

# The Oxfordshire Eyre 1241



Edited by Janet Cooper

THE OXFORDSHIRE RECORD SOCIETY

VOLUME 56

1989

Cover Illustration: great seal of Henry III  
(Reproduced by kind permission of the Dean and Chapter of Durham)



pp. 25-28

(nos. 256-281)

MISSING!

THE OXFORDSHIRE EYRE, 1241

**The Oxfordshire Record Society**

**THE OXFORDSHIRE EYRE, 1241**

Edited by Janet Cooper

Volume 56

1989

**This Edition © Oxfordshire Record Society 1989**  
**ISBN 0 902509 20 9**

*Printed in England by*  
PARCHMENT (Oxford) LIMITED, Printworks, Crescent Road, Cowley, Oxford OX4 2PB.

## CONTENTS

	<i>page</i>
FOREWORD	vii
EDITOR'S PREFACE	ix
INTRODUCTION	xi
EDITORIAL NOTE	xxi
The Oxfordshire Eyre of 1241:	
Civil Pleas	1
Crown Pleas	119
Amercements	156
INDEX OF PERSONS AND PLACES	183
INDEX OF SUBJECTS	225



## FOREWORD

The preparation of this volume was a formidable undertaking for Dr. Cooper, not the least of whose editorial skills has been to leave the reader unaware of the considerable problems posed by her sources: their nature is indicated in the editorial note. A grant from the Twenty-Seven Foundation enabled photocopies of the manuscripts to be made, and the Greening Lamborn Trust contributed most handsomely to the publication costs of the volume. The society is deeply grateful to both bodies.

Oxford, June 1989

Christopher Day  
*Hon. Editor, O.R.S.*

## **EDITOR'S PREFACE**

The records of the general eyre contain much information of interest to local as well as to legal historians, but although they have now been listed and described in a Public Record Office handbook, the fact that they are unindexed makes them difficult for most local historians to use. I hope that this calendar of the 1241 rolls will make the record of one Oxfordshire eyre readily accessible, and perhaps encourage the use of the later, unpublished, rolls.

In the preparation of this calendar I have received help and encouragement from many people. I am particularly indebted to the late C. A. F. Meekings and the late Prof. R. B. Pugh who encouraged me to undertake the work, to Dr. Paul Hyams for advice in the early stages of the work, to Dr. David Carpenter for help with the identification of surnames, and to Dr. M. T. Clanchy for help with the subject index and with the introduction. Miss Eleanor Chance has helped with the typing of the index. Dr. J. F. A. Mason, the former general editor of the Oxfordshire Record Society, showed unfailing interest over the years, and I am particularly grateful to Christopher Day, the present general editor of the society, for proof-reading my word-processed text and for seeing the volume through the press.

## INTRODUCTION

Under John and Henry III the general eyre, or eyre for common pleas, was a royal court moving round the country to enforce law in a manner convenient for the litigants. It had developed as a permanent and regular part of the judicial system in the later 12th century, after the Assize of Clarendon in 1166 had established the method of indictment of suspected criminals and the first of the possessory assizes, novel disseisin. Such eyres were held almost every year at the end of the 12th century, but in the reign of Henry III they were held less frequently, as other methods of dispensing royal justice, such as commissions for gaol delivery, developed. General eyres were held between 1218 and 1221, 1226 and 1229, 1231 and 1232, 1234 and 1236, 1239 and 1241, 1246 and 1249, 1250 and 1252, 1252 and 1258, and 1261 and 1263, and from 1268 to 1272. Under Edward I eyres were held between 1274 and 1277 (to complete the last visitation of Henry III's reign), between 1278 and 1289, and between 1292 and 1294, by which time the process was found to be too cumbersome. It was revived briefly in 1329-30. Much work on the history of the eyre in the reign of Henry III has recently been done by the late C.A.F. Meekings and by Dr. M.T. Clanchy, and the introductions to their editions or calendars of the Wiltshire Eyre of 1249, the Berkshire Eyre of 1248, and the Surrey Eyre of 1235 provide a very good account of the subject.<sup>1</sup> Dr. D. Crook has discussed the history of the eyre fully in his introduction to the *Records of the General Eyre*.<sup>2</sup> The following paragraphs contain only a brief summary.

The pleas in eyre were heard by the justices of the Bench who normally sat at Westminster; indeed from 1235 up to and including the eyre of 1246-9 the Bench closed down during an eyre, and cases pending there were appointed to be heard in the eyre; likewise at the end of the eyre cases would be adjourned back to the Bench. During the eyre, cases would be adjourned from one county to another. These arrangements account for the large number of 'foreign' pleas, or pleas which do not relate to the county in which the justices were then sitting, found on the eyre rolls. In the later

1. *Crown Pleas of the Wiltshire Eyre 1249*, ed. C. A. F. Meekings (Wilts. Rec. Soc. xvi); *Civil Pleas of the Wiltshire Eyre 1249*, ed. Michael Clanchy (Wilts. Rec. Soc. xxvi); *Surrey Eyre 1235*, ed. C.A.F. Meekings and D. Crook (Surrey Rec. Soc. xxxi)
2. P.R.O. Handbooks 20.

13th century the foreign pleas were enrolled separately, but in 1241 they were enrolled with the Oxfordshire pleas, presumably in the order in which they were heard, or were expected to be heard. The foreign pleas have been included in this calendar in order to give a full account of the eyre, but they have not been edited in detail.

The chief justice on the south-eastern circuit for the eyre of 1240–1 was William of York who shortly afterwards, in November 1241, became the senior justice in the court *coram rege*, that is the group of senior justices who travelled with the king acting as a court of appeal. He had served as an eyre justice from 1227 onwards and become a justice in the Bench in 1231. He resigned his justiceship in February 1247 on becoming bishop of Salisbury.<sup>3</sup> His circuit in 1240–1 covered Norfolk, Suffolk, Essex, Hertfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Huntingdonshire, Bedfordshire, Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire, Surrey, Kent, Sussex, and Berkshire. His colleagues on 'the most distinguished team in any eyre circuit from 1218 onwards'<sup>4</sup> were Henry of Bath, a leading justice from 1245 who became chief justice of the Bench in 1245,<sup>5</sup> Gilbert of Preston, who was to be chief justice in 29 eyres between 1254 and 1272 and a chief justice in the common bench, and Roger of Thirkelby, a leading justice (with Henry of Bath) in eyres from 1245 to 1252 and chief justice of the common bench.<sup>6</sup>

The rolls calendared here, now numbered JUST 1/695 and JUST 1/696 in the Public Record Office, are the earliest Oxfordshire rolls to survive, apart from a single membrane of the crown pleas for Ploughley hundred from the 1235 eyre (JUST 1/1580). Earlier Oxfordshire eyres are known only from surviving feet of fines, accounts or escheat rolls. They were held in 1194, 1199, during the general eyre of 1201–3, from December 1218 to January 1219, and in 1227. Other Oxfordshire eyres were held in 1247 (JUST 1/699), in 1252 (no roll survives), in 1260 (JUST 1/713, incomplete), in 1261 (JUST 1/701), in 1268 (JUST 1/702A–C, JUST 1/703), and in 1285 (JUST 1/704–710). In addition to being the earliest surviving rolls, JUST 1/695 and 696 are the only two complete rolls for the same eyre to survive before the seven rolls for the 1285 eyre. The two rolls, which were made for two justices, are not identical and to some extent complement each other.

3. Meekings, *Surrey Eyre*, p. 259.

4. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, p. xvii.

5. Meekings, *Crown Pleas of the Wilts. Eyre*, pp. 128–9; Crook, *Recs. of the General Eyre*, pp. 17–18.

6. Crook, *Recs. of the General Eyre*, pp. 18–19, 127.



The writ initiating the eyre in 1240 does not survive, as both the patent and the close rolls for that year have been lost, but William of York and his colleagues were instructed to begin a circuit of Oxfordshire, Berkshire, Somerset, and Dorset at Oxford 15 days after Easter (14 April) 1241.<sup>7</sup> On that day they took the essoins 'de malo veniendi', that is they heard the excuses sent by those who claimed to be unable to get to court. The litigant's messenger, his essoiner, claimed that he had set out for court but had been prevented by some accident from reaching it. This essoin resulted in a delay of at least two weeks, and was usually cast by defendants who wanted more time to prepare their cases. The justices heard further essoins 'de malo veniendi' at Oxford on Wednesday 17 April, on Sunday 21 April, and on Sunday 28 April; on Friday 26 April they heard one essoin 'de malo lecti', in which the litigant claimed that he was ill in bed and therefore could not come to court. On Friday 4 May the justices were at Wycombe to hear essoins 'de malo veniendi' there for the Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire lands of the honor of Wallingford.

The justices probably began hearing civil pleas on Monday 15 April. Those were disputes between two parties, mainly over land or rights in it. Some pleas had been adjourned from the Bench, but most were brought by local knights and freemen who perhaps could not afford the expense of litigation at Westminster and preferred to wait for the arrival of the justices in their neighbourhood. As has already been explained, the civil pleas in the 1241 eyre included many 'foreign' pleas relating to counties other than Oxfordshire. The litigants in those pleas, or their attornies, had to come to Oxford for the plea. Pleading was formal, and strictly laid down for each action; this is apparent from the stereotyped phrases of the recording clerks, and from the frequent use (in the original rolls) of 'etc.'. The litigants did not ordinarily produce evidence to support their suit, they stated their case and the jury decided, from their knowledge of the affair, who was in the right.

The most common action (126 out of c. 680 pleas in which the action can be identified) was that for dower, in which a widow claimed her share, usually a third, of her late husband's lands. In 5 cases (304, 382, 461, 475, 521) the widow was joined, or should have been joined, in the action by her second husband. Sometimes (e.g. 272, 498) the claim was for a third of a number of small estates, apparently a free

7. *Close Rolls*, 1237-42, p. 350.

peasant's holding, but in one case (428) Eve de Gray, a member of a major Oxfordshire family, claimed a third of the advowson of Deddington church, a fishpond, a yardland, and a mill against William de Dive, another important Oxfordshire landholder. In most cases the defendant failed to appear and the land was taken into the king's hand until the dispute could be settled; in others the plaintiff recovered her seisin. In a Warwickshire case (484) the defendant argued that he held the land in villeinage and hence could not answer a claim in a royal court; in another case (704), concerning land in Drayton St. Leonard, a similar defence failed. In three Oxfordshire cases (555, 618, 627) the defendant argued that the claimant had not been legally married and the case was adjourned until the bishop of Lincoln had ruled on the validity of the marriage. In another three cases (566, 608, 690) the defendant argued that the plaintiff's husband had not held the land so freely that he could dower her with it, in one case claiming that he had held only for a term of years. Another defendant (716) claimed that, with her consent, the plaintiff's husband had mortgaged the house claimed in the Oxford Jewry 'for their common necessities'.

The next commonest action after dower was 'land' (61 cases), a vague term which probably covered mainly actions of mort d'ancestor and novel disseisin, the two next commonest actions, 60 and 52 cases respectively. Both were possessory assizes, quick forms of action introduced under Henry II to determine possession as opposed to right in land. In the assize of mort d'ancestor the claimant claimed that his ancestor had been seised of the land on the day that he died, and that he was his nearest heir; to fall within the assize the ancestor had to have died since the last return of King John from Ireland in 1210. One plea (581) failed because the ancestor had died before 1210. Most pleas, a total of 19, were for the death of a father; four people claimed on the death of an uncle, two each on the death of a mother and a brother, and one on the death of an aunt. In one case (399) the claimant claimed not for his father's death but for his departure for Jerusalem, from where he had not returned. In only four cases did the plaintiff recover his seisin, in another four an agreement was reached. Of those who failed, two sisters claiming land in Adderbury (303) claimed for the death of their father when they should have claimed for the death of their brother who had succeeded him; a man who claimed land in Churchill on his uncle's death (644) had to admit that his uncle had had a son who had inherited the land; two cousins failed in their claim for land in Shiplake

(702) because they had stated that the previous holder was their uncle whereas he was the uncle of one and grandfather of the other. In a plea over land in Walcot (393), two of the defendants alleged that the claimant was a bastard, but he lost because he had to admit that his mother held the land in dower.

In the assize of novel disseisin the claimant claimed that he had himself been in seisin of the land before he was disseised. To ensure that the disseisin was recent, it had to have taken place since the crossing of Henry III to Brittany in 1230. The writ did not run on the ancient demesne of the crown (485) because a similar process was available in the manor court to tenants on ancient demesne. Two pleas of novel disseisin on the Oxfordshire roll contain interesting economic or legal information. When Roger de Hide (459) claimed that the former abbot of Eynsham had disseised him of his pasture rights in South Stoke and Woodcote the jury reported that an earlier abbot had divided his lands into three parts instead of two, i.e. had changed from a two-field to a three-field system, thus reducing the amount of land on which Roger had common. Roger, however, lost his case for naming the wrong abbot. The pleading in a dispute (712) between two prominent Oxford citizens, Peter son of Thorold and John of Bridport, over a house and land in Oxford describes in detail the earlier pleading in the Oxford borough court. In all, fourteen of the claimants who brought an assize of novel disseisin recovered their land; the 29 who reached agreements over 'land' had probably proceeded by an assize of novel disseisin.

An action of right, or *de recto*, unlike the possessory assizes of mort d'ancestor and novel disseisin just discussed, decided the right to rather than the simple possession of the land. There are 59 actions on the Oxfordshire roll. Like the assize of mort d'ancestor they are concerned with the descent of land, but through many more generations. Some pleas (e.g. nos. 326, 353) describe the descent of land for three generations, not always directly. Richard son of Robert (353) described how 5 a. in Leicestershire had descended from his great grandfather to two of his great uncles and their children before reverting to his grandfather, from whom the land passed to his father and himself. Another plea (367) gives the descent of the Oxfordshire manor of Deddington from William de Chesney in the time of Henry II to William de Dive, who in 1241 claimed it from Ralph Hareng whose wife also claimed descent from William de Chesney. In the course of the plea Ralph and his wife Alice produced a charter of

Henry II to Alice's father Ralph Murdac, and the text of the charter was copied onto the roll. In one case (326) a duel was ordered, but before it was fought an agreement was reached. Four cases (414, 419, 635, 682) were to be decided by the grand assize, a special jury of 12 knights. One attempt to use the grand assize (442) failed because the land claimed was in Banbury which was said to be ancient demesne of the crown (although Banbury had belonged to the bishops of Lincoln and their predecessors the bishops of Dorchester since before the Norman Conquest) and the grand assize did not lie on ancient demesne.

The next most common action, of which there are 54 examples on the Oxfordshire roll, is the personal action of warranty of charter in which the claimant, whose right to land bought from the defendant had been challenged, called on the defendant to warrant him, that is to prove his right to the land or else to compensate him with land of equal value. One plea (746) failed because the defendants had no land with which to warrant the claimant. In 12 cases the plaintiff did not prosecute, in two an agreement was made, and in 6 the defendants did not appear.

Three other actions have over 20 cases each, there are 29 actions of entry, 27 of debt, and 26 of custom and service. In the action of entry the claimant claimed that the defendant or his predecessor had only had entry into an estate through someone who had no legal right to give him a title to it. The commonest claim was that the entry had been made by a termor (or lessee) whose term or interest in the land had expired. Some such claims (e.g. 340) turned on whether or not the land had been granted for a term or in fee. The pleading, like that in actions of right, could include details of the descent of land. Another common claim, made by widows, was that entry had been made through a husband, whom in his lifetime she could not deny (270, 583, 604, 745). In another four cases (717, 739, 749, 752) entry had been made through the guardian of a minor. In one case (482) it was claimed that the defendant had had entry through a man who held in villeinage.

Most of the pleas of debt are brief. The largest sum owed was £60 4s. 10d. (666), but no details are given on the roll. Hubert de Ruylli's debt of 64 marks owed to Isabel de Bolbec, countess of Oxford, (433) was part of the price of a lucrative wardship. One of the justices, Roger of Thirkleby, brought a plea against 27 men for £20 (403), perhaps unpaid rents. In addition to the pleas of debt, there was one acknowledgement of payment of a debt for arrears of



rent (350), and 6 enrolments of recognisances of debt whereby the debtor acknowledged his indebtedness and undertook to repay, usually by instalments.

Pleas of custom and service, in which the lord claimed services or customary payments from land held of him, sometimes give details of such services. Thomas Greasley (443), for instance, claimed ploughing service of 1 acre for winter sowing and one day's reaping service in harvest for land in Golder. Robert Purcel in Bainton (534) claimed 7s. in money and two harvest services, and also required his tenant to come, with his wife, to his house at Christmas with four loaves of bread, six hens, and six gallons of ale, and to eat with him there.

The conclusion of many civil pleas is not given on the roll. Often the plea was adjourned until one or other party appeared, or for the result of a view of the disputed land to be made known, or for information to be given by the sheriff of the county involved, or sometimes by the bishop if such ecclesiastical matters as the validity of a marriage were involved. Other pleas ended with one or other party being found to be in the wrong and being amerced. Yet others ended in agreement, for which a licence had to be obtained, for a fee. These agreements were in the form of fines, final concords, a copy of which, the 'foot' of the fine, was enrolled among the records of the court. In all 76 concords were made in the Oxfordshire eyre, but not all of them survive.

On the crown pleas side, the justices were commissioned to hear all the crown pleas which had arisen since the last eyre, that is since 1235. Most of the crown pleas were based on the answers provided by panels of jurors, 12 from each hundred or borough, to a series of articles given them by the justices.<sup>8</sup> Both the articles and the juries' answers, their *veredicta* or presentments, were given in writing. The articles covered not only 'criminal' cases, such as homicide, unexplained deaths, and robberies, but infringements of royal rights, such as encroachments on highways and breaches of the assizes of cloth and of wine. Juries also gave information about royal escheats and serjeanties, and about ladies and churches in the king's gift. One special article in the 1239-41 eyre concerned the 'land of Normans', that is lands in England forfeited by men who had remained in Normandy after its loss by King John in 1204. Henry III still hoped to recover Normandy, and needed to know which English lands might then be

8. For the articles see Crown Pleas of the Wilts. Eyre, pp. 28-33.

claimed by Normans. The Oxfordshire jurors reported only three 'lands of Normans': Kirtlington worth £20 a year (803), Lillingstone worth £5 (806), and Chinnor and Sydenham, held by the earl of Winchester and worth £25 (891).

Ladies and churches in the king's gift were potential sources of revenue or of reward for faithful servants. Two of the three heiresses in the king's gift in 1241 (799) were Joan and Alexandra, the daughters of Robert Arsic and heirs to an important Oxfordshire barony. The jury reported that Alexandra had married, presumably with the king's consent, Thomas de Haya, but Joan had married the Oxford burgess Stephen Simeon without permission, so her land was to be taken into the king's hand.

Sixteen serjeanties were reported. Three involved personal service to the king, carrying the spit for his dinner (802), giving him water when he came to Cornbury (1019), and yielding him a napkin each year (811). The last had given its holder the surname Napper. One of the five household serjeanties had also given rise to a surname, Roger de Scaccario held land in Aston Rowant by serjeanty of being usher at the Exchequer (892). Others held by being the king's doorkeeper (871, 873, 929) and being steward of the king's household (1018). Four men held by serjeanty of keeping or raising falcons or a goshawk for the king (860, 880, 930, 931), and one by serjeanty of collecting ivy for the king's deer in winter and of mowing and hay-making in a meadow (860). One of the military serjeanties was that of carrying the pennon before the men of Wootton hundred in the king's army for 40 days (881).

In addition to answering the articles, juries were asked by the justices to provide secretly or 'privately' a list of the men in each hundred who were suspected of murder, theft, robbery, receiving thieves, counterfeiting the coinage, or arson. Men thus indicted were then arrested and produced for trial at the eyre. Finally, a criminal case could be brought by the process of an appeal in which a private individual accused another of a crime, usually breach of the peace and wounding, although the spouse or other family of a murdered man could appeal someone of his murder. As with the civil pleas there were strict rules, and an appeal could fail because the appellor failed to state that he was willing to prove his appeal on his body, i.e. by duel, or because he changed the wording of his appeal or (if wounding was claimed) because he failed to show his fresh wounds to the coroner.

Homicide (60 cases) was one of the commonest crimes

alleged, usually in the juries' presentments. Many were said to be the work of unknown evildoers; others, like the killing of Master Vincent Cornwall the former Oxford scholar at the house of Alice of Hackney in Benson (827), look like murder. Some killings were the result of disputes, like the killing of Peter Foster by one of three clerks, perhaps Oxford scholars, who were walking through Slape, near Glympton (841). An argument broke out between one of the clerks and Peter, and the clerk killed Peter with an axe. Some killings were accidental, like that at Ewelme when Andrew le Sopere threw a stick at a hen and hit and killed a boy instead (832). One death, that of the young son of the chaplain of Taynton, resulted from a severe punishment which went tragically wrong (1006). Perhaps the most unusual case was that of Ellis, Maud de Gurnay's doorkeeper (787) who was alleged to have been killed; three men and a woman fled and were outlawed, but at the eyre Ellis appeared alive, so all four were allowed to return.

The term *murder* in the 13th century still referred to the fine exacted from the vill or hundred in which a body was found, unless Englishry was presented, i.e. the victim was shown to have been English rather than Norman. Englishry was presented once in the 1241 Oxfordshire eyre (909); in 20 other cases the fine was exacted, even though in a few cases the coroner's verdict was misadventure.

In cases of accidental death the object which caused the death was 'deodand', given to the church or the poor. This was a survival of the primitive notion that the inanimate object was somehow responsible for the death. Deodands reported in 1241 included the wall of a house (1036), an oak which no one would buy (857), a piece of glass (911), and a calf which dragged to death a small boy who had fastened his belt to its tail (824), as well as the more usual horses, carts, boats, and mill wheels. The sheriff was responsible for producing the deodand, or rather its value in money, before the justices. He was also responsible for producing the goods, or their value, forfeited by felons who had been hanged or who had taken sanctuary in a church and then abjured the realm.

Theft (32 cases) was the most common crime after homicide. Goods stolen included horses (923) sheep (797, 842, 914, 923, 945, 952), lambs (855), pigs (868), fish (950), malt (900), a tunic (794), cloth (816), leather (1003), wax from Deddington church (817), and a tree trunk (964).

Breach of the peace was alleged 17 times; and 'force' 4 times, always in an appeal and often with robbery. In one

case (861) 'breaking' the lord's fishpond was deemed a breach of the peace. In a more typical case, Sampson the tailor of Henley (793) was accused of entering Geoffrey son of Philip's house in Henley, wounding him on the head with a stick and on the upper arm with a dagger and stealing 13½d. and a silver button in a leather purse. In an affray at Duns Tew (875-7) Robert le May and Hugh the priest and two others wounded Reynold the servant of Hugh of Tew with an axe and a dagger and stole 32d. of Hugh's money. A somewhat similar case which was not said to be breach of the peace, occurred in Oxford in 1235 (1048) when Adam Feteplace, a leading Oxford merchant who was several times mayor of the town, attacked Henry le Ferur in his own house; Henry claimed that Adam had stolen a gold ring, but the jury said that he had only hit him and thrown a cup of ale in his face.

Most of those accused of these and other crimes did not appear before the justices; 63 of them had fled, and hence were ordered to be outlawed. Another 26 had taken sanctuary in a church and then abjured the realm. Those who did appear to stand trial stood a good chance of being acquitted: 32 crown pleas ended in an acquittal, compared with 3 hangings.

The final element in the roll, taken from JUST 1/696, is the amercement roll, the list of people amerced or fined in the eyre. Matthew Paris, describing the 1240-1 eyre said that the justices, going through and looking into all the counties in England corrected many excesses, and on the pretext of justice collected an infinite amount of money for the king.<sup>9</sup> Certainly all medieval justice was profitable to the lord of the court, and the king's justice was no exception. Amercements (marked by the marginal note *in mercy*) were levied on most of those involved in civil pleas, for failure to prosecute, for collusion in reaching an agreement, for failing to produce the man for whom they were sureties. In addition fines were offered for permission to reach an agreement, or to have a view or inquisition into the land in dispute. As has already been mentioned, the crown pleas produced money from felons' goods, as well as amercements for concealment of pleas or for non prosecution.

9. Matthew Paris, *Chronica Majora* (Rolls Ser.), iv, p. 34.



## EDITORIAL NOTE

Of the two rolls from the Oxfordshire eyre of 1241 which survive in the Public Record Office JUST 1/695 is the main roll, compiled for William of York. JUST 1/696, compiled for one of the other justices, is scrappier, often omitting the last sentence of cases where a note has been made in JUST 1/695 about later developments. There are considerable differences between the two rolls, notably in the order of the pleas; the following calendar is based on JUST 1/695, variant readings from JUST 1/696 being given in pointed brackets, < >. Slight variations in spelling of names have not been noticed.

JUST 1/695 starts with the essoins (mm. 1-2), and continues with the civil pleas for the county, including foreign pleas (mm. 3-17), and the civil pleas of the vill of Oxford (mm. 18-19), whose burgesses enjoyed the privilege of not having to answer for their freeholds outside the borough. The kalendar, or list of jurors for the crown pleas, is on m. 20, and the crown pleas on mm. 21-26. JUST 1/696 begins (mm. 1-2) with the list of amercements, crown and civil, which does not survive on JUST 1/695. It continues with the essoins (mm. 3-5), and then with the crown pleas (mm. 6-11), ending with the civil pleas for the county (mm. 13d.-24) and for the vill of Oxford (mm. 25-6).

The crown pleas were entered in the same order on both rolls, but the order of the civil pleas is so different that no attempt has been made to notice it in the calendar. For instance, the first membrane of civil pleas in JUST 1/696, m.13d., has no heading, and contains the pleas numbered (from JUST 1/695) 261-4, 284-7, 302-3, 315-20, 325; m. 14 continues with 326-31, 275, 338, 332-3, 335, 338, 376-9, 403, 406, 381-4, 365. Moreover, JUST 1/696 omits the ends of some pleas, and contains more blank spaces for details of pleas which were not completed than does JUST 1/695. To save space and printing costs these discrepancies have not been noted in the calendar.

The pleas have been translated and abbreviated on the lines of C.A.F. Meekings' and M.T. Clanchy's calendars of the Wiltshire eyre. (The calendar of the Surrey eyre did not appear until the present calendar was well advanced.) The spelling of personal names and place names has been modernised wherever possible. Where a place name cannot be identified the spelling of the original has been retained,

placed in inverted commas. Latin personal names (including surnames) have been translated except in the rare instances where the translation is uncertain. For convenience the list of English equivalents of Latin forenames in the Victoria County History *Handbook for Authors and Editors* has been used. Place names used as surnames have been modernised where the identification seems reasonably clear, and in those cases 'de' has been translated 'of'. Where the identification is not clear the name has been left in the form on the roll and 'de' has been left untranslated.

The *Curia Regis Rolls* xvi has been searched for references to any pleas which appear on the Oxfordshire eyre rolls, but otherwise additional information has been supplied only for Oxfordshire cases.

Some extracts from some of the civil pleas from the Oxfordshire eyre were printed (in Latin) in *Abbreviatio Rotuli Placitorum* (Record Commission), 115-18; the spelling of names is sometimes inaccurate, and as there is no reason to suppose the rolls have deteriorated since the early 19th century the Record Commission version has been ignored. References have been given to the Bedfordshire cases printed in *Bedfordshire Historical Record Society*, vol. ix, and to the Somerset cases printed in *Somerset Pleas* (Somerset Record Society, vol. xi).

The following editorial conventions have been used:

[ ]	.....	editorial insertion
()	.....	interlineation
< >	.....	reading from JUST 1/696
italics	.....	marginal notes

m. 1]

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' taken at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Monday 15 April] 1241 before William of York, provost of Beverley, and his fellow justices

1 Ellis Nuncius essoins Roger of Essex against Cecily widow of Gilbert Marshall; assize to Canterbury, octave of Trinity. Same day given to Richard Clerk of Grendon, William Gulie the earl marshall's attorney, by the king's writ.

2 *Norfolk*. Roger de Wesenham essoins Hugh d'Aubeney earl of Arundel, Richard of Docking his attorney, against Roger de Mortimer; to levy chirograph; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth; Robert of Boyland surety.

3 *Hunts*. Geoffrey Spink <Spring> essoins John son of Gregory against Richard son of Simon; assize one month after Easter. *New writ*.  
See no. 656

4 *Wilts*. Thomas son of Nicholas essoins Master Ralph of Lacock against Richard Mauclerk; plea of prohibition [to court Christian]; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *New writ*.

5 *Gloucs*. Simon de Banerstan essoins Robert de Gurnay against Hugh le Bulor; plea of debt; to the arrival of the next justices.  
*New case*.

6 *Essex*. Reynold son of William essoins Henry of Necton and Joan his wife, John de Welwes their attorney, against Alice widow of Hugh de Marmes <Maruns>; plea of dower; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case*.

7 *Suffolk*. Hugh de Culum essoins Robert of Brampton against Parnel of Brampton; plea of debt; assize to Oxford one month after Easter. *Old case*.

8 *Herts*. Nicholas of Sawston <Walter of Stanstead-crossed out> essoins Peter de Maune, Robert Marsh his attorney, against Thomas of Whitchurch. *Elsewhere*.

Cf. no. 341.

Entry crossed out.

9 *Essex* <*Herts*.> Walter of Stratford <Strafford> essoins the same Robert against Walter of Hempnall and others named in the writ.

Cf. no. 342.

Entry crossed out.

10 Richard son of John essoins the same Robert against Ralph Gubum.  
Cf. no. 341.

**11 Norfolk.** Benet of Dilham essoins Christine St. Owen against Hubert Hacun; detinue of charters; to Lambeth, Sunday after Ascension Day. Hubert did not come, as appears below. *New case.*

See no. 320.

**12 Essex.** Ralph son of Roger essoins Gilbert the earl marshall, Gilbert of Hampstead his attorney, against Giles of Erdington; assize of mort d'ancestor; to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day; Thomas of Ramsden surety. John son of Lefrich essoins the same earl marshall, William de Pinkeney his attorney, against the same Giles at the same term, the same surety. None of the recognitors has come, so the sheriff is to have them [at Lambeth]. *Old case from the eyre.*

**13 Essex.** Stephen son of Walter essoins William de Ferrers, Anselm Clerk his attorney, against Maurice Rydel; warranty of charter; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *Old case.*

**14 Norfolk.** William son of Ralph essoins Agnes of Ryburgh against Sabine widow of Ralph the reeve; he has pledged his faith. *New case.*

**15** William Spicer essoins Hugh Foster against the same Sabine; assize.

**16** Robert son of Philip essoins Robert of Testerton; Hamon of Gateley essoins Elviva, widow, against the same Sabine; he has pledged his faith.

**17** Richard son of William essoins Ralph Skye <Sokye> against the same Sabine; Roger son of William <Ralph son of Thomas> essoins William son of Ralph; Ralph son of Thomas essoins Thomas of Risby; assize to Lambeth, Surrey, morrow of Ascension Day.  
*Others in the writ.*

**18 Cumb.** Michael Ruter essoins William of Ireby against Thomas of Hoton <Horton> and Mariota his wife; plea of prohibition [to court Christian]; to the arrival of the next justices in Cumberland. *New case.*

**19 Sussex.** Gilbert <altered from William> son of William essoins the prior of Arundel against William of Billingshurst; darrein presentment; to the arrival of the next assize justices. Same day given to all the recognitors who came. *New case.*

**20 Cambs.** Stephen son of Alexander essoins Richard de Amundevill against Richard de Amundevill.

**21 Northants.** Thomas son of William essoins John de Balliol against Robert son of Richard; plea of debt; John son of Osbert essoins Devorguilla, John's wife.

**22 Berks.** Henry le Enfant essoins Isabel de Mortimer against Robert de Shortcumbe <Thortecumbe>; plea of waste; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. John de Planat, surety. *New case.*

See no. 513.

**23** *Warws.* Richard son of Robert essoins the abbot of Reading against Nicola widow of Simon Bagot <Bagod>; plea of dower.

**24** *Herefs.* Robert son of Richard essoins Stephen, dean of Hereford, against Henry Rufus; plea of advowson; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *New case.*

See no. 259.

**25** *Berks.* William of St. German essoins Fulk FitzWarin against Robert advocate of Bethune; morrow of Ascension Day. *New case.*

**26** Thomas son of Henry essoins Alan FitzWarin against the same; same plea; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

**27** *Berks.* Hugh of Glympton essoins Roger de Mohun against William the Fleming; plea of warranty of charter. Richard le Newman essoins the same William against the same Roger; same plea. To the arrival of the next assize justices. *New case.*

**28** *Herefs.* Ralph de Hamme essoins William of Bath against Maud widow of Walter de Hamme; plea of dower; to the arrival of the assize justices at Hereford. *New case; others in the writ.*

Cf. no. 284.

**30** *Bucks.* Henry Pyefot <Duford> essoins Bencelina widow of Ingenolf against Richard de Tytebury; octave of Trinity at Canterbury. *New writ.*

**31** John de Mentmare essoins Roger Breyn against the same; same plea. William of Helpston essoins Gillian wife of the same John [sic]; same plea.

**32** *Essex.* William le Waleis essoins John of Crofton; same plea. Richard de Bereford essoins Emma wife of the same John; same plea.

**33** *Gloucs.* <Wilts.> Henry of Wheatley <de Chatel'> essoins Olympias widow of Ralph of Wilton against William Francchevaler; 'de fine facto'; to the arrival of the assize justices. John Bangeriant essoins the same William against the same Olympias; same plea. *New case.*

**34** *Essex.* Stephen son of Henry essoins John del Brok against Henry Balliol and Laura his wife, Peter de Maune and Christine his wife, David Cumin and Isabel his wife; Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case.*

Cf. no. 341.

Cf. *Cur. Reg. R.* xvi, nos. 1316, 1514.

**35** Thomas son of William essoins Richard son of Walter against the same; same plea. William son of Fulk essoins Thomas of Whitchurch.

36 Nicholas of Sawston essoins Peter de Maune, Robert Marsh his attorney, against the same; Henry of Holywell surety.

37 *Berks.* Robert <Thomas> son of Geoffrey essoins Alice wife of Peter Fareman <Freman> against Simon Serle and Agnes his wife, Jocelin of Bedwyn and Cecily his wife; to the arrival of the assize justices. *New case.*

38 *Northants.* Ralph son of Richard essoins Maud Malet against Roger of Duston and Muriel his wife and Aline, Muriel's sister; octave of Trinity at Canterbury. <Same day given to Roger and Muriel in the Bench.> *New case.*

39 *Herefs.* Nicholas le Ireis essoins Ralph of St. Briavels against Eve widow of Thomas de Frayn; plea of dower; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *New case.*  
Cf. no. 272.

40 *Hants.* Robert of West Dean essoins Mabel de Scotigny; same plea; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case* <Others in the writ>.

41 *Herefs.* Orgenew of Clun or Richard Danvers essoins Ralph de Mortimer; John of Ludlow or Richard Danvers essoins Wladusa Ralph's wife; Robert of Ledbury or Richard Danvers essoins Henry de Mortimer; Gilbert of Clun essoins Eve, Henry's wife. *Others in the writ.*

42 Philip of Ledbury essoins Philip le Bret; Roger Nuncius essoins the abbot of Wigmore; Philip son of Robert essoins Gernos le Secular.

43 John son of John essoins John of Lingen; William son of Henry essoins Thomas the chaplain; Hugh his brother essoins Rannulf brother of the same Thomas; William of Upton essoins John Esturmy; Richard son of Geoffrey essoins Walter of Avenbury; Richard Page essoins Simon of Oddington. Eve appoints Richard le Aw her attorney against Mabel de Scotigny. Ralph de Mamme essoins Ralph the clerk. To the next coming of the assize justices.

[Nos. 41-3 have been squashed into a blank space at the end of the membrane; it is not clear how the individual entries are related to each other.]

44 *Herefs.* Robert de Bruges essoins Richard son of Richard against Laria of Bromfield; plea of dower; to the next coming of the assize justices to Hereford.

45 *Herefs.* Reynold of Marcle essoins Henry le Waleis against Isabel of Hope; plea of dower; to the next arrival of the assize justices.

46 Richard of Marcle essoins William le Blund; same plea; to the arrival of the next assize justices at Hereford.

m. 1d.]

**47** *Northants.* Richard of Astcote essoins the prior of the Hospitallers in England, who is in the Holy Land, against Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns; plea of dower; three weeks after Easter 1242 at Westminster. *New case.*  
Cf. nos. 360-2.

**48** Thomas son of Cecily essoins Brother Robert de Dive; same plea; octave of Trinity at Canterbury.  
Cf. nos. 360-2.

**49** *Northants.* Walter son of Richard essoins William de Albemarle <Aubmace> against Ralph Basset and Geoffrey of Appleby; plea of debt; 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury <Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth>; Matthew of Wootton surety. *New case by writ pone; others in the writ.*

**50** William son of Hugh essoins Christine wife of the same against the same; same plea; to the same term by the same surety.

**51** *Bucks.* Richard de Argentom essoins Roger de Wimbervill against Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife and Philip of Leighton and Emma his wife. Afterwards they came.  
See nos. 264, 340.  
Entry crossed out.

**52** *Essex.* Saer son of Edward essoins Peter son of Andrew against the prior of Prittlewell; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Afterwards a view.*

**53** *Norfolk.* Simon of Castle Acre essoins the prior of Castle Acre, Roger of Gayton his attorney, against Robert son of Humphrey and Thomas his brother; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *Old case.*

**54** *Herts.* John son of Hugh essoins Matthew Leyham, Matthew of Marston his attorney, against Ralph de Beseville; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

**55** Same day given to William de Roffa whom Matthew Leyham called to warranty, at the Bench; William Martel his attorney.  
*Old case.*

**56** *Northants.* William son of Roger essoins Philip son of Alard against William son of Reynold; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth.  
Cf. no. 352.

**57** William of Warden essoins Hugh son of John against the same; to the same term. *New case by writ pone; many in the writ.*

**58** *Salop.* Richard son of Edith essoins Philip of Stanton against William Marsh and Sybil his wife; plea of dower; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth; William her husband attorns Sybil. *New case.*

**59** *Kent.* John the Black essoins William de Say against Robert Hardel; plea of debt; to the arrival of the next assize justices. *New writ.*  
See no. 666.

**60** *Northants.* Walter son of Adam essoins Robert de Braybeouf against Robert advocate of Bethune; < assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth >. *New writ.*

**61** *Norfolk.* William son of Richard essoins John Marshal against the same; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New writ.*

**62** *Hunts.* William son of William essoins Julian de Haya, whom Master Reynold of Bath called to warranty, against Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife and Michael of Berkhamstead and Senicla his wife; plea of estovers; assize Thursday next after the quindene of Easter at Oxford. Fulk son of Martin essoins Adam of Weston, attorney of Parnel his wife, against the same. *Old case.*  
See nos. 302, 667-8, 743.

