralph de, 379
Berrick (?Salome) (Oxon.), 821
Bertham, Thos. de, 237
Bertram, John s. of Geof., 821, 1115
Bertun, Geof. and his w. Christine, 129, 649
Besevill, Ralph de, 54
Betun, Rob. advocate of, 25, 60, 61
Bevere, Master Wal. le, 300
Beverley (Yorks.), provost of, see York, Wm. of
Beyford, John de, 141, 189, 708
Bicester priory (Oxon.), prior of, 101
Bideford, Jordan de, 787
Bidon, John de, 523
Billesley (Warws.), 841
Bilverurth, Wal. de, 554
Billing, Thos. of, 90
Billinghurst, Wm. of, 19
Binfeld hund. (Oxon.), 769, 1063
'Birkhull' (Lancs.), 620
Blacberd, Adam, 320, 820, 1113
Black:
Alice, 132, 442
John, 59
Richard, 442, 1288
Wal., 132, 442, 1288
Blackwell, Wm. of, 297
Bladon (Oxon.), 1002
Blanchbunli, John, 366
Bledington, Rob. de, 270
Bletchingdon (Oxon.), 802, 855
Bleville, Margery de, 376
Bloxham, Adam of, 305, 999
Bloxham (Oxon.), 993, 995, 1151
hund., 764
Bluber, Rog., 956
Bluet [Bleuet]:
Adam, 683, 829, 1119
Alda or Maud, 118, 119, 242, 619
Mary, 739
Ric., 739, 1388
Wm., 739, 1387
Blund [Blunt]:
Adam s. of Hen., 1003
Andrew le, 277, 456, 897, 1030, 1194, 1398
Helen, 277
Hugh, 403, 825, 978
Sim., 832, 1120
Wm., 46, 86, 692, 980
and see White
Blundel, Thos., 825
Bobbingworth, Wm. of, 371
Bocke, Geof., 768
Bocking:
John of, 166, 498
Ralph of, 168
Reynold of, 498
Bodevil, Margery widow of Wm. de, 587
Bodicote, Idony of, widow of Ric. le Engunur, 88, 604, 605
Bodicote (Oxon.), 386, 878, 990, 1353
Bodin:
  Beatrice, w. of Geof. of Stockwell, 713
  Peter, 713, 742
  Rob., 713
  Steph., and Alice his w., 73, 574, 576
Bohun:
  Humph. de, earl of Hereford, 65, 371, 379, 554, 571
  Muriel de, m. Ralph of Heath, 578
Bokis, (Bure) Rob., 567, 1328
Bol, Wm., 1029
Bole, Rob., 621
Bolebok, Gilb. de, 297
Bolingham, James de, 362
Bolinton, Humph. de, 371
Bollebec, Isabel de, countess of Oxford, 251, 416, 417, 429, 433, 455, 907
Bolney (in Harpsden, Oxon.), 569, 680, 681
Bologano:
  Hen. de, 359
  Wal. de, 359
Bolors:
  Alan de, 310
  Baldwin de, and Gwenllian his w., 310
  Robert de, 310
Bolter:
  Christine, 749, 752
  John, 749, 752
  Maud, w. of Reynold of Harwell, 749, 752
  Wm. le, 749
Bonous, Wm. de, 672
Bonserjant, Wm., 527
Bookbinder, Wm., and Gillian his w., 725
Bookham (Surrey), 314
Boron, Wm., 120, 631
Botild, Wm. s. of, 403
Botley, Hen. of, 729
Bourton (Bucks.), 435, 1286
Boveton:
  Alditha, 902
  Godfrey, and Lecia his w., 750
Hugh, 902, 1203
Ralph, 761, 1236
Ric. de, 902, 950
Wal., 902, 1203
Bovill, Giles de, and Isabel his w., 297
Bovingdon (Herts.), 341
Boyland, Rog. of, 2, 155, 163, 285
Boys:
  Adam du, 167
  Ernald du, 445
  Ralph du, 468, 1302
  Ric. du, 330
  Rob. du, 517, 518, 1316
  Thos. du, 240, 468, 1243, Wm. du, 121, 240, 387, 468, 1242, 1302
Boyton (Suffolk), 525
Brackley:
  Ric. of, 238, 573, 700
  Wm. of, 308
Brackley (Northants.), 701
  prior of Hosp. St. John of, 120, 631
Bracy, Gilb. de, and Hawise his w., 121
Bradfield (Berks.), 645
Bradley, Hen. of, 284
Bradenstoke priory (Wilts.), prior of 250, 360
Bradeston, Ric. de, 154
Bradley:
  Hen. of, 284
  Ric. of, and Maud his w., 134, 135
  Rob. of, 237
Brampton:
  Parnel of, 7
  Rob. of, 7
  Rog. of, 403
  Thos. of, 403
  Wm. of, 403
Brand [Braund]:
  Emma, 440, 582
  Rob., 85, 297, 441, 582, 790, 793, 897, 907, 1072
Brascour, see Brazur
Braunton:
  Brian [Bernard] de, 1026, 1228
  Ric. de, 561
Bray:
  Hugh de, and Beatrice his w., 528
  Vivian de, 593
Braun Bec, Rob. de, 60
INDEX OF PERSONS & PLACES

Brazur [Brascurl], Ralph le, 737, 1041
Brebanzon:
  Cecily, 279, 560
  John, 279, 560, 628, 1259
Breche, Alex. de la, 333, 767, 1262
Bret:
  Hugh le, 155
  Phil. le, 42
  Sim. le, 155
  Wm. le, 772
Bretasch, John, 143, 295, 461, 815
Breche, Alex. de la, 333, 767, 1262
Bretewell, Peter de, 565
Bret [Briton]:
  Alice widow of John le, 526
  Hugh, 280
  Iwan [Eudes] le, 761, 1240
  Rannulph, 199, 210, 211
  Ric., 776
  Roland le, 246
  Wm., 237, 376
Breuse:
  Albreda, de, 585
  Aline de, 585
  Guntonda, de, 585
Breyn, Rog., 31
Brian, functionary of Maud de Gurney, 787
Briausne, Ralph de, 83, 698
Briene, functionary of Maud de Gurney, 787
Brockhampton, Hugh and his w. Alice, 550
Brode, Wm. le, 131, 622, 699
Brogborough (in Ridgmont, Beds.), 463
Brok:
  John del, 34, 403
  Laurence del, 180, 234, 326, 373, 416, 417, 462, 503, 516, 574,
  613, 645, 1275, 1356
  Mabel, 583
  Nic. del, and his w. Emma, 51, 264, 340
  Peter del, 308
  Rob. del, 340, 373, 493, 583,
  762, 1164
  Wm. del, 340
Brokpeny, John, 543
Brokton (in Grove, Bucks.), 340
Bromerton, Wm. de, 375
Bromfield, Laria de, 44
Broughton:
  John of, 736, 1435
  Rob. of, 180
  Broughton Poggs (Oxon.), 930, 934
  parson of, see Reynold
Bruern, Wul. of, 925
Bruern abbey (Oxon.), 1010
  abbot of, 108, 109, 281, 343,
  1026
Bruges:
  Geof. de, 480
  Rob. de, 44
  'Brumford' (Suffolk), 338
Brun:
  Adam le, 976
  John le, 102, 209, 293, 414, 552,
  586, 615, 635, 682, 774, 884, 1176
  Lawrence le, 357, 409, 795,
  1086, 1265
  Ric., 796
  Rog. le, 940, 991
  Wm., 342, 644
Brunestre, Wm. s. of Ric. le, 1040
Brunlaund, Ralf de, 106
Brus, Isabel de, 226, 290, 412
Buche:
  Alice, widow of Hereward, 494
  Wm., 520, 1318, 1321
Buck, Adam, 622
Buckby, Long, (Northants.), 404
Buckechike, Ric., 818, 1109
Bucker, see Butcher
Buckerel [Bukerel]:
  Maud, 77, 78, 344, 589
  Peter, 77, 78, 344, 589, 934
  Steph., 190, 191
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckill, Rob. de</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckingham, Wm. of</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckingham, archdeacon of, <strong>see</strong> Mat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckland:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John of</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph of</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffin, Thos.</td>
<td>628, 872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulcegh, Hen. de</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bule, Rob. le</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulloch, Ric.</td>
<td>628, 872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullock, Ric.</td>
<td>628, 872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burford, Paulinus of</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burford (Oxon.)</td>
<td>780, 966-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buloc, Hugh le</td>
<td>5, 119, 138, 242, 619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bund, Sim. s. of</td>
<td>1029, 1032, 1402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bungay, Rayner of</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulwell, John</td>
<td>1045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burcot, Adam of</td>
<td>1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burcot (Oxon.)</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burford, John</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgh:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubert, earl of Kent</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John de, and Hawise</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burghfield:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John s. of Alex. of</td>
<td>261a, 1248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. of</td>
<td>261a, 1248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkeston, Rob. de,</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dean of Framland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmedis [Burnedich]:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godfrey de</td>
<td>647, 1337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. s. of Rog. de</td>
<td>647, 1338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnevil, Rob. de</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burstall (Suffolk)</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton, Wal.</td>
<td>767, 768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton Latimer,</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Northants.),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bury St. Edmunds abby</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Suffolk), liberty of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bussard, Rob.</td>
<td>897, 1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butcher:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan, and Margery</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric.</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler [Butiller]:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh the</td>
<td>236, 875, 884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nic. the</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter the</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. the</td>
<td>214, 654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. the</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttercake, John</td>
<td>858, 1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttol, Thos.</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byfield (Northants.)</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byland abbey (Yorks.)</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cachefreye, Rob.</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadamo [Kam], Ralph</td>
<td>895, 1188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadestoke, Aubrey</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadwell (in Brightwell</td>
<td>822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin, Oxon.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldecot (Cambs.)</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldey (Suffolk)</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell (in Bedford)</td>
<td>161, 204, 490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmsden, Rog. of</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calne, Reynold</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvus, Wm.</td>
<td>1031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camel, Phil.</td>
<td>1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric. de</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td>149, 721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cammeys, Ralph</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canceis, Rob. le</td>
<td>104, 305, 556, 642, 695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canne, John de</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon, Wm.</td>
<td>520, 1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cant’, Isabel wife of</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury, priory of</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy Trinity, prior of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilupe:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. de, bp. of</td>
<td>163, 424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester, 163, 424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm., 220, 425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape, John</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capella, Hen. de</td>
<td>194, 195, 391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caperun, Andrew</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnes, dau. of Wm.</td>
<td>839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John and Agnes</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. the,</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm., 1008, 1218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geof.</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John the,</td>
<td>388, 1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph the</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynold,</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric.</td>
<td>786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal., 821</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carun:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flandrina, 182, 449, 473, 549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ralph de, 122, 182, 449, 473, 549
Carver:
  Gilb. le, [Carter] 702, 1349
  Miles le, [Carter] 702, 1350
Osbert, 235
Ric., 976
Carvill:
  Hawise de, 561
  Ralph de, 561, 806
Cassington:
  Amisius of, 762
  Nic. of, 411
Cassington (Oxon.), 762, 1165, and see Somerford
Castle Acre, Sim. of, 53
Castle Acre (Norfolk), priory, prior of, 53
Caswell, Rog. of, 774
Catesby, John of, 391
Caulcott (in Heyford, Oxon.), 73, 576
Chalfont St. Peter (Bucks.), 356
Chalford (in Enstone, Oxon.), 1007, 1217
Chalgrove (Oxon.), 812, 820, 831, 1113, 1114, 1293
Chalinere, Agnes la, 365
Champion, Rog., 990, 991
Chaplain:
  Adam, 750
  Emma w. of Wm. le, see Swinburg
  Wm., 531, 580
Chapman, Alice dau. of Hugh, 216
Charlbury, Marsilia of, m. Hen. of Langley, 107, 618
Charles:
  Amice, dau. of, 29
  Wm., s. of, 29
Charlton, John of, 761, 1239
Charlton by Scaford (Sussex), 578
Chastleton, Barulf of, 348, 443, 470, 495, 519, 534, 635, 682, 707, 884, 1026, 1176
Chastleton (Oxon.), 136, 397
Chaupiurn, Rog., 726
Chausy, Geof. de, 786
Checkendon (Oxon.), 524, 902, 1289, and see Wyfold
Cheldynton [Cheldrinton, Chelington], Nic. de, 667, 711
Chendir:
  Ralph, 112, 261, 500, 530, 535, 1309
  Urien de, 205
  ‘Chenesfeld’, 1266
Chester, Hawise of, 404
Cherwell, river, 865
Chesham (Bucks.), 528
Chester, earl of, see John the Scot
Chester:
  Ralph of, 711
  Rob. of, 944
Chetwode (Bucks.), 796
Chevalier:
  Gilb., 353
  Millicent, m. John Cook, 353
  Nic. s. of, 397
  Ric., 353
  Rob., 353
  Rog., 353
  Sim., 353
  Theodulph, 353
  Wm., 353
Cheveley, Ric. of, 155
Childwick, Wm. of, 565
Chilham:
  Clemence of, 524
  Rob. of, 524, 907
Chilson (Oxon.), 287
Chilton, Andrew of, 729
Chilworth, Rannulph of, 768
Chilworth (Oxon.), 980
Chineham:
  Adam of, 783
  Hawise of, 447
  Rob. of, 447, 464, 783
Chinnock, West (Somerset), 292
Chinnor, Sim. of, 770, 897, 1196
Chinnor (Oxon.), 770, 891
Chipping Norton (Oxon.), see Norton, Chipping
Chippinghurst (Oxon.), 983
Chislehampton (Oxon.), 910, 913
Cholsey, Geof. of, 464, 502, 1302
Christine, w. of Jordan, 575
Christine, Molekin s. of, 946
Chubbe, John, 128, 218, 287
Churchill (Oxon.), 113, 342, 348, 644
Cigogne, Ingelard de, 821, 827, 835
Ciorvan, John, 934
Cleadon:
  Geof. de, 680
  Joan, w. of Geof., 681
  Joan m. Thos. de Valoynes, 87, 229, 245, 680, 681
Clarente priory (Oxon.):
  canon of, see Ralph
  prior of, 258, 388
Claydon (Oxon.), 561, 949
Clec, Ric., 452, 1293
Clement:
  Geof. de, 680
  Joan, w. of Geof., 681
  Joan m. Thos. de Valoynes, 87, 229, 245, 680, 681
Clere, see Clare
Clerk:
  Adam, 767
  Alfred, 772
Anselm, 13
Engelesya, w. of Hen., 946
Eve, widow of Rog. le, 555, 627
Hugh, 897
Humph., 338
Ingram, 1040
John, 242, 326, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 1396
Lawrence, 956
Luke, 956
Ralph, 657, 773, 946, 1127
Ric., 1, 270, 776, 940, 1170
Rob., 238, 434, 846, 957, 999
Rog., 954, 976
Wal., 333
Wm., 769, 1080, and see
Dunsden
Wm., bailiff of Oxf., 1033, 1042, 1403
Cleveley:
  Alice of, 558
  Ralph of, 558
Cleveley (in Enstone, Oxon.), 558
Cleyhile, Wm. de, 307
Clifford, Ric. de, 805
Clifton:
  Maud of, 333
  Wal. of, 398, 536, 1273
  Wm. of, 907
Clifton (in Deddington, Oxon.), 395
Clifton Hampden (Oxon.), 333, 767
Clinton, Nicia de, 659, 1366
Clipston (Notts.), 405
Cliveden, Geof. of, 651
Clun:
  Gilb. of, 41
  Orgenew of, 41
Cobbler:
  Rog., 1017
  Wm., 132
Coby, Ralph, 815
Coch, Wm., 292
Cockol, Rog., 928, 968
Cogges (Oxon.), 658, 705, 838, 842, 987, 1135
  John, chaplain of, 838
  Martin, 838
  priory, prior of, 81, 308, 635
Coker, Wm., 924
Coket, Rob., 943
Coklegh, Wal. de, 544
Colekin, Alured, 515
Colemore, Alan de, 642, 1026
Coleshill:
  John of, 738, 1387
  Niel of, 1051, 1413
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ric. of, 439</td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colhop, Wm., 855, 1143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colum, Hubert de, 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columnis, Geof. de, 761, 1238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colwell:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph of, 269, 1252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog. of, 171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rose, widow of Wm., 268, 269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alex. of, 419, 600, 704, 766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. of, 839, 839, 1137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geof. of, 655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hugh, 655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parnel of, 375, 650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton (Berks.), 833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton (Warws.), 380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Conesgrave' (Bucks.), 409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constable, Martin the, and Agnes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>his w., 172, 173, 382</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adam, 906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agnes dau. of Rob., 906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alan the, 787, 859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alex., 1289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alice, 840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hen., 767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John, 353, 454, 767, 1295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maud, 796, 840</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Millicent, see Chevaller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric., 180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob., 796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wal., 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm., 698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Cecily widow of Ric. le, 487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copicote:</td>
<td>Hugh de, 897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. de, 770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coppedemore, Rob. de, 464</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordwainer:</td>
<td>Geof. the and Maud his w., 716, 736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core, Nic., 939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall (Oxon.), 1019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneville, Wm. de, 333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornish, Wm., 766, 983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall, Master Vincent, scholar of Oxf., 827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall, earl of, see Richard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornwall, Ric. of, 964; and see Grenville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corok [Roker], Ric., 784, 1065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corun, Nigel le, 735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costeyn, Sebri [Seldrich], 1021, 1224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cote (in Bampton, Oxon.), 919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John s. of Ralph of, 813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil. of, 278, 762, 1167, 1255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotes (Northants.), 414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottisford, Rog. of, 534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotun:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric., 841, 978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog., 978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coudrey:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geof. de, 907, 1207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mat. de, 475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtenay:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. de, 403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. de, 310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cove:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. of, 403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bartholomew of, 403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coventry, bp. of, see Pattishall, Hugh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowarne, Little (Herefs.), 272</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowherd, Wm. the, 904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowley:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John of, 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog. of, 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawe, Thos., 437, 498</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creeping Hall:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alice of, 489</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. of, 247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cressing, Peter of, 239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cressing (Essex), 239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cressy, Rog. de, 283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creton, Wm. de, 278, 1254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crevequeor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hamon de, 1367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sybil [Maud] de, 705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criketoft, Sim. s. of Ralph de, 319</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crochall, Amice dau. of Alice de, 783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crofton, John of, and Emma his w., 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croke, Thos., 773, 1130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crompe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John, 736, 1436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm., 1040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cropredy, Hugh of, 765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adam, 202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geof., 537, 539, 761, 1240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hen., 899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog., 542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sim., 331</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steph., 542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm., 432, 496, 497, 1284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowcombe, Godfrey of, sheriff of Oxon., 305, 759, 984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Crowell (in Lewknor, Oxon), 896
Crowland (Lincs.), abbey, abbot of, 384
Crowmarsh:
  Achard of, 904
  Ralph reeve of, 904
  Wm. the cowherd of, 904
Crowmarsh Gifford (Oxon.), 417, 455, 833, 903, 962, 1205, 1206, 1275
Croydon, Nic. of, 568
Croydon (Cambs.), 568
Croxtone Kerrial (Leics.), abbey, abbot of, 214, 372
Croy, Sim., 665
Crude, John de, and Ismania his w., 134, 135
Crudeworth, Nic. de, 605
Crume, John, 919
Crumhall, Wm. de, 695
Cruste:
  Adam, bailiff of Oxf. 742, 1034, 1046, 1049, 1372, 1373, 1400, 1404, 1411
  Thos., 715, 1049, 1411
Cubbington, Wm. of, 1010
Cubum [Gubmon, Gubum]:
  Hugh, 227, 414
  Luke de, 414
  Ralph, 10, 335, 407
‘Cuheye’ (Cambs.), 444
Cuir, Andrew le, 144, 145
Cumberland, 18
Culerne, Wal. de, 795
Culliford Tree hund. (Dorset), 313
Culunces, John de, 1015
Cumin, David, and Isabel his w., 34, 341
Cumneshope, Sweyn de, 272
Cumnor:
  Reynold of, 726, 1378
  Wm. of, 626, 1362
Cundicote, Rog. de, 240, 466, 1243
Curbridge (Oxon.), 925
Curry, John de, 74, 660
Curtes, Ric., 718, 1376
Cusin, Reinbald, 318, 767
Cutler, Wm. the, 728, 736, 1048, 1381, 1385, 1410, 1435
Cuver, Adam le, 849
Cuxham, Adam of, 736, 1437
Cuxham (Oxon.), 261, 500