**63** *Devon.* Thomas of Pagham essoins Alice de Hewisse < Haywise > against William de Hewisse < Haywise >; plea of waste; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case.*

**64** *Suffolk.* Peter of Dean essoins Roger of London against Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns; plea of dower; to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day. *New case.*  
Cf. nos. 47, 360-2.

**65** *Essex.* Nicholas son of Jordan essoins Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford, Michael de Cheldynton < Chelington > his attorney, whom John de Berners called to warranty, against Cecily Berners; plea of wardship; to Canterbury, octave of Trinity. Same day given to John de Berners by his attorney in the Bench. *Old case.*  
Cf. no. 379.

**66** *Wilts.* Richard Doget < Doket > essoins Warin FitzGerald against Willliam of Durnford; plea of covenant; to Canterbury one week after Trinity. William did not come, as appears in the pleas. *New case.*  
See no. 370.

**67** *Northants.* Robert son of Aer essoins Philip Iwayn against Christine daughter of Ralph; assize one month after Easter. *New case by writ pone.*  
See no. 701.



**68** *Suffolk*. Peter son of Bernard essoins the prior of Brissete against Olive de Frayn; warranty of charter; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case*.

See no. 169.

**69** *Berks*. Niel son of Alexander essoins Walter de Becote <Brecote> against the prior of Noyon; plea of custom and service; one month after Easter at Oxford. *New case*.

See no. 577.

**70** *Yorks*. William le Sage essoins Walter de Hemmington against Richard de Gaham; warranty of charter. He came, not having a writ or claiming <holding>, so let the essoin be void as he came. Walter le Fol essoins Joan his wife against the same; same plea. *New writ*.

See nos. 421, 474.

**71** Robert son of Ralph essoins John de Baynhal, Thomas, Richard, and Henry his brothers, Thomas de Mare attorney, against William de St. Leger; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to William, whom each called to warranty, by his attorney, and to all the recognitors. *Old case*.

See no. 235.

**72** *Surrey*. Geoffrey son of Adam essoins Walter of Whitworth against John de Fay; warranty of charter; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case*.

Essoins 'de malo lecti' taken at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Monday 15 April]

**73** *Oxon*. Thomas of Monmouth and Reynold son of Ashild <Achilles> essoin Alice wife of Stephen Bodin at Caulcott against Stephen of Fritwell; to one month after Easter.

See no. 576.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' for the same county at the same term

**74** *Oxon*. Simon son of John essoins John de Curry against Stephen of Fritwell; to one month after Easter. *New case*.

See no. 660

**75** *Oxon*. Henry son of Robert essoins Matthew archdeacon of Buckingham, who is overseas, against Robert Wandard; assize mort dancestor; to 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury; the jurors are to remain at home until they have other orders. *New case*. [Last sentence added later]

**76** *Oxon.* William of Weston essoins the abbot of Oseney against William Puignant; plea of pasture <land>; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

See nos. 422-3.

**77** Walter of Oseney essoins the same abbot against Peter Bukerel and Maud his wife; warranty of charter; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

See nos. 344, 589.

**78** Robert Pete essoins the same Peter against the same abbot; Nicholas Fifield essoins Maud his wife against the same; same plea; both assizes to one month after Easter.

See nos. 344, 589.

**79** *Oxon.* Walter of Adderbury essoins the abbot of Cirencester against William of Stafford; plea of covenant. *New case.*

**80** *Oxon.* Robert Tirel essoins Ralph son of Ralph son of Nicholas, who is overseas, against Henry Pipard; assize of mort dancestor. John <Nicholas> Pigacce essoins Ralph's wife Alice; same plea. *New case; others in writ.*

**81** *Oxon.* Walter Cook essoins the prior of Cogges against William Miller of Fringford; warranty of charter; afterwards he came. *New case.*

See no. 635.

Entry crossed out.

**82** Andrew of Iffley essoins Warin FitzGerald against Geoffrey Pek; warranty of charter. Ralph son of Richard essoins Alice, Warin's wife; same plea. Assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

Cf. nos. 418, 611-12.

**83** *Oxon.* John <Nicholas> son of Gilbert essoins Margery de Rivers against Ralph de Briausne; assize mort dancestor; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth; John son of Gilbert de Lahull essoins the claimant. *New case.*

See *Oxon. Fines*, 118.

**84** Thomas son of Richard essoins Aline, wife of William of Hardwick against the same; same plea; to same term. Same day given to William, Aline's husband, in the Bench. *Others in writ.*

See no. 698.

**85** *Oxon.* Geoffrey son of Philip essoins Robert Braunt against Hugh son of Rannulf; assize mort dancestor. *New case.*

**86** *Oxon.* William Blund essoins Nicholas of Wallingford against Emma daughter of Roger Prudhomme; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

**87** *Oxon.* Henry de Bulcheh essoins Reynold of Whitchurch (he has a wife, Alice) against Thomas de Valoynes and Joan his wife; (assize mort dancestor <land>); one month after Easter. Same day given to Reynold's wife Alice, by her attorney, in the Bench. *New case.*

See nos. 229, 245, 680.

**88** John of Drayton <Bratton> essoins Eustace of Arden against Idony of Bodicote; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

See nos. 604–5.

**89** *Oxon.* Roger of Cowley essoins the master of the Templars in England, John of Cowley his attorney by the king's writ, against Richard son of Henry; to Lambeth the Sunday after Ascension Day at the plea of the parties. *New case by writ pone.*

m.2]

**90** *Oxon.* Thomas of Billing essoins Robert son of Ralph <Reynold> against William de Mohun <Morbun>; assize one month after Easter at Oxford. *New writ.*

See no. 707.

**91** *Oxon.* Hugh son of Grim essoins Roger Gernun against Ralph Hareng; assize one month after Easter. *New case by writ pone.*

See no. 198.

**92** Gregory son of Nicholas essoins the same Ralph against the same; he has pledged his faith.

See no. 198.

**93** *Oxon.* Henry of Haseley essoins William of Hurstbourne against Richard Danvers; (assize mort dancestor <land>). *New case.*

See no. 617.

**94** Richard of Kirtlington essoins Sarah, William's wife; same plea; assize one month after Easter.

**95** *Oxon.* Robert son of John essoins Hugh de Druval against the abbot of Eynsham; wager of law. *Old case from the bench; the abbot has died.*

Entry crossed out.

**96** Walter of Bampton essoins Hugh of Arden against Robert de Ayton; assize mort dancestor; to one month after Easter. *New case.*

See no. 703.

**97** John of Kencot <Kennington> essoins Simon son of Ives against the same.

**98** Henry of Kennington essoins Julian of Langford against the same; same plea.

**99** *Oxon.* Hugh of Barford essoins Thomas earl of Warwick against Master Walter of Prescote; plea of rent <debt>. Afterwards the earl came. *New case.*

See no. 280.

Entry crossed out.

**100** *Oxon.* John Pyrun essoins the master of the Templars in England against Fulk son of William <FitzWarin>; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

See no. 598.

**101** *Oxon.* William son of Roger essoins the prior of Bicester against Eve de Gray. *New case by writ pone.*

**102** *Oxon.* Hugh son of William essoins Ralph Hareng, whom Nicholas of Haversham called to warranty, against John le Brun; assize one month after Easter. Same day to Nicholas of Haversham in the Bench. Nicholas appoints Nicholas of Grimstead his attorney. *New case.*

See nos. 201, 480.

**103** *Oxon.* Robert son of William essoins James le Sauvage against Alice widow of Walter Monk; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

**104** *Oxon.* Geoffrey son of Ellis essoins Beatrice Murdac against Robert le Canceis; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

See nos. 642, 695.

**105** *Oxon.* Walter of Wilby essoins Simon <Agnes> de Abbrintis against James le Sauvage; plea of quo iure; assize one month after Easter. Same James against the same about the same. *New case.*

See no. 657.

**106** *Oxon.* Ralph de Brunland essoins Joan wife of the same Simon <James> against the same; same plea; assize on same day. Robert de Persham or Ralph of Missenden is Simon and Joan's attorney by the king's writ. *Others in the writ.*

**107** Robert le Squire essoins William of Langley against Marsilia of Charlbury; plea of dower; assize one month after Easter. *New case; others in the writ.*

See no. 618.

**108** John de Wyhecumb essoins Michael <Matthew> of Dunthorp against the abbot of Bruern; plea of warranty of charter. *New case.*

**109** Walter of Shipton essoins Thomas son of Anketil against the same; same plea. *New writ.*

See no. 281.

**110** John of Thrupp essoins Robert Bagot against Robert de Pultne; plea of warranty of charter.

**111** *Oxon.* Thomas of Rokeby essoins Geoffrey of Thrupp against Giles of Berkeley; plea of custom and service; assize one month after Easter. Roger le Messer essoins Isabel, wife of Geoffrey; same plea; he has pledged his faith.

See no. 588.

**112** *Oxon.* Henry Plot essoins Ralph de Chenduit against Thomas Greasley; assize novel disseisin. Afterwards Ralph came. *New case by the said writ.*

See nos. 261, 500, 530.

Entry crossed out.

**113** William de Huniton essoins John parson of Churchill <Cherwelton> against Nicholas de Nowers; plea of custom and service. *New case.*

See no. 348.

**114** *Oxon.* Walter son of Richard essoins Gerard de Oddingeseles against William of Leach and Lettice his wife, and against Ralph son of Lettice; plea of taking beasts; assize one month after Easter. *New case by writ pone.*

See nos. 253, 706.

**115** *Oxon.* John d'Oilly essoins Reynold Foster against William Quatermain; plea of land. *New case.*

See no. 635.

**116** Robert son of John essoins Beatrice, wife of Reynold, against the same; same plea.

**117** Adam son of Roger essoins the prior of Deerhurst against Thomas of Appleton. Afterwards he came. *New case from the Bench.*

See no. 419.

Entry crossed out, and 'Petens' written above it.

**118** *Oxon.* William Baillemur essoins Alda <Ada> Bluet against Thurstan Despenser; plea of waste. *New case.*

**119** Richard le Plumer essoins the same Alda <Ada> against Hugh le Bolor; plea of debt; assize one month after Easter.

See nos. 242, 619.

**120** *Oxon.* Robert of Fringford essoins William Boron against the prior of Brackley Hospital; plea of debt; assize one month after Easter. Afterwards he came. *New case.*

See no. 631.

**121 Oxon.** Robert of Finmere essoins William du Boys, whom Gilbert de Bracy and Hawise his wife called to warranty, Parnel of Finmere and Aline her sister. Afterwards he came.

See nos. 387, 670.

Entry crossed out.

**122 Northants.** William of Amersham essoins Bartholomew of Beckington against the master of the Templars in England, William de Nowers, John de Faymeta, and Ralph de Carun; darrein presentment.

See nos. 182, 473.

**123 Oxon.** William de la Grave <Grene> essoins the prior of Hertford against Ralph son of Robert; plea of mesne; assize one month after Easter. *New case by writ pone.*

**124 Hunts.** William of Wiggenhall essoins John le Bere against the abbot of Sawtry; plea of rent; assize to Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day. It does not lie because he is ordered to be distrained by lands and rents. *Old case.*

See nos. 271, 329.

Entry crossed out.

**125 Oxon.** Dennis de Audrinton essoins Thomas le Sauvage against Thomas of Langley. Thomas of Langley has died.

Entry crossed out.

**126 Oxon.** John of Foxley essoins Robert Danvers (he has a wife Muriel) against William of Englefield and Alan Basset and Gilbert de Baseville; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to Robert's wife Muriel in the Bench. *New case.*

See nos. 217, 225, 613-14, 702.

**127** Thomas son of Robert essoins the same Robert against Gilbert de Baseville; assize mort d'ancestor; to one month after Easter. Same day given to Robert's wife Muriel, Roger Huscop her attorney, in the Bench. *New case.*

See no. 702.

**128** William of Molesey essoins the same Robert against the said William of Englefield and John Chubbe; plea of taking beasts; assize at the said term. *New case by writ pone.*

See nos. 218, 648.

**129 Oxon.** Ellis of Holcombe essoins Christine wife <widow> of Geoffrey Bertun (she has a husband) against Maurice le Angevin; assize one month after Easter. Same day given to Geoffrey her husband in the Bench. *New case.*

See no. 649.

**130** *Oxon.* Richard son of Robert essoins Stephen son of Richard, who is in the Holy Land, against the abbot of Dorchester; warranty of charter.

See nos. 248, 719.

**131** *Oxon.* Peter Pain essoins Basilia wife of William of Dunsden against William le Brode. William Pain essoins Alice widow of Walter Pain against the same. *New case by writ pone. Others in the writ.*

See nos. 622, 699.

**132** *Oxon.* William Cobbler essoins Hugh Hurtle against Walter Black and Alice his wife and Gillian and Parnel, Alice's sisters.

See no. 422.

**133** *Norfolk.* William Peper essoins Robert Hauteyn against the abbot of St. Benet of Holm; plea of fishery; assize day after Ascension Day at Lambeth. *Old case.*

See *Cur. Reg. R.* xvi, no. 2230.

**134** *Devon.* John Nethel essoins Ismania de Crude (she has a husband John) against Richard of Bradley and Maud his wife; plea of dower.

**135** William Fughel essoins the same Richard against John <Richard> de Crude and Ismania; same plea; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to John, husband of Ismania, and to Maud, wife of Richard, in the Bench. *Others in the writ.*

**136** *Bucks.* William of Chesham essoins Roger de Wimbervill against William Pipard; plea of covenant.

**137** John son of Everard essoins Ellis son of the same Roger; same plea. *Others in the writ.*

See no. 646.

**138** *Shrops.* Reynold Foliot essoins Emery de Sacy against Hugh le Bulor; plea of debt; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case.*

See no. 242.

**139** *Suffolk.* Robert Inyneton essoins Godfrey le Parker against Roland son of Herbert [altered from 'Henry']; assize one month after Easter. *New case.*

**140** *Oxon.* [Illegible] essoins Thomas of Warblington, who is in the Holy Land, against Richard Goldstan; plea of appeal [illegible].

Entry crossed out.

**141** *Herts.* John of Lavington essoins Agnes of Purton against John de Beyford.

See nos. 189, 708.

**142** *Dorset*. Roger of West Chickerell essoins Richard le Waleis against Nicholas Poinz; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Henry of Langdon is Nicholas's attorney by the king's writ. *New case*.

**143** *Gloucs*. Richard Rane essoins William of Ablington against Nicholas son of Roger and Gwenllian his wife; plea of dower. Walter son of Simon essoins John Bretasch against the same; same plea. Assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case*.

See nos. 295, 461.

**144** *Herts*. Edmund of Warden essoins the abbot of Warden against Andrew le Cuir; 'de fine facto'.

**145** William of Buckingham essoins the same Andrew against the same. Assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case*.

**146** *Wilts*. Henry Wyly essoins Edith wife of William Quintin against Beatrice widow of John Humphrey; plea of dower. And against Agnes sister of the same Edith; plea of land. *New case by two writs*.

See no. 547.

**147** *Bucks*. William Draper essoins Hugh Miller against Maud widow of Roger the Weaver; plea of dower. Maud did not come, as appears in the plea. *New case*.

See no. 674.

**148** *Oxon*. Walter of Dorchester essoins Robert [Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln against Henry son of Gilbert of Drayton; assize of mort dancestor; one month after Michaelmas at Westminster. Same day given to all those who came. *New case*.

Cf. no. 704.

**149** *Oxon*. Nicholas of Brill essoins William de Camera, attorney of John Marshall, against Gunora de Bendinges; plea of dower; assize Wednesday after Ascension Day at Lambeth <or Bermondsey>. John warrants to Geoffrey Stockwell the tenement Gunora claims. *Old case*.

See no. 721.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' taken at Wycombe, Friday after St. Philip and St. James [Friday 3 May].

**150** *Bucks*. Turgis son of Thomas essoins the prioress of Marlow against Isabel daughter of Alexander; assize mort dancestor.

**151** William de Wikepit essoins Geoffrey of St. Martins against the same; same plea; assize three weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Isabel appoints Richard de Del her attorney. Same day given to the jurors for the assize. *New case*.



rot. 2d]

**152 Kent.** Nicholas son of Roger essoins the prior of Holy Trinity, Canterbury, against Burgia widow of Peter de Benning; plea of dower; assize at Lambeth Sunday after Ascension Day. *New writ.*

**153 Lincs.** John de Wysendon essoins Hugh son of Rainier against Alice widow of Waleran de Mortimer; plea of dower. They have no writ, nor has Alice come, and so let the essoin go as if she had come. [Last sentence added later.]

**154 Somerset.** Richard Ploughman essoins Richard de Bradeston, attorney of Hugh de Vinconquer against Aveline widow of Fulk de Merk; plea of dower; he has pledged his faith.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 126.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' taken on Wednesday [17 April] next after the quindene of Easter.

**155 Cambs.** Richard of Cheveley essoins Adam of St. Martins, attorney of Hawise wife of John de Burgh, against Simon le Bret; plea of land, whence duel; Thursday after Ascension, wherever it is to be pleaded in Surrey; Roger of Boyland surety. Same day given to Hawise's husband John, by his attorney, in the Bench, by the king's writ. He has had all his essoins. Simon appoints Hugh le Bret or Ralph de Hanington his attorney. *Old case; duel.*

**156 Warws.** Richard son of Robert essoins the abbot of Reading against Nicola Bagot; plea of dower; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth. Nicola appoints Robert le Notte her attorney against all the others named in the writ. *New case; Others in the writ.*

See nos. 380, 650.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' taken at Oxford 3 weeks after Easter [Sunday 21 April]

**157 Bucks.** Warin of Langley essoins John son of Adam of Longborough against Philip son of Adam; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

**158 Essex.** Adam of Stratton essoins the abbot of Tilty against Mary widow of Richard le Wyle; plea of dower; assize 15 days after Trinity. *New case.*

**159** John son of Robert essoins Peter de Tany against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.

**160** William son of John essoins John of Ash against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith.

**161** *Beds.* Nicholas son of Robert essoins the prior of Caldwell against William de Beauchamp; warranty of charter.

**162** *Hants.* William son of Bernard essoins John le Hoc against Ralph de Cammeys; warranty of charter; assize Sunday after Ascension Day at Lambeth. *New case.*

**163** *Gloucs.* John de Duenigge essoins John de Chauflor, attorney of Robert de Gurnay against the abbot of St. Augustine's, Bristol (against W[alter de Cantilupe] bishop of Worcester); plea of land; assize Monday after Ascension Day at Lambeth; Roger of Boyland surety. Same day given to the abbot by his attorney in the Bench. *Old case.*

**163a** John le Gros essoins William of Weston, Robert de Gurnay's other attorney, against the same; same plea; to the same term by the same surety.

**164** *Norfolk.* Reynold son of Godfrey essoins William of Nunney against Modgive widow of Hugh le Oisilur; assize at Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

**165** *Suffolk.* Adam son of William essoins Brian of Ringshall against Olive widow of Robert de Frayn; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

See no. 498.

**166** Richard son of Walter essoins John of Bocking against the same; same plea. Afterwards he came. *Many in the writ.*

See no. 498.

**167** Adam du Boys essoins Robert de Burnevil against the same; same plea.

**168** Walter son of Adam essoins Ralph of Bocking against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith. *He has made satisfaction.*

Entry crossed out.

**169** Warin son Hugh essoins the prior of Brisset against the same; same plea; he has pledged his faith.

See no. 68.

**170** Gilbert of Malham essoins Hamon Peche, who is in the Holy Land, against the same; same plea; to the arrival of the justices; Roger Boyland surety, because he is in the Holy Land.

See no. 498.

**171** Peter son of Robert <Adam of Backford> essoins Roger of Colwell against the same; same plea.

**172** *Devon.* Adam Scute essoins Robert of Sydenham against Martin the constable and Agnes his wife; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

See No. 382.

**173** Adam of Backford essoins Roger de Hanse against the same; same plea.

**174** *Norfolk.* Peter de Tilney essoins Clement son of Geoffrey against Lettice widow of John Pichokorum; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

**175** John of Beckham essoins Maud widow of Geoffrey son of William against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.

**176** *Bucks.* Thomas Dodd <Walter> essoins Warin FitzGerald against Henry de Scaccario; plea of waste. <Afterwards he came.> *New case.*

See no. 611.

**177** Andrew of Iffley essoins Agnes (she has a husband), wife of Warin FitzGerald, against Henry de Scaccario; plea of waste.

See nos. 418, 611.

**178** Roger of Hanford essoins Parnel, wife of the same Henry, against the same; same plea; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Same day given to Warin and Henry in the Bench.

See no. 611.

**179** *Bucks.* William son of Ralph essoins Walter de la Wande against Mary de Meresleg; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

**180** *Bucks.* Hugh de Wyfford essoins Richard de Sifrewast, whom the abbot of Reading, Laurence del Brok, and Robert of Broughton called to warrant, against Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to the abbot, Laurence and Robert, in the Bench. *Old case.*

<Hugh de Wyfford essoins Richard de Sifrewast against the abbot of Reading; warranty of charter. Stephen de Stallis essoins the same Richard against Laurence del Brok; warranty of charter. Richard Cook essoins Robert of Broughton against the same; same plea.>

See no. 528.

**181** *Bucks.* Richard of Eyton essoins Walter of Abergavenny, whom Thomas of Abergavenny called to warrant, against Sarah widow of Oliver son of Philip; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to Thomas and Cecily in the Bench. *Old case.*

<Richard of Eyton essoins Walter of Abergavenny against Thomas of Abergavenny; plea of warranty of charter.>

**182** *Northants.* Thomas of Beckington essoins Bartholomew of Beckington against Ralph de Carun and Flandrina his wife, plea of advowson; assize 15 days after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

Cf. no. 473.

**183** Roger Pallard essoins the Master of the Templars in England; assize at the same term. *Others in the writ.*

**184** William de Frayn essoins Loretta wife of John de Frayn against the same; assize. Same day given to Loretta's husband John in the Bench; likewise all the others who came.

See no. 449.

**185** *Suffolk, Oxon.* Robert of Molesworth essoins Henry de Pinkeney against Christine widow of David de Luneseye; plea of dower.

**186** John son of Henry essoins Gerard de Oddingeseles against the same; same plea.

**187** *Warws.* William Quicksilver essoins Gerard of Lindsey against the same; same plea.

See no. 706.

**188** Hugh de Nune essoins Hawise wife of Stephen of Wallingford against William Morin and Margery his wife.

See no. 426.

**189** *Herts.* Roger son of John essoins Agnes of Purton against John de Beyford; plea of debt.

See nos. 141, 708.

**190** *Essex.* Henry Marsh essoins Stephen Bukerel against Maud widow of William de Mardick; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case.*

**191** Maurice of Romford essoins the same Maud against the same Stephen; same plea. *Others in the writ.*

**192** *Sussex.* Martin son of John essoins Amice de Didellesfold (she has a husband) wife of Richard le Turner against the abbot of Reading; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Same day given to Richard her husband in the Bench. *New case.*

**193** *Herts.* Robert of Stafford essoins Floria Iuvenal against Christine widow of David of Lindsey; plea of dower. *New case.*

**194** Thomas of Belby essoins William son of Drew against Henry de Capella; plea of service and custom; assize 3 weeks after Trinity. *New case.*

See no. 391.

**195** William Page essoins William son of Walter against the same; same plea. *Others in the writ.*

**196** *Salop.* Thomas son of William essoins Eustace de Furcis against Mabel widow of Roger de Furcis; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Mabel appoints Roger de Furcis her attorney. *New writ.*

**197** *Bucks.* Alexander of Wexham essoins Joan wife of Henry Mansel against Richard of Stoke; plea of warranty of charter; assize morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth. Same day given to Henry, Joan's husband, in the Bench. *New case.*

Essoins 'de malo lecti', Friday next after the feast of St. Mark the Evangelist [Friday 26 April]

**198** Geoffrey of Radclive, and Gregory son of Nicholas if he is not present, essoins Ralph Hareng at Radclive in Bucks. against Roger Gernun; assize one month after Michaelmas at Westminster. *By writ pone.*

See nos. 91, 92.

Essoins 'de malo veniendi' a month after Easter [Sunday 28 April]

**199** *Bucks.* Richard of Newnham <Nuneaton> essoins the prior of Longueville, who is overseas, against Rannulph Briton; plea of covenant.

Entry crossed out.

See nos. 210, 211.

**200** *Lincs.* Peter son of Richard essoins Ivetta de Hermetorp against Aline Wake (claimant). Aline has not come; she is the claimant, so let the essoin go as if she had come.

**201** *Oxon.* Robert Brisard essoins Nicholas of Grimstead, attorney of Nicholas of Haversham, who called Ralph Hareng to warrant, against John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell. Be it known that Nicholas and the others called to warrant Ralph Hareng, who essoined himself. Assize one month after Michaelmas.

See nos. 102, 480, 614.

**202** *Herts.* John son of William essoins William de Lewe, attorney for Cecily his wife and Maud widow of John de Yiapston <Yapspon> and John de Roda (and Roger son of John), against Adam Cross; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *By view.*

**203** *Bucks.* Adam son of Walter essoins Paulinus Peyvre against Richard de Siffrewast; assize one month after Michaelmas at Westminster. *New case by writ pone.*

See no. 309.

**204** William Spigernel essoins the prior of Caldwell against the same; same plea; assize at the same term.

**205** *Bucks.* Robert son of Warin essoins Philip son of Simon of Frankley (whom Simon of Frankley called to warrant) against Urien de Chenduit; assize one month after Michaelmas. Same day given to Simon in the Bench.

**206** *Norfolk.* Gilbert of Sedgeford essoins John of Sedgeford against Beatrice Sket. Beatrice has not come, nor have we [sic] a writ, so let the essoins go as if she had come.

**207** *Cornwall.* William son of Edward essoins Alan Doget against Maud de Penles; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. *New case; others in the writ.*

**208** John son of Ralph essoins Katelin, wife of the same Alan, against the same; same plea; assize.

**209** William son of Hugh essoins Simon de Eltesdon, attorney of Giffard of Tyringham, against Richard of Gaddesden; plea of fishery (whence the grand assize); assize at Westminster one month after Michaelmas. Same day given to Luke de Kaynes, Ralph Barre, Aumary de Nowers, and John le Brun, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize.

**210** *Bucks.* Geoffrey of Leckhampstead essoins Richard Badun, attorney of Rannulph <Ralph> le Breton, claimant, against the prior of Longueville; plea of covenant; assize.

See no. 199.

**211** Richard of Newnham essoins the prior of Longueville, who is overseas, against the same; same plea.

See no. 199.

**212** Robert son of John essoins Iseult de Beauchamp against Mabel widow of William Priest; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Mabel appoints Nicholas de [illegible] her attorney.

**213** Richard son of John essoins William of Horton against Sarah of Glaphorn.

Entry crossed out.

**214** Philip son of Emery essoins the abbot of Croxton Kerrial against Robert Butler; plea of wardship; assize 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury.

**215** Robert son of John essoins William Neyrnuyt against Alice widow of Simon Hocheder; plea of dower.

Entry crossed out.

**216** Andrew son of William essoins Alice daughter of Hugh Chapman against the same; same plea.

Entry crossed out.

**217** John of Foxley essoins Richer Huscarl, attorney of Muriel wife of Robert Danvers, against Alan Basset of Ipsden and William of Englefield.

See nos. 126, 225, 613, 614.

**218** Nicholas Nichols essoins the same Richer against William of Englefield and John Chubbe; plea of 'namio vetito'.

See nos. 128, 648.

**219** Stephen son of William essoins the same attorney against Gilbert de Bagvill; assize mort dancestor.

**220** Nicholas Menerel is the attorney of William de Cantilupe, guardian of Gillian daughter and heir of Hugh de Gurnay, whom William Neyrnuyt called to warrant against Richard [illegible]; assize.

See no. 425.

**221** Hugh Pettrum essoins Alice wife of Simon Hocheder; plea of dower; assize 3 weeks after Trinity.

**222** Robert son of Jordan essoins the same William Neyrnuyt against the same; same plea; assize at Canterbury.

**223** Andrew son of William essoins the same against [illegible] Charman; same plea; assize.

**224** Sampson Foliot against the prior of St. Frideswide's; plea that he do him due service; assize at the arrival of the justices at Wilton.

See no. 575.

m.3]

Pleas at Oxford 15 days after Easter [Sunday 14 April] 1241 before William of York, provost of Beverley, and his fellow justices

**225** *Oxon.* Alan Basset appoints Richard son of Alan his attorney against William of Englefield; plea of warranty of charter. And against Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife; plea of land.

See nos. 415, 613, 648.

**226** *Northants.* Geoffrey of Appleby appoints Stephen of Sapcote his attorney against William de Forcis and Christine his wife, Henry of Hastings and Ada his wife, and Isabel de Brus; plea of debt.

See no. 412.

**227** *Northants.* Hugh Gubmon appoints Stephen Long <of Sapcote> his attorney against John Malesoures; plea of land.

See no. 414.

**228** *Oxon. Bucks.* The abbot of Woburn appoints his monk Thomas de Cestresham his attorney against Saer de Wahull; to levy chirograph. And against Lucy widow of Ralph Passelewe; plea of wardship.

See no. 507.

**229** *Oxon.* Joan wife of Thomas de Valoynes appoints Thomas her husband her attorney against Reynold of Whitchurch; plea of land.

See nos. 87, 245.

**230** *Northants.* Hawise de Quincy, countess of Lincoln, appoints Richard of Taynton or Roger de Skerminges her attorney against Amabel widow of Richard Rufus; plea of dower.

**231** *Suffolk.* Hugh Gernegan claims his land by pledge, Tuesday before Palm Sunday [19 March], which was taken into the king's hand for his default against Margery widow of Hubert Gernegan; let him have it.

See no. 408.

**232** *Norfolk.* The prior of Fakenham [Hempton] claims his land by pledge, Easter Eve [30 March], which was taken into the king's hand for his default against Robert of Norton and Mary his wife; let him have it.

See no. 623.

**233** Reynold de Mendre and Isolde his wife give 40s. for licence to agree with Lancelin of Stoke and Amice his wife.

See no. 246.

**234** Laurence del Brok gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Thomas de Haya and William le Megre.

Cf. *Oxon. Fines*, 112, 121.

**235** *Beds.* William de St. Leger appoints Osbert Carver of Luton his attorney against John de Baynhal, Richard, Thomas, and Henry his brothers; plea of warranty of charter.

See no. 71.

**236** William Avenel, who brought a writ of right against William of Staines and Joan his wife and Hugh the Butler for 2 ploughlands in Wilcote, came and sought licence to withdraw; let him have it.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 758.



**237 Berks.** Giles de Erle, Geoffrey Martel, Richard of Hendred and Robert of Bradley, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between William Briton, plaintiff, and Robert of Shottesbrook, tenant, for a moiety of the manor of Shottesbrook. Robert put himself on the grand assize and claimed a recognition which of them has the greater right in the land. They come and elect Hugh de Philibert, Thomas de Bertham, Richard Bulloc, Roger de la Hide, Henry de Elinton, Richard Neyrnuyt, Robert of Uffington, William of Englefield, Nicholas the Butler, Hugh son of Henry, John of Hendred, Richard son of John, William de Brikenoles, Henry of Tubney, Peter de Itune, Roger of Wytham. Day given to them at Lambeth, morrow of Ascension Day, and let the 12 come then. *Old case.*

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 758.

**238 Berks.** The abbot of Eynsham appoints Richard of Brackley his attorney against Roger de la Hide; plea of 'quare impedit'; and against Robert Clerk of Islip; plea of land.

See nos. 396, 459; cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2044.

**239 Essex** Day given to Peter of Cressing, plaintiff, and the dean and chapter of St. Martin's, London, to hear judgement on the advowson of the church of Cressing; octave of Trinity at Canterbury, at the prayer of the parties.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2093.

**240 Chiltern** Assize of nuisance to declare whether Roger de Cundicote made a dike in Caversham to the nuisance of Thomas son of Siward's free holding in Mapledurham. Roger comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Roger of Whitchurch, Peter son of Roger, and Phililp Keynel, jurors, have not come, so they are *in mercy*.

The jurors say that Roger made the dike as the writ says, so it is adjudged that the dike should be knocked down. Roger *in mercy*; sureties William son of Thomas du Boys and William de la Hake. *Damages 12d.*

Cf. no. 466.

**241** The prior of Luffield appoints Richard of Stafford his attorney against Hugh of St. Martin's; plea of mesne.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2340.

**242** Hugh le Bulor appoints John Clerk his attorney against Maud Bluet; plea of debt. And against Emery de Sacy; plea of debt. And against Robert de Gurnay; plea of debt.

See nos. 5, 138.

**243** The prioress of Studley appoints Brother Robert her lay brother her attorney against Hervey Hervunt and Cecily his wife; plea of dower.

**244** The abbot of Winchcombe appoints Richard of Windrush or Robert de Anestan his attorney.

**245** Alice wife of Reynold of Whitchurch appoints Thomas of Aston her attorney against Thomas de Valoynes and Joan his wife; plea of land.

See nos. 87, 229.

**246** *Derby* Day given to Reynold de Mendre and Isolde his wife, plaintiffs, and Lancelin of Stoke and Amice his wife to levy chirograph about a moiety of the manor of Stoke; morrow of Ascension day at Lambeth. Reynold and Isolde appoint Robert de Hydy their attorney; Lancelin and Amice appoint Roland le Breton their attorney.

See no. 233.

**247** *Essex*. Day given to Robert of Creeping Hall by his attorney, plaintiff, and Hugh of Arden by his attorney, to hear judgement; morrow of Ascension Day at Lambeth.

**248** *Oxon*. The abbot of Dorchester appoints Ralph son of Richard his attorney against Stephen son of Richard; plea of warranty of charter. And against Margery widow of William Goldsmith; plea of land.

See nos. 130, 719, 722.

**249** *Oxon*. Maud widow of Hugh de Gurnay appoints John the Fleming her attorney against Geoffrey of Cholsey; plea of assize of novel disseisin. And against Ralph de Herding; same plea.

See nos. 466, 468, 469.

**250** *Northants. Dorset Gloucs. Suffolk Surrey* Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns appoints Roger of Calmsden or Seisillus of St. Peter her attorney against the prior of Bradenstoke and others; plea of dower. Ralph the chaplain appoints Roger of Calmsden his attorney against the same; same plea.

See nos. 360, 361, 362; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 2099, 2410.

**251** *Oxon*. Emma wife of John Sundy appoints John her husband her attorney against Isabel countess of Oxford, and against Ralph son of Richard and Joan his wife; plea of land.

See nos. 426, 417.

**252** *Oxon*. The dean of Exeter appoints John of Lydford his attorney against William son of Robert; plea of assize utrum.

See no. 590.

**253** *Oxon*. Lettice wife of William of Leach appoints the same William her attorney against Gerard de Oddingeseles; plea of taking beasts.

See no. 114, 706.

**254** *Norfolk* Isabel wife of Benet de Cant' appoints Richard de Eynden her attorney against William son of Roger; plea of land.

**255** *Lincs*. Joan widow of Laurence of Holbeach appoints Simon de Sirrion or Gilbert de Cherle her attorney against William le Angevin;

**282** *Oxon.* The abbot of Dorchester appoints William of Prescote his canon his attorney against Robert of Holcombe and Maud his wife, plea of covenant, and against Roger of Geddington, plea of land.

See nos. 420, 318; *Oxon. Fines*, 110-11.

**283** *Norfolk* William de Stuteville presented himself on the 4th day against Roger de Cressy, to levy chirograph for the lands of Wickhampton, Ranworth, Panksworth, and Upton. Roger has not come, and he was attached by William Wroge of Orford and William Palmer of Newton. So he is to be placed under better sureties to be present on Sunday after Ascension Day. *Old case.*

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 794, 2282.

**284** *Herefs.* Maud widow of William son of Walter de Hamme [sic] claims against Henry of Bradley one third of 32 a. land in Hamne [?Hamnish] as her dower. Henry comes and calls to warrant Roger son of Walter de Hamme for 22 a., and Walter de Lincelee for 10 a. He is to have them at Hereford at the arrival of the next justices by the aid of the court. *Old case.*

Cf. no. 29.

**285** *Norfolk* Geoffrey of Loddon gives 1 mark for licence to agree with John son of John of Loddon, plea of wardship, by surety of Roger of Boyland. The agreement is that John grants Geoffrey the wardship of his younger brother Nicholas until he comes of age. He also grants Geoffrey Nicholas's homage for all the holding which John his father gave him. He will confirm and warrant the wardship to Geoffrey.

**286** *Northants.* Robert de Gurduy and Christine his wife, by Christine's attorney, claim against Stephen of All Saints 1 yardland in 'Wande' as Christine's right. Stephen comes and calls to warrant Roger de Tutseyne (of All Saints), son and heir of William de Tutseyne (of All Saints). He is to have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Essex. *Old case.*

**287** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Geoffrey son of Isaac unjustly disseised Richard de Amundevill and Clemence his wife of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land and a headland of meadow, estimated at  $\frac{1}{2}$  rood, in Chilson. Geoffrey comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that Geoffrey disseised them of a piece of land. So it is adjudged that they recover their seisin against him by view of recognitors, and Geoffrey is *in mercy*. They say that he did not disseise them of the headland of meadow. So it is adjudged that Geoffrey go without day, and they themselves are *in mercy* for a false claim. *Damages 6d.*

**288** *Wootton* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Henry son of William unjustly disseised Stephen son of Eustace of a messuage and 2 a. land in Hensington. Henry comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Henry disseised him, so it is adjudged that Stephen

recover his seisin and Henry is *in mercy*; sureties Eustace son of Geoffrey of Hensington and Eudes of Hensington. *Damages* 3s.

**289** *Oxon.* Henry de Pinkeney appoints Hugh le Pele his attorney against Gerard de Oddingeseles and others named in the writ; assize of novel disseisin.

See no. 532

**290** *Essex* Day given to Isabel de Brus by her attorney, plaintiff, against Robert de Quincy and Helen his wife; plea of waste. Morrow of Ascension day at Lambeth, at the prayer of the parties.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1852.

**291** *Beds.* William de Beauchamp, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Emma of Swerford, plea that she give him back custody of 1 knight's fee in Milton Bryant which belongs to him in that Geoffrey Brien held it of him by knight service. Emma comes by her attorney and calls to warrant Master Alexander of Swerford. She is to have him at Canterbury on the octave of Holy Trinity, by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Essex. *Old case.*

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 137.

**292** *Somerset* Ralph son of Nicholas presented himself on the 4th day against Catherine widow of Michael son of Nicholas, plea of why after the death of Michael her husband she intruded herself into 1 knight's fee in West Chinnock, the reversion of which belonged to Ralph by fine made in the king's court before the justices at Westminster between William de Holedan, plaintiff, and the said Michael and Catherine, tenants. Catherine has not come, and she was attached by William Cock and Gervase of Fenhampton. So she is to be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day. Ralph appoints Henry of Langton or Henry of Draycott his attorney.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 126-7.

**293** *Oxon.* The prioress of Littlemore appoints Adam chaplain of Littlemore or Fulk Basset her attorney against Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel, sister of Maud and Helen; plea of assize of mort dancestor; and against John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell, plea of land; and against Alan Butcher and Margery his wife, plea of novel disseisin.

See nos. 471, 481, 586; cf. *Oxon. Fines*, 116.

**294** Adam de Galweia gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with John Clement, plea of land; sureties, the same John and John of Longborough.

**295** *Gloucs. Somerset* Gwenllian wife of Nicholas son of Roger appoints Matthew de Bakepeth her attorney against John Bretasch and William of Ablington and others named in the writ; plea of dower.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 127. See nos. 143, 461.

**296** *Bucks.* The abbot of Missenden appoints William le Franceis his attorney against Rose widow of Erneis de Turreville; plea of dower. He removes Roger de Wimbervill whom he first appointed. And against John de North and Elviva his wife; plea of land.

See nos. 363, 435.

m. 4d.]

**297** *Bucks.* Henry de Scaccario, William of Englefield, Simon Druel, and William of Moreton, 4 knights summoned to elect 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between Tayllefer de Kingeshull, plaintiff, and Alice Angod, Robert de Guiarvill and Agnes his wife, Giles de Bovill and Isabel his wife, tenants, for 16 a. land and 20s. 6 ½d. rent in Wycombe, whereon Alice, Robert, Agnes, Giles, and Isabel, the tenants, put themselves on the king's grand assize and claimed a recognition whether they have a greater right to hold that land and rent in demesne or whether Tayllefer has a greater right to hold it of them, come and have elected these: Alexander of Hampden, Robert Brand, Roger of Missenden, Walter Duredent, Reynold Siffrewast, William of Blackwell, Robert Perepunt, William de Beauchamp, Osbert de Sancresdon, John le Mire, Henry de Airel, Gilbert de Bolebek, Jordan de Arches, Miles Neyrnuyt, Robert de Kenebell, and Alan of Maidwell. Day given to them at Lambeth morrow of Ascension Day, and let the 12 come then.

**298** *Bucks.* Ailine wife of John de Ilmer appoints John her husband her attorney against the abbot of Missenden; plea of land.

**299** *Oxon.* Lettice of Glympton appoints Richard of Newton her attorney against Nicholas Fisher; plea of warranty of charter.

**300** *Oxon.* The abbot of Eynsham gives 40s. for licence to agree with Master Walter le Fevere; plea of warranty of charter.

See *Oxon Fines*, 118.

**301** *Oxon.* Ralph Hareng appoints Robert of Swinbrook or Robert of Laund his attorney against Agnes widow of Robert Smith, and against Walter Stagun and Maud his wife, and against Philip of Dean and Gretia his wife, and against Ralph of Banbury and Alice his wife, and against William Marsh and Gillian his wife, and against Roger Marsh and Margaret his wife; plea of land.

See no. 638.

**302** *Hunts.* The prior of St. Neots was summoned to answer Master Reynold of Bath, parson of the church of Paxton, on a plea that he allow him his reasonable estovers in his wood of Agden. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Julian de Haya; let him have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day to warrant him about the wood where Master Reynold claims his reasonable estovers. Let him be summoned in Suffolk. *Old case.*

See no. 62.

**303** *Bloxham* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Robert son of John, father of Alice and Margaret her sister, was seised of 1 yardland and 2 parts of a messuage, except for 3  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land, in Adderbury, which Henry de Linguir holds. Henry comes and acknowledges that Robert died seised and that they are his daughters, but says that Robert had a son named John, brother of the claimants, who after Robert's death was seised of the said land and messuage, so if there is an assize of mort dancestor between the parties, it should be for their brother's death not their father's. He places himself on the assize.

The jurors say that Robert died seised, and after Robert's death John his son, Alice and Margaret's brother, was seised of the land for 3 years. So it is adjudged that Henry go without day, and they are in mercy. They are poor. They may proceed against Henry for their brother John's death if they wish.

**304** *Banbury* Alice widow of William of Wardington claims against the prior of Chacombe one third of 3 yardlands and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land and a messuage in Wardington as her dower. Be it known that the prior holds 1 yardland with a toft and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in fee. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Henry son and heir of the said William, who is in the guardianship of Richard of Prescote. He comes and says that she has a husband without whom she cannot and should not answer him, and she cannot deny it. So the prior goes without day.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1150.

**305** *Bloxham* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Godfrey of Crowcombe, Robert de la Hay, Geoffrey le Senescal, and Adam of Bloxham unjustly disseised Thomas Goher of 1 knight's fee in Sibford Gower.

Godfrey comes and the others do not. Godfrey says that the assize ought not to be taken because Thomas never had any free holding there; he says that when Normandy was lost Thomas's father, Thomas, was in Normandy and sent his wife away and that this Thomas, his son, was with her. Afterwards the elder Thomas died and his widow, Thomas's mother, asked the king through her friends that she might have the fee for her support, at the king's will, because she wished to intrude Thomas into the fee as heir. When the king heard it he ordered Godfrey, then sheriff, to take the land into his hand, which he did. Afterwards, in 1232-3, the king enfeoffed Godfrey with the land by his charter, which Godfrey produces and which bears witness to this. So he says that Thomas cannot have any free holding there through the interest of his mother, who held the land at the king's will. He also produces the charter of Thomas, earl of Warwick, chief lord of the fee, who confirmed the gift, but did not offer any warranty, since he took the land into the king's hand. So *enquire*. Godfrey appoints William of Ibstone his attorney.

Thomas says that 10 years ago, before the making of the king's charter, he was in seisin of the fee from Easter until the following autumn as true heir of his father, and after his father's death entered into the land. Within the time when he had the seisin he took homage and other outgoings belonging to the land, and gave part of it to one of his sisters in marriage, which she still holds, and the earl of Warwick, the chief lord,

took his homage and fealty for the fee, to which his letters patent bear witness.

Afterwards Godfrey comes and produces the king's letters of warranty in these words: Henry by the grace of God king of England, lord of Ireland, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, count of Anjou, to the sheriff of Oxfordshire, greeting; know that we have granted to our dear and faithful Godfrey of Crowcombe the land which was Thomas Goher's the Norman's in Sibford, to maintain him in our service as long as we please. So we order you to let Godfrey have full seisin of the land. Given at Reading, 2 July 1231. Let it be known that this writ is with the writs of divers counties received at Oxford. *Enquire.*

Day given to them to hear judgement at *Westminster* 3 weeks after St. Hilary's day. Thomas appoints Robert Canceis his attorney.

Cf. *Bk. of Fees*, 449, 823

**306** *Norfolk* Walter son of Ailric claims against William le Fix the parson 8 a. land in Fordham. William comes and claims a view. Day given to them at the arrival of the justices. *Old case.*

**307** *Oxon.* The abbot of Evesham, by his attorney, claims against Robert of Pyrton 3 yardlands in Golder, and against the same Robert, whom William de Cleyhile called to warrant and who warranted him, 1 yardland in the same place. Robert comes and calls to warrant Thomas Greasley. Let him have him at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day, by the aid of the court. *Old case.*

See no. 636.

**308** The prior of Cogges appoints Peter del Brok his attorney against William of Brackley; plea of warranty; and against Walter of Rousham; plea of land.

**309** Day given to Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast, by her attorney, plaintiff, and Paulinus Peyvre, defendant; plea of dower; 15 days after Michaelmas at Westminster 1241, at the prayer of the parties.

See no. 203.

**310** *Wilts.* Assize of darrein presentment to declare which patron presented the last parson, who has died, to the church of Poulton. Gwenllian widow of Baldwin de Bolors claims the advowson against the abbot of Lilleshall, and says that one Robert de Bolors, her husband's brother, presented Alan de Bolors, his clerk, who at his presentation was admitted and instituted and finally died as parson of the church. Wherefore she says that since Robert her former husband [sic] was Baldwin's [sic] brother and heir, and since she holds the manor of Poulton of the gift of her husband, who dowered her with it, and since the church is one of the appurtenances of the manor, the advowson ought to belong to her.

The abbot comes and denies force and injury and says that the assize ought not to be held, since he says and acknowledges that Baldwin presented Alan, and that Alan was at Baldwin's presentation admitted and at last died parson of the church. So the assize should be stayed. The



abbot also says that after Baldwin presented Alan, Robert gave the church to him and his monks in pure and perpetual alms by his charter, which he produces and which bears witness. He also produces the confirmation charter of William de Courtenay, Robert's kinsman and heir, and the charter of Herbert [Poore] bishop of Salisbury confirming to them the patronage of the church, and the confirmation of Guala the papal legate.

Gwenllian says she cannot deny the right and ownership of the church in judgement, nor answer about it without the king, who is the warrantor of her dower. So a day is given to them at Lambeth on the morrow of Ascension Day so that judgement may be discussed with the king. The abbot appoints Broliot Henry, his canon, his attorney; Gwenllian appoints Walter Foliot or Simon of Weston.

**311** *Hants.* Nicholas Kipping of Winchester gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Agnes widow of Gerald de la Rivere; plea of land.

**312** *Wootton* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Durand the clerk, father of Geoffrey, was seised of 1 yardland and 1 <2> messuage<s> in Hensington, which William Langhals and Agnes his wife hold. They come and call to warrant Henry de Alney. Let them have him, by aid of the court, at Canterbury on the octave of Trinity. Let him be summoned in *Northamptonshire. Old case.*

See no. 322; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2091; *Oxon. Fines*, 124.

**313** *Dorset* Nicholas de Poinz, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against John de Barecis; plea that he allow his villeins of Upway to do the suit which they owe to Nicholas's hundred of 'Kilner' [?Culliford Tree]. And against the same John that he do the suit which he owes to the same hundred. John has not come. He was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day.

**314** *Surrey* Burgia widow of Peter de Bendenges claims against John of Kemsing, whom John de Frewik <Froywick> called to warrant and who warranted him, one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  knight's fee in Bookham as her dower. John called to warrant Thomas son and heir of Peter de Bendenges who is in the guardianship of Thomas de Valoynes, who now comes and produces Thomas and says that he holds none of Peter's lands or holdings, since the king holds them in his hand. So the sheriff of Surrey is ordered to extend and value all the lands which John holds of the lands which were Peter de Bendenges's in Bookham and make known the extent and value to the itinerant justices at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day by his letters. The same day is given to the parties. *Old case.*

**315** *Banbury* Godfrey le Ciror, who brought a writ of mort dancestor against William le Ciror for land 50 ft. long by 16 ft. wide in Banbury has not prosecuted; so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Richard Edmund and Hugh Hurtle.



**316** *Beds.* Ralph le Potter gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Adam Torod; assize of mort dancestor; by surety of the same Adam.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 137.

**317** *Essex, Suffolk, Cambs.* Margery de Kemesie presented herself on the 4th day against Edmund de Kemesie, plea of why he carried off her corn from her grange in Tilbury contrary to the agreement made between them before the last itinerant justices at Canterbury. And why he did not keep the agreement made between them there about her dower in the manor of Tilbury, Essex, and the manor of 'Lyninge', Suffolk, and the manor of Fordham, Cambridgeshire. Edmund has not come, and he was attached by Thomas le Mariner and Sewal le Mariner; so let him be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the morrow of Ascension day. He is attached in Essex. *Old case.*

See no. 260; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2119.

**318** *Dorchester* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Thomas of Geddington, uncle of Roger of Geddington, was seised of 1 yardland in Dorchester which the abbot of Dorchester holds. The abbot comes and calls to warrant Reinbald Cusin, who is present and warrants him and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Thomas died seised of the land, but he died before the term specified in the writ. They do not know who is his right heir. So it is adjudged that Roger take nothing by this assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false claim, and Reinbald is without day.

See no. 282.

**319** *Norfolk* Christine widow of Thomas of St. Owen, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Simon son of Ralph de Criketoft, plea that he keep the covenant made between them about 33 a. land, 6 a. turbary, and  $7\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in Walsham. Simon has not come and has made many defaults. So he is to be distrained by his land to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

**320** *Norfolk* Benet of Dilham, essoiner of Christine widow of Thomas of St. Owen, presented himself on the 4th day against Hubert Hacun; plea that he render her a charter which he unjustly withholds. Hubert has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

See no. 11.

**321** *Warws.* Alice widow of Henry of Ullenhall appoints Robert le Notte her attorney against Simon de Aldolestre and others named in the writ; plea of dower.

See nos. 280, 355.

**322** *Oxon.* Agnes wife of William Langhals appoints William her son her attorney against Geoffrey son of Durand; assize of mort dancestor.

See no. 312.

**323** Ralph son of Nicholas appoints Henry of Draycott his attorney against [blank in MS] widow of Godfrey Spigernel; plea of dower.

Cf. no. 292.

**324** Roger Foliot appoints William Pain his attorney against Stephen of Fritwell; plea of land.

See no. 540.

m. 5d.]

**325** *Lincs.* William le Angevin, by his attorney, claims against Joan widow of Laurence of Holbeach custody of 1 bovat in Holbeach which belongs to him since Laurence held it of him by knight service. William claims that although Laurence held the land by knight service, doing him such foreign service as belongs to the land of the fee, Joan unjustly withholds from him the custody of the land, whence he has suffered damages to the value of 100s. William also says that Laurence gave him and his predecessors 2½d. a year for castle guard at Richmond, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was levied at 20s., more when it was more. He gives the king 1 mark to have an inquiry by jury, by surety of Ralph de Quappelade.

Joan comes by her attorney and denies force and injury. She denies that Laurence ever held by knight service of William, as he says, since he held the land in socage by service of 3s. and 5 hens a year. She gives the king ½ mark for an inquiry, by surety of Gilbert de Cherle.

So the sheriff is ordered to cause 12 men to come before him and before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, and to make inquiry of them on oath whether Laurence on the day he died paid William 2½d. for castle guard at Richmond when a knight's fee of the same honor rendered 10s. for guard, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was levied at 20s., as William says, or whether Laurence paid William 3s. and 5 hens a year for all service, as Joan says. The sheriff is to report the result of the inquiry at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity by his letters. *Old case.*

Afterwards the result of the inquiry comes, which is: Laurence held 1 bovat in Holbeach of William le Angevin by knight service, doing as much foreign service as belongs to so much land of the fee, so that Laurence and his predecessors paid William and his predecessors 2½d. a year for castle guard at Richmond, and 5d. for scutage when scutage was 20s. He did not hold in socage. So it is adjudged that William keep his seisin of the custody, and Joan is *in mercy*.

The same Joan was summoned to answer the same William that she give back to him Lambert, son and heir of the said Laurence of Holbeach, whose wardship belongs to him, since Laurence held his land by knight service. Joan comes by her attorney and calls to warrant Thomas de Muleton. She is to have him at Canterbury at the said term by aid of the court. *Old case.*

See no. 255; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1995.

**326** *Essex* William de Marty claims against William de Feduos whom Guy de Haffend called to warrant and who warranted him 60 a. land in [Magdalen] Laver as his right, of which his predecessor Gilbert was

seised in the time of Henry II, taking profits to the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. From Gilbert the right in the land descended to his son Richard, and from Richard to his son William, who now claims. And that such is his right he offers to prove on the body of Henry son of William, who is ready to prove it on his body as his father's representative as the court may adjudge.

William [de Feduos] comes by his attorney and denies William's right and his predecessor Gilbert's seisin, on the body of his free man Thomas de Marnes, who is ready to deny it as the court may adjudge. So it is adjudged that there be a *duel* between them and that Thomas should give surety of denial and Henry surety of proof. Thomas's sureties, Roger de Wimbervill, Guy de Haffend, Manesseh of Wendover, and John the clerk of Wendover; Henry's sureties, Laurence del Brok, Thomas of Ramsden, Henry de Shelvelegh. William appoints William his son his attorney.

Afterwards they reached an agreement and had a chirograph.

Day given to them, Monday after Ascension day, wherever the itinerant justices are in Surrey; then they shall come armed.

**327** *Norfolk* The prior of Lewes, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against William son of William, on a plea that William do him the custom and right services which he owes for his free holding which he holds of him in Shernborne. William has not come, and has made many defaults. So the sheriff was ordered to take all his lands into the king's hand and to have his body here. The sheriff did none of this. So he is to do as he was ordered above, and is to have his body at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

**328** *Bucks.* Basilia de la Ponne, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife on a plea that they warrant her 22 a. land in High Wycombe which Richard de Rocomago claimed against her, and on which she called Stephen to warrant her against him. They have not come, and they were summoned. Judgement: land to the same value is to be taken into the king's hand from Simon and Aubrey's land. They are summoned to be at Canterbury on the octave of Trinity. Same day given to Basilia, by her attorney, in the bench. *Old case.*

See no. 676.

**329** *Hunts.* The abbot of Sawtry, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against John de le Bere, plea that he keep the chirograph of fine made between them over 20s. rent in Ellington. John has not come, and he had been seen in the court and withdrew without licence. So the sheriff is ordered to distrain him by his lands, and to have his body at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. *Old case.*

See nos. 124, 271.

**330** *Cambs.* Richard du Boys presented himself on the 4th day against Ralph Pirot, plea of why, on the death of Joan Pirot wife of Richard de Attestan, he took from him the custody of 1 yardland in Sawston which he had of the gift of Richard and Joan. Ralph has not come, and he was

summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. *Old case.*

**331** *Beds.* Robert le Sauvage gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Simon Cross, plea of wager of law.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 137.

**332** *Mddx.* William Anselm, for himself and Gille his wife, presented himself on the 4th day against the prior of St. Bartholomew's, London, plea of why he made waste, sale, and destruction of Stanmore wood which they hold as Gille's dower for the use of the prior's heirs [sic]. The prior has not come, and he was the plaintiff. So William and Gille without day; the prior and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, names of the sureties to be inquired for.

Afterwards the prior came and gave  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree. The agreement is as follows: William and Gille recognise that they hold 1 knight's fee as Gille's dower by service of 1 lb. [?cumin] or 2d., they will do foreign service, and they will make no waste.

**333** *Dorchester* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether the abbot of Dorchester, Walter the clerk, Richard the priest, and Nicholas the clerk unjustly disseised Maud of Clifton of 6 a. land in Clifton Hampden. The abbot comes and answers for himself and all the others and says nothing to stay the assize. Alexander de la Breche and William de Corneville, two recognitors, have not come, therefore *in mercy*.

The jury say that the abbot and the others did not disseise Maud because she was never in seisin. So it is adjudged that Maud take nothing by that assize and is in mercy for a false claim. She is poor. The others without day.

**334** *Essex* William Mauduit of Terling gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with John Renger and Henry of Necton, plea of covenant. The agreement is as follows: John gives Henry 35 marks which he claims at Lambeth 5 weeks after Easter, or surety on which Henry can raise the money, and if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands. Henry remits his term in the land which he has of William to John. William grants John that land for his term, according to the agreement which William made with John's father before he made the agreement with Henry about the land. John is to have a writ to the sheriff about having his seisin.

See no. 345.

**335** *Essex* Ralph Cubum gives 40s. for licence to agree with Henry de Balliol and his parceners by surety of Henry of Sewell and John de Canne.

See no. 407.

**336** *Bucks.* Day given to Ralph of Norwich, plaintiff, and Sibilla Gargat, defendant, to levy chirograph; day after Ascension day at Lambeth.

**337** Ralph of Norwich appoints Peter de Pycheltorp his attorney against William de Doringwich; plea of custom and right services.

m. 6]

**338** *Suffolk* Sarah widow of Robert de Rennes claims against Alexander de Rennes half of a messuage and 26 a. land in Sproughton and half of 36 a. land and 2 a. wood and 1 a. meadow and a messuage in Burstall. And against Humphrey Clerk half of 2 a. land in the same vill, as her dower. She says she has suffered damage to the value of 20 marks. Alexander and the others have come, and Humphrey calls to warrant Alexander, who is present and warrants him and answers for the whole, and says that she was dowered in the vill of 'Brumford' with all the land which Robert her husband held in the vill, wherefore she could not claim dower in another place because she had her full dower; and he places himself on a jury.

Sarah says that she does not hold the land in 'Brumford' in dower but holds it according to the custom of the manor of 'Brumford' which is that a wife after her husband's death ought to have all his land for the term of her life as her free bench, and ought nevertheless to have full dower of his lands and holdings outside the manor. She places herself on a jury.

So the sheriff is ordered to make 12 men come before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, and by their oaths let it be diligently inquired if the custom of the manor of 'Brumford' is that widows ought to have as their free bench all their husbands' lands in 'Brumford' for their lives, so that as long as they live their husbands' heirs cannot have any of the lands, and if, moreover, the widows ought to have their full dower in the lands outside the manor, as Sarah says, or not. He is to make known the jury's findings by his letters to the justices at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity.

Be it known that it was agreed that if Sarah won she should have as free bench the land in 'Brumford' which she holds and in addition she should have the half which she claims elsewhere. If she lost she should be content with the land she now holds in 'Brumford'.

Ralph comes and calls to warrant Ralph son of Godwin; let him have him at Canterbury at the said term, by aid of the court. *Old case.*

**339** *Devon* John of Lydford acknowledged that he held of Hugh of St. Vedast 2 ploughlands in Gunstone and Waddon by service of  $\frac{1}{2}$  knight. William Tusard likewise acknowledged that he held of Hugh  $\frac{1}{2}$  knight's fee in 'Toteburne' by service of  $\frac{1}{2}$  knight. Since Walter of Bath, to whom Hugh sold the fees, is present by his proctor only, and since he ought to take their homage for the fees, a day is given them at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity to come and do homage. Same day given to Hugh of St. Vedast, by his attorney, to levy chirograph.

**340** *Bucks.* Roger de Wimbervill claims against Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife 2 parts of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in Brokton [in Grove]; and against Philip of Leighton and Emma his wife one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in the same vill, as his right, in which Nicholas and Emma and Philip and

Emma had entry only by William del Brok, to whom Robert del Brok, Roger's uncle whose heir Roger is, granted them for a term which has expired.

Nicholas and the others have come, and Philip and Emma call Nicholas and Emma to warrant; they are present and warrant them and say that they hold the meadow in fee and as Emma's inheritance. They say that William, Emma's father, held the meadow in fee so that after his death it descended to Emma as his daughter and heir. They also say that recently, before the last itinerant justices at Newport Pagnel, they brought an assize of novel disseisin against Roger about the meadow and recovered their seisin of it as their free holding. They place themselves on a jury that they hold the meadow in fee and as Emma's inheritance, and that William, Emma's father, held the meadow in fee and not for a term.

Roger says that Robert del Brok his uncle, whose heir he is, granted William the mowth of the meadow for one year, within which time Robert died, and that he held the meadow for a term and not in fee. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for an inquest and recognisance. So the sheriff is ordered that at the coming of the justices he should have jurors to say on their oath whether Nicholas del Brok and Emma his wife had entry into  $\frac{1}{4}$  a. meadow in Brokton by William del Brok to whom Roger de Wimbervill's uncle devised it for a term which has expired, as Roger says, or whether Nicholas and Emma had entry into the meadow by Emma's father William who held the meadow in fee and inheritance, as Nicholas and Emma say. *Old case.*

See nos. 51, 264.

**341** *Herts. Essex* Henry de Balliol and Laura his wife, Peter de Maune and Christine his wife, and David Cumin and Isabel his wife, by their attorneys, claim against Walter of Hempnall 40 a. land in Theydon, and against Walter Alein 4 a. land in the same vill, and against Richarde the weaver 10 a. land in the same vill, and against Thomas the carpenter 18 a. land in the same vill, and against Richard Dod 5 a. land in the same vill, and against Thomas Serle 5 a. land in the same vill, and against Geoffrey of Barnwell 5 a. land in the same vill, and against John de Telpen  $10\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill, and against Richard son of Walter  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland and 6 a. land in the same vill, and against William son of Robert 35 a. land in Bovingdon.

They all come and they all, except William son of Robert, seek a view. They are to have it. Day given to them *at the arrival of the justices*. Since William is *under age* let them wait for him to come of age.

**342** *Chadlington* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Nicholas son of William of Churchill, brother of Gunnild wife of William Pecok, was seised of  $17\frac{1}{2}$  a. land and a messuage in Churchill which William Brun holds.

Afterwards William comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

**343** The abbot of Bruern gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Roger Gulafre; plea of land.

See no. 281; *Oxon. Fines*, 121.

**344** The abbot of Oseney appoints Simon son of Simon or Peter of Sibford his attorney against William le Peignant, plea of land and common of pasture; and against Peter Buckerel and Maud his wife, plea of warranty of charter.

See nos. 77, 78, 589.

**345** *Essex* William Mauduit of Terling acknowledges that he has demised, granted, and by his charter confirmed to John Renger all his land in the parish of Terling of the fee of Gilbert Mauduit and 8s. rent which he received from Robert Prat; to have and to hold to John and his heirs or assigns from the feast of the Ascension [9 May] 1241 for the 15 years next following, as is more fully contained in the writing made between them.

See no. 334.

**346** *Oxon.* Cecily wife of John of the mill apoints the same John her attorney against the prioress of Studley; plea of land.

See no. 551.

**347** *Oxon.* Mabel widow of Geoffrey of Appleton appoints Thomas of Appleton or Norman of Appleton her attorney against the prior of Deerhurst; plea of land.

See no. 419.

**348** *Oxon.* John parson of the church of Churchill gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Nicholas de Nowers, plea of land, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.

See no. 113; *Oxon Fines*, 119–20.

**349** *Oxon* The prior of Deerhurst gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Hugh at Water and Christine his wife; plea of land.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 119.

**350** *Yorks.* Theobald de Bellius, by Thomas of Ramsden his attorney, acknowledges that Roger of Thirkleby paid him in full 50 marks which he owed him for 6 marks rent in 'Ledemere'.

**351** *Oxon. Kent* Walter [de Gray] archbishop of York appoints Alan of Wassand his attorney against Joan Arsic; plea of warranty. Joan appoints Stephen Simeon her attorney against the same about the same, and against Thomas de Haya and Alexandra his wife, plea of land in Kent.

Cf. *Oxon. Fines*, 115, 124–6.

m. 6d]

**352** *Northants.* William son of Reynold of Morton claims against Robert of Warden 2 a. land in Byfield; and against John son of Godwin, who is under age, 6 a. land in the same vill; and against Roger the miller half a mill and 1 rood of land in the same vill; and against Benet of Kent and Isabel his wife 4 a. land in the same vill.



They all come, and Robert calls to warrant Hugh son of John for 1 a. land and Ralph of Marchamley for the other. Roger calls to warrant the same Ralph, and Benet and Isabel call to warrant Philip son of Alard. They are to have them at *Westminster* 15 days after Michaelmas by the aid of the court. Since John is *under age* let him wait till he is of age.

See no. 431.

**353** *Leics.* Richard son of Robert claims against John Cook 2½ yardlands, 5 a. land and 5s. rent in Walton as his right, of which his kinswoman Millicent, whose heir he is, was seised on the day she died. He says that Roger le Chevalier, Millicent's predecessor, had 3 sons, Gilbert, Simon, and William. From Gilbert the eldest the right to the land descended to Millicent as daughter and heir, who died seised 2 years ago. She died without heir of her body, and the right in the land reverted to Simon her uncle, from whom it descended to his son Theodulph who died seised without heir of his body, so that the right in the land reverted to William his uncle. From William the right descended to his son and heir Robert, and from Robert to his son and heir Richard, who now claims.

John comes and denies force and injury, and says that Millicent did not die seised of the land, for he says that she, being of full age and in her lawful power, gave John himself the land, long before he married her. In the eyre of William Raleigh and Robert Lexington in Warwickshire at Coventry Theodulph impleaded John alone, without Millicent, of the land, before he married her; John called Millicent to warrant the land, and she came and warranted him. A long time afterwards he married her. And that John was impleaded alone and that he called Millicent to warrant, he puts himself on the rolls of that eyre.

Richard says that John was named alone in the writ, but that he came into court and said that he could not answer without his wife Millicent, whose right and inheritance it was, and that John thus withdrew from that writ by the judgement of the court before the justices, he places himself on the rolls of that eyre.

Day given to them at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas so that the rolls can be searched.

**354** *Norfolk* The prior of Shouldham was summoned to answer Adam Smith and Emma his wife on a plea of why he deforced them of 3 a. land and 2s. 6d. rent in Shouldham which ought to remain to Emma as heir of Ingenold of Shouldham and Alinthe his wife, by fine made in the court of Richard I before the itinerant justices at Ipswich between Ingenold and Alinthe, claimants, and Peter the reeve, tenant, of 50 a. land in Shouldham. A plea before the last itinerant justices at Cattishall between the same Adam and Emma, claimants, and Roger son of Richard, who said he was Peter's heir, deforciant, about the same 3 a. land and the rent by the king's writ de fine facto was adjourned without day because Roger recognised that he was the prior's villein.

The prior came by his attorney and claimed a view.

Day given to them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. *Old case.*

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2053.



**355** Alice widow of Henry of Ullenhall, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Peter de Valle, plea of one third of 20 a. land in Tamworth; and against Roger Toky, plea of one third of 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Simon de Aldoluestre, plea of one third of 10s. <6d.> rent in 'Aldoluestre'. All of which she claims in dower. They have not come. And they were summoned. So it is adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

See nos. 280, 321.

**356** *Bucks.* Geoffrey Dunning and Alice his wife and Emma and Eve, Alice's sisters, presented themselves on the 4th day against William Halfrenchisse and Custance his wife; plea of one third of 1½ furlong of land (in Chalfont St. Peter) which Alice and Emma and Eve claim as their right. William and Custance have not come, and they were summoned. So it is adjudged that the third part be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. They all appoint Geoffrey their attorney. *Old case.*

**357** *Wilts.* Nicholas, parson of Esey, was attached to answer Ralph of Latton on a plea of why he brought Ralph into court Christian because Ralph was a recognitor in an assize of novel disseisin which William de Esey brought against Nicholas before Reynold of Calne, William Gilbert, Richard Pagsfolt, and Hamon of Beckhampton, the king's justices, over a tenement in Esey. Ralph claims that when, on the vigil of St. Denis this year, he brought Nicholas the king's prohibition against proceeding further in the plea he, notwithstanding the prohibition, pursued his plea, whereby Ralph was injured and suffered damages to the value of 100s. Nicholas comes and denies force and injury against him and his suit. So it is adjudged that he should wage his *law* and defend himself with 12 hands, and that he should come with his law to Lambeth on Monday after Ascension Day. Sureties for the law: Laurence le Brun and Robert de Thornbergh.

**358** *Hunts.* William of Wells, canon of Leighton Bromswold, was attached to answer William of Salome on a plea that he allow him to have a certain way which he ought to have in the land of William of Wells in Salome wood [in Leighton Bromswold] from Salome road along the middle of the hedge to Eastbreche. William of Salome claims he ought to have a way along the hedge to carry his corn back and forth and William obstructs him, whence he suffers damage to the value of 10s. William of Wells comes by his attorney and says that William of Salome ought not and used not to have any way there, nor did his predecessors, unless they had it by the permission and at the will of the canons of Leighton Bromswold or their bailiffs. He puts himself on the country, and William of Salome does likewise.

So the sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas to say on oath whether William of Salome ought and used to have a way through the land of William of Wells, canon of Leighton Bromswold, in Salome wood from Salome road along the middle of the hedge to Eastbreche as an appurtenance of his free holding until 1230-1,

which William of Wells obstructed, or whether he and his predecessors had the way by the will and permission of William of Wells and his predecessors, canons of Leighton Bromswold, and their bailiffs, as William says.

m. 7]

**359** Henry de Bologano claims against Walter de Bologano 20 a. land in 'La Sera' into which Walter had no entry except by Roger de Scaccario to whom Henry demised it for a term which has expired. Walter comes, and they agree by licence and have a chirograph.

**360** *Gloucs.* Beatrice widow of Nicholas Malesmayns, by her attorney, claims against the prior of Bradenstoke one third of 4 yardlands in Fairford as her dower. The prior comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Rose, Ela, and Joan, daughters and heirs of Nicholas Malesmayns. Rose and Ela are in the guardianship of John de Plescy, so their guardian is to be summoned to be at Canterbuery 3 weeks after Trinity and to have the said heirs to warrant the land. Joan, the third sister, lives overseas and has nothing in England.

See no. 250.

**361** *Dorset* The same Beatrice claims against Ralph, parson of Monkton Up Wimborne and against Edward King, and against John Elyat one third of 1 ploughland in Kinson as her dower. They all come by their attorneys and by licence give her back the third part; let her have her seisin.

**362** *Dorset* The same Beatrice presented herself on the 4th day against John de Plescy, plea of one third of 1 ploughland in Monkton Up Wimborne, Dorset; and against the same John, plea of one third of 1½ hides in Horley, Surrey; and against James de Bolingham (and John Hanin), plea of one third of 1 hide and 1 messuage in the same vill; and against Robert Malesmayns, plea of one third of ½ hide in the same vill; and against Walter de Godarvill and Hilary his wife, plea of one third of 9 yardlands and a mill in Burton Latimer, Northants. She claims all these third parts as her dower. They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

**363** The abbot of Missenden, by his attorney, claimed against John de North and Elviva his wife 6 a. meadow in Kingsey as the right of his church of Missenden. John and Elviva come and claim a view, and they have it. Day given to them at *Westminster* 2 weeks after Michaelmas.

See no. 296.

**364** *Salop* Peter son of Roger presented himself on the 4th day against William son of John, plea that he keep the fine made before the itinerant justices at Shrewsbury between William, claimant, and Peter, tenant, of 2 yardlands in Heath, whence a chirograph was made. William has not come, and he was attached by Eustace de Furcis and Henry de Roches.

So let him be placed under better sureties to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas 1241. *Old case.*

**365 Berks.** Simon son of John claims against William Wich one third of 1 hide, except the capital messuage and 1 a., in Abingdon, into which William had no entry except by Agnes la Chalinere, Simon's grandmother, whose heir he is, who demised it for a term which has expired. William comes and says that he does not hold the land, since Benet of the Larder holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. and Simon holds 1 rood. Afterwards Simon came and prayed for licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

**366 Oxon.** Alice daughter of Richard appoints John Blancbuilli her attorney against Christine daughter of Richard, plea of land; and against Robert of Mapledurham and Peter the miller and Emma his wife, plea of assize of mort dancestor.

See no. 434.

**367** William de Dive claimed against Ralph Hareng and Alice his wife 2 parts of one third of 2 knights' fees in Deddington, except the advowson of the church, 1 messuage, a fishery, (3 mills), and 3 yardlands. And against the same Ralph and Alice and Beatrice Murdac, Alice's sister whom Eve de Gray called to warrant, one third of 2 parts of one third of 2 knights' fees in Deddington, except the advowson of the church, 1 messuage, a fishery, 3 mills, and 3 yardlands in the same vill, as his right, of which William his ancestor was seised in demesne as of fee and right in the time of Henry II, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. From William the right in that land descended to Roger as son and heir, and from Roger, who died without heir of his body, the right reverted to Hugh his uncle, William's brother. From Hugh the right descended to Ralph as son and heir, and from Ralph to Lettice [Lucy] as daughter and heir, and from Lettice [Lucy] to William [de Dive] as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

Ralph and the others come, and Ralph and Alice say that they cannot answer about the 2 parts which he claims against them without Beatrice Murdac, Alice's sister who shares with Alice in the inheritance of Ralph Murdac their father, whose heirs they are. So the sheriff is ordered to summon her to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity to answer Ralph and Alice, as the said Beatrice was present only by her proctor to make the warranty. And of the third part which they warranted they say they cannot answer without the king, since they say that Henry II gave Ralph Murdac their father all Deddington by his charter which they proffer: Henry, by the grace of God king of England etc. Know that I have given and by this my charter confirmed to Ralph Murdac all Deddington, to him and his heirs to hold of me and my heirs by service of 2 knights. Wherefore I wish and firmly command that Ralph and his heirs after him may have and hold the whole of Deddington of me and my heirs, well and in peace, freely and quietly, wholly and fully and honourably with all its appurtenances and liberties and free customs, etc.

And William says that the charter ought not to harm him, since he ways that Ralph (Murdac) had entry into the said land by Guy de Dive who

married Lucy de Dive, ancestress of William and right heir of William de Chesney since she was descended from his brother and Ralph from his sister.

Day given them to hear judgement at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

See nos. 428, 506; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 228, 939-40, 2041; *Bk. of Fees*, 821.

**368** *Bullington* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Maud daughter of William, mother of Mary wife of William of Wallingford and of Christine wife of John Eymer and of Mabel of Sandford, was seised of a messuage in Sandford [on Thames] which Adam le Passur and Maud his wife hold. They come and call to warrant Robert of Sandford, knight, who is in the Holy Land; so he is summoned to be at the arrival of the justices.

**369** *Bampton* Assize of nuisance to declare whether John of Hinksey, Richard son of Ralph, Jordan son of Richard, William son of Walter, Gilbert Serjeant, and Roger son of William knocked down a hedge in Clanfield to the nuisance of Richard son of John's free holding in the vill. They all come and say nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that they all except Roger knocked down the hedge as the writ says. So it is adjudged that he should recover his seisin by view, and the others are *in mercy*. Richard is likewise *in mercy* for a false plea against Roger. *Damages* 6d.

**370** *Wilts.* Richard Doget, essoiner of Warin FitzGerald, presented himself on the 4th day against William of Durnford, plea that he keep the covenant made between them about 2 ploughlands in Durnford. William has not come; and he is to be summoned. Judgement: to be attached to be there at the arrival of the justices. *Old case*.

**371** *Hunts.* Day given to Ralph de Mortimer, claimant, and Humphrey de Bohun, earl of Hereford, to hear judgement; summoned to Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas because judgement has not yet been made. The earl appoints Humphrey de Bolinton or Henry de Pont de l'Arches his attorney. Similarly with Michael de Cheldrinton whom he had first appointed his attorney.

And against Maud of Heybridge, plea of land, and against William of Bobbingworth, plea of wardship.

**372** *Northants.* Day given to the abbot of Croxton Kerrial, by his attorney, claimant, and William of Haddington and Avice his wife, plea of custom, at Westminster 15 days from Michaelmas 1241, at the prayer of the parties. Avice appoints William her attorney. Brother Richard, monk of Croxton, and Thomas de Whelham are the abbot's attorneys by the king's writ.

**373** Day given to Laurence del Brok, claimant, and William of Haverhill guardian of Robert del Brok, to hear judgement on a plea of novel disseisin, Monday next after Ascension day, because judgement has not yet been made.

**374** *Rutland* Master Hugh, parson of 'Ketele' [Ketton], appoints William de Potubi his attorney against Richard de Lindon; plea of fishery.

See no. 650.

**375** *Warws.* Parnel of Compton appoints William de Bromerton her attorney against Nicola widow of Simon Bagot; plea of dower.

See no. 650.

m. 7d.]

**376** *Suffolk* William le Breton claims against Margery de Bleville 1 ploughland, except 10 a., in 'Istede' as his right. Margery comes and claims a view thereof, and has it. Day given them at Lambeth, Monday after Ascension day. Margery appoints Simon de Hemsted or Walter son of Roger her attorney.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 903, 964, 998.