Dalton, North (Yorks.), 691
Damel, Alice dau. of Wm., 442

Daneys, John le, 606
Daniel, Gilb. s. of, 622, 699
Danvers:
  Muriel, 126, 127, 217, 225, 613, 614, 648, 702
  Nic., 765
  Ric., 41, 93, 441, 617, 793, 1358, 1359
  Rob., 126, 127, 128, 217, 225, 613, 614, 648, 702, 790, 1355, 1356
  Wm., 765
Darnford, Rob. of, 525
Darnford (Suffolk), 525
Daunic, Wal. s. of Sim. de, 414
Dauniere, Wm. de, 414
Dayvill, Rob., 805
Deacon, Wm., 956, 974
Dean:
  Peter of, 64
  Phil. of, and Gretia his w., 301, 638
Dean (Oxon.), 642
Deddington:
  Phil. s. of Rayner of, 553
  Rob. of, 422
Deddington (Oxon.), 367, 428, 506, 553, 628, 778, 816-19, 1107-11, and see Clifton
Deerhurst (Glos.), priory, prior of, 117, 347, 349, 419, 1008, 1026, 1277
Del, Ric. de, 151
Denham, Rog. of, 975
Denon, Hen., 53
Der, John le, 767
Derby, Rob. of, 723
Derham:
  Alan de, 738, 739
  John de, 738, 739
Despenser:
  Geof., 874, 983
  Ric. le, 777
  Thurstan, 118, 683, 1018
  Wal., 777
  Wm., 826, 999
Devenays:
  Hugh le, 861, 1152
  Wm. le, 926
Didellsfold, Amice de, 192
Dilham, Benet of, 11, 320
Dive:
  Guy de, and Lucy his w., 367
  Isabel, 628
  Rob. de, 48
  Wm. de, 367, 428, 506, 628
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Doberneth, Wm., 987
Docking, Ric. of, 2
Dodbrooke (Devon.), 265
Dod [Dodd]:
    Ralph, 341
    Thos., 176
Doget:
    Alan, 207
    Katelin, 208
    Ric., 66, 370
d'Oilly:
    John, 115, 773, 1130
    Rog., 1016
    Thos., and Sarah his w., 521
Donnington:
    Henry of, 557
    Peter of, 557
    Wm. of, 557
Dorchester:
    Gerard of, 739
    Mary of, 908, 954
    Ric. of, and Gillian his w., 715, 1373
    Wal. of, 148
    Warin of, 753
Dorchester (Oxon.), 318, 908, 912
    abbey, abbot of, 130, 248, 282, 318, 333, 398, 420, 536, 719, 722, 828
    bailiff of, see Wal. de Binton hund., 767
Doringwich, Wm. de, 337
Dosy, Wm., 934
Draper:
    Adam le, 818, 1111
    Wm., 147, 674
Draycott, Hen. of, 292, 323
Drayton:
    Alice wdow of Sim. of, 536
    Gilb. of, and Emma his w., 704
    Hen. s. of Gilb. of, 148
    Hen. of, 414
    John of, 88
    Wm. of, 1272
Drayton (Oxon.), 398, 604, 605, 1272
Drayton St. Leonard (Oxon.), 704, 828
Dreng:
    Adam, 442, 884, 1288
    John, bailiff of Banbury, 779
    Ric., 949
Drew, Wm. s. of, 194, 391
Druel, Sim., 297
Druval:
    Hugh de, 95, 907
    Ralph de, 469, 1303
    Rob., 772
    Ducote, Ric. de, 598
Dudeling:
    Alan, 740, 1389
    Ralph, 740
    Rog., 740
Duenigge, John de, 163
Duford, see Pyefot
Dunning, Geof. and Alice his w., 356
Dunneheth, John de, 999
Dunrigg, Rob. de, 391
Dunsden [Clerk], Wm. of, and
    Basilia his w. 131, 622, 699
Dunsden (in Goring, Oxon.), 622, 699, 1080
Dunstanvill, Geof. de, 702
Dunthorp:
    Mic. of, 108, 281
    Wm. of, 777
Dunwich, Rob. s. of Geof. of, 256
Durand, the clerk, 312
Durand:
    Geof. s. of, 312, 322
    Thos., 766
Duredent, Wal., 297
Durnford, Wm. of, 66, 370
Durnford (Wilts.), 370
Duston, Rog. of, and Muriel his w., 38
Dymock, Hen. of, 945
Dyen, John, 414
Dyer, John, 777

Earl Marshal, the, see Marshal
Easingwold (Yorks.), 655
Edeline, Wm. s. of, and Maud his w., 486
Edenburg, Pain de, 936
Edith dau. of Rob. the smith, 638
Edith:
    Hugh s. of, 906
    Ric. s. of, 58
Edmund, Ric., 315, 1263
Edward:
    Saer, s. of, 52
    Wm. s. of, 207
Edwin:
    Ralph, 926
    Rob. s. of, 995
'Egrum' (Northants.), 457
Eleford, Rob. de, 931
Elinton, Hen. de, 237
Ellington (Hunts.), 329
Ellis the doorkeeper, 787
Ellis nuncius, 1
Ellis, prior of St. Frideswide’s, Oxf., 727
Ellis:
   Ellis, s. of, 276
   Geof., s. of, 104
   Ralph, s. of, 1007
   Ric., s. of, 381, 994
   Wm., s. of, 276
Elmley, Thos. of, 972
Elsfield:
   Alding [Aldive] of, 1058
   Emma of, 1047
   Wal. of, 1047, 1048
Elsfield (Oxon.), 766
Eltendon, Wm. de, 450
Eltsdon, Sim. de, 209
Elviva, widow, 16
Elyat, John, 361
Emery, Phil. s. of, 214
Emma, 356, 725, 730
Emma, laundress of Maud de Gurney, 787
Emmington (Oxon.), 893
Empingham (Rutland), 688
Enfant, Hen. le, 22
Englefield:
   Alice of, 785
   Thos. of, 464, 509, 785
   Wm. of, 126, 128, 217, 218, 225,
      237, 297, 415, 447, 464, 613,
      614, 648, 702, 709, 1346, 1348,
      1349, 1350
   English, Geof., 771
Engunur:
   Idony, widow of Ric. le, see Bodicote
   John le, 605
Enstone (Oxon.), 508, 1015, and see Cleveley, Lidstone
Enveye, Ric. le, 817
Epwell, Gilb. of, and Maud his w., 566
Epwell (Oxon.), 914
Erdington, Giles of, 12
Erle, Giles de, 237
Erneburg [or Harburg], Nic., 740, 1389
Erneis, Thos. s. of, 755
Erniyn, Nic., 1010
Esquire [Squire]:
   John le, 870, 1158
   Gilb. le, 874
   Rob. le, 107
   Sampson le, 1283
   and see Armiger
   Esses, Maud de, 272
   Essex, Rog. of, 1
   Essex, earl of, see Mandeville,
      Geof. de
   ‘Essindene’ wood, in Henley (Oxon.), 792
   Estillier, Adam le, 735
   ‘Eston’ (Lincs.), 688
   Estrild, Wm. s. of, 782
   Esturmy, John, 43
   Esy, Wm. de, 357
   ‘Esy’ (Wilts.), Nic. parson of, 357
   Ethewin, 562, 563
   Eu (Ewe):
      Wal. del, 601
      Wm. de, 715, 1428
   Eustace:
      Alice dau. of, 852
      John s. of, 511, 603, 1313
      Steph. s. of, 288
   Eve, 356
   Evening, Ralph, 894, 1187
   Evenlode (formerly Bladon), river,
      1011, 1014
   Everard:
      Adam, 647, 1339
      John s. of, 137
   Everington (Berks.), 577
   Evesham (Worcs.), abbey, abbot of, 307
   Ewelme (Oxon.), 683, 825, 829,
      832, 1116, 1120
   Exeter (Devon), cathedral, dean and
      chapter of, 252, 590
   Eydon, Thos. of, 703
   Eye (Oxon.), 1116
   Eymer, Christine w. of John, 368
   Eynden, Ric. of, 254
   Eynsham:
      Nic. of, 756
      Rog. of, 733
      Warin of, 883, 1175
   Eynsham (Oxon.), 846, 847, 884,
      919, 1174
      abbey, abbot of, 95, 238, 300,
      396, 460, 723, 1297
      and see Gloucester, Gilb. of;
      John; Nicholas
   Eyton, Ric. of, 181

Fairford (Glos.), 360
Fairloc, see Feyrbek
Fakenham (Norfolk), priory, see Hempton
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Falconer:
- Wal. the, 508
- Wm., 763

Fane, Hugh, bailiff of Oxf., 1034, 1400, 1404

Fareman [or Freman], Alice w. of Peter, 37

Faringdon, Wm. of, and Denise [or Idony], his w., 730, 746

Farnham:
- Alan of, and Margery his w., 681
- Joseph of, 1002

Fawley (Berks.), parson of, see William

Fay, John de, 72

Faymeta, John de, 122

Fayre, Wm. le, 837

Feckenham, Wm. of, 867

Feduos, Wm. de, 1029

Fenhampton, Gervase of, 292

Ferrers, Wm. de, 126, 274

Fersfield (Norfolk), 517

Ferthing:
- Gunnild, 856
- Hen., 856, 1144

Ferur:
- Hen. le, 728, 1048, 1381, 1410
- Lettice le, 745, 1055
- Rob. le, 728, 745
- Ric. le, 728

Feteplace:
- Adam, 732, 1048, 1409
- Agnes, 732

Feyrbek [Fairloc], Adam, 812, 1102

Feyter, Sim., 964

Fifield:
- Hen. of, 774
- Nic., 78
- Thos. of, 761, 810, 1241

Finche, Wm., 379

Finmere:
- Aline of, 121, 670
- David of, and Cecily his w., 685
- Parnel of, 121, 670
- Rob. of, 121

Finmere (Oxon.), 387, 670, 795, 809
- parson of, see Rannulf

Finstock (Oxon.), 945

Fisher:
- John, 812
- Nic. 299, 626, 659, 1330, 1331
- Wm., 907

FitzGerald:
- Agnes, 82, 177, 611, 612
- Warin, 66, 82, 176, 370, 418, 611, 612, 972, 983

FitzWarin:
- Alan, 26
- Fulk, 25

Fix, Wm. le, 306

Fleming:
- John the, 249, 514, 793
- Wm. the, 27

Fliny, Rannulf de, 587

Fol, Wal. le, and Joan his w., 70

Foliot:
- Bartholomew, 419, 768
- Peter, 704, 766
- Reynold, 138
- Ric., coroner, 419, 635, 682, 760
- Rob., 596
- Rog., 324, 540
- Sampson, 224, 575
- Sim., 596
- Wal., 310

Foot, Sim., 825, 1116

Forcis, see Furcis

Fordham (Cambs.), 317

Fordham (Norfolk), 306

Foresthill (Oxon.), 552, 586

Forthmorewe, Sim., 447, 784, 1065, 1290

Foster:
- Basilia, 400
- Beatrice, 116
- Gilb., 551, 1142, 1327
- Hen., 400
- Hugh, 15
- John, 858, 1151
- Nic., 858
- Peter, 841
- Reynold, 115, 458, 554, 633, 793, 858, 961, 1026, 1072, 1148, 1296
- Rob., 897

Fowler:
- Agnes, 747
- Wm. s. of Alan the, 747

Foxhole, Rob. of, 684

Foxley, John of, 126, 217

Framland (Leics.), dean of, see Burkeston

Framsdan (Suffolk), 498

Francchevaler, Wm., 33, 679

Francis:
- Alice, widow of Hugh, 484
- Geof. le, 567, 592
- Ralph le, 768
- Rannulf le, 381, 523
- Rob. le, 281, 914
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Furbur, Herb. le, and Gillian his w., 1033
Furcis (Forcis):
   Eustace de, 196, 364
   Mabel, widow of Rog. de, 196
   Rog. de, 196
   Wm. de, and Christine his w., 226
Furel, Maud, w. of Ric., 883
Fyfield (Essex), 274