**377** The prior of Wroxton was summoned to answer Master Simon de Wanton on a plea that he acquit his land in Balscott of suit to county and hundred, whereof he ought to acquit it. The prior comes and acknowledges that he ought to acquit Master Simon's land, and freely acquits him. So he is told that he should acquit it. So without day.

**378** *Suffolk* Walter Punt claimed before the last itinerant justices at Ipswich against Alan son of Alan of Withersdale 12 a. land in Withersdale as his right. In that court Alan objected that Walter could have no right in the land because he was a bastard. So the case was sent to the bishop of Norwich where Walter proved that he was born of lawful wedlock. The bishop showed the same by his letters patent, so the plea was re-opened. And Alan now comes <has not come>, and so it is adjudged that Walter recover his seisin and Alan *in mercy*. Let him have his seisin. *Old case.*

**379** *Essex* The abbess of Barking was summoned to answer Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford on a plea that she return to him custody of 6 a. wood and 2 parts of 26 a. land in Rowhedge which belong to him in that Ralph de Berners held that land and wood of him by knight service. He says that by that detention he has suffered damage to the value of 100s.

The abbess comes by her attorney and denies force and injury and says that the earl claims the custody unjustly, since Ralph held the wood and land of her by service of 4d. a year, and not of the earl. Thereon she puts herself on the country, and that in the year in which he died he paid her 4d. for the wood and land.

The earl, by his attorney, says that Ralph held of him by knight service, and he offers the king *1 mark* for an inquest, and the money is received. So let there be a jury.

The sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at the arrival of the justices to declare on oath whether Ralph held the wood and land of the earl by knight service, as the earl says, or whether he held them of the abbess by

service of 4d. a year as the abbess says. And be it known that the abbess agreed that if the jury found that Ralph held the wood and land of the earl by knight service that she should lose the service of 4d.

The same earl, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Rayner of Bungay on a plea that he return to him custody of a house and 2 parts of 34 a. land in Rowhedge which belong to him in that Ralph de Berners held that house and land of him by knight service. Rayner has not come, and he was attached by William Green and William Finche. So he is to be placed under better sureties to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day. *Writ.*

Cf. no. 65.

**380** *Warwick* Nicola widow of Simon Bagot, by her attorney, presented herself on the 4th day against Isabel Bagot, plea of one third of 8 yardlands in Compton, which she claims as her dower. Isabel has not come, and she was summoned. Judgement: the third part is to be taken into the king's hand. Isabel is summoned to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

**381** *Bucks.* Alice widow of Gilbert le Rus presented herself on the 4th day against William son of Alexander, plea of one third of 2½ a. land and 12d. rent in Sherington; and against Rannulph le Franceis, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against Richard son of Ellis <Gervase>, plea of one third of 1½ a. land in the same vill; and against Simon of Sherington, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against Simon son of Richard, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill, and against William son of Martin, plea of one third of 1 a. land in the same vill; and against William of Clapham, plea of one third of ½ a. land in the same vill; and against Adam son of Stephen, plea of one third of 1 rood of land in the same vill; which third parts she claims as her dower.

They have not come, and they were summoned. Judgement: the third parts are to be taken into the king's hand. They are to be summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

**382** *Devon* Martin the constable and Agnes his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Robert of Sydenham, plea of one third of 1 ploughland and 1 messuage in Tiverton which they claim as Agnes's dower. Robert has not come, and he was summoned. Judgement: the land is to be taken into the king's hand. He is summoned to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case. Cancelled.*

See no. 172; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1910.

Entry crossed out.

**383** Roger de Scaccario acknowledges that he owes Henry of Belgrave 4 marks of a fine made between them, of which he will pay him 2 marks on the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August], and 2 marks at Michaelmas [29 September] next following, 1241. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

**384 *Hunts.*** Simon son of William de Ponte presented himself on the 4th day against the abbot of Crowland, plea of why he took Simon's beasts and unjustly kept them. The abbot has not come, and he had a day by his essoyn to this day. So he is attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

**385 *Banbury*** Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Robert Alfthein [Hautein], brother of Richard was seised of [2 messuages-crossed out]  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland [and 3 a. and 11s. rent-crossed out] in Banbury which Richard son of Gilbert holds. He comes and says that he does not hold that land, since Margery his mother holds it. She comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is that Margery give up all the property and Robert will give her each year of her life half the corn which he harvests on that land. And he will acquit the land and defend it against the chief lords.

Cf. no.522.

**386 *Bloxham*** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether John of Harwell, the prior of Noyon, and Nicholas de Limis unjustly disseised Simon of Harwell of 3 yardlands less 4 a. in Bodicote. John comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The prior and Nicholas have not come, nor were they attached or found, nor did they have anything there by which they could be attached. So the assize is taken against them by default.

The jurors say that John and the others did not disseise Siimon. So it is adjudged that Simon take nothing by that assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false claim. The others without day.

Cf. *Oxon Fines*, p. 137.

**387 *Ploughley*** A jury comes to declare whether 6 yardlands in Finmere are free alms belonging to the church of Rannulf parson of Finmere or the lay fee of William du Boys. William comes and calls to warrant the abbot of St. Augustine's Bristol. Let him have him at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Gloucestershire.

See no. 121; cf. no. 670.

**388 *Banbury*** The prior of Clattercote, who brought an assize of novel disseisin about a watercourse in Mollington against John Kachelewe, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, that is Robert White and John the carter of Middleton.

See no. 258.

**389 *Wootton*** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Rose of Begbroke and William her son unjustly disseised John Punzard of 1 a. meadow in Begbroke. Rose comes and says nothing to stay the assize. William has not come, nor was he attached since he was not found. So let the assize be taken against him by default.

The jury say that Rose and William disseised John. So it is adjudged that John recover his seisin, and Rose and William are *in mercy*.



**390** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert son of Aluric disseised Erneburga of Swinbrook of a garden in Swinbrook. Robert comes and says that she is in seisin of the garden and that he has not disseised her, and thereon he puts himself on the assize. The jury say that Robert did not disseise her. So it is adjudged that Robert go without day, and Erneburga is *in mercy*.  
Cf. no. 579.

**391** *Lincs.* Day given to Henry de Capella, claimant, and John of Catesby <William son of Drew>; custom and service, 15 days after Michaelmas at Westminster, at the prayer of the parties, and Henry appoints John of Trumpington his attorney against William and others in the writ in Hampshire.

Text based on JUST 1/696; JUST 1/695 illegible.

m. 8]

**392** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Richard of Wolford disseised Gilbert son of Gilbert of a messuage in Chipping Norton. Richard comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richard disseised Gilbert, so let him have his seisin by view, and Richard is *in mercy*. Damages 2s.

**393** *Chadlington* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether William Warte, father of William Warte, was seised in his demesne as of fee of  $3\frac{1}{2}$  a. land and  $1\frac{1}{2}$  a. and 1 rood meadow in Walcot, which Peter de Malesmayns and Margery his wife and Avice of Walcot hold. Peter and Margery come and say that they do not hold the land or meadow, except  $3\frac{1}{2}$  a. land, and that William can have no right in that land because he is a *bastard*. So the assize remains. The bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and make it known by his letters patent. Avice has not come, so let the assize remain against her, whatever may be found as to William's bastardy.

Afterwards William comes and acknowledges that Avice is his mother and that she holds the meadow he claims in dower, and that he is the warrantor of her dower. So let Avice hold it in peace.

**394** *Binfield* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert de Wantham, Reynold his son, William of Arundel, Walter de Wantham, and Walter Sturdy disseised William parson of Fawley of 1 a. meadow in Henley. Robert, Reynold, and William come and say nothing to stay the assize. Walter and Walter have not come, nor were they attached because they were not found.

The jury say that Robert and all the others disseised William; so let him have his seisin by view. Robert and the others *in mercy*. Damages 12d.

**395** *Wootton* Gilbert son of William of Hempton gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with William of Hempton; plea of assize of mort dancestor, by surety of Walter the tailor of Clifton and Henry de Mare of the same.



**396** *Dorchester* The abbot of Eynsham was summoned to answer Roger de Hide on a plea that he allow him his piggery in the abbot's wood of Woodcote, of which he says he was seised as of fee and right in the time of King John, and thereon he produces suit.

The abbot comes and says that he ought not to answer this writ, since the writ contains only the plea that the abbot allow Roger his piggery in his wood, and does not name any rent, nor does Roger offer to prove his right according to the custom of the kingdom. The abbot claims judgement. Since Roger did not name any rent nor offer to prove his right or his seisin against the abbot, and his writ is of right, it is adjudged that the abbot go without day, and Roger is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 238; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2044.

**397** *Chadlington* Fulk son of Benet gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with William son of Fulk, plea of assize of mort d'ancestor, by surety of John of Longborough and Nicholas son of le Chevalier of Chastleton.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 122–3.

**398** *Dorchester* Reynold son of Simon of Drayton, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the abbot of Dorchester, Richard the priest, and others, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting are *in mercy*, namely Walter of Clifton and William of Stafford.

**399** *Wootton* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Henry the scrivener, father of Alan son of Henry, was seised on the day he set out on pilgrimage for Jerusalem <the Holy Land> of a toft in Steeple Aston which Alan le Rummeney holds. Alan comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Henry was not seised of the toft on the day he set out on pilgrimage. So it is adjudged that Alan go without day and Alan [son of Henry] is *in mercy* for a false plea. He is poor.

**400** *Wallingford* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Henry Foster, father of Basilia, was seised on the day he died of 12 a. land in Aston [Rowant] which Alice at Well and Geoffrey her son hold. Geoffrey comes and says he holds the whole of the land and his mother holds nothing there. Geoffrey, by licence, gives the land back to Basilia; let her have her seisin.

**401** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether William son of Robert unjustly disseised Richard son of Robert of 1 a. land and a messuage in [Chipping] Norton. William has not come, nor was he attached, so let the sheriff answer for his sureties, and the assize be taken against him by default.

The jury say that William disseised Richard. So let him have his seisin by view, and William is *in mercy*. *Damages 12d.*

**402** *Banbury* Alice of Wardington, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against John at Well for a holding in Wardington, came and withdrew and made fine for herself and her sureties for  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark*, by surety of Savary of Walcot.

**403** *Norfolk, Suffolk* Roger of Thirkleby presented himself on the 4th day against Adam Merchant, Robert de Courtenay, Robert de Strettus, Walter son of Odo, Henry de Gosenhale, Warin Barnard, Robert Joseph, William Lenygive, Thomas of Brampton, William Franceis, William of Brampton, Roger le Hunte, John del Brok of Weston, Robert le Legistre, Bartholomew Cove, Richard his son, Thomas son of John de Redesham, Hugh de Sket, Alexander Pitman, Hugh le Blund of Sharnford, Alan Jordan, Roger son of Roger of Brampton, Simon son of Hugh de Stoweno, Walter son of Geoffrey of the same, William Pais of Wiggenhall, William son of Botild de Stoweno, and William Platon de Stodle; plea that they render him £20 which they owe him and unjustly withhold. They have not come, and have made frequent defaults. So the sheriff is ordered to take all their lands into the king's hand, except for their personal chattels. he is to have them at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension Day.

**404** *Northants.* Margery widow of Richard le Rus claims against Hawise of Chester one third of 43s. rent in Long Buckby as her dower. And Hawise, by her attorney, comes and claims a view. Day given to them at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2017.

**405** *Notts.* John de Gatton presented himself on the 4th day against Thomas son of William; plea that he restore to him the custody of 2 ploughlands in Clipston which belong to him in that Ralph de Rocheford held them of him by knight service. Thomas has not come, and he had a day by his essoin, to this day. So let him be attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2393.

**406** *Oxon.* Agnes of Maidwell claims against Robert le Summoner and Felicity his wife 1 yardland in South Newington as her right, in which Robert had entry only by Richequena of Newington to whom William de Chesney, Agnes's father whose heir she is, granted it for a term which has expired. Robert comes and Agnes seeks licence to withdraw, and she has it.

**407** *Essex* Ralph Cubum acknowledges that he owes Henry de Balliol and Laura his wife and his parceners 24 marks of a fine made between them, of which he will pay them 12 marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] 1241 and 12 marks at the following Easter. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

See no. 335.

**408** *Suffolk, Norfolk* Day given to Margery widow of Hubert Gernegan, claimant, and Hugh Gernegan, tenant, to levy chirograph, at Lambeth, Sunday after Ascension day. Margery appoints John de Marewin her attorney.

See no. 231; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2343.

**409** *Bucks.* Alice widow of Roger Miller claims against Laurence le Brun one third of 1 yardland in 'Conesgrave' as her dower. Laurence comes, and they are agreed by licence that she should surrender to him all her right for 1 mark.

**410** *Lincs.* Robert de Lexington ordered the justices that John son of Osbert of Lincoln, who is old and infirm, appoint an attorney in the case before them at Oxford between him and the master of the Knights Templar in England.

See no. 445.

**411** *Oxon.* Robert de Pultne gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Nicholas of Cassington; plea of land, by surety of the same Nicholas.

See *Oxon Fines*, 119.

m. 8d.]

**412** *Northants.* Ralph Basset and Geoffrey of Appleby, by their attorneys, presented themselves on the 4th day against Isabel de Brus; plea that she acquit them of one sixth of a debt of £100, for which John late earl of Chester, brother of Isabel and uncle of Devorguilla, whose heirs they are, placed them in a plea against Robert son of Richard, and they have not acquitted them. They have not come, and they were summoned. It is adjudged that they be attached to be at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity. Isabel is to be distrained in Huntingdonshire.

See no. 226.

**413** *Beds.* Adam son of William of Melchbourne claims against Thomas son of Robert of Melchbourne 12 a. land in Melchbourne as his right, in which Thomas only had entry by Hugh son of Laurence to whom Adam granted it for a term which has expired.

Thomas comes and denies force and injury and says that he only holds 8 a. since Maud, Hugh's widow, holds 4 a. in dower. Since Adam claims against him all the land which he holds in demesne as in service, he says that he cannot claim anything of the 8 a., since Hugh's father, Laurence, held the land and died seised of it, and after his death Hugh held it and died seised without heir of his body, so that the right descended to Robert brother and heir of Hugh and father of Thomas. Wherefore he says that neither Adam nor his father was ever seised of that land so that they could grant it to anyone. Moreover, he says that Hugh his uncle once impleaded Adam of 4 a. in the court of Melchbourne, and it was agreed between them there that Adam return to Hugh the 4 a. in dispute. Thereon he puts himself on the country.

Adam says that William his father died seised, so that after his death Adam himself was seised for 6 years, and afterwards he demised the land to Hugh for a term. And that Hugh had seisin by such an entry he puts himself on the country. Thomas likewise. So the sheriff is ordered to have 12 men at the arrival of the justices to declare whether Thomas had entry into the land by Hugh son of Laurence his uncle to whom Adam granted it for a term which has expired, as Adam says, or whether Thomas had entry by Hugh who held 8 a. of the land by hereditary right

and 4 a. by agreement made between Hugh and Adam in the court of Melchbourne, as Thomas says.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 138.

**414** *Northants.* John Malesoures <Malheure> and Lettice his wife, by their attorney, claim against Hugh Cubum <Gubmon> 1 yardland except 1 messuage and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. in Cotes as Lettice's right, whereof she herself was seised as of fee and right in the time of the present king, taking profits therefrom to the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. And that such is her right she offers [to prove].

Hugh, by his attorney, comes and denies force and injury and Lettice's seisin. And he places himself on the king's grand assize and claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold that land in demesne, or John and Lettice to hold of him.

Nicholas de Nowers (sworn), John le Brun (sworn), Robert son of Ralph (sworn), Richard of Hendred (sworn) 4 knights chosen to elect 12 men, come and choose these: Luke de Cubum, Roger of Missenden, Henry de Alney, Ralph Barre, Ralph of Titchmarsh, Henry of Isham, Alan of Maidwell, Miles de Beauchamp, William of Weston, Walter son of Simon de Daunic, Robert Wolf de Esse, William de Daunicre, Thomas of Titchmarsh, Henry of Drayton, Richard of Irchester, John Dyen.

Day given them at the arrival of the justices, and let the 12 come then.

See no. 227.

**415** Alan (Basset) gives 20s. for licence to agree with William of Englefield; plea of warranty of charter, by surety of the same William.

See no. 225.

**416** John Sundy gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Isabel de Bolbec countess of Oxford; plea of land by surety of Laurence del Brok.

See no. 251; *Oxon. Fines*, 111.

**417** *Chiltern* John Sundy and Emma his wife, who brought an assize of novel disseisin for common of pasture in Crowmarsh Gifford against the same countess, came and withdrew, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert of Mapledurham, Robert de la Greyhone. Sureties of John's amercement, Laurence del Brok and William de Port of Crowmarsh.

See no. 251.

**418** *Oxon.* Warin FitzGerald appoints Geoffrey del Pek his attorney against Henry de Scaccario (and Parnel); plea of waste. And against Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife and their parceners; plea of mort dancestor.

See nos. 177, 611.

**419** *Oxon.* The prior of Deerhurst claims against Thomas of Appleton 1( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) a. meadow in Northmoor. And against Mabel of Appleton 1 a. meadow in the same vill, as the right of his church, whereof Richard his predecessor was seised in demesne as of fee and right in the time of

Henry II, taking profits therefrom to the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. And that such is his right he offers [to prove].

Thomas and Mabel come and Mabel calls Thomas to warrant; he warrants her and answers for the whole, and denies force and injury and Richard's seisin. And he places himself on the king's grand assize and claims a recognition which of them has the greater right in that meadow. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have notice of the year and day of the assize, and it is received. Robert de Wantham and Robert Purcel and William Wace and Peter Oliver, 4 knights chosen to elect 12 men, come and choose these: John Medicus, [Ralph Saucey, Richard Foliot of Rousham-crossed out], Richard Prescote, Ralph of Sandford, Geoffrey le Quelter, William de Scalebrok, Bartholomew Foliot, William Quatermain, William Monk, Ellis de Huntefeld, Alexander of Combe, and Roger Gernun. Who come and say on their oath that Thomas has more right in the meadow than the prior, and that Richard the prior's predecessor was not seised of the meadow in the reign of Henry II.

So it is adjudged that Thomas and his heirs should hold the meadow in peace for ever, without any claim from the prior or his successors. And the prior *in mercy*, sureties Stephen of Northmoor and Adam of Northmoor.

See nos. 117, 347.

**420** The abbot of Dorchester gives *10s.* for licence to agree with Robert of Little Holcombe; plea of land, by surety of the same Robert.

See no. 282

**421** *Yorks.* Richard de Gaham gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Walter de Hemmington and Joan his wife; plea of land, by surety of Laurence del Broc.

See nos. 70, 474.

**422** *Ploughley* William Puignant, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the abbot of Oseney and many others over the levelling of a dike and common of pasture in Weston on the Green, comes and withdraws himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Guy of Tusmore and Alan of the same, Robert <Ralph> of Deddington and Adam de Gay.

**423** The same abbot, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same William over the same, comes and withdraws himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John of Hampton and William Young of the same.

**424** *Worcs.* William (son of Walter) de Beauchamp gives *40s.* for licence to agree with W[alter de Cantilupe] bishop of Worcester about custom and service, by surety of the same bishop. And the agreement is as follows: William recognizes that he holds of the bishop 15 knights' fees in Worcestershire, Gloucestershire, and Oxfordshire, and that he owes the bishop £50 of which he will pay him or his representative £8 6s. 8d. at Easter 1242, £8 6s. 8d. the following Michaelmas, and so from year to year at the same terms until the debt is paid.

**425** William de Cantilupe appoints Nicholas Menerel his attorney against William Neyrnuyt, plea of warranty of charter. And against a certain woman who claims her dower against him.

See no. 220.

**426** William son of William Morin and Margery his wife appoint William Morin their attorney against Stephen de Stallis and Hawise his wife; plea of land.

Cf. no. 188.

**427** Roger of Leybourne appoints Ralph the Bastard his attorney against Alan of Aston; plea of custom and service.

See no. 653.

m. 9]

**428** Eve de Gray, by her attorney, claims against William de, Dive one third of the advowson of the church of Deddington, a fishpond, 1 yardland, and a mill, as her dower from Ralph Murdac, her former husband.

William comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there or be able to claim it, since, he says, that in the time of King Richard she was dowered with all the lands and holdings which Ralph had, so that she was satisfied and content with them all the time of King Richard and King John, and the time of Henry III until she started this plea against him. Moreover, he says that he cannot answer her without the king, since, he says, Henry II gave William de Chesney the whole of Deddington by his charter in these words: H[enry] king of England, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, count of Anjou, to the bishop of Lincoln and all his justices and barons and sheriffs and ministers and faithful men of Oxfordshire, greeting. Know that I have given William de Chesney the whole of Deddington, and I wish and firmly order that William and his heirs may have and hold the whole of Deddington of me and my heirs, with all its liberties and customs and appurtenances, peaceably, freely, and honourably. Witness, etc.

Day given them to hear *judgement* at Canterbury, 3 weeks after Trinity.

See nos. 367, 506; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 228, 939–40, 2041.

**429** W[illiam] Raleigh, bishop of Norwich, was summoned to reply to Otnell de Lisle, plea that he render him Giles, son and heir of Robert de Lisle, and his land in Stokes, wardship of which belongs to him because Robert held of him by knight service. Otnell, by his attorney, says that although Robert on the day he died held his land in Stokes of him by knight service so that the wardship ought to belong to him as chief lord of the fee, the bishop unjustly kept the wardship himself, whence he has suffered damage to the value of £100. [And Richard de Hotoft, who was advocate, *in mercy*-added later]

The bishop comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Isabel, countess of Oxford, who now comes by summons and warrants him, and denies force and injury. And she offers the king 40s. to have a view, and the

view is appointed, namely Robert Purcel, Eustace Purcel, Robert son of Robert Purcel.

And the countess says that Robert de Lisle held the lands of her and did homage for them to her and service for 1 knight's fee (all his life) so that at the time of his death she was seised of his homage and service. Otnell, by his attorney, says that Robert held the land by knight service and not of the countess, since he says that Robert at London 2 years after the war did Otnell homage for the land, and all his life he did Otnell service. And he offers the king *20 marks* for an inquiry.

Afterwards they reached an agreement and Isabel gave *15 marks* for licence to agree.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 273; *Oxon. Fines*, 113; *Bk. of Fees*, 824.

**430** *Bampton* Assize of mort d'ancester to declare whether Richolda daughter of William, aunt of William Pulein, was seised of 6 a. land and 1 a. meadow in Haddon [in Brize Norton] which Denise daughter of Richolda holds.

Denise comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richolda did not die seised, so it is adjudged that he take nothing by that assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false plea.

**431** *Northants.* William of Morton gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Ralph de Marchamley; plea of land, by surety of Gilbert de Grenville.

Se no. 352.

**432** *Wallingford* William Cross, who brought a writ of entry against Nichola de Stallis and many others, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William Joie and William Goday.

**433** *Essex* Hubert de Ruylli was summoned to answer Isabel de Bolbec, countess of Oxford, on a plea that he render her 64 marks which he owes her. Isabel claims that she sold him the wardship of the lands and heirs of Peter of Ramsey in Ramsey for 100 marks, to be paid to her or her attorney at her castle of Great Canfield, 25 marks within a week of Easter [27 March] 1239, 25 marks within a week of the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following, 25 marks within a week of Michaelmas [29 September] next following, and 25 marks within a week of Christmas next following. Hubert unjustly withholds from her 64 marks, whence she has suffered damage to the value of 20 marks.

Hubert comes by his attorney, and they are agreed, and Hubert gives *40s.* for licence to agree. The agreement is as follows: Hubert acknowledges the debt to Isabel, whereof he will pay her 16 marks at St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August] 1241, 16 marks at Michaelmas next following, 16 marks at Christmas next following, and 16 marks at mid Lent [30 March] next following. And if he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands. Isabel remits the damages.

**434** *Chilterns* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Richard de la Lee, father of Christine and Alice, was seised of 6 a. land in Mapledurham, of which Peter the miller and Emma his wife hold 4 a. and Robert le Clerk 2 a.

They come and call Christine to warrant. She comes and warrants them and says that the assize ought not to lie, since she says that the land is her right and inheritance from her mother Emma. So that on another occasion Christine claimed the land before the justices of the bench against Alice, who is her sister only on her father's side, and against Oreng, Alice's mother, who held one third in dower. Oreng came in the bench and called Alice to warrant; she warranted her and herself called Hugh de Gurnay to warrant, who came into court and by the judgement of the court went back on his warranty. Afterwards Alice objected that Christine could have no right in that land because she was a bastard. So the plea was transferred to the court Christian where Christine proved that she was legitimate. So by the judgement of the court she recovered her seisin of the land against Alice, as the right and inheritance of her mother Emma.

Alice conceded it. So it is adjudged that they hold in peace and Alice in mercy. She is poor.

See no. 366.

**435** *Bucks.* Rose widow of Erneis de Turreville, who brought a writ of dower against the abbot of Missenden and others, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, that is Walter Scuret of Bourton and William of Twyford of Aynho.

See no. 296.

**436** *Oxon.* Gillian wife of Adam son of John appoints Adam her husband her attorney against Peter son of William of Barton; plea of land.

**437** *Suffolk* Thomas Craue and Simon Siward appoint William Humphrey their attorney against Olive widow of Robert de Frayn; plea of dower.

See no. 498.

**438** Thomas Greasley appoints John de Wyketoft <Wykinton> his attorney against Robert of Pyrton; plea of land <warranty of charter>. And against William of Golder; plea of custom and service.

See no. 443.

**439** Maud de Gurnay appoints Richard of Coleshill her attorney against the abbot of Thame; plea of novel disseisin.

**440** Emma wife of Robert Brand appoints Laurence de Scaccario her attorney against Richard of Stonor; plea of warranty of charter.

See no. 582.

**441** Robert Brand appoints Richard Danvers his attorney against the same about the same.



**442** *Banbury* Walter Black and Alice his wife, Robert de Lothenfeld and Gillian his wife, and William of Stanford and Parnel his wife claimed in the county court against Alice daughter of William Damel 1 messuage in Banbury, and against Hugh Hurtle 1 messuage in the same town, as the right of Alice, Gillian, and Parnel. Alice and Hugh placed themselves on the king's grand assize. Since the town of Banbury is of the king's ancient demesne, it is adjudged that the grand assize cannot be taken, but an inquisition should be made on the oath of 12 law-worthy men of Banbury into the rights of the tenants and the claimants.

The jurors, chosen by consent of the parties, come and say that Alice and Hugh have more right in the messuages than the claimants. So it is adjudged that they should hold in peace, and the others in mercy for a false claim, by surety of Geoffrey Uhete, Henry Aylward, Adam Dreng, and Richard Black.

See no. 132.

**443** Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph de Saucey, John le Mire, and Henry Pipard, 4 lawful knights summoned to elect 12 to make recognition of the grand assize between Thomas Greasley, plaintiff, and William of Golder, deforciant, of custom and services which Thomas exacts from William for 1 hide in Golder. Whereon William, who is the tenant, placed himself on the king's grand assize and claimed a recognition whether he owes Thomas the service of  $\frac{1}{5}$  knight's fee for that land, as he recognises, or whether he owes the service Thomas exacts, especially ploughing service of 1 a. land for winter sowing at Thomas's expense and 1 man to reap Thomas's corn for 1 day in harvest, with food provided by Thomas. They come and have elected these: [blank in MS.]

Afterwards an agreement was reached and William of Golder gives 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of Thomas Greasley.

See no. 438; *Oxon. Fines*, 122.

**444** *Essex, Cambs.* Day given to Maud of Heybridge, claimant, and Roger of Huntingfield and Joan his wife, tenants; plea of 57 a. land in Heybridge, Essex, and of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in Litlington, Cambs.; at Canterbury one month after Trinity, at the prayer of the parties. Meanwhile the sheriff is ordered to make an extent of all the lands and tenements which belonged to William of Heybridge, father of Maud and Joan, except for the capital messuage of 'Cuheye' in Cambridgeshire and the capital messuage of 'Mikelington' in Essex, and to make it known at the said term by his letters.

Cf. no. 571.

**445** *Lincs.* John son of Osbert of Lincoln was summoned to answer the master of the Knights Templar in England; plea of by what right he exercises common of pasture in the Templars' lands in Swinethorpe, whereas the master has no common in John's lands, nor does John do him service whereby he ought to have common in his lands.

John comes and calls to warrant Ernald du Boys. Let him have him at Canterbury 15 days after Trinity, by aid of the court.

See no. 410.

**446** *Chiltern* Assize of nuisance to declare whether the abbot of Thame unjustly raised a dike in Wyfold to the nuisance of Thomas Siward's free holding in Mapledurham. The abbot says that the assize ought not to be held, since he did not raise the dike unjustly but by Thomas's consent. He proffers a deed to which Thomas's seal is attached. Thomas cannot deny it, so he is *in mercy* for a false claim.

**447** *Chiltern* Robert of Chineham and Hawise his wife, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against William of Englefield for their free holding in Shiplake, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting are in mercy, namely John Attewall of Shiplake and Simon Forthmorewe.

**448** *Northants.* A jury comes to declare whether 4 yardlands in Higham are free alms belonging to Master Hubert of Godmanstone's church of Higham, or a lay fee belonging to Ellis Barun, Ellis son of Ralph, Simon Sweet, Robert le Noble, and Walter Spek, of which Ellis Barun holds  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands, Ellis son of Ralph 1 yardland, Simon Sweet  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardland, Robert Noble  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardland, and Walter Spek  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardland.

They come and Ellis Barun says that he does not hold the whole  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands, since Ralph Gestegod and Sarah his wife hold 1 a., and for the rest he says that the church was never in seisin of that land nor its service. The others say the same, and thereon they place themselves on the jury. Stephen of Newton, Robert le Sauvage, and William son of Gilbert son of Ives, recognitors, have not come, so they are *in mercy*.

The jury say that Ellis holds the whole  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands. They say that all of them hold the land of the church as its lay fee, and that the church was never in seisin of that land but only of its service. So it is adjudged that they should hold in peace by the service which belongs to that land and which they are accustomed to do; and the parson is *in mercy*.

**449** *Northants.* Ralph de Carun and Flandrina his wife give 20s. for licence to agree with William de Nowers and Isabel his wife, John de Frayn and Loretta his wife; plea of advowson; by surety of the same William.

**450** *Beds.* Valentine, widow of Nicholas of Laund, claims against William de Eltendon one third of  $\frac{1}{3}$  knight's fee in Totternhoe as her dower from Nicholas.

William comes and calls to warrant Maud and Alice, daughters of Nicholas of Laund. Let him have them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, by aid of the court. Let them be summoned in Buckinghamshire. Valentine appoints Robert de Meysy her attorney. William appoints Niel son of Thomas his attorney.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 138.

**451** *Chiltern* Henry son of Alexander, who brought a writ of mort d'ancestor against William de Scalebrok about a holding in Haseley, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting are *in mercy*, namely Matthew of Golder and Simon of Clare.

**452** *Thame* Robert son of John, who brought an assize of mort d'ancestor against Gilbert Wiser and others about a holding in Tythrop, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Robert Sperewe and Richard Clec.

**453** *Leics.* Hugh vicar of Ryton and Ralph his brother presented themselves on the 4th day against Master John archdeacon of Leicester, Master Geoffrey de Warr, and Robert de Burkeston dean of Framland, on a plea of demanding why they hold pleas in court Christian concerning chattels which do not relate to a will or a marriage, contrary to the prohibition. They have not come. The sheriff is ordered [sic] that they have no lay fees by which they can be distrained, so the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to make them come to Canterbury 40 days after Trinity. *Old case.*

**454** *Wootton* Peter son of William of Barton, who brought a writ (of entry) against Adam of Studham about a holding in [Westcott] Barton, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John Cook and Walter Miller.

**455** *Oxon.* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Philip the glover, father of John, was seised of a messuage in Crowmarsh [Gifford] which Roger Waleman holds. Roger comes and says that he cannot be impleaded over the messuage, as he is the countess of Oxford's villein and holds in villeinage. It is adjudged that Roger is without day, and John is *in mercy*. He is poor. He may proceed against the countess if he wishes.

**456** Andrew le Blund gives 20s. for licence to agree with Roger de Quincy earl of Winchester; plea of estovers.

See no. 277; *Oxon. Fines*, 116.

**457** *Notts.* Day given to John of Gaddesden, claimant, by his attorney, and to Maud Hosee, defendant, by her attorney, about the manor of 'Egrum', day after Ascension day at Lambeth, at the prayer of the parties.

See no. 515.

m. 10]

**458** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Reynold Foster unjustly disseised John le Mire of his common of pasture in Lyneham. Reynold has not come, nor was he attached, since he was not found, so let the assize be taken against him by default.

The jury say that Reynold disseised John, so it is considered that he should recover his seisin by view of recognitors, and Reynold is *in mercy*. *Damages 12d.*

**459** *Dorchester* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Nicholas formerly abbot of Eynsham and Gilbert of Gloucester unjustly disseised Roger de Hide of his common of pasture in [South] Stoke and Woodcote.

Nicholas has not come, but Richard Mace, steward of Eynsham, comes and answers for him. He and Gilbert say nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Abbot Nicholas when he was abbot of Eynsham alienated 2 a. land in which Roger has rights of common. A certain William held them, who afterwards exchanged them with the abbot for other lands. Concerning certain other commons about which Roger complains, they say that the abbot divided his lands into 3 parts when they had earlier been divided into 2 parts, and so Roger was disseised of a third part. They say that neither Abbot Nicholas nor Gilbert of Gloucester, who is now abbot, disseised Roger, since Abbot John, their predecessor, divided the land into 3 parts, and if Roger was disseised he was disseised by Abbot John. So it is adjudged that Roger should have his seisin in the 2 a. land, and Abbot Nicholas *in mercy*. Roger *in mercy* for a false claim against them for the common which he claims in the lands divided into 3 parts.

Afterwards the abbot came and offered the king *1 mark* to have the judgement of 24, and it is received, by sureties William Golder and William Morin, who are likewise sureties for prosecuting.

Damages 2s.

See *Eynsham Cart.* i (Oxf. Hist. Soc. xlix), 206.

**460** The same Roger, who brought a writ against the abbot of Eynsham for 3 yardlands in [South] Stoke and Woodcote, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Gilbert de Chalcor and Peter son of Siward.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2044.

**461** *Gloucs. Somerset* Nicholas son of Roger and Gwenlian his wife claim against John Bretasch one third of the manor of Shenington, one third of the manor of 'Alurington' except 1 yardland, and one third of 1½ the manor of Backwell in Somerset as her dower from John le Sor, her first husband.

John comes and as bailiff of Earl R[ichard] and by licence and consent of the abbot of Beaulieu, Robert de Asthall, and William of York provost of Beverley, keepers of the earl's lands in England, surrenders her dower to them. Nicholas son of Roger gives 1½ mark for licence to agree, and so he is without day, and they are to have their seisin.

Nicholas acknowledges that he owes the earl 235 marks for custody of the land and heir of John le Sor, of which he will pay 80 marks at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 at St. James's in Bristol, 80 marks the following Easter [20 April 1242] at the same place, and 75 marks at the following feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] in the same place. If he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

See nos. 143, 295. *Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 127-8.

**462** Laurence del Brok gives 1 mark for licence to agree with William son of Bartholomew and John Terry; plea of land.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 120–1, 123.

**463** *Beds.* The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Saer of Pattishall [Wahull], plea that he keep the fine made between them before the itinerant justices at Newport Pagnel over 2 a. land at Brogborough [in Ridgmont]. Saer has not come, and he was given a day in the bench to this day. So let him be distrained to be at Lambeth on the Sunday after Ascension day.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 138–9.

**464** *Chiltern* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Thomas of Englefield, father of Thomas of Englefield, was seised of 5 a. land in Aston [Rowant], 7 a. land in Mongewell, and 21 a. in Shiplake, of which Robert de Coppedemere holds 5 a., Robert de la Greyhone 7 a., William of Englefield 20 a., and Geoffrey le Meger 1 a.

They come, and Robert de la Greyhone and (Robert de Coppedemere) come and give up the land to him. Let him have his seisin, and Robert *in mercy* for unjust detention. William comes and calls to warrant Roger de Wimbervill, who is present and says he has neither day nor summons to reply to that warranty, nor can he reply further unless the court has given judgement.

Afterwards Roger comes and warrants him, and they are agreed, and Roger gives 1½ mark for licence to agree, and Adam of Spaldington, Thomas's surety, gives 1 mark by surety of Robert de Coppedemere and Robert of Clare.

Geoffrey comes and calls to warrant Robert of Chineham. Let him have him at Canterbury in the octave of Trinity, by aid of the court. Robert is to be summoned in Hampshire.

**465** *Oxon.* William son of John Clement presented himself on the 4th day against John son of William Beneyt, plea of a messuage in Kirtlington which he claims as his right. John has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that the messuage be taken into the king's hand, and John is to be summoned to be at *Westminster* 3 weeks after Michaelmas.

**466** *Chiltern* Maud de Gurnay, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Roger de Cundicote about a blocked road in Mapledurham and against Geoffrey of Cholsey about a ditch in the same place, comes and withdraws herself. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Aluric of Mapledurham and Henry de la Tyuel and Thomas Siward.

See no. 249.

**467** *Chiltern* Ralph of Hardwick, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Maud and others over common of pasture in Mapledurham, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert de la Greyhone and Henry Wace <War?>.

**468** *Chiltern* Geoffrey of Cholsey, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Maud about common of pasture in Mapledurham, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William du Boys of Caversham and Ralph his brother.

See no. 249.

**469** *Chiltern* The same Geoffrey, who brought a writ of trespass against the same Maud and others, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Ralph de Druval and Robert de Greyhone.

See no. 249.

**470** *Oxon.* William Puignant gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with the abbot of Oseney, plea of land and plea of pasture, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 109.

**471** Richard son of John of Heythrop gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel, sister of Maud and Helen; plea of assize of mort d'ancestor.

See nos. 293, 586; *Oxon. Fines*, 115-16.

**472** Sarah widow of Stephen of Fritwell appoints Robert of Fritwell her attorney against Richard son of Alan and William his brother, plea of naifty.

**473** *Northants.* Flandrina, wife of Ralph de Carun, appoints Ralph her husband her attorney against William de Nowers and Isabel his wife and their parceners, plea of darrein presentment.

Isabel, wife of William de Nodarus, appoints William her husband her attorney against the same, same plea.

See no. 449.

**474** *Yorks.* Day given to Richard de Gaham, claimant, and Walter de Hemmington and Joan his wife, to levy chirograph; 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury. Joan appoints Walter her attorney.

See nos. 70, 421.

**475** Roger le Franceis and Alice his wife, by their attorney, claim against Matthew de Coudrey one third of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  knight's fee in Alkerton as Alice's dower from her former husband, Thomas.

And Matthew comes and calls to warrant Amaury of St. Amand who is in the Holy Land. Let him have him at the arrival of the justices, by aid of the court.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1956.