Gaddesden:
   John of, 457, 515
   Ric. of, 209
Gaham, Ric. de, 70, 421, 474
Galweia, Adam de, 294
Gambun, Ric., 850, 864, 1140
Gangsdown (in Nuffield, Oxon.), 482
Gangy, Rob., 889, 1183
Gardener:
   Hen. s. of Wm., 977
   Wm., 977, 1396
Garlagat, Sibilla, 336
Garland, Everard de, 545
Garsington, Hugh of, 766
Garsington (Oxon.), 766, 982
Gateley, Hamon of, 16
Gatton, John de, 405
Gaudyn, Peter, 983
Gaunt, Rob. de, 949
Gay, Adam de, 422, 1280
Gayton, Rog. of, 53
Geddinge, John de, 489
Geddington:
   Rog. of, 282, 318
   Thos. of, 318
Geoffrey, servant of Thos. of Englefield, 785
Geoffrey:
   Alice dau. of, 903
   Clement s. of, 174
   Eustace s. of, 288, 1261
   Hen. s. of, 903
   Ric. s. of, 43
   Rob. s. of, 37
   Rog. s. of, 956, 1212
   Thos. s. of, 37
   Wal. s. of, 403
   Wm. s. of, 482
Gerald, s. of, see FitzGerald
Gerard, Wm., 771
Gernegan:
   Hugh, 231, 408

Rob. s. of Gilb. le, 767
Rog. le, and Alice his w., 475
Wm. le, 296, 403, 989
Franchomme, Rob. le, 657
Frank, Adam s. of, 922
Franketon, Ralph de, 787
Frankley:
   Phil. of, 205
   Sim. of, 205
Franklin:
   Alan le, 278
   Hugh le, 761, 1242
   Nic., 766
   Thos. le, 559
   Wm., 767, 769
Frayn:
   Eve, widow of Thos. de, 39, 272
   John de and Loretta his w., 184, 449
   Olive, widow of Rob. de, 68,
      165–71, 256, 437, 498, 525
   Ralph de, 825, 895
   Reynold de, 795, 1084
   Ric. de, 702, 1348
   Wm. de, 184, 272
   Wm. s. of Rob. de, 498
Freeman, see Fareman
Frewik [Froywick], John de, 314
Fringford, Rob. of, 120
Fringford (Oxon.), 81, 635
Frith:
   Alex. de, 884
   Erneis del, 846, 884
   Gilb. del, 784, 1066
   John de, 846
Fritwell:
   Rob. of, 472
   Sarah of, 472, 924
   Steph. of, 73, 74, 201, 293, 324,
      472, 499, 540, 552, 576, 586,
      615, 660
   Wm. of, 924, 1329
Fritwell (Oxon.), 540, 805, 924
Froywick, see Frewik
Fughel, Wm., 135
Fulbrook, Mar. dau. of Semaun
   of, 1005
Fulk:
   Alice dau. of, 598
   Wal. s. of, 35
   Wm. s. of, 397
Fuller:
   Humph. the, and Alice his w.,
      729
   John s. of Humph. the, 729
   Sewal s. of Humph. the, 729
Margery, widow of Hubert, 231, 408

Gernun:
Rob., 551, 603, 1314
Rog., 91, 198, 419, 520, 983, 1318, 1320, 1321
Thos. and Margery his w., 826
Wm., 511, 603, 1314

Gervase, John s. of, 487

Gestegod, Ralph and Sarah his w., 448

Giberis, Rog., 950
Giffard, Osbert, 597
Gilbert, ancestor of Wm. Marty, 326

Gilbert:
Felicity dau. of, 910
Geof. s. of, 895, 1189
Gilb. s. of, 392
Hugh s. of, 973
John s. of, 83
Ric. s. of, 326, 385
Wm., 357

Gillian, 635
Gillian w. of John s. of Adam, 848
Gipnot, John de, 654
Glaphorh, Sarah of, 213
Glaphorh (Northants.), 663, 664

Gloucester:
Gilb. of, abbot of Eynsham, 459
Nic. of, 1033

Glover:
Edmund the, and Linota his w., 901
John s. of Phil. the, 455
Phil. the, 455

Glympton:
Geof. of, 640
Hugh of, 27, 859
Lettice of, 299
Pagan of, 1152
and see Clinton
Glympton (Oxon.), 707, 841, 861, 1152, 1343

Godarvill, Wal. de, and Hilary his w., 362

Goday, Wm., 432, 1285
God, Hugh, 970
Godesfend, Wm. de, 775
Godfrey, 704, 1059, 1421

Godfrey:
Hugh s. of, 708
Reynold s. of, 164
Godhild, 549
Godington (Oxon.), 763

Godmanstone, Master Hubert of, 448

Godstow (Oxon.), 847a
abbey, abbess of, 1046, 1057, 1419

Godwin:
John s. of, 352
Ralph s. of, 338
Wm., 895, 1189

Gofayre:
Gilb., 736
Nic., 736

Goher, Thos., 305
Goldeburg, Rob. s. of, 801
Golder:
Gilb. of, 687, 1341
Mat. of, 451, 543, 1292
Wm. of, 438, 443, 459, 793, 814
Golder (Oxon.), 307, 443, 636, 687, 812, 1102

Goldsmith:
Margery widow of Wm., 248, 722
Ralph, 736, 1431
Ric., 715, 1050, 1411
Wal., bailiff of Oxf., 1029, 1032

Goldstan:
John, 693
Ric., 140

Gos, Austin, 736
Gosenhale, Hen. de, 403
Gracia, John s. of, 629
Grafton, Rob. de, 774
Grave, Wm. de la, 123, 702, 1348
Gravenhurst (Bucks.), 563

Gray:
Eve de, 101, 367, 428, 601
Hawise de, 512
Peter, 547
Rob. de, 790, 1026
Rog., 795
Wal. de, archbp. of York, 351

Greasley, Thos. (of), 112, 261, 307, 430, 443, 500, 530, 636, 1247

Green:
Ric. de la, 557
Wm., 379

Gregory, John s. of, 3
Grendon, Wm. of, 546
Grendon (Bucks. or Herefs.), 1

Greene:
Gilb. de, 431
Ric. de [Cornewll], 795, 1085

Grasle, Wm. de, 272

Greton, Sim. of, and Margery his w., 672
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Gretun, Geoff., 736, 1432
Greyhone, Rob. de la, 417, 464, 467, 469, 645, 793, 1300
Grim:
    Hugh s. of, 91
    John, 997
Grimstead, Nic. of, 102, 201
Grittenham:
    Ric. of, 1056
    Steph. of, 1056
Gros, John le, 163a
Grosmund, Wm., 899
Gross, Peter, 859
Grove (in Kirtlington, Oxon.), 700
Guala, papal legate, 310
Gubmon, see Cubum
Guiarvill, Rob. de, and Agnes his w., 291
Gulafre, Rog., 281, 343, 610, 761, 884, 1233
Gulias, John, 764
Gulie, Wm., 1
Gunnild:
    Edith dau. of, 735
    John s. of, 812, 1103
    Ranulf s. of, 993
Gunstone (in Crediton, Devon), 339
Gurduy, Rob. de, and Christine his w., 286
Gurlewambe, Peter, 791, 1066
Gurnay:
    Gillian de, 220
    Hugh de, 249, 434, 786
    Maud de, 249, 466, 467, 468, 469, 1298, 1299
    Rob. de, 5, 163, 242
Guy:
    Hugh s. of, 845
    Wm. s. of, 1030
Gwenlilian, w. of Nic. s. of Rog., 295