**476** *Somerset* Day given to Robert of Newton, claimant, and James of Orchard, tenant, about the manor of Orchard; at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 128.

**477** Robert de Padenhale gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with John of Wytham, plea of land, by surety of Robert of Hendred. The agreement is as follows: Robert recognises the land to be John's right and in accordance with the purport of his charter he warrants him.

**478** *Thame* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Asgar the smith, father of Agnes, was seised of 1 yardland in Tetsworth which Robert son of William holds. Robert comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Asgar did not die seised, so it is adjudged that Agnes take nothing by the assize; and she is in mercy for a false plea. She is poor.

**479** Isolde <Joan> of Brightwell gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Henry Belejambe and Margery his wife, plea of land, by surety of the same Henry.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 122.

**480** Ralph Hareng appoints Geoffrey de Bruges his attorney against Nicholas of Haversham, plea of warranty of charter.

See nos. 102, 201.

**481** *Oxon.* Helen, wife of Laurence de Scaccario, appoints her husband Laurence her attorney against the prioress of Littlemore, plea of assize of mort dancestor.

See nos. 273, 471, 586.

m. 10d.]

**482** *Oxon.* John de Plescy, by his attorney, and Drew de Barentine claim against Alexander the vintner of Wallingford  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands in Gangsdown [in Nuffield] as their right, in which Alexander had entry only by William son of Geoffrey who held it in villeinage. On this Adam de Horiz comes and says that he is in seisin of the land, and Alexander ought to warrant it to him. Alexander warranted him according to the purport of his charter.

Alexander comes and admits that he had entry into the land by William, as the writ says, but he denies that William held in villeinage, and says he held freely and was a free man. Thereon he puts himself on the country. And John and Hugh [sic] likewise. And Alexander gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have a jury, by surety of [blank].

Afterwards an agreement was made, and Alexander gave  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree, by surety of Adam de Horiz. The agreement is as follows: John and Drew grant Alexander and his heirs the land to hold in peace for ever by the service which belongs to it, for 14 marks, of which he is to pay them 7 marks at Wallingford at Whitsun [19 May] 1241 and 7

marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following. For this Alexander found sureties, namely Nicholas de Stallis, Stephen his brother, John Robechild and Adam de Horiz, who make themselves principals of the debt at the above terms if he defaults, and he agrees that they may be distrained for it.

**483** William Talemasc claims against the abbot of Thame 12 a. land in Stoke Talmage as his right, into which the abbot had entry only by Helen daughter of Avice, to whom Avice Talemasc, who held in dower by gift of her husband Richard Talemasc William's grandfather, whose heir he is, granted it.

The abbot comes and denies force and injury and the entry, and says that he had entry by his predecessor who died seised, and who had entry by Helen, to whom Peter Talemasc, William's father, gave the land with other lands by charter, which the abbot proffers and which attests this. Afterwards the parties agreed by licence, and the abbot gave  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 116; cf. no. 572, below.

**484** *Warws.* Alice widow of Hugh le Franceis claims against Reynold of Stretton one third of 20 a. land in Stretton as her dower. And Reynold comes and says he cannot answer the writ without the prior of the Hospital of Jerusalem in England, for he says that he is the prior's villein and holds the land in villeinage of him, and Alice cannot deny this. So Reynold is without day, and Alice may proceed against the prior if she wishes. She is poor.

**485** *Chilterns* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether John Hereward and Emma his wife and William Wither unjustly disseised Ralph son of Richard of his free holding in Benson.

John and the others come and say that the assize should not be held, since Benson is of the king's ancient demesne where the writ of novel disseisin does not run. Ralph cannot deny this, so *in mercy*.

**486** *Lincs.* Cecily widow of John Brisabon presented herself on the 4th day against William son of Edeline and Maud his wife, plea of a moiety of 1 messuage in Stamford, which she claims as her dower. They have not come. Adjudged that the moiety be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be present at the coming of the justices.

**487** *Norfolk* Cecily widow of Richard the cooper presented herself on the 4th day against the prior of Thetford, plea of a moiety of 6 a. land in Honingham; and against John son of Gervase, plea of a moiety of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill, both of which she claims in dower.

They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the moieties be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.



**488** *Rutland* Hugh, parson of the church of Ketton, presented himself on the 4th day against Richard de Lindon, plea that he permit him to have common of fishery which he ought and used to have in the waters of the Welland.

Richard has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas.

**489** *Norfolk, Suffolk* Alice of Creeping Hall presented herself on the 4th day against John de Geddinge, plea that he pay her 19 marks which he owes and unjustly withholds.

John has not come. He has made many defaults, so that the sheriff was ordered not to omit, because of the liberty of [the abbey of Bury] St. Edmund, to distrain him by his lands, and to have his body before the justices. The sheriff sends word that John is staying in London, so he is ordered not to omit, because of the liberty of St. Edmund, to take into the king's hands all John's lands in his bailiwick, both in Norfolk and in Suffolk, and to have his person at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, if he has been found.

**490** *Beds.* Nicholas son of Robert, essoiner of the prior of Caldwell, presented himself on the 4th day against William de Beauchamp of Eton, plea that he warrant him 1 yardland in Sandy and the advowson of the church there, which he holds and claims to hold from him, whereof he had and has his charter.

William has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that he be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 139.

**491** *Wallingford* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Reynold of Whitchurch unjustly disseised Roger of Harpsden of his land in Harpsden abutting Roger's lands, about 30 ft. in one place, about 20 ft. in another place, about 15 ft. in a third place, and about 4 ft. in a fourth place.

Reynold has not come, but Peter de Barewe his bailiff comes and answers for him and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Reynold disseised Roger as the writ says. So it is adjudged that Roger recover his seisin by view, and Reynold *in mercy*.

*Damages* 1d.

**492** *Wallingford* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Roger of Harpsden unjustly disseised Reynold of Whitchurch of his meadow in Harpsden abutting Roger's land: 12 ft. in one place by his arable land and 6 ft. in another place.

Roger comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Roger disseised Reynold as the writ says. So it is adjudged that Reynold recover his seisin by view, and Roger *in mercy*.

*Damages* 6d.

**493** *Wootton* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Robert del Brok unjustly disseised Erneis son of Rannulf and Maud his wife of 1½ yardland and 1 messuage in Little Tew.

Robert comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Roger Runcy, John of Barton, and Stephen Runcy, recognitors, have not come, so *in mercy*.

The jurors say that Erneis is a villein and has no free holding. So it is adjudged that he gain nothing by the assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false plea. He is poor.

**494** *Banbury* Erneis son of Richard claims against Richard son of John 2 parts of 1 message in Banbury as his right and into which Richard had no entry except by John Beau to whom Alice widow of Hereward Buche, who held them in dower of the gift of Hereward, whose heir Erneis is, demised them.

Richard comes and says he cannot reply since he is under age and his father died seised; he claims his *age*. So they go without day.

**495** The prior of [Cold] Norton gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Richard of Swerford, plea of warranty of charter, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 116.

**496** Bigota widow of Robert Norman appoints Stephen de Stallis her attorney against William Cross, plea [illegible]

**497** Edith Pavi appoints Nicholas de Stallis her attorney against the same, same plea.

m. 11]

**498** *Suffolk* Olive widow of Robert de Frayn claims against Thomas Craue a moiety of 4 a. land in Framsdon; and against Simon Siward and William Humphrey a moiety of 26 a. land in the same vill; and against the same William a moiety of 7 a. land in the same vill; and against John of Bocking a moiety of 25 a. land in Helmingham; and against the same John one third of 4 a. in the same vill; and against Gilbert Altave one third of 12 a. in Rushmere (and 4s. 11d. rent); and against the same Gilbert a moiety of 2 a. in the same vill; and against John de Beaumeis one third of 10½ a. in the same vill; and against Walter de Leiston one third of 4 a. land in the same vill, as her dower from Robert her former husband.

And they all come, and all, except John of Bocking, call to warrant (William son and heir of Robert, who is under age and in wardship to Hamo Pecche), Robert Aguillium, John of Tuddenham and Brian of Ringshall, keepers of Robert's land. John of Bocking, for the 4 a. of which she claims a third part, calls to warrant Robert Aguillium as one of the keepers of the said land, since Robert's heir is in the wardship of Hamon Pecche and holds nothing of the land which was Robert's. For the 6 a. John calls to warrant Richard of Benhall, and for the 19 a. Philip de Wumeston. Let him have them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by aid of the court. John appoints Reynold his brother (or Roger of Thorp) his attorney.

**499** *Bampton* Stephen of Fritwell claims against the abbot of Thame 4 a. land in Brize Norton as his right, into which the abbot had no entry except by his predecessor Abbot Laurence to whom Stephen of Fritwell, Stephen's father whose heir he is, granted them for a term which has expired.

The abbot comes and denies force and injury and admits Laurence's entry, but says Laurence had entry by Stephen of Fritwell's father who enfeoffed him by his charter which he proffers and which attests this.

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Stephen gave 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of the abbot.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 122.

**500** *Chilterns* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Thomas of Greasley unjustly disseised Ralph Chenduit of 1 perch of land in Cuxham.

Thomas comes and says that he is in seisin of the land, and places himself on the assize. Ellis of Wheatfield and Adam of Watlington and Richard son of William, jurors, have not come, so *in mercy*. The jurors say that Ralph is in seisin of the land, therefore it is adjudged that Thomas go without day, and Ralph is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 112; cf. nos. 261, 530.

**501** *Wallingford* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Gilbert Wigod unjustly disseised Ralph son of Roger of 12 a. land in Aston [Rowant]. Gilbert comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Gilbert disseised him as the writ says, so it is adjudged that Ralph recover his seisin and Gilbert *in mercy*. *Damages* 36s. 8d.

**502** *Bucks.* Nicholas of Weedon gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Geoffrey of Cholsey, plea of custom and service, by surety of the same Geoffrey.

**503** *Bucks.* Ralph of Buckland gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Philip de Beauchamp and Joan his wife, plea of land, by surety of Laurence del Brok.

**504** Savary of Walcot gives 1 mark for licence to agree with Alice widow of Reynold son of Isaac about one third of 1½ yardlands which she claims in dower, by surety of Robert Warun of Shipton and Geoffrey son of Isaac. Savary makes an exchange with Robert Warun, whom he called to warrant, for land of the same value, and Robert will give back to him the third part which Alice claims.

**505** *Oxon.* Stephen Longespee recognises that he owes Richard Basset 20 marks, of which he will pay him, at London, half at the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August] 1241, and half at the following Michaelmas [29 September]. If he does not, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

**506** Beatrice Murdac, Ralph Hareng and Alice his wife, who brought a writ against William de Dive over one third of 2 knights' fees in Deddington, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John of Haddon and Ralph Travers.

See nos. 367, 428; *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, nos. 228, 939-40, 2041.

**507** *Bucks.* The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Lucy widow of Ralph Passelewe, plea that she surrender to him John son and heir of Ralph Passelewe, whose custody belongs to him since Ralph held his lands of him by knight service. Lucy has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that she be attached to be at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension Day.

See no. 228.

**508** *Chadlington* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Walter the Falconer, brother of Margery daughter of William, was seised of 22 a. land in Enstone which Geoffrey le Kalunce holds. Geoffrey comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Walter did not die seised, so it is adjudged that Margery take nothing by that assize, and she is in mercy for a false plea. She is poor.

**509** Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Thomas of Englefield, father of Thomas who is under age, was seised of 28d. rent in Mongewell which William Hill holds, and of 2s. rent in Newnham [Murren] which William atte Wiston holds.

They come and say nothing to prevent him from having his seisin. And they are *in mercy* for wrongful detention; sureties William Hill, William de Wike, and Robert Aleyn.

**510** *Northampton* Thomas le Waleis gives  $1\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Alice daughter of Simon le Waleis, by surety of Hugh de Tivill; plea of land.

**511** *Bullington* John son of Eustace who brought an assize of mort dancestor against Alice of Wheatley about his free holding in Wheatley, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William Gernun and Robert Gernun.

**512** Ralph de Saucey, Giles of Berkeley, and John le Mire give 10s. for licence to agree with Hawise de Gray, plea of by what right.

**513** *Berks.* Isabel de Mortimer appoints Nicholas le Ostricer her attorney against Robert de Shortcumbe, plea of waste.

See no. 22.

**514** Philippa, wife of Richard Siward, appoints John the Fleming or William le Sauser her attorney against Nicholas of Headington and others in a writ of novel disseisin.

**515** *Notts.* Maud Hosee appoints Alured Colekin her attorney against John of Gaddesden, plea of warranty of charter.

**516** Roger de Rumilly gives 1 *mark* for licence to agree with Richard de Amundevill and Clemence his wife, plea of land whence the grand assize, by surety of Laurence del Brok.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 123.

m. 11d.]

**517** *Norfolk* William Mauduit and Joan his wife, by Joan's attorney, claim against Robert du Boys 2 ploughlands and 37s. rent in Fersfield, except for 58 a. wood in Kenninghall, as Joan's right.

Robert comes and says that he ought not to answer this writ because the countess of Warenne [Maud Warenne, countess of Surrey] holds about 3 a. wood and pasture in Lopham which belong to the 2 ploughlands. William and Joan cannot deny this, so they are *in mercy* for a false claim.

**518** The same Robert against the same; *in mercy* for wrongful detention, as appears in the roll of the itinerant justices in Cambridgeshire.

**519** *Bampton* Henry de la Wade claims against William Amyot 2½ yardlands in Brize Norton as his right by writ precipe, of which Henry de la Wade his father was seised in the time of King John, taking profits to the value of 1½ mark. From Henry the right in that land descended to the Henry who now claims as son and heir. That such is his right, he offers to prove.

William comes and denies his right and seisin and everything, and places himself on the king's grand assize and claims a recognition whether he has the greater right to hold that land of Henry, or Henry to hold in demesne. Bardulf of Chastleton, Henry Pipard, Ralph de Saucey and John le Mire, 4 knights chosen to choose 12 come and choose [blank] Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Henry gave 1 mark for licence to agree, by surety of William.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 118-19.

**520** Assize of nuisance to declare whether Roger Gernun, Ralph Talemash, Hugh le Long, William Buche, and Richard Kene unjustly knocked down a dike in Stoke Talmage to the nuisance of Robert son of Ralph's free holding in the same vill.

They all come and say nothing to stay the assize. Guy son of Robert, one of the jurors, has not come, so he is *in mercy*. The jurors say that Roger and the others knocked down the dike as the writ says. Therefore it is adjudged that the dike be re-erected as it used to be. Roger and the others are in mercy for trespass. Sureties for Roger's amercement, William Bucke, Hugh le Long; sureties for Richard, Roger Gernun, Hugh Long; sureties for Ralph, Richard Kene, William Talemash; sureties for Hugh, William Canon and William Talemash. *Damages* 1½ mark.

Robert Race is in mercy for holding an inquisition in the hundred court about the dike.

**521 Hunts.** Thomas d'Oilly and Sarah his wife claim against Richard of Ripton one third of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands in [Abbot's] Ripton and Wennington as Sarah's dower from William of Ripton, her first husband.

Richard comes and claims a view and has it. Day given them at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas. Meanwhile the sheriff is to arrange the view. Sarah appoints Thomas her husband her attorney.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1964.

**522 Banbury Assize** of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Robert Hautein [or Althein] brother of Richard was seised of 1 yardland in Banbury which William Tew holds. He comes and calls to warrant Robert son of Robert Althein, who comes and warrants him, and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jurors say that Robert did not die seised, since he held for the term of his life. So it is adjudged that Richard take nothing by the assize, and his is *in mercy* for a false plea.

Cf. no. 385.

**523 Bucks.** Rannulf le Franceis presented himself on the 4th day against Simon de Norwick, plea of why he made waste and destruction of lands and woods of Rannulf's inheritance in Lavendon which he held in wardship, disinheriting Rannulf contrary to the prohibition. Simon has not come, and he was attached by John of St. Medard and John de Bidon. Let him be placed under better sureties to be at Westminster 15 days after Michaelmas.

**524** Robert of Chilham and Clemence his wife were attached to answer Geoffrey Marmion, plea of why they made waste, sale, and destruction of lands, houses, woods, and gardens in Checkendon which they hold as Clemence's dower. Geoffrey claims that after the king's prohibition they made waste and sale and destruction, whence he has suffered damages to the value of 20 marks.

Robert and Clemence come by Clemence's attorney and deny force and injury and place themselves on a jury to inquire into the waste. Geoffrey likewise. So let there be an inquisition.

Afterwards an agreement is reached, and Geoffrey gives 20s. and Robert gives 20s. for licence to agree, by surety of Geoffrey. The agreement is as follows: Robert and Clemence grant to Geoffrey all the land which they hold as Clemence's dower for 5 marks a year for Clemence's life.

Cf. *Bk. of Fees*, 829.

**525** Olive widow of Robert de Frayn presented herself on the 4th day against Robert son of Philip, plea of one third of 3 a. land in 'Wyneston'; and against John of Tuddenham, plea of one third of 5 a. land in Little Bricett; and against the same John, plea of one third of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in the same vill; and against William Talemash, plea of one third of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  a. wood and 1 a. land in the same vill; and against William Dent, plea of a moiety of 3 roods land in Caldewell; and against Austin of Westerfield, plea of one third of 2s. rent in Westerfield; and against John de Watheston, plea of a moiety of 40d. rent in Boyton; and against

Robert of Darnford, plea of a moiety of 10 a. brush in Darnford. Which third parts and moieties she claims in dower against them.

They have not come, and summonses were issued. Adjudged that the third parts and moieties be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1958.

**526** *Oxon.* Alice widow of John le Breton claims against Richard de la Mare one third of 14 a. land and a messuage in Kingston [Blount] as her dower from John her husband.

Richard comes and calls to warrant Walter son of John. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. To be summoned in Berkshire.

**527** *Herts.* Maud widow of Ralph son of Richard presented herself on the 4th day against William Bonserjant, plea of one third of 3 a. land and 3s. rent in Berkhamstead as her dower. William has not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the third be taken into the king's hand. William is summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

**528** *Bucks.* Maud widow of Richard de Sifrewast, by her attorney, claims against Hugh de Bray and Beatrice his wife one third of 100s. rent in Chesham as her dower from Richard.

Hugh and Beatrice come and call to warrant John de la Mare, who comes by summons and warrants them. He calls to warrant Richard son of Richard de Sifrewast. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. He is to be summoned in Berkshire.

**529** *Wootton* Walter the miller and Osanna his wife claim against William son of Gilbert the miller one third of a mill and  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland (except  $\frac{1}{2}$  a.) in [Steeple] Barton; and against the master of St. John's hospital, Oxford, one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. in the same vill, as Osanna's dower from Gilbert her first husband.

William and the master come, and the master calls William to warrant, who says that he is under age and when he comes of age will show good cause why he ought not to warrant him. So it is adjudged that they have the issues of William's land to the value of one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. until William comes of age, and then if he wishes let him proceed against the master of the hospital. As for the third part which they claim against William, he returns it to them by licence. Let them have their seisin. Be it known that the master handed over to William, before the justices, his father's charter which he had about William's mill.

**530** Ralph Chenduit and Thomas Greasley acknowledge that they have made an agreement about common of pasture which Ralph claims in the meadows and fields of Pyrton, as the chirograph made between them fully testifies.

See nos. 112, 261; P.D.A Harvey, *Cuxham Manorial Rec.* (Oxon. Rec. Soc. l), pp. 94-5.

**531** *Yorks.* Master John [Romanus], subdean of York, appoints William the chaplain or Henry Denon or John of Hessle his attorney against the abbot of Byland, plea of warranty of charter.

m. 12]

**532** *Bampton* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Gerard de Oddingeseles, Robert of Mapledurham, James Potekin, and Roger the reeve unjustly disseised Henry de Pinkeney <Plukeny> of his free holding in Broadwell.

Gerard has not come, but Robert of Mapledurham his bailiff comes and acknowledges the disseisin. For this Henry forgives him the damages. They are all *in mercy*. Roger has not come, and he was attached by Walter the miller of Broadwell and Adam de Suthill. So they are *in mercy*.

See nos. 186, 289.

**533** *Ploughley* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Pagan of Heyford, father of Adam, was seised of 2 yardlands in Heyford which Thomas the tailor holds. Thomas comes and calls to warrant Margery de Rivers, countess of the Isle of Wight. Let him have her at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension day, by aid of the court. The same day is given to all the recognitors who came.

**534** *Ploughley* Robert of Bainton was summoned to answer Robert Purcel, plea that he do him the customs and rightful services for his free holding which he holds of him in Bainton. Robert Purcel says that Robert holds of him  $\frac{1}{2}$  hide and  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Bainton by service of 7s. a year, and ought to perform 2 harvest services with food provided by him, Robert Purcel, and come with his wife to his house within the Christmas season with 4 loaves of bread, 6 hens, and 6 gallons of ale, and eat with Robert Purcel there. That Robert of Bainton was seised in the time of the present king by that service, and that he owes him that service for the land, he offers to prove.

Robert of Bainton comes and denies force and injury and admits that he holds the land of Robert Purcel, but says that he holds by service of 6s. a year for all services and 12d. hidage. He puts himself on the king's grand assize, and claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold his land of Robert Purcel by service of 6s. a year for all service and 12d. hidage, as he admits, or to hold the land by service of 7s., 2 reaping services with food, and bringing 4 loaves, 6 hens, and 6 gallons of ale to Robert Purcel's house at Christmas, as Robert Purcel says. Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph de Saucey, Gilbert de Hide, and John le Mire, 4 knights chosen to choose 12, come and choose [blank].

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Robert of Bainton gives 20s. for licence to agree, by surety of Roger of Cottisford and Robert de Buckill.

See no. 632; *Oxon. Fines*, 115.

**535** Ralph Chenduit gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Agnes de Verdun, plea of custom and service.



**536** *Dorchester* Alice widow of Simon of Drayton, who brought a writ of entry against the abbot of Dorchester, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert le Simple and Walter of Clifton.

Afterwards the abbot comes and by licence gives her  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land which she claims against him. Let her have her seisin.

**537** Geoffrey Cross gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Nicholas of Widford, plea of 12 a. land in Swinbrook. The agreement is as follows: Nicholas is to keep the whole for 22s. which he pays Geoffrey.

**538** *Dorchester* [sic] The same 4 knights [as in no. 534] chosen to choose 12 to make a recognition of the grand assize between Peter son of Adam, plaintiff, and William le Buler, tenant, of a messuage in St. Clement's outside Oxford [in Bullingdon hundred], over which William put himself on the king's grand assize and claimed a recognition which of them had the greater right in the land, come and choose these [blank]. Afterwards an agreement was reached, and William gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree, by surety of Peter.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 111–12.

**539** *Chadlington* Alma de Rumilly, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Richard de Amundeville and Clemence his wife and Robert the carter about a dike knocked down in Pudlicote, has not prosecuted. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John Lunel <Linell> and Geoffrey Cross.

**540** Stephen son of Stephen of Fritwell claims against Laurence del Brok  $2\frac{1}{2}$  hides less 1 yardland in Fritwell; and against Roger Foliot a mill in the same vill; and against Matthew le Bedel  $2\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill, as his right.

Afterwards Stephen comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ. Let him have it.

See no. 324.

**541** *Oxon.* Maud and Agnes of Witney, sisters, appoint Thomas le Merchant, Maud's husband, their attorney against John of Tew, plea of mort dancestor.

See no. 570.

**542** *Wallingford* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Stephen Cross, father of Roger, was seised of 4 a. land in Newnham [Murren] which Richard Slav holds. Richard comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is as follows: Roger releases the whole to Richard, and Richard gives Roger 33s.

**543** *Chilterns* John Brokepeny gives 20s. for licence to agree with Matthew of Golder, plea of custom, by surety of Matthew. The agreement is as follows: Matthew agrees that John may hold 7 yardlands of him by the service which belongs to that land, which is set out in his deed.

**544** *Bucks.* Henry de Scaccario was summoned to answer John Mansel, plea that he acquit him of the service which Geoffrey de Mandeville demands from him for his free holding which he holds of Henry in Missenden. John complains that whereas he ought to hold the holding for 4s. a year for all services, Geoffrey de Mandeville distrains him for homage, relief, and suit of court, whereby he has suffered damage to the value of 20s.

Henry comes and readily acknowledges that he ought to acquit him, but says that he holds the holding of William son of William de Wydemere, and that William ought to warrant the holding to him, and he calls him to warrant. Let him have him at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. The same day is given to John Maunsel in the bench. Henry appoints Walter de Coglegh his attorney.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2111.

**545** *Wallingford* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether William of Leckford and Maud his wife disseised Everard de Garland of  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Aston [Rowant].

William and Maud have not come, nor were they attached, so let the assize be taken against them by default. The jurors say that William and Maud did not disseise him as the writ says, so it is adjudged that Everard take nothing by that assize, and he is in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

**546** Ralph de Normanvill appoints William of Grendon his attorney against Alice widow of Ralph de Normanvill, plea of dower.

See no. 688.

**547** *Wilts.* Beatrice de Nipred appoints Nicholas Bacon or Peter Gray her attorney against William Quintin and Edith his wife, plea of dower. Agnes, Beatrice's daughter, appoints the same attorneys against the same, plea of land.

**548** *Northants.* Alan of Maidwell acknowledges that he owes Rose de la Rokella 40 marks of the fine between them for custody of the land of Henry of Hinton. Be it known that Rose surrendered to Alan all the lands which she had in wardship of Henry's fee, and whatever she had of that fee, saving the crop on the land this year, and also all works due for hoeing and reaping and carrying. Alan will pay her half the money at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 and the other half the following Easter [20 April 1242]. If he does not do so, he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

m. 12d.]

**549** *Bedford* Ralph Morin was summoned to answer the master of the Knights Templar in England on a plea that he keep the covenant made between them about  $34\frac{1}{2}$  a. 1 rood land and 3s. rent in Harrold, and on a plea that he allow him common of pasture in 80 a. land in Harrold. The master, by his attorney, claims that, in accordance with the covenant, he returned to Ralph whatever he had or could have by the gift of Ralph

Carun and Flandrina his wife in Harrold mill with its lands, pools, fisheries, suits and other appurtenances, without retaining anything. Ralph, by the same covenant, gave the Templars, by the extent of honest men, land worth 26s. a year in his new assart; and, in accordance with the covenant, he ought to grant them right of common in the 80 a. without delay, as Godhild, Ralph's aunt, was seised of that common after the division made between Godhild and Albreda her sister on their mother's death. Ralph ought to pay the Templars 3s. a year for the land which was Ralph the reeve's. And he ought to make them his charter, and the Templars should make him their charter. Nevertheless Ralph keeps back from them 1 perch of land worth 26s. a year, and the rent; he has not made them his charter, whence they have suffered damages to the value of 10 marks.

Ralph comes and denies force and injury and freely admits the agreement and its contents, and says that he will perform it freely. He says that since the new assart is not enough land to be fully worth 26s. but lacks 1 rood, he assigned the Templars that rood elsewhere in his land, of which rood they are seised. Of the common he says that he is ready to have it extended by inquisition, according to the agreement, and to make them his charter. Of the 3s. he says that no term for payment has yet passed since the agreement was made.

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Ralph gives *1 mark* for licence to agree, by surety of the master.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 139. See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2293.

**550** *Wallingford* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Henry Pipard, father of Henry Pipard, was seised of [20 a. crossed out] 1 yardland [and 9s. 4d. rent-crossed out] in Latchford, of which Hugh of Brockhampton and Alice his wife hold two thirds and Roger of Benson and Maud his wife one third.

They come and say that they cannot answer without Ralph son of Ralph and Alice his wife, of whom they hold the land for a term. And Henry seeks licence to withdraw from his writ; let him have it.

**551** *Wootton* Cecily widow of John of the mill, by her attorney, claims against the prioress of Studley 1 yardland in Wootton as her right and marriage portion.

The prioress comes by her attorney and says that Cecily is in seisin of that land, and Cecily admits it. So the prioress goes without day, and Cecily *in mercy*, sureties Adam of Barton and Gilbert Foster.

See no. 346.

**552** Stephen of Fritwell and John le Brun presented themselves on the 4th day against Martin le Ireis and Joan his wife, plea of  $\frac{1}{4}$  hide in Foresthill which they claim as their right. They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. *Vacat.*

Entry crossed out.

**553** *Wootton* Philip son of Rayner of Deddington, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Ralph Hareng and others about a holding in Deddington, has not prosecuted; and he declared on oath because he is poor.

**554** *Herts.* Day given to Walter de Billeworth, claimant, and to Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford, whom Reynold Foster called to warrant; plea of wardship; 15 days after Michaelmas, at the prayer of the parties. *Westminster.*

**555** *Wootton* Eve widow of Roger le Clerk claims against Ralph of Tew  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Steeple Aston as her dower.

Ralph comes and says that Eve ought not to have dower there because she was never legally married to Roger. So the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to call the parties before him and inquire into the truth of the matter, and to make known the result by his letters patent.

See no. 627.

**556** *Bucks.* The abbot of Woburn, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Robert le Canceis, plea that he keep the fine made between them at Newport Pagnel about common of pasture in Little Brickhill.

Robert has not come, and he had a day in the bench to this day. So the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands into the king's hands, and to have his body at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension day.

**557** *Ploughley* Peter of Donnington was summoned to answer Richard de la Green, plea that he warrant him 1 messuage, 6 a. land,  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in Kirtlington which he holds and claims to hold of him, and whereof he has a charter of Henry of Donnington, father of Richard [sic] whose heir he is; and whence Peter impleaded in the county court and Richard called Peter to warrant by charter of Henry of Donnington, which he shows and which testifies that Henry gave William his father the messuage and land.

Peter comes and says that he ought not to warrant him the land and messuage, since he says that he holds nothing of the inheritance of his father, who gave the land and messuage to William.

Afterwards an agreement was made, and Peter gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree, by surety of Richard de la Green. The agreement is as follows: Richard recognises the land to be the right of Peter and Peter agrees that Richard and his heirs shall hold it of him and his heirs, by the service contained in the charter.

**558** *Chadlington* Ralph son of William and Margery his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Alice of Cleveley, plea of 2 parts of  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Cleveley. Alice and Ralph have not come, and they were claimants. So it is adjudged that they go without day, and Alice and Ralph *in mercy*.

**559** *Wootton* Agnes widow of Roger de Verdun, by her attorney, claims against Thomas le Franklin  $\frac{1}{3}$  yardland in Hempton as her dower. Thomas comes and by licence returns it to her. Let her have her seisin.

**560** *Wootton* Geoffrey of Barton was summoned to answer John Brebanzon and Cecily his wife, plea that he keep the covenant made between them, about a messuage in Barton. Geoffrey comes and they are agreed by licence. The agreement is as follows: Geoffrey recognises that the messuage is Cecily's right, to have to her and her heirs according to the purport of her charter.

**561** The prior of Laund gives 5 marks for licence to agree with Ralph de Carvill and Hawise his wife; plea of land, by surety of Ralph. The agreement is as follows: Ralph and Hawise recognise that 12 yardlands, 66 a. land and 36 a. meadow in Claydon are the right of the prior and his church, to have and to hold to the prior and his successors of them and their heirs, doing to the chief lords the service which belongs the the land. The prior will give them 100s. rent for their lives. The prior appoints Richard de Brauton, his canon, his attorney; and Ralph and Hawise appoint Robert de Meiby their attorney.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 117.

m. 13]

**562** *Bucks.* Henry de Nafford gives 2 marks to have a new extent of Ethewin's lands, by surety of Roger de Wimbervill.

**563** *Bucks.* Day given to the same Henry and Maud his wife, claimants, and Hugh de Tivill, at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. Meanwhile let there be an extent of the lands which belonged to Ethewin in Gravenhurst, Aspley, Kingsey, 'Sperteshale' [?Sparsholt], and Pegsdon. The sheriff is ordered to make come before him and Thomas Mansel and Peter Loreng 12 men by whom he should inquire the valuation and measurement by perches of all the lands which belonged to Ethewin in the said villis, and enquire how many acres of land Henry and Maud hold and how many acres Hugh holds. He is to make known the extent to the justices by his letters, and by 2 men.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 139-40.

**564** *Chadlington* Richard son of William claims against John son of Robert 24 a. land in [Chipping] Norton, into which he had no entry except by Robert Muyweder to whom William Lightly, Richard's father, demised it for a term which has expired.

John comes and defends his right, and says that Richard can claim no right in the land since he had an elder brother Henry, who was in seisin after the death of their father William Lightfoot, and who granted the land to him and quitclaimed it by his charter which he proffers as evidence. John says too that William, Richard's father, never granted the land to his own father Robert for a term of years, since William was never in seisin. Thereon he puts himself on the country, and Richard likewise.

The jurors say that William, father of Richard, never granted the land to Robert, since he was never in seisin. So it is adjudged that John hold in peace, and Richard *in mercy*. He is poor.

**565** *Herts.* The abbot of St. Albans was ordered to have record made in his full court of St. Albans of the plea of trespass there between William Bellory and William of Childwick in which William of Childwick claims a false judgement was given him, and to have that record before the itinerant justices at Oxford on this day by 4 free and law-worthy men of the court. On this come Thomas de Wande, Robert de Tebrigge, Peter de Bretewell, and Geoffrey Hill, for the abbot's court, and say that there was no plea in the abbot's court, but there was a plea in the hundred of Cashio between Geoffrey Bellory and William about a breach of the abbot's peace. Day given them at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

**566** *Banbury* Gilbert of Epwell and Maud his wife claim against Osbert son of Richard and Emma his wife 3 parts of a messuage in Banbury as Maud's dower from Robert Verite, her former husband.

Osbert and Emma come and call to warrant Thomas son of Robert Verite, who comes and warrants them and says she ought not to have it in dower, since neither on the day he married her nor every afterwards was Robert in seisin of that land so that he could dower her with it. On this he places himself on the jury, and she likewise.

Afterwards an agreement was reached by licence. The agreement is as follows: that Osbert and Emma should surrender all their right to Gilbert and Maud for 5s.

**567** *Chadlington* Geoffrey le Franceis, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Giles of Berkley about a holding in Over Norton, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Martin le Palmer and Robert Bokis.

Afterwards Geoffrey came and the assize was taken, as appears elsewhere.

See no. 592.

**568** *Cambs.* Richard de Amundevill presented himself on the 4th day against Nicholas of Croydon on a plea that he do him the customs and rightful services which he ought to do him for the free holding which he holds of him in Croydon. Nicholas has not come, but has made many defaults, so the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands and chattels into the king's hand, and to have him at *Westminster* 15 days after Michaelmas.

**569** *Oxon.* Peter de Barewe presented himself on the 4th day against Nicholas son of Robert and Gunnild his wife, on a plea that they warrant him  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Bolney which he holds and claims to hold of them, and whence he has their charter.

They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that they be attached in Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire to the coming of the justices.

**570** *Bampton* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether William son of Robert, uncle of Maud wife of Thomas le Merchant and Agnes her sister, was seised of 15s. rent in Astrop which John of Tew took from them.

John comes and says that he does not hold that rent, since he says that Paulinus of Northmoor, of whom he holds the land, holds the rent. They cannot deny this, so John thence without day. Let them proceed against Paulinus.

See no. 541.

**571** *Herts.* Maud of Heybridge, Roger of Huntingfield and Joan his wife, by their attorney, claim against Humphrey de Bohun earl of Hereford the manor of Nuthampstead as the right of Maud and Joan, into which the earl had entry only by the usurpation which he made of that manor after the death of Beatrice de Sumere who hold of Maud, Roger, and Joan. It should have reverted to them after Beatrice's death in accordance with the grant which Geoffrey de Mandeville earl of Essex, the predecessor of the earl of Hereford, made to William of Heybridge, father of Maud and Joan.

The earl comes and seeks a view. Let him have it. A day is given at Westminster 7 days after Michaelmas.

Cf. no. 444.

**572** *Chilterns* William Talemasc claims against Hugh le Long  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in Stoke Talmage as his right, in which Hugh had no entry except by Helen daughter of Avise Talemasc, who held in dower by gift of her husband Richard Talemasc, William's grandfather.

Hugh comes and calls Helen to warrant. She warrants him, and an agreement is made, that William give up the whole to Hugh for 5s., which he gave him. So thence without day.

Cf. no. 483.

**573** Fray Punchard gives *1 mark* for licence to agree with Ralph de Saucey, plea of pasture, and with Henry de Mare, plea of land, by surety of Richard of Brackley and John Punchard.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 113–15.

**574** Laurence del Brok gives 20s. for licence to agree with Stephen Bodin and Alice his wife, plea of land, by surety of the same Stephen.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 119.

**575** The prior of St. Frideswide's appoints his canon William of Staines or Ralph of Mildenhall his attorney against Sampson Foliot, plea of service; and against Ralph of the brewhouse, Jordan [Rufus] and Christine his wife, Simon Balehorn, and Vincent the tailor, plea of warranty.

See nos. 224, 727; *Oxon. Fines*, 122; *Cart. St. Frid.* i (Oxf. Hist. Soc. xxviii), p. 285.

**576** Stephen of Fritwell claims against Stephen Bodin and Alice his wife 1 hide in Caulcott and Heyford as his right.

Stephen and Alice come and say they cannot answer him because they do not hold the whole hide since Maud le Bedel holds 1 cottage and 1 a. and Richard of Hendred holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. Stephen cannot deny this, so he is *in mercy*. They go without day.

**577** *Berks.* The prior of St. Frideswide's recognises that he gave Henry of Bath the homage and service of Walter de Becote for 1 yardland in Everington, and he turns Walter over to Henry for the homage and service which belongs to the 1 a. Henry received his homage and made him a charter of warranty.

**578** *Sussex* Muriel de Bohun, widow of Ralph of the Heath, comes before the justices and recognises that she gave Roger of Leybourne at Michaelmas [29 September] 1241 [sic, 25 Henry III] the manor of Charlton by Seaford, one third of which she recovered against Roger as her dower and two thirds of which she recovered against the abbot of 'Valledi' and Wysthard [?—hole in MS]. To have and to hold to him and his heirs and assigns of Muriel as long as she lives, paying each year to Muriel or her attorney at Merton 10 marks of silver at 2 terms: 5 marks within the octave of Michaelmas and 5 marks within the octave of Easter. The first payment is to be made at Michaelmas 1241, as is more fully set out in the fine made between them.

m. 13d.]

**579** *Chadlington* Robert of Swinbrook claims against Erneburga of Swinbrook 1 messuage in Swinbrook which he granted for a term which has expired.

Erneburga came at another time and called to warrant Belesent, William the chaplain and Emma his wife, Felicity and Gunnora, her own daughters. So they were summoned, and only 3 have come. William and Emma have not come, and the others say that they cannot warrant her without them. So a day is given them at the coming of the justices.

Cf. no. 390.

**580** The same Erneburga presented herself on the 4th day against William the chaplain and Emma his wife on a plea that they, with Emma's sisters, warrant her the said messuage.

They have not come, and a summons was issued. Adjudged that William and Emma's lands to the value of one quarter of the messuage be taken into the king's hand, and that they be distrained. They are summoned to be before the justices at the said term.