Hagbourne (Berks.), 1037
Hagh, John de, 771
Hailey (Oxon.), 926
Hake, Wm. de la, 240, 1243
Hales, Gillian de, 669, 677
Halfrenchisse, Wm., and Custance his w., 356
Haluton, Sim. de, 272
Halvedenel, Godwin, 970, 975
Hamden, Alex. of, 297
Hamme:
    Maud, 28, 284
    Ralph de, 28
    Rog. de, 284
    Wal. de, 28, 284
‘Hamme’ [? Hamnish], (Herefs.), 284
Hampstead, Gilb. of, 12
Hamilton, John of, 423, 1281
Hanborough (Oxon.), 846, 848, 884, 1138
Hanford, Rog. of, 178
Hangman, Wm., 1038, 1406
Hanin, John, 362
Hanington, Ralf de, 155
Hanse, Rog. de, 173
Hanwell (Oxon.), 995
Hara [Mara], John de, 786
Harauld, Rob., 765
Harburg, see Erneburg
Harcourt, Ric. de, 860
Hardel, Rob., 59, 666, 710
Harding:
    Edmund, 784, 1066
    Hugh, 715, 1424
    Wal., 1037
Hardwick:
    Ralph of, 467, 769, 771, 1079
    Wm. of, and Aline or Anne his w., 84, 698
Hardwick (Rutland), 688
Hareng:
    Alice, 367, 506
    Isabel, 638
    Ralph, 91, 92, 102, 198, 201, 301, 367, 480, 506, 553, 590, 615, 638, 819, 983, 1311
    Thos., 910
Harenger, Wal. le, and Gillian his w., 744
Harpeford (in Wheatley, Oxon.), 969, 980
Harper, Hen. le, 820
Harpford, Jordan of, 587
Harpsden, Rog. of, 491, 492, 769, 793, 1077, 1305
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Harpden (Oxon.), 491, 492
Harrold (Beds.), 549, 585
Harwell:  
  John of, 386  
  Maud, see Bolter  
  Reynold of, 749, 752  
  Sim. of, 386, 604
Haseley:  
  Alex. of, 262  
  Hen. of, 93, 262  
Haseley (Oxon.), 451, 826, and see Latchford
Haseley, Little (Oxon.), 262, 1249
Hastings:  
  Hen. and Ada his w., 226  
  Miles of, 934
Hattere:  
  Gilb., 1040  
  Rob. le, 733
Hautein [Hauteyn]:  
  Ric., 522  
  Rob., 133, 522
Hauvill, Ralph de, 1002
Haver, Sim. de, 934
Haverhill, Wm. of, 373
Haversham, Nic. of, 102, 201, 480, 615
Hay [Haya]:  
  Alexandra de, see Arsic  
  Julian de, 62, 302, 667, 668, 743  
  Rob. de la, 305  
  Thos. de, 234, 351, 763, 799, 1101  
  Wm., sheriff of Oxon., 759, 791, 801, 816, 823, 827, 830, 843, 849, 852, 862, 863, 868, 888, 889, 894, 936, 945, 958, 965, 978, 989, 1013, 1021, 1024, 1066, 1108, 1118, 1156, 1173, 1213, 1220, 1223, 1226, 1259, 1269, 1399
Hayles, Wm. s. of Rob. de, 702, 900, 1201, 1349
Headington, Nic. of, 514, 726
Headington (Oxon.), 981
Heath [Hethe]:  
  Muriel, see Bohun  
  Ralph of the, 578  
  Rog. de la, 272
Heath (Salop.), 364
Hegge, Wm. de, 821
Hella, Rob., 741
Helmingham (Suffolk), 498
Helpston, Wm. of, 31
Hemmington, Wm. de, 70, 421, 474
Hempnall, Wm. of, 9, 341
Hempston (in Fakenham, Norfolk), priory, prior of, 232, 623
Hempton (in Deddington, Oxon.), 278, 395, 559, 1256
Hemsted, Sim. de, 376
Hendred:  
  John of, 237  
  Ric. of, 237, 414, 576  
  Rob. of, 477, 770, 792, 793, 1072, 1305
Hendred (Berks.), 793
Henley, John of, 768
Henley (Oxon.), 394, 782, 789, 792, 793, 1071, 1072, and see 'Essindene'
Henry, canon of Lilleshall, 310
Henry, stepson of Wm. Legwyn, 804
Henry:  
  Alan s. of, 399  
  Anastasia dau. of, 741  
  Hen. s. of, 732  
  Hugh s. of, 237  
  John s. of, 186, 593, 609  
  John s. of, and Cecily his w., 724  
  John s. of, and Christine his w., 751  
  Ric. s. of, 89, 1015  
  Steph. s. of, 34  
  Thos. s. of, 26, 715, 1423
Hensington, Eudes of, 288, 1261
Hensington (in Bladon, Oxon.), 288, 312, 853, 1261
Henton (in Chinnor, Oxon.), 894, 895, 897
Herbert, servant of Hugh de Lers, 1041
Herbert:  
  Phil., 938  
  Roland s. of, 139, 647  
  Wm., 989, 1012, 1013, 1021, 1219
Herding, Ralph de, 249
Hereford, earl of, see Bohun, Humph. de
Hereford, dean of, see Stephen
Hereward:  
  John, and Emma his w., 485  
  Peter, and Milicent his w., 910
Herlewin, Peter, 722
Hermetorp, Ivetta de, 200
Hert:  
  Wal., 992  
  Wm., 992
Hertford Priory, prior of, 123, 706, 934
Heruy, Hugh, 813
Hervunt, Hervey, and Cecily his w., 243
Hessle, John of, 531
Hewisse:
  Alice de, 63
  Wm. de, 63
Heybridge:
  Joan of, m. Rog. of
  Huntingfield, 444, 571
  Maud of, 371, 444, 571
  Wm. of, 444, 571
Heybridge (Essex), 444
Heyford:
  Adam of, 533
  Pagan of, 533
Heyford (Oxon.), 533, 576
Heyham, Rob. de, 1046
Heythrop (Oxon.), 471
Hide:
  Gilb. de la, 278, 279, 534, 762,
     884, 1176, 1256
  John de, 913
  Rog. de la, 237, 238, 396, 459,
     460, 913
Higham (Northants.), 448, 1291
Hilber [Ylser], Wal., 763, 1095
Hildersham (Cambs.), 662
Hill:
  Rob. 634
  Geof. 565
  Theodulf, 745, 757, 1390
  Wm., 509, 1312
Hingan, Wm., 772
Hinksey, John of, 369, 774, 1266
Hinksey (Oxon. formerly Berks), 1265
Hinton, Hen. of, 548
Hoc, John le, 162
Hocheder, Alice widow of Sim.,
  215, 216, 221
Hocot, see Hotoft
Holbeach:
  Joan widow of Lawrence of,
    255, 325
  Lambert of, 325
Holbeach (Lincs.), 325
Holcombe:
  Ellis of, 129
  Maud of, 282
  Rob. of, 282, 420, 913
Holcombe (Oxon.), 821, 1115
Holcot, John of, 999
Holedan, Wm. de, 292
Holland (Lincs.), 1385
Holton, Mic. of, 1395
Holton (Oxon.), 977, 1396
Holy Land, 47, 130, 140, 170, 368,
    475, 719
Holywell, Hen. of, 36
Honningham (Norfolk), 237, 238, 396,
    459, 913
Hopton, Thos. of, 737
Horiz, Adam de, 267, 482
Horley (Surrey), 362
Horlok:
  Alan, and Margery his w., 714,
    1372
  Ellis, 736, 1434
  Ric., 279
Horne (Rutland), 688
Hornton (Oxon.), 992
Horspath (Oxon.), 594, 983
Horton (Bucks.), 641
‘Horton’ (in Ploughley Hund.,
    Oxon.), 800, 1087
Horton:
  Adam of, 641
  Wm. of, 213
Hose, Mat., 913
Hosee, Maud, 457, 515
Hospitalers, see Jerusalem
Hotoft [Hocot], Ric. de, 429, 1282
Hoton, Thos. of, and Mariota his
    w., 18
Houton, Andrew de, 874, 1160
Hugh, 43, 367, 682, 713
Hugh, brother of Godfrey, 1037
Hugh, husband of Maud le Talt,
    717
Hugh, parson of Ketton, 374, 488
Hugh, reeve of Chipping Norton,
    1270
Hugh, reeve of Shirburn, 812, 1103
Hugh, vicar of Ryton, 453
Hugh:
  Adam s. of, 753
  Alan s. of, 989
  John s. of, 54
  Nic. s. of, 991
  Peter s. of, 858, 1149
  Sim. s. of, 403
  Warin s. of, 109
  Wm. s. of, 209, 687
  Wm. s. of, and Margery his w.,
    751
Humbert, Hen., 938
Humphrey:
  Beatrice widow of John, 146
  Ralph s. of, 581
  Rob. s. of, 53
  Thos. s. of, 53
  Wal., 764
  Wm., 437, 498
Hunger, Wm., 437
Hunton, Wm. de, 113
Hunte:
  Hen. le, 853
  Rog. le, 403
Huntefeld, Ellis de, 419
Hunter:
  Geoff., 272
  John, 1026
  Ric., 1026, 1231
Huntingdon, 656
Huntingfield, Rog. of, and Joan his w., 444, 571
Hurir, John, 772
Hurstbourne:
  Sarah, 94, 617
  Wm. of, 93, 617, 961
Hurtle, Hugh, 132, 315, 442, 1264
Hurtot, Anselm, 1001
Huscop, Rog., 127
Huscarl, Richer, 217, 219
Hutte, Thos. de la, 279, 1258
Hydy, Rob. de, 246
Ibstone, Rob. of, 704, 770
Iffley, Andrew of, 82, 177
Iffley (Oxon.), 637, 972
Ilmer, John of, and Ailine his w., 298
Inge, Hen., 1265
Innyeton, Rob. de, 139
Ioth, John, 162
Ipsden (Oxon.), 217
Ipswich (Suffolk), 378
Irchester, Ric. of, 414
Ireby, Wm. of, 18
Ireis:
  Martin le, and Joan his w., 552, 586
  Nic. le, 39
Ireland:
  John of, 1035
  Thos. of, 1053, 1417
Isaac:
  Geof. s. of, 287, 504
  Reynold s. of, and Alice his w., 504
Isabel, 293, 471, 586, 663
Isabel, widow of Ric. s. of Martin, 634
Isabel, Thos. s. of, 275, 686
Isham, Hen. of, 414
Isle of Wight, countess of, see Rivers
Islip (Oxon.), 238, 855, 1143
'Istede' (Suffolk), 376
Itune, Peter de, 237
Iukelett, John, 278
Iunsii, Rob., 770
Iuvenal, Floria, 193
Ives:
  Sim. s. of, 97, 703
  Wm. s. of Gilb. s. of, 448, 1291
Iwayn, Phil., 67, 701
Jerusalem, 399
Jerusalem, Hospital of St. John of in England, prior of, 47, 484, 664
John, abbot of Eynsham, 459
John, abbot of Osney, 713
John, archdeacon of Leicester, 453
John, clerk of Taynton, 1006
John, packman of Warin FitzGerald, 972
John, parson of Churchill, 113, 348
John (the Scot), earl of Chester, 412
John:
  Adam s. of, and Gillian his wife, 436
  Alice dau. of Rob. s. of, 303
  Hen. s. of, 593
  Hugh s. of, 57, 352
  John s. of, 43, 285, 976
  John s. of Rob. s. of, 303
  Marg. dau. of Rob. s. of, 303
  Martin s. of, 192
  Nic. s. of, 285
  Ric. s. of, 10, 213, 237, 369, 471, 494, 594, 983, 1026, 1265
  Rob. s. of, 95, 116, 189, 212, 215, 303, 452
  Rog. s. of, 202
  Sim. s. of, 74, 365
  Thos. s. of, 403
  Wal. s. of, 526, 677
  Wm. s. of, 160, 364
Joie, Wm., 432, 1285
Jordan:
  Alan, 403
  Nic. s. of, 65
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rob. s. of</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. s. of</td>
<td>919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. s. of</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joscelin, Wm.</td>
<td>826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph, Rob.</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jukel, John</td>
<td>778</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Kilver' hund. (Dorset)</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam</td>
<td>275, 686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward</td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John le</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linota</td>
<td>788</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob., and his w. Rose</td>
<td>788</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingseshull, Taylifere</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Kingseshull', abbot</td>
<td>679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingham:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice of</td>
<td>794</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy of</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. [Wm.] of</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingham (Oxon.)</td>
<td>270, 1016, 1024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings Walden, Ric. of</td>
<td>893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingsey (Bucks.)</td>
<td>363, 563, 888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John of</td>
<td>715, 1427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. of</td>
<td>770, 897, 1195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston Blount (Oxon.)</td>
<td>526, 1194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingswood (Gloucs.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>abbot, abbot of 696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinson, Dorset</td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kipping, Nic.</td>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtlington, Ric. of</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirtlington (Oxon.)</td>
<td>465, 557, 801, 803, 1101, and see Grove</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko, Muriel widow of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuye [Kuigt], Nic.</td>
<td>629, 1363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacock, Master Ralph</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake, Ralph de la</td>
<td>782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambord, Rob.</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langdon, Hen. of</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langetoft, Godfrey de</td>
<td>709</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford, Gillian of</td>
<td>98, 703</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford (Oxon.)</td>
<td>703, 934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford (Somerset)</td>
<td>587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langhals:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. and Agnes his w.</td>
<td>312, 322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langley:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen. of</td>
<td>618</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsilia of, see Charlbury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. of</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warin of</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of</td>
<td>107, 618, 1020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langton:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen. of</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. of</td>
<td>787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langtree hund. (Oxon.)</td>
<td>771, 1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larder, Benet of,</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latchford, Hen. of</td>
<td>773, 1131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Latchford (in Great Haseley, Oxon.), 550
Latton, Ralph of, 357
Laund:
  Alice of, 450
  Maud of, 450
  Nic. of, and his w. Valentina, 450
  Rob. of, 301
Laund priory (Leics.), prior of, 561
Laurence:
  Hugh s. of, 413
  Rob. s. of, 413
Lavendon, Bucks., 523
Laver, Magdalen (Essex), 326
Lavington, John of, 141
Leach:
  Lettice of, 114, 253, 706
  Wm. of 114, 253, 706, 774
Leafield, Harding of, 1022
Lecchebrock, John, 790
Leckford, Wm. of, and Maud his w., 545
Leckhampstead, Geof. of, 210
Ledbury:
  Phil. of, 42
  Rob. of, 41
Lee:
  Alice dau. of Ric. de la, 366, 434
  Christine dau. of Ric. de la, 366, 434
  Emma de la, 434
  Orenge de la, 434
  Ric. de la, 434
'Lee' (Bucks.), 646
Lefrich, John s. of, 12
Legard, Hugh, 1010
Legistre, Rob. le, 403
Legwyn, Wm., 804
'Leic', [?North Leigh] (Oxon.), 659
Leicester, archdeacon of, see John
Leigh:
  Peter of, 762, 884
  Rob. of, 762, 1163
  Wal. of, 850, 1139
Leigh, North (Oxon.), 839, 1137; and see 'Leic'
Leigh, South (Oxon.), 1159
Leighton, Phil. of and Emma his w., 51, 264, 340
Leighton Bromswold (Hunts.),
  Salome wood in, 358
Leiston, Wal. de, 498
Lekington, Ric. de, 679
Len, Ric. de, 939
Lenygive, Wm., 403
Lerston, Wal. de, 256
Lettice, Ralph s. of, ll4, 706
Leuке, Wm., 999
Lew, Oxon., 931, 934
Lewe, Wm. de, and Cecily his w., 202
Lewes, Azo of, 918
Lewes priory (Sussex). prior of, 266, 327
Lewknor:
  Geof. of, 961
  Hen. of, 770
  Ric. of, 625, 1361
Lewknor (Oxon.), 895, 897, 1189, and see Crowell
  hund., 770, 1177
Lewyn, 740
Lewyn, Hen. s. of, 740
Lexington, Rob. de, 353, 410
Leybourne, Rog. of, 257, 427, 578, 653
Leyham, Mat., 54, 55
Lichfield, Master Wm. of, 758a, 1393
Lidstone (in Enstone, Oxon.), 583, 1007
Lightfoot [Lightly], Wm., 564
Lilleshall abbey (Shrops.), abbot of, 310
Lillingstone (Bucks. formerly Oxon.), 805, 806, 807
Limis, Nic. de, 386
Lincelee, Wal. de, 284
Lincheure, Hen. de, 764
Lincoln, Robert [Grosseteste] bp. of, 148, 787, 913, 948, 952, 957, 983, 1043, 1351
Lincoln, countess of, see Quincy
Lincoln, 410, 445
Lindon, Ric. de, 374, 488
Lindsey:
  Christine, widow of David of, 193, 645
  Gerard of, 187, 706
  John of, 706
Linel, see Lunel
Lingen, John of, 43
Linguir, Hen. de, 303
Lisle:
  Giles de, 429
  Otnell de, 429
  Rob. de, 429
Litlington (Cambs.), 444
Little Leach, Geof. of, 935
Littlemore priory (Oxon.), 969
  prioress of, 293, 481, 586, 714
Loddon:  
  Geof. of, 285  
  Wal. of, 29  
Loddon (Norfolk), 285  
Log, Laurence, bailiff of Oxf., 715, 1049, 1054, 1411, 1418  
London:  
  Hen. of, 1044  
  Rog. of, 64  
London, 505, 1030  
  St. Bartholomew's priory, prior of, 332  
  St. Martin le Grand, dean and chapter of, 239  
Long:  
  Edith la, 601  
  Hugh le, 520, 572, 772, 1318, 1320, 1322  
  Steph., 227, 949  
  Thos., 725  
Longborough, John of, 294, 397  
Longborough (Gloucs.), 157  
Longespee:  
  Steph., 505  
  Wm., 1365  
Longueville priory (France), prior of, 199, 210, 211  
Lopham (Norfolk), 517  
Loreng:  
  Peter, 563  
  Rob., 897, 1196  
Lothenfeld:  
  Alice de, 937  
  Gillian de, 132, 442, 937  
  Rob. de, 442, 937  
Lovel, Rob., 919  
Luches, Rob. de, 766  
Ludington, Hen. de, 1019  
Ludlow, John of, 41  
Ludwell:  
  Wal. of, 1146  
  Wm. of, 858  
Lumms:  
  Agnes de, see Adderbury  
  Nic. de, 591  
Luffield priory (Northants.), prior of, 241  
Lunel [Linel]:  
  John, 539, 1026, 1324  
  Wal., 970  
Luneseye, Christine widow of David, 185  
Lunet:  
  Alice, 624  
  Wal., 261a, 624, 1248, 1360  
  Luton (Beds.), 235, 689  
Lydford, John of, 252, 339  
Lyneham, Wm. of, 843  
Lyneham (in Milton under Wychwood, Oxon.), 458, 1011  
'Lyninge' (Suffolk), 317  
Mace:  
  Jas., 606  
  Ric., 459  
Maidwell:  
  Agnes of, see Chesney  
  Alan of, 297, 414, 548  
Makeblithe:  
  Gilb., 946  
  Rob., 776  
  Rog., 776  
Makeneye, Wm. de, 771  
Malesmayns:  
  Beatrice widow of Nic., 47, 64, 250, 360, 361, 362  
  Ela, 360  
  Joan, 360  
  Peter de, and Margery his w., 393  
  Rob., 362  
  Rose, 360  
Malesoures [Malheure]:  
  John, 227, 414  
  Lettice, 414  
Malet, Maud, 38  
Malham, Gilb. of, 170  
Malheure, see Malesoures  
Mandeville:  
  Geof. de, earl of Essex, 544, 571  
  Wm. de, 1026  
Mansel:  
  Ellis, 622, 699  
  Hen., and Joan his w., 197  
  John, 544  
  Ric., 622, 769, 787, 1076  
  Thos., 563  
Mapledurham:  
  Aluric [Alured] of, 466, 1299  
  Rob. of, 366, 417, 532, 630, 697, 771, 1276, 1289, 1342  
Mapledurham (Oxon.), 240, 434, 446, 466-7, 787, 791, 1069, 1289  
Mar, John de, 1026  
Mara, see Hara  
Marchamley, Ralph of, 352, 431  
Marcel:  
  Reynold of, 45  
  Ric. of, 46  
Mardick, Maud widow of Wm. de, 190, 191
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Mare:
  Hen. de, 395, 573, 1025
  John de la, 526
  Ric. de la, 526
  Thos. de, 71
Mareis, Rob. de, 657
Marewin, John de, 408
Margaret, 303
Margery, widow of Gilb., 385
Mariner:
  Sewal le, 317
  Thos. le, 317
Marlow priory (Bucks.), prioress of, 150
Maromers, Alice widow of Hugh de, 6
Marmion:
  Clemence, 524
  Geof., Oxon. coroner, 524, 760
Marners, Thos. de, 326
Marsh:
  Geof., 940
  Hen., 190
  Rob., 8, 9, 10, 36, 774
  Rog., and Marg. his w., 301, 638
  Wm. and Gillian his w., 301, 638
  Wm. and Sybil his w., 58
Marshal:
  Cecily, 1
  Gervase, and Damette his w., 671
  Gilb., earl of Pembroke and earl marshal, 1, 12, 710, 790
  John, 61, 149, 721, 1368
Marston, Mat. of, 54
Martel:
  Geof., 237
  Wm., 55
Martin:
  Hen., 278, 1254
  John, 762, 1169
  Ric. s. of, 634
  Thos., 993
  Walter, 919
  Wm. s. of, 38
Marty, Wm. de, 326
Masperes, Hen. le, 777
Masey, Wm. de, 274
Mason:
  Master Rog. le, 753
  Thos. le, 1142
Matthew, archdeacon of
  Buckingham, 75
Matthew, John s. of, 1040
Mauclerk, Ric., 4
Maud, 822, 824
Maud, sister of Rose King, 788
Maud, w. of Eustace, 852
Maud, widow of Hugh s. of
  Laurence, 413
Maud:
  John s. of, 639, 1335
  Ric. s. of, 850, 1139
Mauduit:
  Joan, 517, 518
  Ric., 1315
  Wm., 334, 345, 517, 518, 1315
Mauger, Sim., 942, 944
Maune:
  Christine de, 35, 36, 341
  Peter de, 8, 34, 35, 36, 341
Maurice, Hen. s. of, 925
May:
  Ric. le, 777
  Rob. le, 875, 1142
  Rog. le, 1142
Maynard, Rog., 826
Mazelme, John s. of, 755
Medere, Alice la, 733
Medicus:
  Hen., 776
  John, 419, 1357
Meger, Geof. le, 464
Megre, Wm. le, 234, 866, 1154
Meibay, Rob. de, 56
Melchbourne (Beds.), 413
Mendre, Reynold de and Isolde his w., 233, 246
Menerel, Nic., 220, 425
Mentemare, John de, and Gillian his w., 31
Merceur:
  Adam le, 988
  Alice la, 734, 742
  Rob. le, 731
  Steph. le, 942
Merchant:
  Adam, 403
  Thos. le, and Maud his w., 541, 570
Meresley, May de, 179
Merk, Aveline widow of Fulk, 154
Merton, Nic. of, 1043
Messer:
  Rog. le, 111
  Wm. le, 844
Mestre, Walter le, 942
Meysy, Walter le, 942
Meysy, Rob. de, 450
Michael, Hugh s. of, 897, 1198
Middleton:
  Alan of, 768
  Geof., of, 620
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John of, 827
Ric. of, 763, 1092
Rob. of, 620
Sim. of, 763, 1093

Middleton [? Middleton Stoney] (Oxon.), 388, 1268
Middleton (? Oxon.), 827
Middleton (Lancs.), 620
'Mikelington' (Essex), 444
Milcombe, Wm., 766
Mildenhall, Ralph of, 575
Mileham (Norfolk), 623
Miles:
  Adam s. of [Adam of Burcot], 599, 1352
  Rog. s. of, 736, 1431
Milicent, John s. of, 275, 686
Mill:
  John of the, and Cecily his w., 346, 551, 1325
  Ric. of, 983
Miller:
  Agnes, 998
  Alice widow of Rog., 409
  Eudes, 1007, 1217
  Gilb., 529
  Hen., 758b, 999, 1394
  Hugh, 147, 674
  John, 769, 853, 878, 1083, 1141, 1395
  Mat., 895, 1188
  Nic., 878, 997
  Osanna, 529
  Peter, and Emma his w., 366, 434
  Phil., 732, 1048
  Ric., 878, 998
  Rob., and Blanche his w., 857
  Rog., 352
  Wal., 454, 635, 529, 532, 1295, 1324
  Wm., 81, 529, 635, 736, 1044
Milton (in Adderbury, Oxon.), 388, 997
Milton, Great or Little (Oxon.), 825, 964
Milton Bryant (Beds.), 291
Milton-under-Wychwood (Oxon.), 1019, and see Lyneham
Mimekin, Phil., 981
Minster Lovell (Oxon.), 919
Mire:
  John le, 297, 443, 512, 534, 609, 610, 513, 639, 884, 1026, 1176, 1357
  Maud le, 609
  Ric. de, 897
  Mirimuth, Ric., 923
Missenden:
  Ralph of, 106
  Rog. of, 297, 414
Missenden (Bucks.), 544
  abbey, abbot of, 296, 298, 363, 435, 614, 805
Mock:
  John, 1047
  Rog., 1040, 1047
Moels:
  Jas. de, 257
  Nic. de, 874, 880, 897
Mohun:
  Rog. de, 27
  Wm. de, 90, 634, 707, 861, 874
Molesey, Wm. of, 128
Molesworth, Rob. of, 185
Mollanding (Oxon.), 388
Mongewell, Nic. of, 771
Mongewell (Oxon.), 464, 509, 901, 1202, 1203
Monk:
  Alice, 103, 643
  Walter, 103, 643
  Wm., 419, 643, 767
Monkton up Wimborne (Dorset.), 361
Monmouth, Thos. of, 73
Montagu, Adam, 281
Moreton:
  Alice of, 827
  Wm. of, 297
Moreton (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 904, 1037
  parson of, see Basset, Rob.
Moreton, South (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 974
Morin:
  Margery, 188, 426
  Ralph, 549, 585
  Wm., 188, 426, 459, 771, 834, 1123
Morley, Miles de, 897, 1192
Morteyn, Wm. de, 983
Mortimer:
  Alice widow of Waleran de, 153
  Hen. de, and Eve his w., 41
  Isabel de, 22, 513
  Ralph de, 41, 371
  Rog. de, 2
  Wladusa de, 41
Morton:
  Reynold of, 352
  Ric. of, 762
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Wm. of, 352, 431
Morton (Lincs.), 62
Muleton, Thos. de, 325
Munchensy, Warin de, 692
Munserd, John, 771
Murdac:
  Beatrice, 104, 367, 506, 642, 695, 930
  Ralph, 367, 428
Muriwed, John, 776
Mut:
  John, 885, 1178
  Nic., 885, 1178
Muyweder, Rob., 564
Myse, Hen., 999
Nafford:
  Hen. de, 562, 563
  Maud de, 563
Napper, Thos. le, 811, 815
Necoton:
  Hen. of, 6, 334
  Joan of, 6
Nel, Rob., 834
Nethel, John, 134
Nethercote, Rob. of, 1142
Neville, Nic. de, 789
Newington:
  Osbert [Robert] of, 879, 1172
  Richequena of, 406
Newington, North (Oxon.), 999
Newington, South (Oxon.), 406,
  879, 1170, 1172
Newman, Ric. le, 27
Newnham, Ric. of, 199, 211
Newnham Murren (Oxon.), 509,
  542
Newport Pagnel (Bucks.), 556, 672,
  674
Newton:
  Ric. of, 299
  Rob. of, 476
  Steph. of, 448, 1291
Newton (Norfolk), 283
Newton Purcel (Oxon.), 794
Neyruyt:
  Miles, 297, 897, 1193
  Ric., 237
  Wm., 215, 220, 222, 425
Nicholas, abbot of Eynsham, 459
Nicholas:
  Gregory s. of, 92, 198
  John s. of, 1016
  Mic. s. of, and Catherine his w.,
    292
  Ralph s. of, 292, 323
  Ralph s. of Ralph s. of, and
  Alice his w., 80
  Thos. s. of, 4
Nichols, Nic., 218
Nipred:
  Agnes de, 547
  Beatrice de, 547
Noble:
  Rob. le, 448
  Rog. le, 780
  Wm., 764
Nocce, Wm. le, 837
Noke:
  Ric. of, 661
  Wm. of, 661
Norman, Bigota widow of Rob.,
  496
Normanvill:
  Alice widow of Ralph de, 546,
    688
  Ralph de, 546, 688
Norreis, Wm. le, 702, 1350
North, John de, and Elviva his w.,
  296, 363
Northampton:
  Isabel widow of Vincent of, 665
  Reynolds of, 869, 1157
North Leigh, Ric. of, and Marg. his
  w., 705
Northmoor:
  Adam of, 419
  Paulinus of, 570
  Steph. of, 419, 884
Northmoor (Oxon.), 1008, 1009,
  1218
Norton:
  Rob. of, and Mary his w., 232,
    623
  Rog. of, 639, 1335
Norton, Brize (Oxon.), 499, 519,
  924, and see Haddon
Norton, Chipping (Oxon.), 392,
  401, 546, 639, 682, 776, 1000,
  1270, 1274, 1335
  bailiff of, see Ric., Hugh s. of
Norton, Cold (Oxon.), priory of,
  495
Norton, Over (Oxon.), 567, 592
Norwich:
  Ralph of, 336, 337
  Ric. [Wm.] of, 730, 1382, 1397
  Rob. of, and Alice his w., 1046
Norwich, 671, 684, 709
  bp. of, see Ralegh, Wm. de,
Norwick, Sim. de, 523
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Notley (Berks.), abbey, abbot of, 815
Notte, Rob. le, 156, 321
Nowers:
  Aumary de, 209
  Isabel de, 449, 473
  Nic. de, Oxon. coroner, 113, 384, 414, 760
  Wm. de, 122, 449, 473
Noyt, Rog., 731, 744
Noyon priory (France), prior of, 69, 386, and see Peter; William
Nuncius:
  Ellis, 1
  Rog., 42
Nuneham:
  Hugh of, 188
  Wm. of, 1284, 1285
Nuneham CourtneY (Oxon.), 1123
Nunney, Wm. de, 164
Nuthampstead (Herts.), 571
Oddingeseles, Gerard de, 114, 253, 289, 532, 645, 706, 934, 1323
Oddington, Sim. of, 43
Odo, monk of 'Kingseshull', 679
Odo:
  Ric. s. of, 854, 855
  Walter s. of, 403
Offley (Herts.), 689
Offord Darcy (Hunts.), 606
Oisilur, Modgive widow of Hugh le, 164
Oldfield, Geof. of, 611
Oliver, Peter s. of, 419, 773, 1124
Orchard, Jas. of, 476
Orchard (Som.), 476
Orre, John de, 595
Osbert:
  John s. of, 21, 410, 445
  Peter s. of, 1396
  Ric. s. of, 996
Oseney, Walter of, 77, 758c
Oseney abbey (Oxon.), abbot of, 76, 770, 344, 422, 423, 470, 589, 673, 720, 742; and see John
Osger, Peter s. of, 240, 1244
Ostricer, Nic. le, 513
Overbrook, Rob., 1021, 1224
Owen, Rob. s. of Rob., 972
Orford (Suffolk), 283
Oxford:
  Alice of, 1036
  Eve of, 717
  Idony of, 717
Richolda of, 717
Warner of, 942
Oxford, countess of, see Bollebec
Oxford, 712–58, 834, 840, 938, 965, 1027–61, 1116, 1122, 1371
aldermanry in, 1031
bailiffs of, 742, 1030, 1038, 1041, 1045; and see Blund, Sim.
  s. of; Clerk, Wm.; Cruste, Adam; Fane, Hugh;
  Goldsmith, Wal.; Log, Laur.
castle, 827; and see Oxf. gaol
dean of, 957
Dominican friary (Friars Preacher), prior of, 732
gaol, 958, 1029; and see Oxf. castle
Holywell (or St. Cross), 973, 1039
Hosp. of St. Barth., 700
Hosp. of St. John outside the East Gate, brothers of, 1030
master of, 529; and see Robert hundred outside the north gate of, bailiff of, see Sauser, Wm.
St. Clement's ch., 975
St. Clement's par. outside the borough, 538
St. Cross par. see Oxf., Holywell
St. Ebbe's ch., 731
St. Frideswide's ch., 1042
St. Frideswide's priory, prior of, 224, 575, 577, 616, 716, 718, 725, 727, 865, 1374, 1376; and see Ellis
St. Giles's ch., 1057, 1059, 1060
St. Giles's par., 1003
St. John's ch., 1037
St. Mary's ch., 1014, 1035
St. Mary's par., 712
St. Mary Magdalen's ch., 1056
St. Peter-in-the-East ch., 851, 1027
Oxford university, scholar of, see Cornwall, Master Vincent
Ozleworth, Nigel of, 679
Ozleworth (Glos.), 679
Oxfordshire, sheriffs of, see Crowcombe; Hay, Wm.; Peyvre;
Siward, Ric.; Tew, John of
Pachet, Geof., 903
Padenhale, Rob. de, 477
Padhull, see Badhal
Pady, Phil., 972
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Pagan, Wal. s. of, 766
Page:
  Ric., 43
  Rob., 924
  Thos. le, 721
  Wm., 195
Pagham, Thos. of, 63
Pagsfolt, Ric., 357
Pain:
  Alice widow of Wal., 131, 622
  Peter, 131
  Walter s. of, 704, 766
  Wm., 131, 324, 622
Pais, Wm., 403
Pallard, Rog., 183
Palmer:
  John le, 940, 991
  Martin le, 567, 1328
  Nic. le, 1051, 1415
  Ralph le, 825
  Steph. le, 969
  Wm., 283
Panksworth (Norfolk), 283
Panye, John s. of, 854
Papa, Walter, 776
Pape, Emelota la, 834
Pare, John, 989
Parker:
  Godfrey le, 139
  Ric., 858, 1021, 1150, 1224
Parmenter, Martin le, 781
Pas, Walter, 1042
Passelewe:
  John, 507
  Lucy, 228, 507
  Ralph, 228, 507
  Rob., 263
Passur, Adam le, and Maud his w., 368
Paterhull, Hervey de, 887
Patericus, Wm., 774
Pattishall:
  Hugh, bp. of Coventry, 910
  Saer of, 463
Paulinus, the king's caterer, 871, 873
Pavi, Edith, 497
Paxton, John of, 743
Paxton (Hunts.), parson of, see Bath, Master Reynold of
Peche, Hamon, 170, 489
Peck, Wm., and Gunnild his w., 342
Pede, Rog., 768
Pegsdon (Bucks.), 563
Peignant, Wm. le, 344
Pek, Geof. de, 82, 418, 611, 612
Pele, Hugh le, 289
Petterer, Alex. the, 965
Pembroke, earl of, see Marshall, Gilb.
Penles, Maud de, 207, 208
Penraden, Hen. de, 1003
Peper, Wm., 133
Pepercot, John, 934
Percehaye, Ralph, 1021, 1224
Perepunt, Rob., 297
Perle [Pole], Wm., 715, 834, 1122, 1428
Persham, Rob. de, 106
Pese, Peter, 924
Pete, Rob., 78
Peter, prior of Noyon, 652
Peter:
  Fulk s. of, 862
  Rayner s. of, 684
  Reynold s. of, 1026
  Rob. s. of, and Meliora his w., 641
  Thos. s. of, 879, 1172, 1173
  Wm. s. of, 659, 762, 768, 970, 1165
Petit:
  Adam, 1340
  Rob., 686, 764, 1340
Pettrum, Hugh, 221
Peverford, Hugh de, 280
Pewton, John de, 874
Peyngnant, Hen., 870, 1158
Peyteum, Ric. le, 260
Peyvre, Paulinus, sheriff of Oxon., 203, 309, 759, 897, 927, 987
Philibert, Hugh de, 237
Philip:
  Geof. s. of, 85, 793, 1072
  Oliver s. of, and Sarah his w., 181
  Rob. s. of, 16, 525
Pichard, Miles, 272
Pichokorum, Letice widow of John, 174, 175
Piclesthorn:
  Gregory de, 1054, 1418
  Ralph de, 805, 1090
Piddington (Oxon.), 984
Pigace:
  Hen., 850, 864, 1140
  John [or Nic.], 80
Pilet, John, 753
Pille, John, 715, 1425
'Pillesworth' (Lancs.), 620
Pilling, Walter, 279
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pinkeney</td>
<td></td>
<td>185, 289, 532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipard</td>
<td>Hen.</td>
<td>80, 443, 519, 550, 884, 1176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td></td>
<td>136, 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper</td>
<td>Ric. le</td>
<td>602, 694, 1343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. le</td>
<td></td>
<td>959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirot</td>
<td>Joan, w. of Ric. de Attenestan</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pishill</td>
<td></td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchcott</td>
<td>Margery</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitman</td>
<td>Alex.</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planat</td>
<td>John de</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ploughley</td>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ploughley hund.</td>
<td>(Oxon.)</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ploughman</td>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric.</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluggy</td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumer</td>
<td>Ric. le</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walter le</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poer</td>
<td>Edith le</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John le</td>
<td>763, 1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph le</td>
<td>903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wal. le</td>
<td>736, 1386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poinz</td>
<td>Nic. de</td>
<td>142, 313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poitevin</td>
<td>see Angevin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole</td>
<td>Ralph de la</td>
<td>704, 770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponette</td>
<td>Basilisa de la</td>
<td>328, 676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Margery de la</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pont de l'arches</td>
<td>Hen. de</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pont</td>
<td>Pontius de</td>
<td>851, 1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sim. de</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poore, Herb., bp. of Salisbury</td>
<td>310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poppley</td>
<td>in Stokenchurch, Bucks.</td>
<td>885, 1178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port</td>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td>417, 764, 1275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potkin</td>
<td>Jas.</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter</td>
<td>Ralph le</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potubi</td>
<td>Wm. de</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poulterer</td>
<td>Colman the</td>
<td>1052, 1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poulton</td>
<td>(in Mildenhall, Wilts.)</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prat</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob.</td>
<td>858, 1145, 1146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescote</td>
<td>Ric. of</td>
<td>304, 802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Master Walter of</td>
<td>99, 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preston, Gilb. of</td>
<td>691</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priest</td>
<td>Hugh the</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mabel widow of Wm.</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph the</td>
<td>968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. the</td>
<td>398, 837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presteign</td>
<td>(Herefs.)</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prittlewell priory (Essex), prior of</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prudhomme</td>
<td>Emma</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob.</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puck</td>
<td>Avice, widow of Jordan</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric.</td>
<td>608, 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob.</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pudding</td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>858, 1147, 1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pudlicote</td>
<td>(in Shorthampton, Oxon.)</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pugeys</td>
<td>Imbert</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puiignant</td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>76, 422, 423, 470, 1278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulein</td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>430, 1283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pultne</td>
<td>Rob. de</td>
<td>110, 411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punchard [Punzard]</td>
<td>Fray</td>
<td>573, 584, 1362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td>389, 573, 1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punt</td>
<td>Walter</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punter, Hen. [Wal.] le</td>
<td>800, 1087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purcell</td>
<td>Eustace</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob.</td>
<td>419, 429, 534, 632, 682, 763, 1091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. s. of Rob.</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purton</td>