**581** *Wootton* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Ralph son of Humphrey, father of Mabel of Barton, was seised of 5s. rent in [Duns] Tew of which Richard de Shethendon deforced her.

Richard has not come, and a summons was issued, so let the assize be taken against him by default. The jurors say that Ralph died seised of the 5s. rent and that Mabel is his nearest heir, but he died before the term



specified in the writ. So it is adjudged that she take nothing by the assize, and is in mercy for a false claim. She is poor.

**582** Richard of Stonor gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Robert Brand and Emma his wife, plea of land, by surety of Robert.

See no. 440; *Oxon. Fines*, 112.

**583** *Chadlington* Mabel del Brok claims against Ralph Alewy 1 yardland in Lidstone as her right, into which Ralph had no entry except by Alice of Asthall to whom Robert del Brok, Mabel's husband whom in his lifetime she could not deny, granted it.

Ralph comes and calls to warrant Alice of Asthall, who comes and warrants him, and denies any such entry, since she says that she had entry into that land by Mabel's charter, which she shows and which attests this.

Mabel says that the charter ought not to harm her, since if she made it, she did so when she was married and in the power of her husband.

Afterwards they were agreed by licence.

**584** Peter Punchard gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Fray Punchard, plea of land, by surety of Fray.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 118.

**585** *Beds.* A day is given to the master of the Knights Templar in England, by his attorney, claimant, and Ralph Marin, plea of levying chirograph, at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas. The sheriff is ordered to have before him and before the keepers of the pleas of the crown, 12 men of the view of Harrold, and by their oaths to make careful inquiry whether Guntonda de Breuse had common of pasture in 24 a. land in Harrold after the partition made between her and Albreda her sister after the death of their mother Aline, as the master says, or not. He is to make known the result of the inquisition at the said term by his letters and 2 men.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 140. See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 2293; cf. no. 549, above.

**586** John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell claim against the prioress of Littlemore  $\frac{1}{4}$  hide in Foresthill; and against Martin le Ireis and Joan his wife  $\frac{1}{4}$  hide in the same vill as their right.

The prioress has come and Martin and Joan have not come, but the prioress says that she holds the whole and answers for the whole. She calls to warrant Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife, Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife, and Isabel sister of Maud and Helen, who is under age and in wardship to the prioress. Let her have them at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas by aid of the court. The prioress is told to have Isabel her ward there.

Afterwards Isabel came and it was calculated that she was under age. So let them await her coming of age.

**587** *Somerset* Jordan of Harpford presented himself on the 4th day against Margery widow of William de Bodevill, on a plea of why she made waste, sale, and destruction of the lands, houses, gardens, and woods of Jordan's inheritance in Langford which she holds in dower, to the disinheriting of Jordan, contrary to the prohibition.

Margery has not come. She was attached by Rannulf de Fliny and John Cape, so let her be placed under better sureties to be at the coming of the justices.

*Somerset Pleas* (Som. Rec. Soc. xi), 128.

**588** *Oxon.* Isabel wife of Geoffrey of Thrupp appoints her husband Geoffrey her attorney against Giles of Berkeley, plea of custom and service.

See no. 111; *Oxon. Fines*, 112.

**589** The abbot of Oseney gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Peter Buckerel and Maud his wife, plea of land, by surety [blank].

See *Oxon. Fines*, 118; cf. nos. 77, 78, 344, above.

**590** *Bampton* A jury comes to declare whether a messuage and 2 a. land in Clanfield are free alms belonging to the church of the dean and chapter of St. Peter's Exeter at Bampton, or a lay fee of William son of Robert the chaplain, who comes and calls to warrant James le Sauvage, who comes and warrants him and calls to warrant Ralph Hareng. Let him have him at Westminster 3 weeks after Michaelmas, by aid of the court.

**591** Joan daughter of Thomas claims against Nicholas de Lumms and Agnes his wife, and Alice daughter of Thomas, and William Spigernel, one third of 22 a. land in Adderbury as her reasonable share of the inheritance of Thomas of Adderbury, father of Joan, Alice, and Agnes. Nicholas, Alice, and William have come, and Agnes has not come. Nicholas, by licence gives her one third of 11 a. land. Let her have her seisin. Alice says that she holds nothing, but William holds the whole, and William claims judgement whether he ought to answer the writ, as he is not an heir of Thomas, nor a parcener with Joan, Agnes, and Alice. Joan seeks licence to withdraw, and she has it.

**592** *Chadlington* Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Giles of Berkeley disseised Geoffrey le Franceis of 2s. rent in Over Norton.

Giles comes and says nothing to stay the assize. The jurors say that Giles did not disseise him. So it is adjudged that Geoffrey take nothing by the assize, and he is *in mercy* for a false plea.

See no. 567.

**593** *Wootton* Robert son of Ralph claims against Gilbert Basset 4 yardlands in Nether Worlon as his right and escheat because Henry son of John who held the land of Vivian de Bray, brother of Robert whose heir he is, was a bastard and died without heirs of his body.

Gilbert comes and says he cannot reply to the writ, since he claims

nothing in the land except in the name of John son of Henry of Worton, who is in his ward.

Afterwards Robert comes and gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

See nos. 595, 602, 694.

**594** Richard son of John of Horspath acknowledges that he owes Adam of Spaldington and Maud his wife and Laurence de Scaccario and Helen his wife 5 marks of silver, of which he will pay them  $2\frac{1}{2}$  marks at Whitsun 1241 and  $2\frac{1}{2}$  marks at the feast of St. John the Baptist [24 June] next following. If he does not pay, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

**595** Gilbert Basset appoints John de Orre, clerk, his attorney against the master of the Knights Templar in England, plea of novel disseisin; and against Robert son of Ralph, plea of land.

See nos. 593, 602, 694.

m. 14]

**596** *Wootton* Simon Foliot claims against John de Plescy and Gillian his wife 12 a. land in Rousham as his right, into which they have no entry except by Robert [Foliot], Simon's uncle whose heir he is, who granted the 12 a. to them for a term which has expired.

Afterwards Simon came and sought licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

**597** *Wootton* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Osbert Giffard, father of Osbert Giffard, was seised of 3 yardlands in [Nether] Worton which Gilbert Basset holds.

He comes, and by licence surrenders the land to Osbert; let him have his seisin.

**598** *Thame* Fulk son of William claims against the master of the Knights Templar in England 8 a. pasture in [North] Weston as his right, of which his kinsman Richard de Ducote, whose heir he is, was seised. The master comes by his attorney, and by licence surrenders the land to him.

Afterwards Geoffrey of Stockwell, guardian of Fulk, comes and grants to Alice daughter of Fulk, until Fulk's coming of age, 4 a. of land and a messuage in [North] Weston for 2s. a year. Geoffrey, when Fulk comes of age, will let Alice have Fulk's charter for the land and messuage for her life, according to the purport of his charter.

See no. 100.

**599** *Wallingford* William Richedyt and Agnes his wife, who brought a writ de fine facto against Hugh of Abingdon for  $\frac{1}{2}$  knight's fee in Alkerton, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Adam son of Miles and Nicholas of Alkerton Bridge.

**600** Robert of Wheatfield gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Alice widow of Hugh Talemash, plea of land, by surety of Alexander of Combe. The agreement is as follows: that Robert surrender to Alice  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland which she claims against him, saving to himself half the crop of corn growing on the ground this year.

**601** *Chilterns* Philip Kemel claims against Walter At Water 1 messuage and 1 a. land in Rotherfield [Greys] as his right, whereof Edith la Long, Philip's kinswoman whose heir he is, was seised when she died. Walter comes and calls to warrant Eve de Gray. Let him have her at Westminster one month after Michaelmas by aid of the court.

See *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1855.

**602** *Wootton* Robert son of Ralph, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against Gilbert Basset and others about a holding in Nether Worton, comes and withdraws himself. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Richard le Piper and Adam son of William.

Afterwards it is agreed between them that Gilbert surrender to Robert a certain meadow called Inmede over which he arraigned his assize. Surety for the amercement, Robert.

See nos. 593, 595, 694.

**603** *Wootton* Robert Gernun, who brought an assize of novel disseisin against the same Gilbert over a holding in Worton comes and withdraws himself. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William Gernun and John Eustace. Surety, Robert.

**604** *Bloxham* Idony widow of Richard le Engunur claims against Eustace of Arden 2 yardlands in Drayton as her right and marriage portion, into which Eustace had no entry except by Thomas of Arden to whom Richard, Idony's husband whom in his lifetime she could not contradict, granted them.

Afterwards Idony came and withdrew herself; so she and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Roger of Clare and Simon of Harwell.

See nos. 88, 605.

**605** The same Idony claims against the same Eustace 2 yardlands in Drayton as her right.

Eustace comes and calls to warrant Thomas of Arden. Let him have him at Westminster a month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. He is to be summoned in Warwickshire. Eustace appoints Nicholas de Crudewurth his attorney, and Idony appoints John le Engunur her attorney.

See nos. 88, 604.

**606** *Hunts*. Roger de Quincy earl of Winchester was attached to answer John le Daneys, plea of why by force and arms and breach of the king's peace he fished in John's free fishery of Offord Darcy without John's licence.

The earl comes and seeks a view; let him have it. A day is given, one

month after Michaelmas at *Westminster*. The earl appoints James Mace or Robert Young his attorney.

**607** *Wilts.* Thomas of Appleton was summoned to answer Gilbert Basset, plea that he acquit him of the service the king demands from him for his free holding which he holds of him in Bathampton, whereof Thomas, who is the mesne tenant between them, ought to acquit him. Whereon he complains that by Thomas's default he has been distrained. Gilbert says that, since he holds 1 knight's fee in Bathampton of Thomas and for that fee will have paid Thomas 3 scutages, 40s. for each scutage, Thomas ought to acquit him against the king. He had not acquitted him, whence he has suffered damage to the value of 10 marks. Thomas comes and recognises that Gilbert holds the fee of him and pays him scutage, and that he ought to acquit him, and that he will acquit him freely. Let him be in mercy because he did not acquit him.

**608** *Devon* Avice widow of Jordan Puck claims against Robert Puck one third of 12 a. land in Yarcombe as her dower from Jordan. Robert comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there, since he says that Jordan held his land for a term and not in fee so that he could dower her. Thereon he puts himself on the country and Avice likewise. So the sheriff is ordered to have before him and the keepers of the pleas of the crown 12 knights and others by whom the truth can best be known, and who have no connexion with either party, on whose oaths he is diligently to enquire whether Jordan held the land in fee so that he could dower her, or at the will of Robert's ancestor. He is to inform the justices of the inquest at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by letters and by 2 of the jurors. Avice appoints Richard Puck her attorney.

**609** *Bucks.* William de Beauchamp and Emma his wife, Henry de Airl and Joan his wife, John le Mire and Maud his wife, by their attorneys claim against John son of Henry 10 selions of land in 'Pyricroft' as the right of Emma, Joan, and Maud. Afterwards they come and seek licence to withdraw from their writ, and they have it.

**610** *Chadlington* Roger Gulafre was summoned to answer John le Mire, plea that he keep the covenant made between John and his father Roger Gulafre about 20 a. land in Sarsden. Roger comes and an agreement is reached, and Roger gives *1 mark* for licence to agree, by surety of John.

**611** *Bucks.* Warin FitzGerald and Agnes his wife appoint Geoffrey of Oldfield or Geoffrey de Pek their attorney against Henry de Scaccario and Parnel his wife, plea of waste.

m. 14d.]

**612** Geoffrey de Pek gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Warin FitzGerald and Agnes his wife, plea of land.

See nos. 177, 418, 611; *Oxon. Fines*, 111.

**613** *Chilterns* Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William of Englefield, come and withdraw. So they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Laurence del Brok and John le Mire.

See nos. 225, 415.

**614** William of Englefield and Nicholas Basset claim against Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife 2 parts of 1 knight's fee in Shiplate as their right.

Robert and Muriel come and say that they cannot answer him, for they do not hold the whole property since the abbot of Missenden holds the advowson of the church and 2 ploughlands.

Afterwards William comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

**615** John le Brun and Stephen of Fritwell claim against Nicholas of Haversham 1 messuage in Thrupp as their right.

Nicholas comes and calls Ralph Hareng to warrant. Ralph was not summoned. Let him be summoned to be at *Westminster* one month after Michaelmas.

See nos. 102, 201, 480.

**616** *Oxon.* Henry of Bath recognises that he owes the prior of St. Frideswide's, Oxford, 50 marks, of which he will pay him 25 on the Nativity of St. John the Baptist [24 June] and 25 at Michaelmas [29 Sept.], and if he does not he grants that the sheriff may do so from his lands and chattels.

**617** *Oxon.* Richard Danvers, who brought a writ of mort dancestor against William of Hurstbourne and Sarah his wife about 2 yardlands in Tetsworth and 1 yardland in Swalcliffe, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Geoffrey son of Simon and Henry Wace.

**618** *Chadlington* Marsilia widow of Henry of Langley claims against William of Langley one third of 4 yardlands and a mill in Shipton [under Wychwood] as her dower.

William comes and says that Marsilia ought not to have her dower there, since he says that she was never legally married to Henry. The bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and to make the result known by his letters.

See no. 107.

**619** *Oxon.* Hugh le Bulor, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Alda <Adam> Bluet, plea that she repay him 8 marks 10s. which she owes him and unjustly withholds.

Alda <Adam> has not come, and she was summoned. Adjudged that she be attached to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

See nos. 119, 242.

**620 Lancs.** Geoffrey of Middleton presented himself on the 4th day against Robert of Middleton, plea of one third of 4 yardlands in Middleton ('Pillesworth', 'Thorham', Ainsworth, and 'Birkhull') which he claims as his right.

He has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that the third part be taken into the king's hand. He is summoned to be at Canterbury one month after Trinity.

**621 Lincs.** Peter son of Richard, essoiner of Inetta de Reppinges, presented himself on the 4th day against Alma Wike, plea of 10 a. wood in Morton.

Alma has not come, and she was the claimant. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Ralph reeve of Morton and Robert Bole of the same. Inetta thence without day.

**622** William le Brode claims against Gilbert son of Daniel 6 a. land in Dunsden; and against the same Gilbert whom William the clerk and Basilia his wife called to warrant and who warranted them 3 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Ellis Mansel called to warrant and who warranted him 3 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Adam Buck called to warrant and who warranted him 2 a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom William Pain called to warrant and who warranted him  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Alice widow of Walter Pain called to warrant and who warranted her  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill; and against the same Gilbert whom Richard Mansel called to warrant and who warranted him 2 a. land and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in the same vill, as his right.

Gilbert comes and says he cannot answer him because he is under age. He claims his *age* and has it.

See no. 699.

**623 Norfolk** Robert of Norton and Mary his wife, by their attorney, presented themselves on the 4th day against the prior of Hempton next the pool of Fakenham, plea of 7 a. land in Mileham which they claim are Mary's reasonable dower from William son of Alan her former husband. The prior has not come, and has made default at Newport Pagnel in the octave of St. Hilary [20 January]. So the sheriff was ordered to take his land into the king's hand, and the land was taken into the king's hand. So it is adjudged that they should recover their seisin, and the prior is *in mercy*.

See no. 232.

**624 Chilterns** Walter Lunet and Alice his wife, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against John son of William over 1 yardland in Shirburn, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Philip of Wormsley and Wigod of the same.

**625** *Chilterns* Richard of Lewknor, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against John son of William over  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Shirburn, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert of Pyrton and Walter of Wormsley.

**626** Nicholas Fisher, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Robert Bagot and Maud his wife for a holding in Somerford [in Cassington], has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William of Cumnor and Francis of Kiddington.

**627** *Wootton* Eve widow of Robert le Clerk claims against Thomas son of Roger one third of 20 a. land in Steeple Aston as her dower. Thomas comes and calls to warrant Ralph of Tew, who comes and warrants him and says she ought not to have her dower there since she was not legally married to Roger. So the bishop of Lincoln is ordered to enquire into the truth of the matter and to make it known by his letters patent.

See no. 555.

**628** *Wootton* Hervey son of William, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William de Dive and Isabel his wife over a messuage in Deddington, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Thomas Buffin and John Brebanzon.

**629** *Chadlington* John son of Gracia, who brought a writ of right against Richard le Venur over  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland and 1 messuage in Rollright, came and withdrew himself, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Nicholas Kuye and John Clement. John son of Gracia is poor.

**630** *Wallingford* Nicholas de Stallis gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Emma daughter of Roger, plea of land; by surety of Robert of Mapledurham.

**631** *Ploughley* The prior of the hospital of St. John of Brackley is summoned to answer William Boron on a plea that he pay him 11 marks 3s. 4d. which he owes him.

The prior comes and they agree by licence that William remit him the whole, except 4 marks, of which he will pay 2 marks at the Nativity of St. John the Baptist [24 June] and 2 marks at the feast of St. Giles [1 September]. If not, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his lands.

See no. 120.

**632** Robert of Bainton acknowledges that he owes Robert Purcel 2 marks of the fine made between them, of which he will pay him 1 mark at Whitsun [19 May] and the other at the feast of St. Peter ad Vincula [1 August]. If not, he agrees that the sheriff may do so from his land.

See no. 534.



**633** *Dorchester* William Quatermain claims against Reynold Foster 1 a. land in Ascott [in Stadhampton] as his right. Reynold comes and they are agreed by licence that Reynold give him back all his right for 20s < 5s. > .  
See nos. 115, 116.

**634** *Norfolk* Isabel widow of Richard son of Martin claims against Robert Hill half of 24 a. land in Scoulton as her dower. Robert comes and calls to warrant Roger son of Richard who is under age and in wardship to William de Mohun. So his guardian is summoned to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, and to have Roger there. He is to be summoned in Cambridgeshire.

**635** Walter the miller claims against the prior of Cogges a mill in Fringford; and against the same prior whom William the miller called to warrant and who warranted him a mill and 4 a. land in the same vill as his right, of which his ancestor Robert was seised in the time of Henry II, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. From Robert the right descended to Gillian as daughter and heir, and from Gillian, who died without heirs of her body, the right descended to Wymarc as sister and heir, and from Wymarc to Walter as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

The prior comes and denies force and injury and Robert's seisin and everything, and places himself on the grand assize. He claims a recognition whether he has a greater right to hold the land and mill in demesne, or Walter to hold of him. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have notice of the year and day of the assize, and it is received. Bardulf of Chastleton, John le Brun, Richard Foliot, and Roald of Aston, 4 knights chosen to choose 12 to make inquiry of the grand assize, come and choose these [blank].

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and the prior gave 20s. for licence to agree.

See no. 81; *Oxon. Fines*, 111.

**636** *Chiltern* Robert of Pyrton, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Thomas Greasley about a holding in Golder, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Maurice le Angevin and William of Clare.

See no. 307.

**637** Hugh the serjeant recognises that he gave and confirmed by his charter to Maud his daughter all his land in Iffley, without retaining anything, to have and to hold as the charter witnesses.

**638** *Bampton* Ralph Hareng claims against Agnes widow of Robert the smith one third of 1 yardland in Asthall Leigh; and against Walter Stagun and Maud his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Philip of Dean and Gretia his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Alice widow of Ralph of Banbury one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against William Marsh and Gillian his wife one sixth of 2 parts of 1 yardland in the same vill; and against Roger Marsh and Margaret his wife one sixth of 2 parts

of 1 yardland in the same vill, as his right, and as that which Isabel Hareng held of him as a marriage portion and which ought to revert to him as his escheat because Isabel died without heirs of her body.

They all come, and Agnes calls the others to warrant her dower, and they warrant her and answer for the whole and say they cannot answer without Ralph, since Maud and her sisters had another sister called Edith who had 6 daughters, who are under age and who are sharers of the inheritance which was Robert their father's. So they go without day, and let Ralph await the coming of age.

See no. 301.

**639** *Chadlington* Simon son of Ascelin, who brought a writ of entry against John le Mire about a holding in [Chipping] Norton, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John son of Maud of Norton and Roger of Norton.

**640** Emma widow of John of Thrupp, who brought a writ of dower against Thomas Attebarre and others, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely John Punzard and Geoffrey of Glympton.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, xvi, no. 1998.

**641** *Bucks.* Meliora widow of Robert son of Peter claims against Adam of Horton one third of 1 ploughland in Horton as her dower. Adam comes and withdraws by licence. Let her have her seisin.

**642** *Chadlington* Robert le Canceis claims against Beatrice Murdac 2 parts of the manor of Dean as his right, of which his kinsman Geoffrey Martel, whose heir he is, was seised at his death.

Beatrice comes by her attorney and says that she does not hold the whole of the 2 parts since Alan de Colemore, William le Waleis, and John le Venur hold 1 yardland, and Ellis of Wheatfield holds 1 yardland, and the parson of Spelsbury holds 1 yardland, 1 cottage, and 2 messuages.

Afterwards Robert comes and seeks licence to withdraw, and he has it.

See nos. 104, 695.

**643** Alice widow of Walter le Moine claims against William son of Walter le Moine, whom John of Hinksey called to warrant and who warranted him, one third of 4 a. land and 1 a. meadow in Clanfield as her dower.

William comes by his attorney and admits that she should have her dower in his land to the value of one third of it. So let her have her seisin, and John hold in peace.

**644** Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Nicholas son of William, brother of Gunnild and uncle of William son of Roger, was seised of 34 a. land in Churchill which William Brun holds, who comes and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Nicholas died seised but that William Brun, who holds the land, was his son, born in lawful wedlock. So it is adjudged that

Gunnild and William son of Roger take nothing by that assize. William Brun is without day, and they are in mercy. They are poor.

**645** *Christine* widow of David of Lindsey, who brought a writ of dower against Gerard de Oddingeseles in Bradfield, and against Laurence del Brok and others, has not prosecuted. So she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Thomas Siward and Robert Greyhone.

**646** *Bucks.* William Pipard presented himself on the 4th day against Ellis de Wimbervill, plea that he keep the covenant made between them over 1 ploughland and 12s. rent in 'La Rudige' and  $\frac{1}{2}$  ploughland and 35s. rent in 'La Lee'.

Ellis has not come, and he has made many defaults. So let him be distrained by his lands and goods to be at *Westminster* 5 weeks after Michaelmas.

See no. 136.

**647** *Suffolk* Roland son of Herbert presented himself on the 4th day against Godfrey de Burnedis, plea of why he does not allow him to have his seisin of a messuage and 16 a. land and 4s. 6d. rent and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in Tattingstone which Roland, in the king's court before the justices in their last eyre at Ipswich, recovered against Godfrey by an assize of mort dancestor.

Godfrey has not come, and has made many defaults, and he was attached first by William son of Roger de Hurnedis and Peter Selon, and secondly by Adam Everard and Robert Selon of Bedingfield. So all his sureties are *in mercy*. Godfrey is to be distrained by his lands and chattels to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

m. 15d.]

**648** *Chilterns* William of Englefield and John Chubbe were summoned to answer Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife on a plea of why they took their beasts and unjustly detained them. Whence Robert and Muriel claim that on Tuesday before the feast of St. Margaret [19 July] last year they took 4 oxen, 3 cattle, and 4 pigs, and have detained them unjustly until now, whence they have suffered damage to the value of 40s.

William and John come and deny force and injury; they admit the taking of the livestock, but say that they took them for a rent of 6d. which was owed them and which Robert and Muriel once paid. After Roger de Wimbervill sold William the rent which Robert and Muriel had earlier paid him, he [William] took cattle because they would not pay. The other animals which he took were taken for damage to his corn. He brings his suit for the recovery of the rent.

Afterwards they were agreed by licence.

See no. 128.

**649** *Wallingford* Geoffrey Bertun and Christine his wife were attached to answer Maurice le Angevin, plea of why he deforced him of a meadow belonging to a weir in the Thames which remained to Maurice in a plea

before the justices of the bench at Westminster by a fine made there between them.

Geoffrey and Christine come and they have agreed by licence that Maurice retain the whole for Christine's life for  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 129.

**650 Warws.** Parnel of Compton claims her land by surety on Thursday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [2 May], which was taken into the king's hand for the default which she made against Nichola widow of Simon Bagot, and she has it.

See no. 375.

**651 Bucks.** Thomas of York presented himself on the 4th day against Geoffrey of Cliveden, plea that he pay him 6 marks which he owes and unjustly withholds. Geoffrey has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension Day.

m. 16]

**652 Berks.** William prior of Noyon presented himself on the 4th day against Walter de Becket, plea of why he has not given back to him a palfrey which he owes him according to the agreement made at the king's court at Westminster between Peter prior of Noyon, William's predecessor, and Walter.

Walter has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be distrained by his lands to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity. The prior appoints William Turketil or Robert de Swinelund his attorney.

**653 Wootton** Roger of Leybourne, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Alan of Aston, plea that he do him custom and due service for the free holding he holds of him in [Steeple] Aston. Alan has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas. Alan appoints William Kemp his attorney.

See no. 427.

**654 Leics.** Robert the Butler presented himself on the 4th day against John de Ginton, plea that he give up to him William son and heir of William the Butler whose custody belongs to him, in that William held his land of him by knight service.

John has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

**655 Yorks.** Muriel widow of Hugh Ko presented herself on the 4th day against Geoffrey of Compton and Hugh his son, plea of one third of 5 a. land and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. meadow in Easingwold which she claims in dower. They have not come, and they were summoned. Adjudged that the third be taken into the king's hand. A day is given, and they are summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

**656** *Hunts*. Richard son of Simon presented himself on the 4th day against John son of Gregory, plea of 9 a. land, 2 shops, and 4s. rent in Huntingdon which he claims as his right.

John has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land, shops, and rent be taken into the king's hand. A day is given, and John is summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

**657** *Bampton* Simon de Averench and Joan his wife, Robert le Franchomme, Robert de Mareis, Robert of Chadlington, and William of Wilby, were summoned to answer James le Sauvage, plea of by what right he demands common in their land in Clanfield whereas James has no common in any land of Simon, Joan, Robert, Robert, Robert, or William, nor do they owe him service whereby they ought to have common in his land.

They have all come and claim a view. A day is given them *at Westminster* 3 weeks after Michaelmas. All except Simon and John appoint Robert de Renham or Ralph the clerk their attorney.

See no. 105

**658** *Wootton* Alice of Shutford, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against Thomas of Shutford about 1½ a. land in Cogges, has not prosecuted, so she and her sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Roger Whytard and Geoffrey le Long.

**659** Nicholas Fisher, who brought a writ against Nicia de Clinton about a holding in 'Leic' [?North Leigh], has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William son of Peter and Peter of Wilcote.

**660** *Bampton* Stephen of Fritwell claims against John de Curry 2 yardlands in Brize Norton and Astrop as his right.

John comes and claims a view. A day is given him at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas.

See no. 74

**661** *Ploughley* William of Noke presented himself on the 4th day against Richard of Noke, plea of 9 a. land in Noke which he claims as his right.

Richard has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. Richard is summoned to be at Westminster on the day after the feast of All Souls [3 November].

**662** *Kent* [sic] William Aquilun presented himself on the 4th day against Richard de Accs, plea that he warrant him 1 ploughland in Hildersham in Cambridgeshire which he holds of him, and whence he has his charter.

Richard has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Lambeth on Thursday after Ascension Day.

**663** *Northants.* Sarah widow of Hugh son of Roger claims against Aubrey de Cadestoke one third of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  a. land and  $1\frac{1}{2}$  rood of meadow in Glapthorn; and against Agnes Sterthup one third of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in the same vill, as her dower.

Aubrey comes and calls to warrant Isabel daughter and heir of Hugh. Let him have her at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. Agnes comes and by licence gives up her seisin to Sarah. Let her have her seisin

**664** The same Sarah presented herself on the 4th day against Henry of Sutton on a plea of one third of 2 a. land in Glapthorn; and against the prior of the hospital of 'Wrothewurth', plea of one third of 12d. rent in the same vill; and against the prior of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem in England, plea of 5 a. wood in the same vill.

They have not come, and they were summoned. It is adjudged that the third parts be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas.

**665** *Northants.* Isabel widow of Vincent of Northampton presented herself on the 4th day against Henry son of Geoffrey of Brixworth, plea of  $1\frac{1}{4}$  yardland in Brixworth; and against Simon Croy, plea of 1 a. land in the same vill, which she claims as her right.

They have not come, and they had a day for their essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. They are summoned to be at Westminster 3 weeks after the feast of St. Hilary [3 February 1242].

**666** *Mddx.* Robert Hardel, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against William de Say, plea that he pay him £60 4s. 10d. which he owes him and unjustly withholds.

William has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

See no. 59.

**667** *Hunts.* Michael of Berkhamstead and Sanicula his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against Julian de Haya, plea that he warrant them Agden wood, in which Master Reynold parson of Paxton demands his reasonable estovers.

Julian has not come, and he had a day for his essoin to this day. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 1 week after Trinity. The same day is given to Master Reynold in the Bench.

See nos. 62, 302, 668, 743.

**668** The same Master Reynold presented himself on the 4th day against Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife, plea that they allow him to have his reasonable estovers in Agden wood.

They have not come, and they had a day in the Bench to this day. Afterwards they called the said Julian to warrant. So they are to be attached to be at Canterbury at the above term.

See no. 667.

**669** *Bucks.* William de Wyndendon, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Gillian de Hales, plea of 1 ploughland in West Wycombe which he claims as his right.

Gillian has not come, and she had a day for her essoin to this day. Adjudged that the land be taken into the king's hand. She is summoned to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

See no. 677.

**670** *Oxon.* Parnel of Finmere and Alma her sister acknowledge that they have quitclaimed for themselves and their heirs for ever to the abbot and convent of St. Augustine, Bristol, 3 yardlands in Finmere, as the charter they gave the abbot testifies.

Cf. no. 387.

**671** *Beds.* Ambrose de Tylesworth is attached to answer Gervase Marshal and Damette his wife, plea that he keep the fine made between them in the king's court, before the itinerant justices at Norwich, between Damette, plaintiff, and Ambrose, tenant, about one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  hide in Great Brickhill, from which they say he keeps back 6s. rent which remains to them from that fine.

Ambrose comes and admits the fine and whatever is contained in it, and by licence he renders to them the 6s., since the rent was not included in the fine. So he goes without day.

**672** *Bucks.* Simon of Gretton and Margery his wife presented themselves on the 4th day against William de Bonous, plea that he keep the fine made between them before the itinerant justices at Newport Pagnell over 6 a. land in Bradwell.

William has not come. So the sheriff is ordered to take the land into the king's hand, and to have William at Lambeth on the Wednesday after Ascension day.

**673** Be it remembered that the abbot of Oseney placed himself outside his community, on the country, for his liberties.

**674** *Bucks.* William Draper, essoiner of Hugh Miller of Newport Pagnell, presented himself on the 4th day against Maud widow of Roger Weaver, plea of dower which she claims against them in Newport Pagnell.

Maud has not come, and she was the claimant, so the essoiner thence without day, and Maud and her sureties for prosecuting in mercy. She did not find sureties.

See no. 147.

m. 16d.]

Pleas taken at Wycombe on the Friday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [3 May], on the return of William of York and his colleagues from Oxfordshire.

**675** *Bucks.* Assize of nuisance to declare whether the master of the Knights Templar in England unjustly raised a certain pond in Wycombe to the damage of Gilbert Basset's free holding. Gilbert also claims that Richard of the Tower built a mill in Wycombe to the damage of his free holding there.

Afterwards Gilbert came and withdrew his case against Richard, so he is *in mercy*. Afterwards it was agreed that Gilbert grant, for himself and his heirs, that the mill stand as it was on the feast of the Invention of the Cross [3 May] 1241, and that Richard and his heirs shall not raise the level of the millpond, nor change it at all to Gilbert's damage. For this, Richard and his heirs will pay 12d. a year and do homage to Gilbert and his heirs. Richard did homage to Gilbert.

The master comes by his attorney and says nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Robert de Vipont, who gave the master of the Templars the mill, had a pond there; afterwards the master enlarged it by about 10 ft. so that it held more water. They say that when the pond was full of water boys came and took out the sluice gates, so that the vill of Wycombe suffered damage by flooding to the value of *1 mark*. They say too that if those sluice gates had been well guarded Gilbert would not have suffered any damage from the pond, and he would not have had any less suit to his mill in Wycombe than he used to have.

Afterwards an agreement was reached: Gilbert granted the master the pond to hold in the same state as it was on the day the assize was held, so that the master could not raise the level of the pond, or lengthen it, or broaden it, or change it in any way to the damage of Gilbert or his heirs. For this the master gave Gilbert a palfrey.

**676** *Bucks.* Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife appoint Thomas son of Walkelin or Luke of Ashwell their attorney against Basilia de la Ponne, plea of land.

See no. 328.

**677** *Oxon.* Gillian de Hales appoints Ellis Roland or Walter son of John her attorney against William de Wyndendon, plea of land.

See no. 669.

**678** *Bucks.* Stephen of Ashwell and Aubrey his wife claimed the land by pledge on Friday after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [3 May], which land was taken into the king's hand for the default which they made against Margery de la Ponne. They have it.

See nos. 328, 676.

m. 17]

**679** *Gloucs.* John of Berkeley claims against Thomas de Rocheford 2 ploughlands and a messuages, with their appurtenances except the advowson of the church of 'Hoseleswurth' [?Ozleworth], 2 yardlands and a mill in Ozleworth; and against the abbot of 'Kingshull' 2 yardlands and 3 a. wood in the same vill; and against Nigel of Ozleworth  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland and 8 a. meadow in the same vill; and against Richard de Lekington 5 a.



meadow in the same vill; and against William Franchevaler 5 a. meadow in the same vill as his right.

And they all come and claim a view; they have it. A day is given to them *at the coming of the justices*, and in the meanwhile the view is to be arranged. The abbot appoints Brother Odo his attorney.

**680** Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Geoffrey de Clemdon, father of Joan wife of Thomas de Valoynes, was seised of  $\frac{1}{10}$  a. land in Bolney [in Harpsden] which Reynold of Whitchurch holds. He comes [blank in MS.]

See nos. 87, 229, 245.

**681** The same assize to declare whether Joan de Clemdon, mother of Joan wife of the same Thomas, was seised of 18s. rent in Bolney [in Harpsden] of which Reynold and Alice his wife disseised them.

They come and say that they cannot answer without Alan of Farnham and Margery his wife their parceners. So they are to be summoned in Berkshire to be at Lambeth on Monday after Ascension day to answer them. The same day is given to the jurors in the Bench.

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Thomas gives 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of Reynold; and Reynold gives 10s. for the same by surety of Thomas.

See nos. 87, 229, 245; *Oxon. Fines*, 112–13.

**682** Ralph le Burgeys claims against William the White and Alice his wife and Robert Alice's son 1 yardland, except a messuage, in [Chipping] Norton as his right, of which Richard his ancestor was seised in the time of Richard I, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. From Richard the right passed to Hugh his son and heir, and from Hugh to Agatha and Alditha his daughters and heirs, and since Alditha died without heirs of her body, the whole right reverted to Agatha. From Agatha it descended to Ralph as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

William and Alice and Robert come, and William and Alice call Robert to warrant, and he warrants them and answers for the whole and defends his right and denies Richard's seisin. He claims an assize whether he has a greater right in that land than Ralph. Bardulph of Chastleton, John le Brun, Richard Foliot, and Robert Purcel, 4 knights chosen to choose 12, come and choose [blank].

Afterwards an agreement was reached, and Robert gives 10s. for licence to agree, by surety of Ralph.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 117.

**683** *Chilterns* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Robert, father of Thomas, was seised of  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland in Ewelme which Adam Bluet holds.

Adam comes by his attorney and calls to warrant Thurstan le Despenser. Let him have him at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity, and let the assize come.

**684** *Norfolk* Beatrice daughter of William presented herself on the 4th day against John Roras; plea of why he ejected her from a part of a meadow belonging to her free holding in 'Arlebrig', the seisin of which she recovered by inquisition before the itinerant justices at Norwich against Bartholomew son of Alexander.

John has not come, and he was attached by Robert of Foxhole and Rayner son of Peter. So let him be placed under better sureties to be at Canterbury 1 month after Trinity.

**685** *Ploughley* David of Finmere and Cecily his wife were summoned to answer Alice de Cayham on a plea that they render her 10 marks which they owe her and unjustly withhold.

David and Cecily come and deny force and injury. Since she has not produced any suit or writing or anything else to which they ought to answer, it is adjudged that they go without day, and Alice is in mercy. She is poor.

**686** *Bloxham* John son of Milicent and Thomas son of Isabel, who brought a writ of debt against Adam son of Adam King, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Richard son of Alan and Robert Petit.

See no. 275.

**687** *Chilterns* Gilbert of Golder, who brought a writ of warranty of charter against William son of Hugh about a holding in Golder, has not prosecuted. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Robert of Pyrton and Walter of Wormsley.

**688** *Rutland, Lincs.* Alice widow of Ralph de Normanvill claims against Ralph de Normanvill one third of 4 ploughlands in Empingham, Horne, and Hardwick in Rutland; and against the same Ralph one third of 10 oxgangs and 3s. rent in 'Rokesham', and one third of 13 oxgangs and 40s. rent in Rauceby, and one third of 32 a. land and 15 a. undergrowth, 4 messuages and a water mill in 'Eston', and one third of 6 marks rent in Stamford in Lincolnshire, as her dower from Ralph her husband.

Ralph comes and by licence grants her all the said third, except the wood in Empingham where she ought to have no part. Let her have her seisin.

**689** *Beds.* Assize of mort dancestor to declare whether Richard de Bemhal, father of Roger son of Richard, was seised of 44 a. land in Stopsley, of which John son of Richard holds 16 a. < 8 a. >, Thomas son of Richard 12 a., Richard son of Richard 8 a., and Henry son of Richard 8 a.

They come and call to warrant William de St. Leger, who comes by his attorney and warrants them and says nothing to stay the assize.

The jury say that Richard, 5 years before his death, went to Offeley in Essex [Herts.], and there in William's court made William a charter of the land. Nevertheless, Richard returned to his land as before, and cultivated it and took the profits. A year later Richard went to the manor court of Luton and there had the charter read out, and afterwards, at his

request, William enfeoffed the aforesaid men and handed over to them the keeping of the land, but nevertheless, as before, Richard returned home and cultivated the land and took the profits until 15 days before his death, when he was ill, the feoffees came and entered on the land by Richard's consent, who was of sound mind, as it is said.

So it is adjudged that he [Roger son of Richard] take nothing by that assize, and be in mercy for a false plea. He is poor.

*Beds. Hist. Rec. Soc.* ix. 140-1.

**690** *Cornwall* Maud widow of William son of Sebright claims against William of Treneglos one third of  $\frac{1}{2}$  a. land in 'Tregundres' as her dower. William comes and says that she ought not to have her dower there because William did not hold the land in fee, so that he could dower her with it, but in marriage with Alice his first wife. Thereon he puts himself on the country. And they similarly. So the sheriff is ordered to summon 12 jurors to enquire into the matter and to make known the result of the inquisition at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity by his letters and by 2 of the jurors.