<td>Agnes of</td>
<td>141, 189, 708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putte la</td>
<td>(in Clanfield, Oxon.)</td>
<td>919, 934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pychecot</td>
<td>Walter</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pychelworp, Peter de</td>
<td>337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyefot [Duford], Hen.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pynne, John</td>
<td>1009, 1218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pynnock, Wal.</td>
<td>1024, 1226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrch, Herb.</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Pyricot' (Bucks.)</td>
<td>609</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Pyrton:
 Aylwine of, 810
 Maud of, 810
 Rob. of, 307, 438, 625, 636, 687, 772, 1332, 1333
Pyrton (Oxon.), 261, 530, 810
 hund., 772
Pyrun:
 Hugh, 1025, 1227
 John, 100
 Ric. de, 815
 Wm., 1025, 1227
Quappelade, Ralph, 255, 325
Quarere, Rob. de la, 765
Quatermain:
 John, 773, 1128
 Ralph, 956, 1212
 Wm., 115, 116, 419, 663
Queler, Geof. le, 187
Quicksilver, Wm., 187
Quincey;
 Hawise de, countess of Lincoln, 230
 Rob. and Helen his w., 290
 Rog. de, earl of Winchester, 277, 456, 606, 891, 897
Quintin, Wm. and Edith his w., 146, 547
Race, Rob., 520, 773, 1133
Radcliffe, GeoF. of, 198
Radcliffe (Bucks.), 198
Rainier, Hugh s. of, 153
Ralegh, Wm., bp. of Norwich, 353, 429
Ralph, 453, 748
Ralph of the brewhouse, 575
Ralph, canon of Clattercote, 258
Ralph the chaplain, 250
Ralph, chaplain of Burford, 928
Ralph the clerk, 43
Ralph, parson of Monkton Up Wimborne, 361
Ralph, reeve of Crowmarsh, 904, 1206
Ralph, reeve of Morton, 621
Ralph, s. of Austin chaplain of Taynton, 1006
Ralph:
 Azo s. of, 953
 Christine dau. of, 67, 701
 Ellis s. of, 448
 John s. of, 208, 813
Laurence s. of, 715, 1422
Ric. s. of, 369
Rob. s. of, 71, 414, 520, 593, 595, 602, 694, 706, 875, 1344;
 and see Waleis
Wm. s. of, 14, 17, 179
Ramsden, Thos. of, 12, 326, 350
Ramsey, Peter of, 433
Ramsey (Essex), 433
Randendinur, Wm. de, 766
Rane, Ric. 143
Ranleye, Wm. de, 805
Rannulf, bro. of Thos. the chaplain, 42
Rannulf, parson of Finmere, 387, 809
Rannulf:
 Erneis s. of, and Maud his w., 493
 Hugh s. of, 85
Ranworth (Norfolk), 283
Rauceby (Lincs.), 688
Rauf, Nic., 919
Rayner, Phil. s. of, 553
Reading abbey (Berks.), abbot of, 23, 156, 180, 192
Red:
 Ralph, 693
 Simon, 693
'Redesham' (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403
Reeve:
 Gilb. the, 916
 Peter the, 354
 Ralph the, and Sabine his w., 14, 15, 16, 17
 Rog. the, 532, 1322
 Wm., 923
Wm. s. of, 1021, 1224
Reigate, Master John of, 1043
Renger, John, 334, 345
Renham, Rob. de, 657
Rennes:
 Alex. de, 338
Rob. de, and Sarah his w., 338
Reppinges, Inetta de, 621
Restwald, Ralph s. of Ric., 773, 1132
Reynold, servant of Hugh of Tew, 875, 876, 877
Reynold:
 Hen. s. of, 812, 1102
 Ralph s. of, 961
Wm. s. of, 56, 57
Rhys, Pagan s. of 272
Richard I, 831
Richard, earl of Cornwall, 461, 932, 976, 984, 1026
Richard, 682, 911
Richard, father of Wm. de Marty, 326
Richard, hayward of Maud de Gurney, 787
Richard, monk of Croxton Kerriot, 372
Richard, reeve of Glympton, 1152
Richard:
   Alice dau. of, see Lee
   Bartholomew s. of, 768
   Christine dau. of, see Lee
   Erneis s. of, 494
   Hen. s. of, 689, 879
   Hugh s. of, 776, 827, 949
   John s. of, 689
   Jordan s. of, 369
   Osbert s. of and Emma his w., 566
   Peter s. of, 200, 621
   Ralph s. of, 38, 82, 248, 885, 1007, 1217
   Ralph s. of, and Joan his w., 251, 484, 1304
   Ralph s. of, and Maud his w. 527
   Ric. s. of, 44, 689
   Rob. s. of, 21, 24, 412
   Rog. s. of, 354, 634, 805
   Sim. s. of, 381
   Steph. s. of, 130, 248, 719
   Thos. s. of, 84, 689
   Wal. s. of, 49, 114
   Wm. s. of, 61, 843
Richedyt, Wm. and Agnes his w., 599
Richerus, Wm. s. of, and Emma his w., 716, 1374
Richmond (Yorks.), 325
Richolda, Denise dau. of, 430
Riding, Rog. at le, 785, 1068
Rigold:
   Hugh, 940
   Nic., 940
Ringshall, Brian of, 165, 498
Ripton:
   Ric. of, 521
   Wm. of, 521
Ripton, Abbots (Hunts.), 521
Risby, Thos. of, 17
Riston, Wm. [Rob.] de, 694, 1344
Rivere, Agnes widow of Gerald de, 311
Rivers, Margery de, countess of the Isle of Wight, 83, 533, 907
Robechild, John, 482
Robert, 635, 683, 859
Robert, lay brother of Studley priory, 243
Robert, master (rector) of the hospital of St. John outside the east gate of Oxford, 731, 744
Robert, and Rose his w., 740
Robert:
   Amaury s. of, 897
   Guy s. of, 520, 1317
   Hen. s. of, 75, 726, 757, 825, 1116, 1379
   Hugh s. of, 908
   John s. of, 159, 303, 564
   Macei s. of, and Agnes his w., 724, 1376
   Nic. s. of, 161, 490
   Nic. s. of and Gunnild his w., 569
   Peter s. of, 171
   Phil. s. of, 42
   Ralph s. of, 123
   Ric. s. of, 156, 353, 401
   Steph. s. of, 726, 1379
   Thos. s. of, 127, 413, 683, 830, 874
   Wm. s. of, 252, 341, 401, 570, 590, 881, 924, 1267, 1274
Roch, Rob., 1283
Rocheford:
   Ralph de, 405
   Thos. de, 679
Roches, Hen. de, 364
Rocomago, Ric. de, 328
Roda, John de, 202
Roffa, Wm. de, 55
Roger, 825, 877
Roger, Master, precentor of Salisbury, 265
Roger:
   Adam s. of, 117, 919
   Emma, dau. of, 630
   Geof. s. of, 961
   Hugh s. of and Sarah his w., 663, 664
   John s. of, 1009, 1218
   Nic. s. of, 152, 825, 979
   Nic. s. of and Gwenllian his w., 143, 295, 461
   Peter s. of, 364
   Ralph s. of, 12, 501, 913
   Ric. s. of, 877
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Rog. s. of, 403
Thos. s. of, 627
Wal. s. of, 376
Wm. s. of, 56, 101, 254, 644, 916
Rokeby, Thos. of, 111
Rokele, Ric. de, 773, 1129
Rokella, Rose de la, 548
Roker, see, Corok
‘Rokesham’ (Lincs.), 688
Rol: Miles, 784, 1066
Wm., 784, 1066
Roland, Ellis, 677
Rollright (Oxon.), 629, 1012, 1018, 1230
Romanus, Master John, subdean of York, 531, 831
Romford, Maurice of, 191
Ronbure, Gilb. de, 766
Roper, Wm. le, 1061, 1422
Roras, John, 684
Rotherfield Greys (Oxon.), 601
Rousham (Oxon.), 419, 596, 869
Rowhedge (Essex), 379
‘Rudige’, la (Bucks.), 646
Rufus [Rus]:
   Gilb. le and Alice his w., 381
   Hen. 24, 259, 1037
   John 884, 1368, 1385
   Jordan and Christine his w., 575
   Laurence, 715, 1425
   Peter, 859, 1021, i223, 1224
   Ric., 859
   Ric. and Amabel his w., 230
   Ric. and Margery his w., 404
   Rob., 758a, 1394
   Zachary, 859
Rumilly:
   Alma de, 539, 1325, 1326
   Rog. de, 516
Rummency, Alan de, 399
Runcy:
   Roger, 493, 762, 1142, 1171, 1306
   Stephen, 493, 1307
Ruppella, Rose de, 962
Rus, seeRufus
Rushmere (Suffolk), 498
Russel, Wm., 858, 1145
Russi, John, 736
Ruter, Mic., 18
Ruther, Ric. le, 1029
Ruylili, Hubert de, 433
Ryburgh, Agnes of, 14
Rycote (in Great Haseley, Oxon.), 773, 823, 285, 825, 1127
Rydel, Maurice, 13
‘Ryton’ (Leics.), 453
Sacy, Emery de, 138, 242
Sage:
   Andrew le, 765
   Hugh le, 897
   Wal. le, 70
St. Alban’s abbey (Herts.), abbot of, 565
St. Amand, Amaury of, 475
St. Benet Holm abbey (Norfolk), abbot of, 133
St. Briavels, Ralph of, 39
St. Clare, Rob. of, 711
St. Cross, John of, 712
St. Edmund, seeBury St. Edmunds
St. Edwards:
   Adam of, 758, 1391
   Thos. of, 758, 1391
St. Faith, Hamo of, 419., 763, 1096
St. Frideswide, Ralph of, 758, 1391, 1392
St. German, Wm. of, 25
St. Helen, Wm. of, 913
St. Leger, Wm. of, 71, 235, 689
St. Martins:
   Christine of, 11, 319, 320
   Thos. of, 319, 320
   Wm. of, 884
St. Paul, Hen. of, 875
St. Peter, Seisillus of, 250
St. Vedast, Hugh of 339
Salisbury, Eleanor countess of, 1002
Salisbury, bishop of, 790; and see Pooe, Herb.
priory of, seeRoger
Salle, Ralph de and Alice his w., 730, 746
Salome, Wm. of, 358
Salome Wood (in Leighton Bromswold, Hunts.), 358
Sancreston, Osbert de, 297
Sandford:
   Mabel of, 368
   Ralph of, 419
Rob. of, 368, 766
Thos. of, 983
Sandford-on-Thames (Oxon.), 368
Sandy (Beds.), 490
Sapcote, Steph. of, 226
Sarah, Wm. s. of, 924
Sarsden, Rob. of, 884
Sarsden (Oxon.), 610
Saucey, Ralph de, 419, 443, 512, 519, 534, 575, 761, 884, 1176, 1234
Sauser:
Hen. le, 1385
Reynold le, 999
Wm. le, bailiff of Northgate hund., 514, 1058, 1059, 1061, 1384, 1420, 1421, 1422
Saussav:
Azo le, 805
James le, 103, 105, 106, 657, 805, 934
Rob. le, 331, 448, 1291
Thos. le, 125
Sawston, Nic. of, 8, 36
Sawston (Cambs.), 330
Sawtry abbey (Hunts.), abbot of, 124, 271, 329
Say, Wm. de, 59, 666
Scaccario:
Helen de, 293, 418, 471, 481, 586, 594
Hen. de, 176, 177, 297, 418, 544, 611, 890, 1185, 1310
Laurence de, 293, 418, 440, 471, 481, 586, 594
Parnel de, 178, 418, 611
Rog. de, 359, 383, 763, 793, 892, 1098
Scalebrok, Wm. de, 262, 419, 451, 1249
Scherewind, Ralph, 893
Scorchebeef, Simon, 762, 1166
Scot, John, 267
Scotigny, Mabel de, 40, 43
Scotland, clerk of, seeTurfin
Scoulton (Norfolk), 634
Scribe, Nic. the, 919
Scrivener, Hen. the, 399
Scropes, Erneis de, 983
Scuret, Wal., 435, 1286
Scute, Adam, 172
Seagrave, Gilb., 961
Sebright, Wm. s. of, and Alice his w. and Maud his w., 690
Secular, Gernos le, 42
Sedgeford:
Gilb. of, 206
John of, 206
Selon:
Peter, 647, 1338
Rob. 647, 1339
Selveyn, Wm., 773, 1126
Senescal, Geof. le, 305
'Sera', la, 359
Serjeant:
Gilb., 369
Hugh the, 637
John, 776
Maud, 637
Serle:
Sim. and Agnes his w., 37
Thos., 341
Sewal, 785
Sewell, Hen. of, 335
Sewy:
John, 715, 1031, 1053, 1417
Maud, 715
Shabbington, Guy of, 956; cf. 1212
Sharnford (Leics.), 403
Sharp, Roger, 927
Shelvelagh, Hen. de, 326
Shenington, Wm. of, 928, 986
Shenington (Oxon. formerly Gloucs.), 461
Shepherd:
Rob. the, 850
Rob. s. of Wm. the, 990
Sherington, Sim. of, 381
Sherington (Bucks.), 381
Sherborne (Norfolk), 327
Shethendon, Ric. de, 581
Shifford (in Bampton, Oxon.), 920
Shiplake (Oxon.), 447, 464, 614, 702, 783, 784, 785 1064, 1065, 1068, 1290
Shipton:
Ralph of, 761, 1025, 1226
Wm. of, 109
Wm. of, bailiff of Bampton hund. 774, 921
Shipton (Oxon.), 506, 946
Shipton-under-Wychwood (Oxon.), 618, 1022, 1222, 1226
Shipton hund. (Oxon.), seeChadlington hund.
Shirburn (Oxon.), 261a, 624, 625, 812, 813, 1103, 1360
Shortcumbe, Rob. de, 22, 513
Shottesbrook, Rob. of, 237
Shottesbrook (Berk.): 237
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Shotover and Stowood forest, 981
Shouldham, Ingenold of and
   Alin the w., 354
Shouldham (Norfolk), 354
   priory, prior of, 354
Shutford:
   Alice of, 658
   Geof. of, 765
   Thos. of, 658
Shutford (Oxon.), 949
Sibford, Peter of, 344
Sibford Gower (Oxon.), 305
Sideham, Gregory de, 704
Sifrewast:
   Maud, 180, 309, 528
   Reynold, 297
   Ric. de, 180, 203, 204, 309, 528
Sileman, Ric., 919
Silven, Wm., 262, 1249
Silvester, John, 763, 1100
Simeon:
   Hen., 727, 734, 754, 758c, 1030, 1048, 1377
   Hen. s. of Stephen, 972
   Joan, see Arsic
   Peter s. of Stephen, 840
   Stephen, 351, 754, 799, 1369
Simon:
   Geof. s. of, 617, 1359
   Reynold s. of, 398, 1272, 1273
   Ric. s. of, 3, 656
   Rob. s. of, 744
   Rog. s. of, 983
   Sim. s. of, 344
   Wal. s. of, 143, 414
   Wm. s. of, 765, 1016, 1221
Simple, Rob. le, 536, 767
Sinker, Hugh le, 888, 1181, 1182
Sirrion, Sim. de, 255
Siward, servant of Hugh Wyther, 827
Siward:
   Peter s. of, 460
   Philippa, 514, 726
   Ric., sheriff of Oxon., 735, 759, 900, 982, 1380
   Simon, 437, 498
   Thos., 240, 446, 466, 645, 769, 1083, 1289
Skerminges, Rog. de, 230
Sket:
   Beatrice, 206
   Hugh de, 403
Skye, Ralph de, 17
Slape, Geof. of, 858
Slape (in Wootton, Oxon.), 841
Slaughter, John of, 1025, 1226
Slav, Ric., 542
Slepy, Hen., 784, 1065
Smart, Thos., 765
Smartknave:
   Hen. and Christine his w., 749
   Wm., 749, 752, 1383
Smith:
   Adam and Emma his w., 354
   Agnes widow of Rob., 301, 638
   Asgar, 478
   Hugh, 978
   Peter, 1013, 1220
   Phil., 1012, 1219
   Rob., 638, 816, 896, 1107, 1108
   Wm., 1040
Somerford, Alan of, 883, 1175
Somerford (in Cassington, Oxon.), 626, 1330
Somerton:
   Mat. of, 1057, 1419
   Rog. of, 278, 1253
Somerton (Oxon.), 799
Sonning (Berks.), 792
Sopere, Andrew le, 832, 1120
Sor:
   Ellis le, 754, 758c
   John le, 461
   Rog. le, 754
Souldern (Oxon.), 763, 1100
Southrop, Sim. of, 1021, 1224
Spakeman, Wm. and Maud his w., 912
Spaldington:
   Adam of, 293, 464, 471, 586, 594
   Maud of, 293, 471, 586, 594
Spawsholt (Bucks.) see ‘Sperteshale’
Sparwe, Ralph, 1021, 1224
Spek, Wal., 448
Spelsbury (Oxon.), parson of, 642
Sperewe, Rob., 452, 1293
‘Sperteshale’ (?)Spawsholt, Bucks.), 563
Spicer, Wm., 15
Spigernel:
   Godfrey, 323
   Wm., 204, 591
Spillewater, Rob., 826
Spink [Spring], Geof., 3
Spragin, Geof., 847a
Spring, see Spink
Sproughton (Suffolk), 338
Squire, see Esquire
Stafford:
   Ric. of, 241
Rob. of, 193
Wm. of, 79, 398, 1273
Staffordshire, 859
Stagun, Wal. and Maud his w., 301, 638
Staines:
Joan, 236
Wm. of, 236, 575
Stallis [Wallingford]:
Alex. de, 267, 482
Hawise de, 188, 426
Nic. de, 86, 432, 482, 497, 630
Steph. de, 188, 426, 482, 496
Stamford (Lincs.), 486, 688
Staines, Peter de, 762
Stanford:
Gilb. of, 773
Parnel of, 132, 442
Wm. of, 142
Stanley, John of, 758a, 1394
Stanmore (Mddx.), 332
Stanninges, Peter de, 1365
Stanton, Phil. of, 58
Stanton Harcourt (Oxon.), 860
Stanton St. John (Oxon.), 977
Stelhard, Thos., 1011
Stephen, dean of Hereford, 24
Stephen:
Adam s. of, 381
Hen. s. of, 1016, 1021
Hugh s. of, 897
Rog. s. of, 1021, 1224
Thos. s. of, 821
Steph. s. of, 1021, 1224
Sterthup, Agnes, 663
Stibbeneye, Giles de, 814
Stockwell:
Alice of, 731, 744
Beatrice of, see Bodin
Geof. of, 149, 598, 713, 714, 735, 744, 825, 1116
Ric. of, 744
‘Stodle’ (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403
Stoke:
Amice of, 963
Gunter of, 874
Lancelin of, and Amice his w., 233, 246
Ric. of, 197
Wm. of, 874, 1159
Stoke Lyne (Oxon.), 429, 797
Stoke Row (Oxon.), 900, 907
Stoke, South (Oxon.), 459, 460, and see Woodcote
Stoke Talmage (Oxon.), 483, 520, 572
Stokenchurch (Bucks.), 896, 1304, and see Pophley
Stonesfield (Oxon.), 1002
Stonor, Ric. of, 440, 441, 582, 772
Stopsley ( Beds.), 689
‘Stowenho’ (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403
Stoyl, Rob., 874
Strange, Wm. le, 926
Stratford, Wal. of, 9
Stratton, Adam de, 158
Stretton, Reynold of, 484
Stretton (Warws.), 484
Strettus, Rob. de, 403
Stubbe, Wal., 795
Studham, Adam of, 454
Studley, Ric. of, 279, 1258
Studley priory (Oxon.), prioress of, 243, 346, 551
Sturdy, Wal., 394
Stuteville, Wm. de, 283
Sulham, Amaury de, 815, 1106
Sumere, Beatrice de, 571
Sumery, Rog. de, 874
Summoner, Rob. and Felicity his w., 406
Sundy:
Emma, 251, 417
John, 251, 416, 417, 1275
Supicup, John 712
Surrey, countess of, see Warenne
Suthill, Adam de, 532, 1222
Sutleford:
Ric. de, 838
Thos. de, 838
Sutton, Hen. of, 664
Suwell, Thos. de, 758a
Swalcliffe, Ric. of, 1021, 1224
Swalcliffe (Oxon.), 617, 949
Swerford:
Master Alex. of, 291
Emma of, 291
Ric. of, 495
Sweet, Simon, 448
Sweyn, Ranulph s. of, 701
Swinbrook:
Belesent of, 579
Emma of, w. of Wm. the chaplain, 579, 580
Erneburga of, 390, 579, 580
Felicity of, 579
Gunnora of, 579
Rob. of, 301, 579
Swinbrook (Oxon.), 390, 537, 579
Swincombe, Hugh of, 813
Swineherd, Godfrey, 911
Swinerton:  
Alex. of, 805  
Hugh of, 798  
Wal. of, 798  