**691** *Yorks.* Gilbert of Preston and Ralph of Aston, executors of the will of Richard de Watervill, acknowledge that they have received of Sir William of York, provost of Beverley, 49 marks for Richard's moveable goods in North Dalton which they sold him, and which has been paid in full.

**692** *Norfolk* A day is given to William le Blund, tenant, and Warin de Munchensy to levy chirograph, 1 month after Michaelmas at Westminster, at the plea of the parties.

**693** William of Barking presented himself on the 4th day against John Goldstan on a plea that he return to him chattles worth 20 marks which he committed to John's care and which John removed and unjustly took away.

John has not come, and he was attached by Ralph Red and Simon his brother. So the sheriff is ordered to take all his lands into the king's hand, except custody of personal chattels, so that no one lays a hand on them until he has another royal order. He is to have him at Lambeth on the Monday after Ascension day.

**694** *Wootton* Robert son of Ralph, who brought a writ of entry against Gilbert Basset over 4 yardlands in Nether Worton, comes and withdraws. So he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Richard le Piper and Adam son of William. Surety for Robert's amercement, William de Riston.

See nos. 593, 595, 602.

**695** Beatrice Murdac appoints William de Crumhal her attorney against Robert le Canceis; plea of land.

See nos. 104, 642.

**696** *Gloucs.* The abbot of Kingswood appoints his attorney.

**697** Robert of Mapledurham is *in mercy* for contempt of court.

**698** Anne wife of William of Hardwick appoints William Cook her attorney against Ralph de Briausne; plea of mort d'ancestor.

m. 17d.]

**699** William le Brode claims against Gilbert son of Daniel 6 a. land in Dunsden; and against William le Clerk and Basilia his wife 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Ellis Mansel 3 a. land in the same vill; and against Adam Butcher 2 a. land in the same vill, as his right.

Gilbert and all the others come and seek a view. Day given them on Tuesday [blank]

See no. 622.

**700** Richard of Brackley claims against Gilbert of Banbury a messuage and  $\frac{1}{2}$  ploughland in the Grove [in Kirtlington] and 6s. rent in Kirtlington as his right. Gilbert says he ought not to answer him since he does not hold the whole land, as the prior of the hospital of St. Bartholomew in Oxford holds 1 a.

Afterwards Richard comes and seeks licence to withdraw from his writ, and he has it.

**701** *Northants.* Christine daughter of Ralph claims against Philip Iwayn  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in Brackley as her right.

Philip comes and calls to warrant Rannulf son of Sweyn. Let him have him at Westminster 1 month after Michaelmas by aid of the court. Let him be summoned in Northamptonshire.

**702** *Chilterns* Assize of mort d'ancestor to decide whether Geoffrey de Dunstanvill, uncle of Gilbert de Basevill, William of Englefield, and Alan Basset, was seised of one third of 1 knight's fee in Shiplake which Robert Danvers and Muriel his wife hold.

They come and say they ought not to answer the writ since those three make themselves one heir, and in their writ they call Geoffrey their uncle whereas Geoffrey was the grandfather of William and Alan and the uncle of Gilbert. They seek judgement whether they ought to answer the writ. Afterwards Geoffrey and the others come and do not want to prosecute their suit. So they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely William de Grave, Richard de Frayn, William de Hayles, Gilbert le Carver, Miles le Carver, and William le Norreis. Each is the surety of the other's amercement.

See nos. 126, 127.

**703** *Bampton* Assize of mort d'ancestor to declare whether Thomas of Eydon, uncle of Robert son of Walkelin, was seised of 54 a. land in Langford whereof Hugh of Arden now holds 50 a., Julian of Langford 3 a., and Simon son of Ives 1 a.

They come and Hugh says he does not hold the whole of the 50 a. since he holds only 48 a. The others say they do not hold the land since Hugh holds it, and Hugh admits it. Robert cannot deny this, so he is *in mercy*.

**704** *Dorchester* A jury comes to declare by Alexander of Combe, Richard de Beaufort, Peter Foliot, Robert of Thomley, Ralph de la Pole, Robert of Ibstone, Walter son of Pain, Gregory de Sideham, John Algar, William le Veisin, Thomas de Faloynes, William Wace, and Roger Gernun, whether Gilbert of Drayton, husband of Emma of Drayton, held  $1\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands in Drayton [St. Leonard] so freely that he could dower Emma with it, as Emma says, or whether he held in villeinage. Walter son of Basil impleaded him in the county court of Oxford about the land and Gilbert acknowledged that he was a villein, and by so doing stopped the plea between them. Gilbert held in villeinage all his life, and after his death the land was granted to Emma by the bishop [of Lincoln]'s bailiffs according to the custom of the manor, that is in villeinage, to maintain herself and her children, and thus she held the land for 15 years, as the bishop says.

The jurors come and say on their oath that Gilbert, on the day he married her, held that land so freely that he could dower her with it. So it is adjudged that she recover her seisin and the bishop is *in mercy*.

On this it was recorded by the whole county that there never was a plea in the county court between Walter and Gilbert, but before the war there was a plea in the bench between Gilbert and one Godfrey who had married his mother. By agreement half the land in dispute remained to Godfrey and his wife for their lives, so that after their deaths it ought to revert to Gilbert. After their deaths one of Gilbert's brothers who had been away came and entered on the land, so that when Gilbert impleaded him the same Richard came and acknowledged himself to be a villein.

Cf. *Curia Regis Rolls*, iii. nos. 202, 267.

**705** *Wootton* Sybil <Maud> de Crevequeor was summoned to answer Richard of North Leigh and Margaret his wife on a plea that she keep the covenant made between them concerning 1 yardland in Cogges, whence Richard and Margaret claimed that although in her widowhood she granted them 1 yardland for her life for 40s. which they gave her, Sybil turned them off the land, whence they suffered damages to the value of 20s.

Sybil comes and admits the covenant, and she says that she did not turn them off the land since she says that they are villeins and someone else turned them off. So she says that she cannot and ought not to answer them. They cannot deny this, so Sybil goes without day, and they are *in mercy* for a false plea. They are poor.

**706** Gerard de Oddingeseles was summoned to answer William of Leach and Lettice his wife <of Filkins> and Ralph son of Lettice on a plea of why he took William and Lettice and Ralph's beasts and unjustly detained them, whence they claim that Gerard took 4 oxen and kept them against gage and pledge for 15 days so that they lost their means of cultivation and suffered damages to the value of 20s. On this they bring their suit.

Gerard de Oddingeseles comes and denies force and injury and says that he took their beasts justly since he says that Robert son of Ralph, the father of Ralph, on the day and in the year he died, did suit to Gerard's court for the land, and ploughed 3 furrows a year, one in winter one in

spring and the third for fallowing, with his own oxen, and did carrying service with 3 carts of hay from the Thames to Gerard's house, and he did this with his own carts the whole day, and similarly he carried corn for one day to Robert's [sic] courtyard. On this the prior of Hertford comes and says that Robert held the land of him, and that Ralph ought to acquit the tenement and not Gerard, since he says that Gerard's predecessors gave the prior's predecessors by their charters, which he shows and which bear witness, 1 hide in Broadwell free and quit of all secular dues, so that his predecessors held that land quietly in free alms for 40 years before they enfeoffed Ralph's ancestors.

Gerard says he cannot answer his charters without Gerard of Lindsey his parcener in the inheritance of John of Lindsey. So Gerard of Lindsey is summoned to be at *Westminster* to answer with him. He is to be summoned in Warwickshire.

See no. 114.

**707** *Oxon.* William de Mohun, by his attorney, claims against Robert le Waleis 100 a. and 2 yardlands in Glympton as his right. Robert comes and they are agreed, and Robert gives *1 mark* for licence to agree, by surety of Bardulf of Chastleton.

See no. 90; *Oxon. Fines*, 123.

**708** *Herts.* Agnes of Purton presented herself on the 4th day against John de Beyford on a plea that he restore chattels to the value of 10 marks which he unjustly detains.

John has not come, and he was summoned. Adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity. Agnes appoints Hugh son of Godfrey her attorney.

**709** William of Englefield presented himself on the 4th day against Roger Bacon on a plea that he keep the fine made in the king's court before the itinerant justices at Norwich between William, plaintiff, and Roger, deforciant, about the custom and service which William exacts from him, whereon a chirograph was made between them. He presented himself against Godfrey de Langetoft on a plea that he hold to the fine made in the same court before the same justices between William, plaintiff, and Godfrey, over the custom and service which William exacts from him, and whereon a chirograph was made between them.

**710** *Oxon.* Robert Hardel, by his attorney, presented himself on the 4th day against Gilbert Marshall earl of Pembroke on a plea that he pay him £37 4s. 5d. which he owes and unjustly withholds.

The earl has not come, and he was summoned. It is adjudged that he be attached to be at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity.

**711** *Oxon.* A day is given to Robert de St. Clare, claimant, and Ralph of Chesterton, tenant; plea of land; at Canterbury 3 weeks after Trinity, since Robert has not had his reasonable essoin.

## Pleas of the vill of Oxford

From the vill of Oxford, of fines before judgement *40 marks*.

**712** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Peter son of Thorold unjustly disseised John of Bridport of a messuage in Oxford.

Peter comes and says the assize ought not to be held since John held the holding of him by service of 2s. 6d. a year, and since his service was in arrears he initiated proceedings against John by the king's writ de iustitiano that John should be made to do him the custom and right service due from his holding.

So John was summoned to be at the court of Oxford to answer Peter. But he would not go to that court, so it was adjudged there that John be summoned again by witnesses to be at the next court. Since he did not come to that court, it was adjudged that he be distrained to be at the third court by a pledge found in the holding. Those who should have distrained by pledge could not find anything in the holding by which he could be distrained. Then, since John did not come to the third court, it was adjudged in that court that the holding be taken into the king's hand, that John might thus be distrained to come and answer Peter. Since John did not pursue his fee, the court adjudged that the holding should remain in the king's hand for a year. Then Peter brought the king's writ that the court should make him record and reasonable judgement; and that he should have seisin of the holding by judgement of the court and not by any disseisin which he made, he places himself on the court. He readily acknowledges that he claims nothing in that holding except on account of his rent which is 18s. in arrears.

The court of Oxford comes, and it is recorded that Peter brought the king's writ into the court, and that John was summoned once and again, and since nothing was found in the holding by which he could be distrained, it was adjudged that the holding be taken into the king's hand. Afterwards Peter brought the king's writ to have this record. Then according to the law and custom of the court of Oxford it was adjudged that the holding should be handed over to Peter to hold until John should come and satisfy him for his rent and arrears, since John had not pursued his fee.

On this Peter comes and shows the charter of feoffment which he had in John Supicup's name: Know those present and to come that I John Supicup, because of my necessity, have sold and quitclaimed to Peter son of Thorold that land, and all my right which I have or can have in it, which John of St. Cross, son of John of Bridport, holds of me in the parish of St. Mary the Virgin, Oxford, that is for 5s., saving that John may hold of the said Peter and his heirs as he held of me. And that this my sale and perpetual quitclaim may remain firm, I have confirmed this writing with my seal.

**713** John abbot of Oseney claims against Geoffrey of Stockwell, whom Robert of Kidlington called to warrant and who warranted him, 1 messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as the right of his church of Oseney, of which his predecessor Hugh was seised in the time of King Richard,



taking thence profits to the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. And that such is the right of himself and his church he offers to prove.

Geoffrey, at another time, came and called to warrant Peter Bodin, who now comes by William Prat, his attorney by the king's writ, and says that he ought not to attorney by the king's writ, and says that he ought not to warrant him since the charter which Geoffrey shows in the name of his father Robert Bodin does not say that Robert gave Geoffrey the land to hold to him and his heirs by Beatrice daughter of Robert, and more especially it does not say that Robert and his heirs ought to warrant Geoffrey and his heirs.

Geoffrey says he ought to warrant him by the charter of Robert Bodin, which he shows, and which witnesses that he gave Geoffrey in free marriage with Beatrice the messuage, doing thence to the chief lord the service due and accustomed, and that he gave him all the right which he had in the messuage.

Afterwards Peter came by his attorney and warranted Geoffrey, and an agreement was reached by licence.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 115.

**714** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Geoffrey of Stockwell and the prioress of Littlemore unjustly disseised Alan Horlok and Margery his wife of 12s. rent in Oxford.

The jury say that Geoffrey and the prioress did not disseise Alan and Margery, since they never had seisin there. So Geoffrey and the prioress without day and Alan and Margery *in mercy*.

**715** John Sewy and Maud his wife claim against Richard of Dorchester and Gillian his wife, whom Walter the weaver and Maud his wife called to warrant and who warranted them, 1 messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud's right, of which she was seised in fee and of right in the time of the present king, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Richard and Gillian come and deny Maud's right and place themselves on a jury of the town whether they have a greater right to hold the land of John and Maud than John and Maud have to hold it in demesne. Laurence son of Ralph, Thomas son of Henry, Laurence Log, Hugh Harding, Thomas Cruste, John Pille, Laurence Rufus, Richard Goldsmith, John of Kingston, William de Eu, William Perle, and Alan son of Walter say on their oath that John and Maud have a greater right to hold that land in demesne than Richard and Gillian to hold of them, since they say that Gillian is a villein. So it is adjudged that John and Maud have their seisin and Richard *in mercy*. Let there be an exchange with Walter the weaver and Maud his wife.

**716** Emma widow of William son of Richerus claims against Geoffrey the Cordwainer and Maud his wife 1 messuage in Oxford as her dower from William her husband.

Geoffrey and Maud come and call to warrant Vincent the tailor, who is present and warrants them, and calls to warrant the prior of St. Frideswide's, who is present and warrants him and says that she ought not to have the messuage in dower because it, together with other holdings of Emma's, were mortgaged in the Jewry for their common



necessities, so that by Emma's will and consent William sold his own messuage to redeem Emma's holding, she consenting to the same, in the court of Oxford. This was allowed him according to the custom of Oxford.

Afterwards they were agreed, and Emma gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree, by surety of the prior.

**717** Idony of Oxford and Eve her sister claim against Robert le Talt 2 messuages in Oxford as their right and in which Robert had no entry except by Richolda of Oxford who only held them while Idony and Eve were under age and in wardship.

Robert comes and denies their right and such entry. On the contrary, he says he had entry by Richard his father who died seised as of right, and from him the messuages decended to Robert as son and heir. He places himself on the jury. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* to have an inquisition, and it is received by surety of Richard of Bedford and William of Woodstock. Idony and Eve say they did not have entry by Richard but by Richolda, and they place themselves on the inquisition.

The jury say that Richard gave the messuages to one Hugh in marriage with his daughter Maud, the mother of Idony and Eve, a long time before his death, so that Hugh and Maud were seised for 5 years, and that Richard did not die seised of the messuages. So it is adjudged that Idony and Eve recover their seisin, and Robert in mercy. He is poor.

**718** The prior of St. Frideswide's gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree with Richard Curteis about a messuage in Oxford.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 119.

**719** The plea between the abbot of Dorchester, claimant, and Stephen son of Richard, defendant, warranty of charter of a messuage which the abbot holds in Oxford and which Margery of Pitchcott claimed in the court of Oxford against the abbot by writ of right, is adjourned until the coming of other justices because Stephen had gone to the Holy Land before his summons. The same day is given to Margery.

**720** The abbot of Oseney appoints Brother Adam of Adderbury his attorney against Agnes widow of Robert Cachefreye, plea of land.

**721** John Marshal appoints William le Messenger or Thomas le Page his attorney against Gunnora de Bendinges, plea of dower, and removes William de Camera whom he had earlier appointed.

**722** Margery widow of William Goldsmith appoints Peter Herlewin her attorney against the abbot of Dorchester, plea of land.

See no. 248.

**723** The abbot of Eynsham appoints Alan the sacristan his attorney against Robert of Derby, plea of land.

m. 18d.]

**724** Agnes widow of Macei <Marcey> son of Robert claims against John son of Henry and Cecily his wife 6 marks rent in Oxford and its suburbs as her dower.

John, by his attorney, and Cecily come and say that they ought not to answer since Agnes says in her writ that they should return to her her dower of which she has nothing, but she has a messuage which was part of her husband's free holding. Agnes cannot deny it. So it is adjudged that John and Cecily go without day and Agnes *in mercy*. Let her take action by another writ if she pleases. Surety for the amercement, Henry Simeon.

**725** William Bookbinder and Gillian his wife and Emma and Alice, Gillian's sisters, claim against Thomas Long 1 messuage in Oxford. Thomas comes and calls to warrant the prior of St. Frideswide's, who is present and warrants him.

And they are agreed, by licence, that William and the others give up all their claim for 20s.

**726** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Nicholas of Headington, Reynold of Cumnor, Roger de Wose, Stephen son of Robert, Henry his brother, Gilbert de Chesney, and Roger Chaupiurn unjustly disseised Richard Siward and Philippa his wife of 6 a. in the suburbs of Oxford.

Nicholas and Roger Chaupiurn come and say nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Roger and all the others named, except Nicholas, disseised them as the writ says, since they say that some villeins of the abbot of Abingdon had that meadow of Richard's and Philippa's tenure. When they died Richard took the meadow into his hands and took the herbage and had the meadow mowed. Then all those named, except Nicholas, came and carried off what had been mowed. So it is adjudged that Richard and Philippa have their seisin, and the others *in mercy*. Richard likewise *in mercy* for a false plea against Nicholas. *Damages 12s.*

**727** Henry Simeon was summoned to answer the prior of St. Frideswide's on a plea that he pay him £7 2s. 6d. which he owes him and which he is in arrears of a rent of 20s. 2d. a year. Of which rent he says that his predecessor Prior Ellis was seised in right of his church in the time of the present king.

Afterwards they are agreed by licence. The agreement is that Henry acknowledges that he holds the holding of the prior and owes an annual rent of 8s. 6d. for it. Henry holds other holdings of other lords, who ought to do service to the prior and have not done it. There is in arrears both from the holding which he holds of the prior as mesne tenant and in chief and from other holdings which he holds of them [sic] through other lords who are intermediate between Henry and the prior, 44s. which Henry will pay the prior at the terms fixed between them. If the other lords distrain on Henry the prior will acquit him.

**728** Richard le Ferur claims against Henry le Ferur a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which he was seised in the time of the present king.

Henry comes and defends his right and seisin and says that Richard was never in seisin nor took the profits, worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark, as he says. He places himself on a jury, and he offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have an inquisition, by surety of William the Cutler outside the East Gate.

The jury say that Robert le Ferur, father of Henry and Richard, was seised of the messuage as of fee when he died, and after his death the messuage descended by hereditary right to Henry, and Richard was never in seisin of it. So it is adjudged that Henry hold in peace, and Richard in mercy. He is poor.

**729** Andrew of Chilton claims against Alice widow of Humphrey the fuller, whom Henry of Botley called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which Humphrey his ancestor was seised in fee in the time of the present king, taking profits of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. From Humphrey the right descended to his son John, and from John, who died without issue, the right reverted to his brother Sewal, and from Sewal it descended to Andrew who now claims, and that such is his right he offers to porve.

Alice comes and denies Andrew's right and seeks an inquisition into which of them has the greater right in that messuage. So let there be an inquisition.

The jury say that Humphrey died seised of the holding as of fee, since he bought it from John Kepeharm, and that messuage was never Alice's marriage portion. So Andrew has a greater right in it than Alice. So it is adjudged that Andrew have his seisin and Alice in mercy. She is poor.

**730** Walter of Wheatley claims against William of Faringdon and Denise his wife and Emma her sister, whom Ralph de Salle and Alice his wife called to warrant,  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in Oxford as their right, of which Walter was seised in the time of the present king, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

William and Denise and Emma come and say that they ought not to answer him since when Walter was in seisin of the moiety of the messuage Alice impleaded him in the court of Oxford and claimed it as her dower, and Walter came to that court and called William and Denise to warrant, and they came and recognized that they ought to warrant him, but said that they had nothing which they could exchange with him. So Alice recovered her seisin as her dower, and Walter lost his seisin.

Walter says that, on the contrary, Alice held the whole messuage in dower, and when William wanted to marry Denise, Alice's daughter, Alice gave William half of the messuage as a marriage portion with Denise, and the other half she gave to her daughter Emma. William and Denise afterwards sold their half to Walter and failed to warrant him the half, as was said above, and the exchange remained to be made, since they then had nothing with which they could make it. Moreover, William and Denise warranted Ralph and Alice the said half messuage, and thus were shown to be in seisin of it. He seeks judgement, since he says that William and Denise can now make exchange of the half messuage, and he says too that when she gave the half messuage to William and Denise in marriage and the other half to Emma, Alice gave back to them, and

quitclaimed, the whole of the messuage as Denise and Emma's inheritance. And that it is so he places himself on the jury.

And on this Ralph and Alice come and say that an agreement has been reached, and Walter gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence by surety of Richard of Norwich, and the agreement is that William and Idony [sic], by the consent and wish of Ralph and Alice, grant and give back to Walter the  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage to hold of William and Idony [sic] and Idony's heirs, paying  $\frac{1}{2}$ d. a year at Easter for the service belonging to it, and acquitting them to the lords.

**731** Agreement between Robert, master of the hospital of St. John outside the East Gate, on one part, and Roger Noyf, for the exchange of a messuage in Oxford which Alice of Stockwell recovered against the master by judgement of the king's court. That is: Roger gives and grants the master in exchange for that messuage his large stone house by St. Ebbe's church, between the land of Robert le Mercer and the land which he holds of the abbot of Abingdon. For this the master granted Roger the house to hold for his life, of the master and his successors and the brothers of the hospital, paying yearly 2 marks of silver, and after Roger's death the house is to revert to the master and his successors, quit of Roger's heirs for ever. Be it known that Geoffrey of Stockwell, who warranted Roger, gave Roger in exchange for the messuage he gave the master of the hospital a rent of 12d., and agreed that 1 a. of meadow which Geoffrey's mother held in dower should revert after her death to Roger and his heirs.

See *Oxon. Fines*, 113.

m. 19]

**732** Assize of nuisance to declare whether the prior of the Friars Preacher in Oxford unjustly obstructed a road in the suburbs of Oxford to the nuisance of the free holding of Henry son of Henry and Adam Feteplace and Agnes his wife.

Afterwards it was agreed between them that the prior pay 5 marks to Philip the Miller, Peter Thorald, and Adam Feteplace as trustees to hold until Henry is of age. If Henry then wishes to quitclaim the road to the friars by his charter, then the 5 marks shall be paid to him, but if not, the 5 marks shall be returned to the friars, and Henry shall have his recovery as he ought to have. So without day.

**733** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Alice le Medere unjustly disseised Henry de Worth of a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford.

Alice has not come, but Roger of Eynsham her bailiff comes and says nothing to stay the assize. Henry Kepeharm and Robert le Hattere, two of the recognitors, have not come, so they are *in mercy*. The jury say that Alice did not disseise him, so Alice without day and Henry *in mercy*.

**734** Assize of nuisance to declare whether Henry Simeon unjustly raised a dike in Oxford to the nuisance of Alice la Mercer's free holding. The jury say that Henry did not raise the dike, since his father Henry raised it. So Henry without day and Alice *in mercy*.

**735** Assize of novel disseisin to declare whether Richard Siward, Adam le Estilier, Nigel le Corun, Geoffrey of Stockwell, and William le Sauser unjustly disseised Edith daughter of Gunnild of a messuage in the suburbs or Oxford.

Richard, Adam, and Nigel have not come, but Richard's bailiff comes and answers for them, and Geoffrey and William come and say nothing to stay the assize. The jury say that Richard and all the others except Geoffrey disseised her as the writ says, so let her recover her seisin by view, and all the others *in mercy*. Edith herself *in mercy* for a false claim against Geoffrey. She is poor. *Damages 4 marks*.

**736** Walter le Poer and Edith his wife claim against William the Cutler a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Edith's right, into which William had no entry except by Gilbert Gofayre to whom Nicholas Gofayre, brother of Edith, whose heir she is, granted it for a term which has expired.

William comes and admits that he had entry by Gilbert, but not that Gilbert had entry by Nicholas, since in fact Gilbert bought the messuage and afterwards remained in seisin of it until he sold it to William. On this he places himself on the inquisition, and gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of John Russi.

Walter and Edith come and acknowledge that Gilbert did indeed buy the messuage, and a long time afterwards he withdrew from it and gave it to Nicholas and put him in seisin, so that Nicholas held peacefully for 6 years, and afterwards when he saw Gilbert, his father, grow poor he had pity on him and granted him that messuage to hold for his life. And that it is so they place themselves on the inquisition and give  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* to have an inquisition; it is received, by surety of Henry le Sauser. Let there be an inquisition.

Simon Balehorn, Ralph Goldsmith, Geoffrey Gretun, Henry Wepere, John of Broughton, William Miller, William Cordwainer, Ellis Horlok, William the Cutler, John Crompe, Adam of Cuxham, Roger son of Miles, and Austin Gos come and say on their oath that Gilbert held that messuage all his life until he sold it to William. So it is adjudged that William go without day and Walter *in mercy*. He is poor.

**737** Thomas of Hordley claims against Ralph le Brascur a messuage in Oxford as his right.

Ralph comes and says he ought not to answer this writ, since the writ for placing the plea before the justices says that the sheriff places the plea which was in his county court, and that plea was never in the county court. Thomas cannot deny it. So Ralph without day and Thomas *in mercy*.

**738** Isolde widow of Ralph Aunfrey claims against William Aunfrey one third of 20s. 6d. rent in Oxford; and against Alan de Derham one third of a messuage in the same vill as her dower.

William Aunfrey comes and Alan comes by John de Derham his attorney, and they say she ought not to have her dower there since her husband was not seised of the rent or the messuage on the day he married Isolde or at any time afterwards so that he could dower her with them. They place themselves on the jury, and Isolde likewise. Let there be an inquisition.

Afterwards William and Isolde come and an agreement is reached. William gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* for licence to agree, by surety of John of Coleshill. Afterwards Isolde comes and seeks licence to withdraw from her writ, and has it. So without day.

**739** Richard Bleuete and Mary his wife claim against Alan de Derham a messuage in Oxford as Mary's right, in which Alan had no entry except by Gerard of Dorchester who only had it in wardship while Mary was under age.

Alan comes by John de Derham his attorney and says he ought not to answer them since if another person claims the messuage Richard and Mary are bound to warrant him, since Mary is the heir of Gerard of Dorchester, of whose gift Alan had the messuage, and he shows Gerard's charter which witnesses to the gift.

Afterwards Richard and Mary come and withdraw themselves. So they and their sureties for prosecuting *in mercy* namely William Bleuete and Walter of Upton.

**740** Henry son of Lewyn claims against Alan Dudeling, whom Ralph Dudeling called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford, of which Robert his ancestor was seised in the time of the present king as of fee and right, taking profits to the value of  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark*. From Robert, because he had no heirs of his body, the right descended to Lewyn his brother, and from Lewyn to Henry, who now claims, as son and heir. And that such is his right he offers to prove.

Alan comes and defends his right and says that he ought not to answer, since Robert, whose seisin Henry pleaded, was not seised of the messuage in own right, but in right of his wife Rose whose marriage portion the messuage was. Moreover he says that Henry is a villein, and so he places himself on the vill. He offers  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of Nicholas Erneburg.

Henry says the messuage was not Rose's marriage portion, but Alan enfeoffed Robert of it. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* to have an inquisition, and it is received by surety [blank]

The jury say that the messuage was Rose's marriage portion, and moreover Robert her husband sought an agreement with Roger Dudeling, who gave it in marriage with Rose, by which he made Robert a charter of feoffment. Moreover they say that Robert was a villein, and so was Lewyn his brother. So it is adjudged that Alan hold in peace and Henry *in mercy* for a false plea.

**741** Robert Hella claims against Anastasia daughter of Henry a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right.

**742** It is agreed between Robert of Kidlington and Alice la Mercer about an exchange of a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford which the abbot of Oseney recovered against Robert, that Robert give up for himself and his heirs to Alice and to Peter Bodin and to their heirs for ever all his right and claim in the messuage. In warranty and exchange Alice will give Robert 6 marks of silver which she will pay in rent at the next St. Botolph's fair. If she does not, she agrees that the bailiffs of Oxford shall do so from her chattels. Moreover Peter Thorold and Adam Cruste undertake to make payment at that term.

**743** *Hunts.* Master Reynold, parson of the church of Paxton, appoints William of Wells or John of Paxton his attorney against the prior of St. Neots; plea of his reasonable estovers in the prior's wood in Brikendon. The same parson appoints the same attorney against Julian de Haya whom Adam of Weston and Parnel his wife called to warrant.

The same parson appoints the same attorney against Michael of Berkhamstead and Senicla his wife, whom Julian called to warrant.

See nos. 62, 302, 667, 668.

m. 19d.]

**744** Alice widow of Richard of Stockwell claims against Robert, rector of the hospital of St. John the Baptist, Oxford, whom Gillian widow of Walter le Harenger called to warrant and who warranted her, a messuage in Oxford as her right, of which Alice was seised in King John's reign, taking profits worth  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark

Robert came and called to warrant Thomas of Aston and Gillian his wife, who come and call to warrant Roger Noyf, who is present and warrants him and calls to warrant Robert son of Simon, who is present and warrants them and calls to warrant Geoffrey of Stockwell, who comes and warrants him but says he has nothing of the inheritance of his father Richard except 12d. rent which he exchanged, as the extent shows, but he says nothing whereby Alice ought not to recover the messuage. So it is adjudged that Alice have her seisin.

**745** Lettice widow of Robert le Ferur claims against Theodulf Hill a messuage in Oxford as her right, in which Theodulf had no entry except by Robert, Lettice's husband whom Lettice could not contradict in his lifetime, who demised it to him.

Theodulf comes and says he ought not to answer this writ because Lettice has elsewhere impleaded him by a writ of right for the same holding. He claims judgement. Lettice cannot deny this. So Theodulf without day, and Lettice in mercy. She is poor.

**746** William of Faringdon and Denise his wife were summoned to warrant Walter of Wheatley  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in Oxford, for which he has his charter and whence Walter claims that Ralph de Salle and Alice his wife



recovered the messuage against him by William and Denise's default. So he calls them to warrant.

William and Denise come and say that they ought not to answer this writ, since on the day that the writ of warranty was sued out Walter was not in seisin of the holding. Moreover they have nothing whereby they ought to warrant him. Walter cannot deny this. So William and Denise without day, and Walter *in mercy*.

**747** William son of Alan claims against Agnes widow of Alan the Fowler  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as his right, of which his father Alan was seised in the time of the present king, taking profits from it. From him the right descended to William as son and heir.

Agnes comes and says she ought not to answer the writ since if anyone else were to claim the  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage against her, William is bound to warrant her, since she holds it as her free bench and he is her warrantor. William cannot deny this. So Agnes without day, and William *in mercy*.

**748** Ralph...[blank]

**749** Reynold of Harwell and Maud his wife claim against Henry Smartknavé and Christine his wife  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud's right, in which Henry and Christine had no entry except by William Smartknavé to whom Christine widow of William le Bolter demised it, who only had custody of it while Maud was under age and in her wardship.

Henry and Christine come and call to warrant William Smartknavé, who is present and warrants them, and says that he did not have entry into the land by Christine but by John son of William le Bolter, Maud's brother, who was seised of it after the death of William his father and who sold it to William Smartknavé. He places himself on the vill of Oxford, and he offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have an inquisition and recovery, by surety of Geoffrey of Hagbourne.

Reynold and Maud say that William le Bolter, Maud's father, enfeoffed Maud with it and Christine her mother sold it to William, as she says. She places herself on the jury and offers  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of [blank].

**750** Adam chaplain of Woodstock gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for licence to agree with Godfrey Boveton and Lettice his wife; plea of covenant about a messuage in the suburbs of Oxford; by surety of the same Godfrey.

**751** William son of Hugh and Margery his wife, who brought a writ of warranty against John son of Henry and Christine his wife, have not prosecuted. So they and their sureties in mercy, namely [blank]. Afterwards it was discovered that that plea was finished.

**752** The same Reynold and Maud [i.e. as in no. 749; nos. 750 and 751 have been inserted in the gap left for the completion of that plea.] claim against William Smartknavé  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage in the suburbs of Oxford as Maud's right, in which William had no entry except by Christine widow of William le Bolter, who only had custody while Maud was under age.



William comes and answers as above. Let there be a jury on it. The jury say that John son of William le Bolter was seised of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage and the  $\frac{1}{2}$  messuage after his father's death and sold them to William Smartknav. William did not have entry by Christine. So it is adjudged that William go without day, and Reynold in mercy. He is poor.

**753** Adam son of Hugh claims against Warin of Dorchester, whom Master Roger le Mason called to warrant and who warranted him, a messuage in Oxford as his right, of which Hugh his ancestor was seised in the time of the present king. From him the right descended to Adam who now claims.

Warin comes and calls to warrant John Pilet, who comes and warrants him and denies Adam's right and says that Hugh, Adam's father, never held the messuage in fee but for a term from year to year. He places himself on the jury. He offers the king  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark to have an inquisition, and it is received, by surety of Wiliam Burgeys. The jury say that Hugh was never seised of the messuage except for a term. So it is adjudged that Warin hold in peace, and Adam in mercy. He is poor.

**754** Ellis le Sor claims against Henry Simeon a messuage in Oxford as his right, into which Henry has no entry except by Henry Simeon his father, to whom Roger le Sor, father of Ellis, granted it for a term which has expired.

Henry comes and calls to warrant his brother Stephen Simeon. Let him have him 3 weeks after Trinity at Canterbury by aid of the court.

**755** Thomas Under Wall and Christine his wife are summoned to warrant John son of Mazelme a shop in Oxford for which he has Christine's charter. Thomas and Christine come and warrant them [sic]. Be it known that Thomas son of Erneis impleaded John about the shop in the court of Oxford, wherefore he called them to warrant.

**756** Agnes widow of Robert Bard and John le Carpenter and Agnes his wife, who brought a writ of entry against Thomas Under Wall over a messuage in Oxford, have not prosecuted, so they and their sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely Nicholas of Eynsham and Robert <Osbert> Baker.

**757** Theodulf Hill, who brought a writ of warranty of charter about  $\frac{1}{2}$  house in Oxford against Henry son of Robert, has not prosecuted, so he and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely John Waleis and William le Vaus.

**758** Ralph of St. Frideswide and his sureties for prosecuting, namely Thomas of St. Edwards and Adam of St. Edwards, in mercy because they have not proceeded against the prior of St. Frideswide's in a plea of warranty of charter over a messuage in Oxford.

< **758a** Master William of Lichfield and his sureties for prosecuting, namely John of Stanley and Robert Rufus of Oxford, in mercy because he has not proceeded against Thomas de Suwell, scribe, plea of warranty of charter over a messuage in Oxford.

**758b** Henry the miller and his sureties for prosecuting, namely R. de Derham...

**758c** *Oxon.* Henry Simeon appoints Walter of Oseney his attorney against Ellis le Sor, plea of land. >

**759** Names of the sheriffs since the last eyre: Godfrey of Crowcombe, John of Tew, Richard Siward, Paulinus Peyvre, William Hay.

**760** Names of the coroners in the same period: Geoffrey Marmion, Richard Foliot, Nicholas de Nowers.

**761** Chadlington Hundred. Ralph of Shipton, serjeant

Electors: Roger Gulafre, sworn

Robert de Cereden, sworn

Robert de Saucey, sworn

John of Charlton, sworn

Savaray of Walcot, sworn

Geoffrey Cross, sworn

Ralph Boveton, sworn

Iwan le Breton, sworn

Geoffrey de Columnis, sworn

Hugh le Franklin, sworn

Robert of Broadstone, sworn

Thomas of Fifield, sworn

**762** Wootton Hundred. Robert of Wootton, serjeant

Electors: Philip of Wootton, sworn

[Gilbert de Hide-crossed out]

[Amisius of Cassington-crossed out]

Philip of Whitehill, sworn

Simon Scorchebeef, sworn

Robert of Aston, sworn

Philip of Cotes, sworn

Robert of Leigh, sworn

Richard of Morton, sworn

Robert de Brok, sworn

[Peter de Stanes- crossed out]

William son of Peter of

Roger Runcy, sworn

Cassington, sworn

Peter of Leigh, sworn

**763** Ploughley Hundred. Matthew of Wootton, serjeant

Electors: Hamon of St. Faith, sworn

John le Poer, sworn

Robert Purcel, sworn

Roger de Scaccario, sworn

Richard of Middleton, sworn

John de Bereworth, sworn

Simon of the same, sworn

William Falconer of Godington, sworn

Guy of Tusmore, sworn

John Silvester of Souldern, sworn

Walter Hilber, sworn

Thomas de Hay, sworn

**764** Bloxham Hundred. William de Verdun, serjeant

Electors: Ralph of Barford, sworn

Henry de Lincheure, sworn

John Gulias, sworn

Ralph Young, sworn

Walter Clement, sworn

William de Port, sworn

Walter Humfrey, sworn

Robert Petit, sworn

Richard Whitfield, sworn

Richard Abbot, sworn

Richard son of Alan, sworn

William Noble, sworn

**765** Banbury Hundred. Omnibon [sic], serjeant

Electors: Richard of Prescote

Hugh of Cropredy

Thomas Smart, sworn

Robert de la Quarere, sworn

Walter of Walcot, sworn

Robert Harauld, sworn

Geoffrey of Shutford, sworn

William son of Simon, sworn

Nicholas Danvers, sworn

William Danvers, sworn

John at Well, sworn

Andrew le Sage, sworn

**766** Bullingdon Hundred. William of Milcombe, serjeant

Electors: Alexander of Combe, sworn

Peter Foliot, sworn

Ralph of Sandford, sworn

Richard de la Wose, sworn

Robert de Luches, sworn

William Cornish, sworn

Hugh of Garsington, sworn

Thomas Durand de Randendinur, sworn

Walter son of Pain of the same,  
sworn

Nicholas Franklin of Elsfield, sworn

William de Randendinur, sworn

Gilbert de Ronbure, sworn

**767** Dorchester Hundred: Walter de Burton, serjeant

Electors: Reinbald Cusin, sworn

William of Woodcote, sworn

William Monk of Clifton, sworn

Henry Cook de Bridcote, sworn

Geoffrey Carter of the same,  
sworn

Robert le Simple, sworn

John le Der, sworn

Robert son of Gilbert le Franceis,  
sworn

William Franklin, sworn

John Cook of Dorchester, sworn

Alexander de la Breche, sworn

Adam Clerk of Clifton, sworn

**768** Thame Hundred. Same Walter, serjeant

Electors: Alan of Middleton, sworn

Bartholomew Foliot, sworn

John le King, sworn

Bartholomew son of Richard,  
sworn

Geoffrey Bocke, sworn

Ralph le Franceis, sworn

Guy of Tusmore, sworn

Rannulph of Childworth, sworn

William son of Peter, sworn

John of Chadlington, sworn

Roger Pede, sworn

John of Henley, sworn

**769** Binfield Hundred. John Clerk, serjeant

Electors: Walter at Water

Ralph of Hardwick

Ralph Young, sworn

Philip Keynel, sworn

William le Franklin, sworn

Robert of Assendon, sworn

Peter de Barewe, sworn

Walter Aleyn, sworn

Richard Mansel, sworn

John Miller, sworn

Roger of Harpsden, sworn

Thomas Siward, sworn

William Clerk of Dunsden, sworn

**770** Lewknor Hundred. Same John, serjeant

Electors: Simon de Wildehale, sworn

Ralph de la Pole, sworn

Gilbert Wace, sworn

Robert de Copicot, sworn

Simon of Chinnor, sworn

Robert of Ibstone, sworn

Henry of Lewknor, sworn

Ellis at Water, sworn

Thomas of Kingston, sworn

Robert Iunsi of Chinnor, sworn

Robert Hendred, sworn

John Armiger, sworn

**771** Langtree Hundred. Same John, serjeant

Electors: William de la Wike, sworn

William Morin, sworn

Ralph of Hardwick, sworn

Geoffrey English, sworn

Robert of Mapledurham, sworn

William Gerard, sworn

Nicholas of Mongewell, sworn

William de Makeneye, sworn

Roger of Whitchurch, sworn

Walter Valence, sworn

John Munsorel, sworn

John de Hagh, sworn

**772** Pyrton Hundred. Same John, serjeant

Electors: Ellis of Wheatfield, sworn

Robert of Pyrton, sworn

William at Water, sworn

Alfred Clerk, sworn

Richard of Woodcote, sworn

John Hurir, sworn

William le Bret, sworn

Hugh le Long, sworn

William Hingan, sworn

William of Clare, sworn

Richard of Stonor, sworn

Robert Druval, sworn

**773** Benson Half Hundred. Same John, serjeant

Electors: Peter son of Oliver, sworn

William Wace, sworn

Gilbert of Stanford, sworn

John de Oilly, sworn

William Selveyn, sworn

Thomas Croke, sworn

Ralph Clerk of Rycote, sworn

Henry of Latchford, sworn

John Quatermain, sworn

Ralph son of Richard Rest, sworn

Richard de Rokele, sworn

Robert Race, sworn

**774** Bampton Hundred: William of Shipton, serjeant  
 Electors: William Amyot, sworn  
               Walter le Plumer of Weald, sworn  
 John le Brun, sworn               Peter Tiler, sworn  
 Robert Marsh, sworn               Roger of Caswell, sworn  
 William of Leach, sworn           John of Hinksey, sworn  
 William Patericus, sworn         Henry of Fifield, sworn  
 Robert de Grafton, sworn         Eustace of Asthall, sworn

**775** [Honor of Wallingford. William de Godesfend, serjeant]

**776** Chipping Norton Borough. Hugh son of Richard, bailiff  
 Electors: John Serjeant, sworn  
               Richard Clerk, sworn  
 Henry Medicus, sworn             Walter Papa, sworn  
 John Muriwed, sworn             William White, sworn  
 Robert of Kent, sworn            Robert Wale, sworn  
 Robert Makeblithe, sworn        Ralph Burgeys, sworn  
 Roger Makeblithe, sworn         Richard Briton, sworn

**777** Woodstock Borough. Robert le Turner, serjeant  
 Electors: Richard le Despenser, sworn  
               Henry le Masceres, sworn  
 Andrew Caperun, sworn           William of Aylesbury, sworn  
 Richard le Turner, sworn         Roger le Turner, sworn  
 Richard le May, sworn            John Dyer, sworn  
 William of Dunthrop, sworn     Richard Baker, sworn  
 Richard le Turner the younger,   Walter Despenser, sworn  
       sworn

m. 20d.]