Swinelund, Rob. de, 652  
Swinethorpe (Lincs.), 445  
Swinford, Hen. of, 1028, 1030  
Sybil, Agnes dau. of, 915  
Sydenham, Rob. of, 172, 382  
Sydenham (Oxon.), 887, 891, 897, 1179  

Tackley (Oxon.), 867, and see Whitehill  
Tailor:  
Sampson the, 793, 1072  
Thos. the, 533  
Vincent the, 575, 716  
Wm. the, 395  

Talemash:  
Helen, 483, 572  
Hugh and Alice his w., 600  
Peter, 483  
Ralph, 520, 1319, 1320  
Ric. and Avice his w., 483, 572  
Wm., 483, 525, 572, 1319  

Talt [le Thal]:  
Maud, 717  
Ric. le, 717  
Rob. le, 717, 1375  

Tamworth (Warws.), 355  
Tany, Peter de, 159  
Tattingstone (Suffolk), 647  
Taynton, Ric. of, 230  
Taynton (Oxon.), 1004, 1006  
Tebrigg, Rob. de, 565  
Telpen, John de, 341  

Temple, Master of the knights of,  
in England, 89, 100, 122, 183,  
410,  
445, 549, 585, 595, 598, 675  

Terling (Essex), 334, 345  
Terry, John, 462  
Testerton, Rob. of, 16  
Tetworth (Oxon.), 478, 617, 1358  
Tew:  
Hugh of, 279, 875, 1256  
John of, sheriff of Oxon., 541, 570, 759, 816, 866, 982  
Ralph of, 555, 627  
Rob. of, 1272  
Wal. of, 954, 1211  
Wm. of, 522  
Tew, Duns (Oxon.), 581, 875, 1142  
Tew, Great (Oxon.), 278  
Tew, Little (Oxon.), 493  
Thame:  
Auger of, 955  
Mat. of, 954, 956, 1212  
Thame (Oxon.), 886, 954, 955, 956, 957, 960, 965, 979, and see  
Weston, North  
abbey, abbot of, 439, 446, 483, 499, 887, 961, 1180  
hund., 768, 1210  
liberty of, 833  
Thame, river, 823, 909, 953  
Thames, river, 649, 706, 899, 910, 973  

Thedric, Ric. 868, 1155  
Thedwyn, Wm., 1009, 1218  
Thetford priory (Norfolk), prior of, 487  
Theydon Gernon (Essex), 341  
Thirkleby, Rog. of, 350, 403  
Thomas, the chaplain, 42  
Thomas:  
Joan dau. of, 591  
Niel s. of, 450  
Ralph s. of, 17  
Turgis s. of, 150, 151  
Thomley, Rob. of, 704  
‘Thorham’ (Lancs.), 620  
Thornbergh, Rob. de, 357  

Thorold:  
Peter, 712, 732, 742  
Ric. and Haugenild his w., 917  
Thory, Maud dau. of, 990  
Thrucke, Erneis, 886  
Thrupp:  
Emma, 640  
Geof. and Isabel his w. 111, 588  
John of, 110, 640  
Thrup (Oxon.), 615  
Thurberne:  
Geof., 971  
Wm., 971  

Thurugod [Turnegant], Wal., 816, 1107  
Tilbury (Essex), 317  

Tiler:  
Gilb., 958  
Peter, 774  

Tilney, Peter de, 174  
Tirel, Rob., 80  
Titchmarsh:  
Ralph of, 414  
Thos. of, 414  

Tilts abbey (Essex), abbot of, 158  
Tiverton (Devon), 382  
Tivill, Hugh de, 510, 563
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toffend</td>
<td>Gunnild de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joan de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maud de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Toffend' (Oxon.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toky</td>
<td>Rog.,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torod</td>
<td>Adam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tusmore, Guy of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Toteburne' (Devon)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totternhoe, Thos. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totternhoe (Beds.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tovy</td>
<td>Adam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog.,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower, Ric. of the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towersey (Bucks.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travail</td>
<td>Hugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveris, Thos.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travers, Ralph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tregot, Geof.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Tregundres' (Cornwall)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treneglos, Wm. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triete, John</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubney, Hen. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuddenham, John of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbere, Ralph de la</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turketil, Wm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turfin, clerk of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnegant, see</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>Maud le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog. le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turreville, Rose widow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turstan, Sim. s. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tusmore</td>
<td>Alan of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guy of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutsseys</td>
<td>Rog. de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twyford, Wm. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tylesworth, Ambrose de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyeingham, Giffard of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tysoe</td>
<td>Rob.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tytebury, Ric. de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tythrop (Bucks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formerly Oxon.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyvel, Hen. de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uffington</td>
<td>Rob. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uhete, Geof.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ullenhall, Hen. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Alice his w.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under wall</td>
<td>Christine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underwood, Rog.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upton</td>
<td>Wal. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upton (Norfolk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upway (Dorset)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up Wimborne (Dorset),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkton Up Wimborne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urry, Martin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valence, Wal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valle, Peter de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Valledi' abbey, abbot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valoyynes</td>
<td>Joan de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>see Clesdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos. de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegele</td>
<td>John de la</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. de la</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veisin, Wm. le</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venur</td>
<td>John le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. le</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ver, Baldwin de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verdun</td>
<td>Agnes de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rog. de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rose de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verite</td>
<td>Rob.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verney, Ralph de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent, Geof. s. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinconquer, Hugh de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vintner, Alex. le</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vipont, Rob. de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitry, Andrew de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person/Place</td>
<td>Index References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wace:</td>
<td>Gilb., 770, 825, 1116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hen., 262, 467, 617, 1248, 1300, 1359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W., 1014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm., 419, 704, 773, 1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waddon, Devon</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wade, Hen. de la</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wahull, Saer de</td>
<td>228, 463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake, Aline</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal.:</td>
<td>Avice of, m. — Warte, 393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Savary of, 402, 504, 761, 1236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. of</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walcot (in Charlbury, Oxon.)</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wale, Rob.</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waleis:</td>
<td>Alice, 510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hen. le, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John le, 757, 1032, 1391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil., 861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph le, 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. le [Rob. s. of Ralph], 90, 707, 861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. le, 1396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sim. le, 510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos. le, 510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. le, 32, 642, 1026, 1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waleman, Rog.</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkelin:</td>
<td>Rob. s. of, 703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos. s. of, 676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall, Rob.</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallingford:</td>
<td>Alex. of, see Stallis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hawise of, see Stallis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nic. of, see Stallis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steph. of, see Stallis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of and Mary his w., 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallingford (Oxon. formerly Berks.)</td>
<td>812, 903, 910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>honor of, 775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsham (Norfolk)</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter:</td>
<td>Adam s. of, 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alan s. of, 715, 1429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John s. of, 1271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. s. of, 35, 166, 341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steph. s. of, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos. s. of, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. s. of, 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walton (Leics.)</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandard, Rob.</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wande:</td>
<td>Hen. de la, 860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos. de, 565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. de la</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanred, Hen.</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentham:</td>
<td>Reynold de, 394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. de, 394, 419, 1271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. de, 394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanton, Master Sim. de</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warblington, Thos. of</td>
<td>140, 989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warden:</td>
<td>Edmund of, 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. of, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warden abbey (Beds.), abbot of</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wardington:</td>
<td>Alice of, 304, 402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hen. of, 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. of, 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wardington (Oxon.)</td>
<td>304, 402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warin:</td>
<td>Gilb., 790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob. s. of, 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warr, Master Geof. de</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warenne, Maud, countess of</td>
<td>Surrey, 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warte:</td>
<td>Avice, see Walcot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm., 393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warun, Rob.</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warwick, Thos. earl of</td>
<td>99, 280, 305, 1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wassand, Alan of</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterman, Rog.</td>
<td>1055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterperry (Oxon.)</td>
<td>978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watervill, Ric. de</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watlington, Adam of</td>
<td>500, 1308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watlington (Oxon.)</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayder, Wal. le</td>
<td>839, 1136, 1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayte, Geof. le</td>
<td>1038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weald (in Bampton, Oxon.)</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaver:</td>
<td>Hen. the, 1037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ric. the, 341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maud widow of Rog. the, 147, 674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thos., 1045, 1407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. the, and Maud his w., 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weedon, Nic. of</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welland, river</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welewe, John de</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well:</td>
<td>Adam at, 841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm. at, 882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells, Wm. of</td>
<td>358, 743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendlebury:</td>
<td>Rob. of, 833, 962, 1121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person/Place</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of, 805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendlebury (Oxon.), 805, 1089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendover, Mannashe of, 326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendover (Bucks.), 326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wennington (Hunts.), 521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wepere, Hen., 736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wesenham, Rog. de, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Chickerell, Rog. of, 142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Dean, Rob. of, 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerfield, Austin of, 525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerfield (Suffolk), 525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston: Adam of, and Parnel his w., 62, 668, 743</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. of, 310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of, 76, 163a, 414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston-on-the-Green (Oxon.), 422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston, North (in Thame, Oxon.), 598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wexham, Alex. of, 197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weyle, Mary widow of Ric. le, 158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheatfield: Ellis of, 500, 642, 772, 814, 1104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. of, 600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheatley: Alice of, 511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen. of, 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat. of, 983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. of, 730, 746, 1381, 1397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheatley (Oxon.), 511, 970, 1313; and see Harpeford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelham, Thos. de, 372</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitchurch: Alice of, 87, 245, 681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh of, 790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph of, 898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynold of, 87, 229, 245, 491, 492, 680, 681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rog. [Reynold] of, 240, 771, 1246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. of, 8, 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of, 898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitchurch, Oxon., 898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: Alice the, 682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob., 388, 972, 1268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. the, 682, 776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehill, Phil. of, 419, 762, 1161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitehill (in Tackley, Oxon.), 868, 1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitfield, Ric., 764</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting, Adam, 942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitworth, Wal. of, 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whytward, Rog., 658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wich, Wm., 365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickham (in Banbury, Oxon.), 949</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wickhampton (Norfolk), 283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widford, Nic. of, 537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigayn [Wygorn], Wal., 786, 1070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggenhall, Wm. of, 124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiggenhall (Norfolk), 403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigginton (Oxon.), 999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigmore abbey (Herefs.), abbot of, 42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigod, Gilb., 501, 1310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wike: Alma, 621</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. de, 509, 771, 1312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikepit, Wm. de, 151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikinam, Nic. de, 1142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilby, Wal. [Wm.] of, 105, 657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcote, Peter of, 659, 1330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcote (Oxon.), 236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildehale, Sim., 770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William, 340, 459, 850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William, parson of Fawley, 394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William, prior of Noyon, 652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William, reeve of Astrop, 923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William, s. of the reeve of Rollright, 1012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William: Adam s. of, 165, 413, 602, 694, 1343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew s. of, 216, 223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice dau. of, 684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma dau. of, 1004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erneis s. of, 395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulk s. of, 100, 598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geof. s. of, 929</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geof. s. of and Gunner his w., 873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilb. s. of, 19, 395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnild dau. of, 644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen. s. of, 288, 564, 1261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hervey s. of, 628</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh s. of, 102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John s. of, 202, 624, 625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margery dau. of, 508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maud dau. of, 368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maud widow of Geof. s. of, 175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nic. s. of, 342, 644, 885</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter s. of, 436, 454, 1294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph s. of, 898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph s. of and Margery his w., 558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynold s. of, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric. s. of, 17, 500, 564, 888, 1181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richolda, dau. of, 430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. s. of, 103, 478, 990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rog. s. of, 17, 369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person/Place</td>
<td>Occurrences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim. s. of</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steph. s. of</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. s. of</td>
<td>21, 35, 196, 405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. s. of</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Scot (Oxon.)</td>
<td>948, 952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilton:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympias, widow of Ralph of</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wimarc, Peter s. of</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wimbervill:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis de</td>
<td>137, 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rog. de</td>
<td>51, 136, 264, 296, 326, 340, 464, 562, 648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchcombe Abbey (Gloucs.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abbot of</td>
<td>244, 1026, 1232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester, earl of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see Quincy, Rog. de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester (Hants.)</td>
<td>311, 957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windrush, Ric. of</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter, Bundkin</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiser, Gilb.</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wither:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>827, 1118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withersdale (Suffolk)</td>
<td>378, 1267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witney, Agnes of</td>
<td>541, 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witney (Oxon.)</td>
<td>781, 842, 927, 985-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittenham, Denise of</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wittenham (Oxon. formerly Berks.)</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wluric:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. s. of</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thos. s. of</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woburn Abbey (Beds.), abbot of</td>
<td>228, 463, 507, 556, 805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf, Rob.</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolford, Ric. of</td>
<td>392, 1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolvercote (Oxon.)</td>
<td>850, 851, 864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcock, Ralph</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcote:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric. of</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm. of</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcote (in South Stoke, Oxon.)</td>
<td>396, 459, 460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wooddeaton (Oxon.)</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodperry (Oxon.)</td>
<td>970, 975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodstock, Wm. of</td>
<td>717, 1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodstock (Oxon.)</td>
<td>750, 777, 954, 1001-3, 1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wootton:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat. of</td>
<td>49, 763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil. of</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. of</td>
<td>762, 855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wootton (Oxon.)</td>
<td>551, 858, 1002, 1146, 1148, 1149, and see Slape hund., 762, 1134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob. of</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. of</td>
<td>1037, and see Wigayn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester, bp. of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see Cantilupe, Wal. de</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wormsley:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil. of</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal. of</td>
<td>625, 687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wigod of</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worth, Hen. de</td>
<td>733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worton, Ric. of</td>
<td>762, 1168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worton (Oxon.)</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worton, Nether (Oxon.)</td>
<td>593, 597, 602, 694, 881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worton, Over (Oxon.)</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wose:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric. de la</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rog. de</td>
<td>726, 1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrenne:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beatrice</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ric.</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wret, Ric. s. of Ric.,</td>
<td>853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writhelock:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wm.</td>
<td>1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wroge, Wm.</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wroxton, Reynold of</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wroxton Priory (Oxon.), prior of</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wumestan, Phil. de</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wycheburn, John de</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wycombe, High (Bucks.)</td>
<td>297, 328, 675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wycombe, West (Bucks.)</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wychwood Forest (Oxon.)</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wydemere, Wm. s. of Wm. de</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyendon, Wm. de</td>
<td>669, 677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyfford, Hugh de</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wygesnail, Wm.</td>
<td>1034, 1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyfold (in Checkenden, Oxon.)</td>
<td>446, 902, 1204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wygorn, see Wigayn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyketoft [Wigayn], John de</td>
<td>261, 438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyly, Hen.</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wymarc, 635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wysendon, John de</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wytham:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John of</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rog. of</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarmouth, Wal. of</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yarcombe (Devon)</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yarnton (Oxon.), 850, 1139
Yerforth, Hen. s. of, 272
Yiapston [Yapspon], Maud widow of John de, 202
Ylser, see Hilber
York:
   Thos. of, 651
   Wm. of, provost of Beverley, 461, 691, 919, 920, 1029
York, archbp. of, see Gray, Wal. de
   subdean of, see Romanus

Young:
   Hugh, 785, 1068
   Maud, 845
   Ralph, 764, 769, 1073
   Rob. 606
   Wal., 919
   Wm., 423, 845, 1281
Yve, Wm., 900, 1201

Zachary, John, 1028, 1030, 1398, 1399
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Roman numerals refer to the page nos. of the intro., Arabic to entry nos. in the text.

abjuration of the realm, 794, 816, 817, 832, 842, 853, 855, 867, 869, 888, 903, 925, 936, 970, 975, 979, 987, 992, 993, 1006, 1010, 1024, 1034, 1035, 1037, 1059
pardon, 921
acquittals, 796, 804, 810, 813, 837, 838, 841, 845, 854, 870, 883, 884, 885, 893, 906, 903, 905, 912, 919, 922, 935, 947, 950, 959, 962, 970, 1009, 1037, 1040, 1047, 1048
advowson, 367, 614, 679
action of, 24, 239, 449
agreement (concord), breach of, 317
demise, render of, 534
ancient demesne, 442, 485
annuity, plea of, 29, 99, 124, 727
appeal, 988
approver, 988
arson, alleged in presentment, 989
associate, 549
assault, alleged in appeal, 956
associating with criminals, alleged in indictment, 922, 959
axe, 956
barrels, 849
basins, 1019
bastard, alleged, 378, 393, 434, 593
alleged in appeal, 1048
beam, 798
boat, 823, 899, 980, 1011
branch, 801
breach of peace, alleged in appeal, 784, 793, 796, 814, 858, 861, 875, 876, 885, 890, 924, 946, 956, 972, 1015, 1025, 1047
bread, customary render, 534
burglary, alleged in presentment, 898
calf, 824
carrying service, 706
cart, 843, 849, 852, 862, 974, 996, 1005
cart and horse, 921, 1005
castle guard, 325
chirograph:
to keep, plea of, 329
issued, 326, 359
to be levied, 2, 228, 246, 283, 336, 339, 408, 474, 585, 692
clerks, 841, 853, 956, 992, 997
crieminous, 787, 893, 957, 1043, 1058
cloak, 1009
cloth, breach of assize of, 818, 944, 960, 967, 1000
stolen, 954
colts, 870
common of pasture:
claimed, 261, 278, 417, 422, 445, 458, 459, 467, 468, 530, 549, 556, 585, 657
plea of, 76, 344, 470, 573
compromise, 1015, 1016, 1025
in appeal, 924
concealment of chattels, 995
of plea, 979
concord and recognizances made in this eyre, 233, 234, 335
in action of annuity, 727
covenant, 276, 334, 549, 750
custom and service, 424, 443, 502, 534, 535, 543,
debt, 433
dower, 409, 461, 504, 559, 566
entry, 359, 482, 499, 583
estovers, 456
land, 294, 311, 343, 348, 349, 411, 415, 416, 320, 421, 431, 462, 470, 477,
479, 503, 510, 516, 537, 561, 573, 574, 582, 584, 589, 600, 630, 718, 725
mort dancost, 316, 395, 397, 542
nuisance, 732
replevin, 648
right, 326, 512, 538, 633, 635, 707, 713, 730
wager of law, 331,
wardship, 285, 424, 443, 502, 534, 535, 543
waste, 332, 524
contempt of court, 697
corn, 884
coroners, 760, 830 and see Marmion, Geof.; Foliot, Ric.; Nowers, Nic de
courts:
  county, 377, 442, 704, 73, 786, 787, 834, 840, 844, 859, 898, 946, 1008,
1009, 1045
  hundred, 279, 313, 377, 520
  of Oxford, 712, 716, 719, 730, 755
  of Thame, 954
covenant, action of, 66, 79, 136, 199, 210, 211, 271, 276, 282, 319, 334, 370,
549, 560, 610, 671, 705, 750
custody, 1015, 1016, 1025
of land, 379
custom and service, action of:
  adjourned, 266, 372, 391
  appointment of attorney, 337, 372, 391, 427, 438, 575, 588
  concord made, 424, 443, 502, 534, 535, 543
  essoin, 111, 113, 194, 195, 224
  pleading, 327, 443, 534, 568, 653
damages, awarded, 240, 261, 268, 269, 278, 287, 288, 369, 392, 394, 401, 458,
459, 491, 492, 501, 520, 726, 735
darrein presentment, action of, 19, 122, 265, 310, 473
death, accidental, 798, 801, 823, 824, 830, 838, 843, 849, 852, 857, 862, 863,
899, 937, 971, 973, 974, 909, 911, 915, 917, 921, 976, 980, 996, 998, 1005,
1011, 1014, 1032, 1036, 1041, 1044
  natural or unexplained, 822, 828, 847, 847a, 901, 902, 908, 916, 953, 1001,
1017
defaulters, 790, 805, 815, 836, 874, 897, 907, 913, 934, 949, 961, 983, 999,
1026
de fine facto, 33, 144, 364, 463, 556, 599, 671, 672, 709
debt, action of:
  appointment of attorney, 226, 242, 275, 280, 281
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concord made, 631
essoin, 5, 7, 21, 49, 50, 59, 119, 120, 138, 189
non-prosecution, 686
pleading, 273, 403, 412, 433, 489, 619, 652, 666, 685, 710
debt, payment acknowledged, 350
recognition enrolled, 383, 407, 505, 548, 616, 632
detinue of charter, action of, 11, 320
detinue of chattels, action of, 693, 708
dike, 240, 279, 422, 446, 520, 539, 734
disease, falling sickness, 902
ditch, 466
dower, action of:
  concord made, 409, 461, 504, 559, 566
  non-prosecution, 435, 506, 640, 641, 645
dower, breach of agreement over, 317
drapers, 966, 985
duel, 155, 326, 793, 875
encroachments, 809, 865, 1050, 1060
Englishry, presented, 909
entry, action of, 270, 340, 365, 406, 413, 432, 454, 482, 483, 494, 499, 536, 564, 571, 572, 579, 583, 596, 604, 639, 694, 717, 736, 739, 745, 749, 752, 754, 756
escape, from church, 1042, 1057
  from prison, 833, 855, 910, 958, 962, 1024, 1029
escheat, 593, 638, 891, 984
estovers, 62, 277, 302, 456, 667, 668, 743
exchange of land, 731
Exchequer, 892

falcon, 860, 931
felony, alleged in appeal, 858, 859, 861, 875, 876, 924
fence, 279
fish, 950
fishery, action of, 133, 209, 374
fishery, claimed, 367, 488
fishpond, 42, 829, 861, 950
Flemings, land of, 932
'force', alleged in appeal, 877, 924, 946, 956
frankpledge, man received out of, 848, 975, 979
free bench, 338

gaol delivery, 791
gaol, committal to, 810, 861, 954, 1008, 1009, 1047, 1049
gardens, 390, 524
glass, 911
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

goshawk, 930
grand assize, 209, 237, 297, 414, 419, 442, 443, 516, 519, 534, 538, 635, 682

hanging, carried out, 837, 889
  before eyre, 904, 938, 954, 957, 1053, 1054
  by justices for gaol delivery, 791, 965
  sentence of, 882
harbouring thieves, alleged in indictment, 970
  alleged in presentment, 905
hedge, 369
hens, 325, 534 customary render? 832
hidage, 534
homing, 339, 544, 577, 675
homicide, admitted, 1037
  alleged in appeal, 1033
  alleged in indictment, 796, 838, 854, 884, 912, 935, 970, 1037, 1040, 1043
  alleged in presentment, 783, 785, 786, 787, 788, 792, 820, 821, 826, 827,
  832, 846, 848, 850, 856, 964, 878, 879, 886, 887, 894, 903, 906, 910, 926,
  940, 955, 963, 978, 991, 992, 994, 995, 997, 1004, 1006, 1007, 1010, 1012,
  1013, 1028, 1030, 1031, 1033, 1038, 1040, 1045, 1055, 1057
horse, 830, 843, 849, 976, 1014, 1041
  stolen, 1058
house, part of, 1036
hue and cry, 970

ivy, 860

Jewry, 716

knight service, 325

labour services, 534, 548

ladies in king's gift, 799, 808

lance, 1008

land, action of (unspecified):
  appointment of attorney, 225, 227, 229, 248, 251, 254, 255, 257, 264, 282,
  298, 301, 308, 324, 344, 346, 347, 351, 366, 371, 426, 436, 438, 547, 595,
  676, 677, 695, 720, 722, 723
  concord made, 294, 311, 343, 348, 349, 411, 416, 420, 421, 431, 462, 470,
  477, 479, 503, 510, 516, 537, 561, 573, 574, 582, 584, 589, 612, 630
  essoin, 115, 155, 163, 163a

law, wager of, 95, 331, 357

malt, 900

marriage, legality questioned, 555, 618, 627

marriage portion, 551

mayhem, alleged in appeal, 825, 972

meadow, 287, 338, 340, 363, 389, 393, 419, 492, 525, 557, 561, 602, 649, 655,
  679, 684, 726

measures, illegal, 1051

mesne, action of (quod acquietet), 123, 241, 377, 544, 607

mill, 352, 367, 428, 529, 540, 549, 618, 635, 675, 679, 688
  suit to, 835

mill wheel, 863, 998, 1044

minors, 285, 341, 352, 494, 509, 511, 529, 586, 598, 634, 638, 717, 732, 739,
  749, 752
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

miscarriage, alleged in appeal, 883
mort dancelor, action of:
  appointment of attorney, 293, 322, 366, 418, 481, 541, 698
  concord made, 316, 385, 395, 397, 471, 542, 597
  essoin, 12, 75, 80, 84, 85, 87, 93, 96, 127, 148, 150, 219
  heard in previous eyre, 647
non-prosecution, 315, 342, 451, 452, 511, 617
pleading, 261a, 262, 303, 312, 318, 368, 393, 399, 400, 430, 434, 455, 464, 478, 508, 509, 522, 533, 550, 570, 581, 644, 680, 681, 683, 689, 702, 703
mortgage of land, 716
murder, 785, 792, 820, 822, 828, 846, 847, 847a, 866, 886, 901, 902, 908, 916, 926, 953, 969, 991, 1001, 1004
naifyt, 472
Normans, land of, 803, 806, 891
novel disseisin, action of:
  adjourned, 373
  appointment of attorney, 249, 258, 289, 439, 514, 595
  concord made, 602
  essoin, 112
  heard in previous eyre, 340, 357
  non-prosecution, 388, 398, 402, 417, 422, 423, 447, 466, 467, 468, 539, 553, 567, 602, 603
nuisance, action of, 240, 279, 369, 446, 520, 675, 732, 734
oxen, 706, 962
palfrey, 652, 675
pasture, see, common of pasture
peace, king's, claimed, 840
piggery, 396
pigs, 868, 971
ploughing service, 443, 706
precipe, writ of, 519
prises, 866, 941
prohibition to court Christian, 4, 18, 357, 453
quare deforciat, action of, 354, 649
quare impedit, action of, 238
quo iure, 105, 106, 445, 512
quod acquietat, see mesne
quod permettat, action of, 396, 488
rape, alleged in appeal, 810, 834, 839, 840, 845, 990, 1016
razor, 927
reaping service, 443,
receiving stolen goods, alleged in appeal, 904
  alleged in indictment, 895, 896, 957
recordari facias, 565
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

reeve, 900
relief, 544
rent, see annuity
replevin, 114, 128, 253, 384, 648, 706
right, action of:
  adjournment, 660, 699
  concord made, 633, 682, 707, 725
  non-prosecution, 540, 609, 236, 629, 700
right of way, 358
ring, gold, 1048
road, 466, 732
robbery, alleged in appeal, 795, 825, 859, 946, 956, 1008, 1009, 1048
  alleged in presentment, 864
scutage, 607
serjeanty, 802, 807, 811, 860, 871, 872, 873, 880, 881, 892, 929, 930, 931, 981, 1018, 1019
service, see custom and service
sheep, stolen, 797
sheriffs, 759 and see Crowcombe; Tew, John of; Siward, Ric.; Pegore; Hay, Wm.
sickle, 917
socage, 325
spurs, gold, 880
suicide, alleged in presentment, 927
suit of court, 544
theft, 791, 889, 1053
  admitted, 888, 979, 987, 993, 1024, 1034, 1035, 1059
  alleged in appeal, 904, 962
  alleged in indictment, 797, 870, 882, 895, 896, 918, 919, 920, 950, 970, 993, 1003, 1021, 1023, 1052
  alleged in presentment, 794, 800, 804, 812, 813, 816, 817, 842, 855, 867, 868, 869, 900, 914, 923, 939, 943, 945, 947, 948, 951, 952, 964, 975, 1022
tile, 1045
tongs, 1047
tree trunk, 964
trespass, civil action of, 260, 330, 469, 565, 606, 647	unic, 1009
turbary, 319

utrum, action of, 252, 387, 448, 590

villein status, 354, 493, 715
villeinage, 455, 482, 484, 493, 704, 726, 740
vintners, 966, 985 and see wine

waiver, 826, 827, 951
  wardship, action of, 65, 228, 255, 285, 325, 371, 429, 507, 554, 654
warranty, action of:
  adjourned, 719
INDEX OF SUBJECTS

appointment of attorney, 225, 235, 248, 267, 299, 300, 308, 340, 351, 415, 425, 440, 441, 480, 531, 515, 575
concord made, 495, 557
essoin, 13, 27, 72, 77, 78, 81, 82, 108, 109, 110, 130, 161, 162, 197 non-prosecution, 613, 624, 625, 626, 628, 636, 658, 687, 751, 757, 758, 758a
pleading, 328, 490, 557, 569, 580, 662, 667, 746, 755
waste, action of, 22, 63, 118, 176, 177, 178, 290, 332, 418, 513, 523, 524, 587, 611
water course, 388
weir, 649, 865
will (testamentary), 691
wine, breach of assize of, 928, 942, 968, 986
withdrawal from appeal, 793
wood, piece of, 937
wounding, alleged in appeal, 784, 793, 796, 844, 875, 876, 885, 1008, 1009, 1025, 1046, 1047
alleged in presentment, 1056
wrongful hanging, alleged in appeal, 954
wrongful imprisonment, 1049