**778** Deddington Borough. John Jukel, bailiff

**779** Banbury Borough. John Dreng, bailiff

**780** Burford Borough. Roger le Noble, bailiff

**781** Witney Borough. Martin le Parmenter, bailiff

**782** Henley Borough. William son of Estrild, bailiff  
 Electors: Ralph de la Lake  
               Thomas Karbonel

m. 21]

Pleas of the Crown for the County of Oxford. Eyre of William of York, provost of Beverley, and his associates, 1241.

The Hundred of Binfield comes by 12

**783** Adam son of Robert of Chineham hit Amice daughter of Alice de Crochall on the head with a stick so that she died, and he fled at once. He was not in tithing, since he was under age, but he was of his father Robert's household, so Robert is *in mercy*. The vill of Shiplake did not pursue Adam when he fled, so *in mercy*. Adam is suspected of that death, so he is *exacted and outlawed*. He had no chattels.

**784** Simon Forthmorewe appealed Miles Rol of breach of the king's peace and wounding, and he appealed William his son. Simon comes. Miles is dead, but William comes, and Simon does not wish to proceed against him, so he and his sureties for prosecuting *in mercy*, namely Gilbert del Frith; the other surety has died.

The jury say that William is guilty of the violence, so he is to be taken into custody. He makes fine for  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark*, by surety of Edmund Harding and Gilbert del Frith.

Afterwards Simon comes and makes fine for himself and his sureties for *10s.*; sureties Henry Slep of Shiplake and Richard Corok of the same.

**785** Evildoers came to Thomas of Englefield's house and killed him and bound Geoffrey and Sewal his servants. They come and are not suspected. William Reel, charged with that death, comes and denies it and places himself on the jury.

The jury say he is not guilty, so he is *acquitted*. No one else is suspected. Alice, Thomas's daughter, was attached for that death and has not come, so she and her sureties *in mercy*, namely Hugh Young of Shiplake and Roger at le Riding. It is not known who killed Thomas. No Englishry was presented, so *murder*.

**786** Walter Wigayn killed John de Hara Mara and fled. So he is *exacted and outlawed*. The vill of Mapledurham Gurnay did not pursue him, so *in mercy*. Walter was not in tithing, but in Geoffrey Chausy's household, so Geoffrey is *in mercy*.

Afterwards evidence is given that Walter was *outlawed* by suit of Richard Carter in the county court. Since that court cannot show that Walter was outlawed according to the law of the land, it is *in mercy*. Walter's chattels *12d.* for which let the heirs of Hugh de Gurnay answer.

Afterwards evidence is given that Geoffrey de Chausy has died, so nothing from him. To the judgement of the county.

**787** Alan the cook killed Ellis, Maud de Gurnay's doorkeeper, and fled, so he was *exacted and outlawed*. Richard Mansel, charged with the death, fled and is suspected, so he is *exacted and outlawed*. Brian, Maud's functionary, Emma her laundress, and Richard her hayward were guilty of that death and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. Alan was not in tithing but in Maud's household, as were all the others except Richard the hayward, so she is *in mercy*. None of them had chattels. The vill of Mapledurham Gurnay did not present the plea at the county court, so *in mercy*. The coroners witness that Jordan de Bideford pledged to have Richard Mansel before the justices, so *in mercy*.

Afterwards Richard comes, and he is a clerk and is delivered to [Robert Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln. *Error*.

Afterwards Ellis came and appeared before the justices, and since the court established that he is the same man who was presented as killed, nothing of the whole plea, and all those accused of the death may come back. The 12 jurors of the vill of Mapledurham Chazey and Mapledurham Gurnay *in mercy* for a false presentment.

First paragraph crossed out in MS.

**788** Rose widow of Robert King and Maud her sister killed Robert and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. They had no chattels. No one else is suspected. Linota, Robert's sister, first finder, has died.

**789** The jurors say that the church of Henley is in the king's gift. Nicholas de Neville holds it; it is worth 40 marks.

**790** Of *defaulters* they say that the earl marshal, the bishop of Salisbury, Robert Danvers, Gilbert Warin, John Lecchebrock, Hugh of Whitchurch, Robert de Gray, and Robert Braunt did not come on the first day, so all in mercy.

**791** Peter Gurlewambe was hanged for theft at Mapledurham by the justices for gaol delivery. He had chattels worth *11s.* for which let William Hay, the sheriff, answer.

The Borough of Henley comes by 12

**792** Unknown evildoers killed Reynold Carter of Sonning next to 'Essindene' wood. William son of Jordan, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. It is not known who the killers were. No Englishry presented, so *murder*.

**793** Geoffrey son of Philip appeals Sampson the tailor of Henley that on Monday before St. Mary Magdalen's day [16 July] 1240 he came to him as he went into his house in Henley and wounded him on the head with a stick and afterwards he wounded him in the upper part of his right arm with a dagger, and stole 13½d. and a silver button in a leather purse. That he did this wrongly and feloniously and in breach of the peace he offers to prove on his body as the court may decide.

Sampson comes and denies the breach of the peace, felony, robbery, and everything, and offers to deny it on his body as the court may decide. Since it is demonstrated that the wounds were seen when they were fresh, and the pursuit was properly carried out, it is adjudged that there be a *duel* between them. Sampson gives surety to deny and Geoffrey surety to prove. Sampson's sureties are Robert Braunt, Roger de Scaccario, John the Fleming, Robert of Hendred, and Peter Barewe. Geoffrey is in custody until he finds sureties. Afterwards he found sureties, Roger of Harpsden, Richard Danvers serjeant of the Bench, William of Golder, and Robert de la Greyhorne.

Afterwards Geoffrey came and withdrew his appeal. Evidence was given that there was collusion; so in custody. Afterwards Sampson came and made fine for himself and his sureties, and for Geoffrey and his sureties, for 40s. by surety of Robert Braunt, Reynold Foster, Robert de Badhal,

Robert of Hendred, Geoffrey son of Philip of Hendred, and Henry Wanred. Day given them, Monday before St. Philip and St. James [1 May], and let them come armed.

The Hundred of Ploughley comes by 12

**794** Alice of Kingham stole a tunic at Newton Purcel and fled to the church there. She admitted the theft and *abjured* the realm. She had no chattels.

**795** Walter de Culerne, who has died, appealed Walter Stubbe of Finmere of robbery and wounding and breach of the king's peace. Walter Stubbe had not come, so *in mercy*, and his sureties in mercy, namely Reynold de Frayn and Richard de Grenville. He appealed Roger Gray of Buckingham of the same. Roger has not come, so he and his sureties *in mercy*, namely Laurence le Brun, and all the other sureties have died.

**796** Richard Brun of Godington, charged with the death of Maud daughter of Robert Cook of Chetwode who was found killed in Barton Hartshorn field in Buckinghamshire, comes and places himself on a jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say that he is not guilty of the death, so he is acquitted.

**797** Walter of Langton who lives at Stoke Lyne, charged with sheep stealing, withdrew himself, and he is not suspected, so let him return if he wishes.

**798** Hugh of Swinerton was crushed to death by a beam. Walter of Swinerton, first finder, comes and is not suspected. *Misadventure*. The beam was worth *12d.*, for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**799** The jury say that Joan Arsic, daughter of Robert Arsic, holds £7½ worth of land in Somerton of the king in chief, and she is in the king's gift. Alexandra her sister holds £7½ worth of land in the same vill, likewise of the king in chief, and she is in the king's gift and has married Thomas de Haya.

Afterwards evidence is given that Joan has married Stephen Simeon. So the sheriff is ordered to take all the land she holds of the king in chief into the king's hand.

**800** Henry le Punter of Horton who lives at Caversfield, Bucks., accused of many thefts, withdrew himself. He is suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. He had no chattels in the county. He was first received at Horton outside frankpledge, and afterwards in the vill of Caversfield. So the vill is *in mercy*.

**801** Robert son of Goldeburg of Kirtlington was crushed to death by a branch which fell on him. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. Verdict, *misadventure*. The branch is worth *1d.* for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.



m. 21d.]

**802** Richard of Prescote holds 1 hide in Bletchingdon of the king in chief by serjeanty of carrying the spit for the king's dinner. It is worth 20s. a year.

Cf. *Cal. Inq. Post Mortem*, i, no. 213; E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 108.

**803** Gilbert Basset holds the vill of Kirtlington of the land of the Normans; it is worth £20 a year.

See *Cal. Chart. R.* 1226–57, 200.

**804** William Legwyn of Bainton, his stepson Henry, and William Annor, were accused of theft and receiving stolen goods. They come and deny the theft and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are all acquitted.

**805** *Defaulters* The jurors say that Roger son of Richard of Fritwell, Richard de Clifford of Lillingstone, James le Sauvage of the same, Azo le Sauvage of the same, Alexander of Swinerton, Maurice de Aundley, William son of Amaury of Wendlebury, Gilbert Basset, William de Ranleye, Robert Dayvill, Ralph de Piclesthorn, the abbot of Woburn, the abbot of Missenden, the abbot of Westminster, and Rober de Aumary did not come on the first day.

**806** The jurors say that Ralph de Carvill holds  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the vill of Lillingstone of the land of the Normans; it is worth 100s. a year. The king is patron of the church there.

**807** They say that Hugh of St. Martins holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the vill of Lillingstone [Lovel] of the king by *serjeanty*; it is worth £10 a year.

See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 29.

**808** Rose de Verdun is in the king's gift. Since the 12 jurors have not presented that, to the *judgement*.

**809** The jurors say that Rannulf parson of Finmere made an encroachment on the king's highway in Finmere, so *in mercy*.

The Hundred of Pyrton comes by 12

**810** Maud daughter of Aylwine of Pyrton appealed Thomas of Fifield of rape, and now she comes and appeals Thomas of lying with her by force in Watlington and deflowering her.

Thomas comes and denies force and rape and everything. Since the coroners witness that she did not bring her suit against him at once, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and she is *in mercy*. Let there be an inquiry by jury. The jurors say that Thomas is not guilty, so he is acquitted and Maud committed to *gaol*.

**811** Of *serjeanties* they say that Thomas le Napper holds 1 yardland in Pishill by serjeanty of yielding to the king a napkin worth 3s. or else 3s. a year.

See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 55–6.

**812** John Fisher of Wallingford, Adam Feyrbek of Chalgrove, and John son of Gunnild of Shirburn, accused of several thefts, withdrew themselves and are suspected, so they are *exacted and outlawed*. Adam was in frankpledge of Henry son of Reynold of Golder, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. John Fisherman was not in tithing since he lived at Clapcot in Berkshire. John son of Gunnild was in the tithing of Hugh the reeve of Shirburn, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**813** Hugh of Swincombe, John son of Ralph of Cotes, and Hugh Heruy of Shirburn, accused of theft, come. Hugh of Swincombe and John come and deny the theft and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted. Hugh Heruy comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say they only suspect him of stealing hens and corn in harvest and such small thefts. So the sheriff is ordered to take pledges from him.

**814** William of Golder appealed Giles de Stibbeneye of breaking the king's peace and of felony, and prosecuted him. Giles has not come. He was attached by Ellis of Wheatfield, so *in mercy*. William is told to prosecute him in the county court when he is attached or outlawed, if he wishes.

**815** Of *defaulters* they say that the abbot of Notley, Richard de Pyrun, Philip de Beaumont, Amaury de Sulham, Thomas le Napper, John Bretasch, and Ralph Coby did not come on the first day.

The Borough of Deddington comes by 12

**816** Robert Smith of Deddington was taken at Deddington with stolen cloth, and afterwards he escaped from the town prison and fled to the church, and he admitted the theft and *abjured* the realm. John of Tew, then sheriff, took 100s. from the vill for that escape. So it is to be *discussed*. Robert was in the tithing of Walter Thurugod in Deddington, so *in mercy*. Robert's chattels worth 4s. 10d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**817** Richard le Enveyse stole some wax in Deddington church and fled to that church and would not leave. He admitted the theft and *abjured* the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a clerk. He had no chattels.

**818** The jurors say that Richard Buckechike and William of Tysoe and Adam le Draper sold cloth contrary to the king's assize. So *in mercy*.

**819** They say that the church of Deddington was in the king's gift; now they do not know whether it is in the king's gift or Ralph Hareng's.

The Hundred of Benson comes by 12

**820** Walter son of Adam of Chalgrove hit Henry le Harperon the head with a stick so that he died, and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. And he was outlawed in the county court by suit of Joan wife of Henry le Harper. He was in the tithing of Adam Blacberd of Chalgrove, so *in mercy*. he had no chattels. The vill of Chalgrove did not pursue him, so *in mercy*. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**821** John son of Geoffrey Bertram killed Thomas son of Stephen of Britwell and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was received in the vill of Holcombe out of frankpledge, so *in mercy*. Miles Kachepappe was attached for that death by John Triete and his whole tithing in Berrick [?Salome], and he has not come, so he and the whole tithing *in mercy*. David le Brid, William son of Stephen, Robert son of Wluric, Thomas his brother, Richard Butcher, William de Hegge, and Peter son of Wimarc were on the spot when Thomas was killed, and Ingelard de Cigogne, the bailiff, was ordered to attach them and did not attach them, so *in mercy*. Afterwards Miles Kachepappe came and denied the death and everything and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. And the jurors say that Miles first hit Walter Carter, so that the fight in which Thomas was killed arose through him, but Miles did not hit Thomas or lay hands on him. So it is adjudged Miles be acquitted. No one else is suspected of that death. John had no chattels. Since the vill of Newington did not pursue him, it is *in mercy*.

**822** A boy was found dead between Cadwell and Brightwell Baldwin. Maud his mother, who first found him, comes. No one is suspected of his death. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**823** Four men of Rycote were drowned from a boat in the river Thame. No one is suspected. Verdict, *misadventure*. Price of the boat *12d.* for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. *Deodand*.

**824** A small boy was dragged to death by a calf to whose tail he had tied his belt. Maud his mother, first finder, comes. No one is suspected of that death. Verdict, *misadventure*. Price of the calf *18d.*; given to Maud because it was her calf.

m. 22.]

**825** Thomas Blundel appeals Simon Foot that as he was in the vill of Milton on the Sunday before St. Nicholas's day [2 December] 1240 he hit him a blow on the head with an axe and took from him by force and robbery a silver clasp worth 8d. and an axe worth 5d. That he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body, as the court shall award.

The same Thomas appeals Roger brother of Ellis le Messer of Rycote that on the same day at the same time and in the same place he hit him on the head with an iron fork (and on the hand with a stick so that he is now maimed) and was in league with Simon in the robbery done him. That he

did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove as a maimed man, if the court considers he is maimed, if not, on his body.

The same Thomas appeals Ralph de Frayn that on the same day at the same time in the same place he hit him on the right side of the head with an axe and beat him with a stick under the feet (and on his arms so that he was maimed) and he was in league with Simon and Roger to rob him. That he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove as a maimed man, or on his body.

Simon comes and denies wounding and robbery and breach of the king's peace and everything, and offers to deny it on his body, if the court so judges. He says that Thomas has not made a reasonable suit against him, that he changed his appeal, and now appeals otherwise than he did in the county court. Since it is found that Thomas had followed his suit sufficiently and shown his fresh wounds, and it is found that he had not changed his appeal, it is adjudged that there be a duel between them and that Simon give surety for denial and Thomas give surety for proof. Simon's sureties are Thomas Kemp of Rycote, Ralph le Palmer of the same, Hugh Blund of the same, Nicholas son of Roger of the same. Since Simon could not find more sureties he is in custody. Likewise Roger and Ralph who are appealed of mayhem.

Afterwards Thomas comes and withdraws himself altogether from his appeal, and evidence is given that there was an agreement. So Thomas and the others in custody. Afterwards Simon and Roger and Ralph make fine for themselves and Thomas and his sureties, *6 marks*, by surety of Geoffrey of Stockwell, Henry son of Robert of Ewelme and Gilbert Wace of Eye.

**826** Evildoers came by night to the house of the dean of Haseley and wounded the dean and killed Thomas Gernun, husband of the dean's daughter, and fled. William Joscelin and Robert Spillewater, charged with that death, fled and are suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. They were not in tithing since they were vagrants and foreigners. Nor were any chattels found.

Margery the dean's daughter was attached for that death by William Despenser of Haseley and Roger Maynard of the same. Margery has not come but has withdrawn herself, so her sureties *in mercy*. The 12 jurors say that they suspect her of that death, so *exacted and waived*. She had no chattels. No one else is suspected.

**827** Master Vincent Cornwall, once a scholar of Oxford, was killed at Benson at the house of Alice of Hackney. Hugh Wither, Austin his carter, and Siward Hugh's man were hanged for that death before the justices of gaol delivery. John of Middleton and Hugh son of Richard of Middleton and Alice of Moreton are suspected of that death, so *exacted and outlawed*, and Alice *waived*. Evidence is given that Alice of Moreton was taken for that death by Ingelard de Cigogne the bailiff, who sent her, by surety of Robert Basset parson of Moreton, into Berkshire. Since he has not got her, *in mercy*.

And afterwards William Hay the sheriff came, and because of this took without warrant all Robert's chattels in Moreton and led them into

Oxford castle, so the sheriff *in mercy*. Since Ingelard sent Alice away by surety of Robert Basset without warranty, he is in mercy.

The chattels of Hugh who was hanged 26s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. None of the others had any chattels.

Afterwards the said Robert came and claimed his chattels, and the sheriff came and said that after Vincent's death he, by inquisition made on the king's orders, found out that Alice of Hackney, whose chattels those were, was guilty of that death, and so he seised the chattels into the king's hand and sent them away into the house where the chattels were for half a year, and since no one claimed them by surety, he took them into Oxford castle. *To judgement.*

**828** An unknown man was found dead in Drayton [St. Leonard] on the abbot of Dorchester's land. No one is suspected; no Englishry, so *murder*. The 12 jurors did not present any finder on their roll, so to *judgement of 12*.

**829** Adam Bluet narrowed the king's road next to his fishpond in Ewelme, and so Adam is *in mercy*. The sheriff is ordered to amend the road by view of law-worthy men.

**830** Thomas son of Robert was kicked to death by a horse. No one is suspected; verdict *misadventure*. Price of the horse 4s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. Since the coroner did not have the price of the horse on his roll, to the *judgement of the coroner*.

**831** The jurors say that the church of Chalgrove is in the king's gift, and Master John Romanus, subdean of York, holds it of the gift of King Richard; it is worth 40 marks.

**832** Andrew le Sopere of Ewelme threw a stick at a hen and hit a boy so that he died, and he fled to the church and acknowledged the crime and *abjured* the realm. He was in the tithing of Simon Blund of Ewelme, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**833** Robert of Wendlebury, whom Geoffrey Bernard called to warranty about goods stolen within the liberty of Thame, was taken and imprisoned in the abbot of Battle's prison at Crowmarsh [Gifford]. He *escaped* from there. So to *judgement* of escaping. Robert is *exacted and outlawed*. His tithing and chattels are unknown. It is said that he lived at Compton in Berkshire, so let enquiry be made there.

**834** Emelota le Pape appealed Robert Nel that he slept with her by force and deflowered her, and now she comes and prosecutes him. He has not come, and he was attached by William Pole Perle of Oxford and William Morin of the same. So the sureties *in mercy*. Emelota is told to prosecute him in the county court if she wishes anyone outlawed or attached.

**835** The jury present that Ingelard de Cigogne distrained the free men of Benson hundred who owe no suit to the king's mill of Benson and used not to do any suit there. So let enquiry be made.

**836** The jury say there are no defaulters in the hundred.

The Hundred of Wootton comes by 12

**837** Richard the Priest and William le Fayre, indicted for theft by William le Nocce who acknowledges that he is a thief, come and deny theft and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect them, so Richard and William are *acquitted*. William le Nocce was sent to London and there hanged. He had no chattels.

**838** Thomas de Sutteford and Richard his brother, arrested for the death of Martin son of John chaplain of Cogges who was crushed to death by a stone in Cogges, come and deny the death and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. And the vill of Cogges did not present that plea at the county court, so *in mercy*. The jurors say that they are not guilty of that death, so Thomas and Richard are *acquitted*. The 12 jurors foolishly and falsely presented that plea, so *in mercy*.

**839** Agnes daughter of William Carpenter appealed Walter le Wayder that he slept with her by force. She has not come, nor did she find pledge or surety, so they are to be taken. Walter has not come, and he was attached by Richard of Combe in North Leigh, so *in mercy*. The other surety has died. The 12 jurors say Walter is guilty, so *in mercy*.

**840** Maud daughter of Alice Cook appealed Peter son of Stephen Simeon of force and rape, and now she comes and prosecutes. He has not come, nor was he attached since he is of the vill of Oxford. Maud is told to prosecute him in the county court until he is attached or outlawed. Maud has claimed the king's peace of Peter, let her have it.

**841** Three clerks were walking through the vill of Slape and a dispute arose between one of them, who was called Robert son of the reeve of Billesley, and Peter Foster. Robert hit Peter with an axe on the head so that he died, and Robert fled to Glympton church and admitted the crime and *abjured* the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a clerk. He had no chattels. No one else is suspected. The other two clerks, Adam at Well and Richard Cotun, and Richard de Alton their servant who was there when Peter was killed, come and the 12 jurors say that they are not guilty of that death, so they are *acquitted*.

m. 22d.]

**842** William Hunger of Witney stole sheep and fled to Cogges church and admitted the theft and *abjured* the realm; nothing is known of his chattels or tithing, since he lived in the *liberty of Witney*; let enquiry be made there.

**843** William of Lyneham was crushed to death by a cart. William son of Richard, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart and the 2 horses in it 12s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**844** Roger Underwood appealed William le Messer of breaking his arm and cutting his sinews. William has not come, nor was he attached since the crime has just been committed. So Roger is told to prosecute him in the county court until William is attached or outlawed.

**845** Maud daughter of William Young appealed Hugh son of Guy of rape. Now she comes and does not prosecute him, nor did she find surety or pledge. Hugh comes. The jurors say that Hugh is not guilty, nor has there been an agreement. So Maud is in mercy. She is poor.

**846** Erneis del Frith, Robert the clerk, and John del Frith were killed between Eynsham and Hanborough. It is not known who killed them. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**847** Geoffrey of Haddon was drowned at Eynsham. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**847a** Geoffrey Spragin fell from a boat into the water at Godstow and drowned. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry so *murder*.

**848** John son of Adam of Hanborough killed Gillian his wife and fled, and he was received in the vill of Hanborough outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**849** Adam le Cuver was crushed to death by a cart. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Afterwards evidence was given that he was killed by a cart in which there were 3 barrels and 1 horse, price 9s. 3d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**850** William brother of Robert the shepherd of Wolvercote found Robert his brother killed in Wolvercote field. He has not come, so he and his sureties *in mercy*, namely Walter of Leigh and Richard son of Maud of Yarnton. Richard Gambun of Kidlington was suspected of that death and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Henry Pigacce of Kidlington, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

Cf. no. 864.

**851** The jurors say that Wolvercote chapel is in the king's gift, and Pontius de Ponte holds it of the king's gift. It belongs to the church of St. Peter in the East, Oxford, and St. Peter's and its chapels are worth 40 marks a year.



**852** Alice daughter of Eustace was crushed to death by a cart. Maud her mother, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart 4s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**853** Henry le Hunte, John Miller of Barford, and Richard son of Richard Wret fled to the church of Hensington and admitted they were thieves and *abjured* the realm. They had no chattels. John was received in the vill of Barford outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. The other two were not in tithing since they were clerks.

See no. 878.

**854** Richard son of Odo of Barton, arrested for the death of John son of Panye, comes and denies the death and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty of that death, so *acquitted*. And Geoffrey Ayulred, charged with the same death, withdrew himself. The jurors say that Geoffrey wounded John in a dispute between Richard son of Odo and John. But they say that John was cured of that wound a long time before he died, so they do not suspect Geoffrey of his death. So let him return if he wishes and be under surety.

**855** William Colhop stole 3 lambs in the fields of Bletchingdon and Richard son of Odo took him and imprisoned him. William escaped from prison. So to judgement for escaping. Evidence is given that Richard paid 100s. for that escape, that is 50s. to John de Plescy the sheriff and 50s. to Robert of Wootton bailiff of the hundred, who acknowledge it. William fled to Steeple Barton church and abjured the realm. He was received in the vill of Islip outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**856** Henry Ferthing of Steeple Barton killed Gunnild his wife in Steeple Barton and fled. (So *exacted and outlawed*.) He was received in Steeple Barton outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. No one else is suspected of the crime. He had no chattels.

**857** Robert Miller of Steeple Barton was crushed to death by an oak. Blanche his wife, first finder, comes and. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Nothing for the oak because no one would buy it.

**858** William Russel appealed William Pudding (the younger), Geoffrey of Slape, Richard Parker, Nicholas Foster, and John Foster of breach of the king's peace and felony. Now he comes and will not prosecute them. So in custody, and his sureties for prosecuting in mercy, namely William of Ludwell and Robert Prat of Wootton. None of those appealed comes except Geoffrey. William Pudding was attached by Reynold Foster of Wootton and Peter son of Hugh of the same, and Richard Parker by John Buttercake of Woodstock and William Pudding the elder. (So *in mercy*), and John Foster by the said Geoffrey of Slape. Afterwards John came and said nothing of his sureties; Nicholas was not found. Evidence



was given that there was collusion and that William Pudding and the others were guilty of that felony, so *in mercy*.

**859** Richard son of Rufus appealed Peter Rufus son of Zachary of robbery and felony. Richard has not come since Peter was *outlawed* at Richard's suit in the county court. Hugh of Glympton appealed Robert, servant of the said Zachary, who was *outlawed* by suit of the said Hugh. Alan Cook was *outlawed* for the same by suit of Peter Gross. Peter Rufus (and all the others appealed) were not in tithing in that county since they were from Staffordshire. Nor is anything known of their chattels. So nothing.

**860** The jurors say that Richard de Harcourt holds Stanton Harcourt in chief of the king by service of  $\frac{1}{3}$  knight's fee and by serjeanty of collecting ivy in winter for the king's beasts and mowing the king's meadow under Everswell and making hay. It is worth £40 a year. Henry de la Wande holds £8 worth of land in the same vill by service of keeping the king's falcons.

**861** Hugh le Devenays appeals Philip son of Robert le Waleis that on the Wednesday before Whitsun 3 years ago [19 May 1238] he came to Glympton to the manor of William de Mohun who is Hugh's wardship, and broke his lord's fishpond and fished there with others and carried off his lord's fish by force. That he did this wickedly and in felony and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Philip comes and denies the felony and breach of the king's peace and everything and he claims judgement exculpating him. Since it is adjudged that the appeal is null to put Philip to law, Hugh is *in mercy*. Let the jurors enquire into the facts. The 12 jurors say that Philip is not guilty, so he is acquitted and Hugh committed to *gaol*.

**862** Fulk son of Peter of Kidlington fell under a cart and was crushed to death by its wheel. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart 4s. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. *Deodand 4s.*

**863** Richard de Camera fell under the wheel of a mill in Kidlington and was crushed to death. The first finder comes and is not suspected; nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the wheel  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark, for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. *Deodand  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.*

**864** Richard Gambun was suspected of the death of a shepherd killed in Wolvercote fields, and of robbery, and he fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Henry Pigacce of Kidlington, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

Cf. no. 850.

**865** About encroachments they say that the prior of St. Frideswide's obstructed part of the river Cherwell by a weir which he enlarged so that boats could not cross it as they used to. To judgement of the prior, and *enquire* into the obstruction.

**866** Of prises by sheriffs and bailiffs they say that John of Tew, when he was sheriff of Oxfordshire, took 5 marks from the vill of Kidlington, against the vill's will, because a man was found dead in the vill's marsh. Reynold of Wroxton, John's servant, took  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for the same, and William le Megre took  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark on the same occasion. So to *judgement*. The jurors witness that it is true that an unknown man was found killed there. Since it was not known who he was no Englishry was presented, so *murder*. The vill of Kidlington did not present the case at the county court, so *in mercy*.

m. 23]

**867** William of Feckenham fled to Tackley church and admitted that he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He had no chattels, nor was he in tithing since he was a foreigner.

**868** William Belche was suspected of the theft of pigs and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Richard Thedric of Whitehill, so *in mercy*. His chattels 20s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**869** Reynold of Northampton passed through Rousham and fled to the church there and admitted that he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He was not in frankpledge since he was a vagrant. His chattels 5d. for which let the sheriff answer.

**870** Thomas Buffin, charged with stealing 2 colts, comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty, so he is *acquitted*. They say that Henry Peyngnant was suspected of that theft and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of John le Esquire in Middle Aston, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**871** Of serjeanties they say that Paulinus the king's caterer holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  hide of land in Middle Aston by serjeanty of acting as the king's doorkeeper. It is worth [blank] a year.

Whole entry crossed out.

See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 27.

**872** The same Thomas Buffin holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  hide and 2s. rent in Middle Aston by serjeanty of serving in the king's army for 40 days at his own expense. If he serves for more than 40 days it will be at the king's expense. It is worth 2 marks.

See Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure*, 27.

**873** Paulinus the king's caterer holds  $\frac{1}{2}$  hide and 30s. rent in Middle Aston of the gift of Geoffrey son of William and Gunnor his wife. Geoffrey and Gunnor held it of the king's gift by serjeanty of being keeper of the king's chamber door. It is worth 4 marks a year. So to *judgement*.

See Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure*, 27.

**874** Of *defaulters* they say that William of Stoke, Gunter of Stoke, Robert Stoyl, Geoffrey Despenser, Andrew de Houton, William de Mohun, Thomas son of Robert of Kidlington, John de Pewton, Gilbert le Esquire, the earl of Arundel, Baldwin de Ver, Roger de Sumery, John of Barford, and Nicholas de Moels did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

**875** Reynold servant of Hugh of Tew appeals Robert le May that on the Tuesday before Epiphany [5 January] 1238 he came to him in Duns Tew and hit him and wounded him with an axe in the head so that he knocked him to the ground. And that he did this wickedly and in felony and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body.

Robert comes and denies breach of the peace and felony and everything. He offers to deny it on his body. Since the suit was sufficiently made and the fresh wound was seen by the coroner, it is considered that there should be a *duel* between them, and that Robert should give surety of denial and Reynold of proof. Reynold's sureties: Robert son of Ralph, Henry of St. Paul, Hugh of Tew, and Hugh the Butler. Robert is in custody.

A day is given, Thursday the day after the feast of St. Philip and St. James [2 May], and let them come armed.

**876** The same Reynold appeals Hugh the Priest that on the same day, hour, and place he wounded him twice with a dagger, once in the right arm and once in the left thigh, and robbed him of 32d. of his lord's money. And that he did this wickedly and feloniously, and against the king's peace, he offers to prove on his body. Hugh comes.

**877** The same Reynold appeals Richard son of Roger and Roger his father of force.

**878** John of Barford, Richard son of Richard Miller, and John Miller killed Nicholas Miller of Bodicote and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing since he was a clerk; he had no chattels. Richard and John Miller fled to Hensington church and admitted the death and abjured the realm, as appears above.

See no. 853.

**879** Thomas son of Peter of Newington killed Henry son of Richard of the same and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*; and he was in the tithing of Osbert of Newington in South Newington, so *in mercy*. His chattels 18s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**880** The jurors say that Nicholas de Moels holds of the king in chief the manor of Over Worton by service of a pair of gold spurs; he used to hold by serjeanty of keeping the king's falcon. It is worth £10 a year.

**881** William son of Robert of Nether Worton holds  $7\frac{1}{2}$  yardlands in Nether Worton by serjeanty of carrying the pennon before the hundred in the king's army for 40 days at his own expense, and if he serves more than 40 days it is at the king's expense. It is worth 2 marks a year.

See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 74.

**882** William at Well, accused of theft, comes and denies the theft and everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say they suspect him of many thefts. So he is to be *hanged*. He had no chattels.

**883** Wymarc wife of Adam Avenel appeals Maud wife of Richard Furel that she hit her on the womb when she was pregnant so that she miscarried.

Wymarc has not come, and she found sureties for prosecuting, Warin of Eynsham and Alan of Somerford. So *in mercy*. Maud comes. The jury say she is not guilty, nor was there collusion, so Maud is acquitted.

**884** Peter of Leigh, charged with the death of Erneis del Frith who was killed between Eynsham and Hanborough, and with carrying off about 18 cartloads of Erneis's corn at midnight, comes and denies the death and everything, and gives £10 to have an enquiry, by surety of Ralph de Saucey, John le Brun, John le Mire, Henry Pipard, Gilbert de Hide, Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph of Barford, Roger Gulafre, Robert of Sarsden, William of St. Owen, Hugh the Butler, Stephen of Northmoor, John le Rus, and Adam Dreng.

The 12 jurors say that Peter is not guilty of Erneis's death, nor do they know who killed him, but they say that Peter carried off Ralph's [sic] corn after he was killed. So it is adjudged that Peter be acquitted and *in mercy* for trespass. Since the 12 jurors did not present it in their presentment, they are *in mercy* for concealment. *To judgement of 12* Alexander del Frith, charged with the same death, denies it and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say he is not guilty, so he is acquitted.

The Hundred of Lewknor comes by 12

**885** Nicholas son of William of Stokenchurch appeals Ralph son of Richard of the same of breach of the king's peace and wounding. Nicholas has not come, and he found sureties for prosecuting, Nicholas Mut of Pophley [in Stokenchurch] and John his brother. So *in mercy*. Ralph comes, and the jurors say he is not guilty, nor was there collusion, so Ralph is acquitted.

**886** Erneis Thrucke of 'Turesye' was suspected of the death of Auger of Aston, killed at Thame, and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing in the county because he lived at 'Turesye' in Buckinghamshire. Nothing is known of his chattels. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**887** Hervey de Paterhull, the abbot of Thame's hayward, killed Simon son of Thurstan and fled; judgement, *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the household of the abbot of Thame, so *in mercy*. The vill of Sydenham did not pursue him, so *in mercy*.

m. 23d.]

**888** Hugh le Sinker of Tythrop fled to Kingsey church and recognized that he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He was in the tithing of Richard son of William of Tythrop, so *in mercy*. His chattels 4s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**889** Robert Gangy was hanged at Aylesbury for theft. He had chattels worth 12d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**890** Roald son of Alan, who appealed Henry de Scaccario of breach of the king's peace, came and withdrew himself. The jury say there has been collusion, so both *in mercy*. Neither of them found sureties.

**891** Of escheats they say that Roger de Quincy, earl of Winchester, holds Chinnor and Sydenham which were lands of the Normans; they are worth £25.

**892** Of *serjeanties* they way that Roger de Scaccario holds land in Aston Rowant by serjeanty of being usher at the king's exchequer; it is worth 20s. a year.

See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 95-6.

**893** Richard of King's Walden, taken at Emmington with stolen cloth, comes and says he is a clerk, and he says that Ralph Scherewind of Emmington raised the hue and cry against him in Emmington out of hatred and malice. The whole vill of Emmington together with Ralph, charged him with having stolen a bundle of cloths. The jurors are asked whether they suspect Richard, and they say on their oath that he is not guilty, but Ralph charged him out of hatred and malice. So Richard is acquitted and Ralph committed to gaol. Since the vill of Emmington falsely presented that Richard stole the cloth, *in mercy*.

**894** Ralph Evening of Henton fled for the death of a Buckinghamshire man, and he is suspected. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing in the county. His chattels 10s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**895** Geoffrey son of Gilbert of Lewknor, Ralph de Frayn, and Matthew miller of Henton, charged with theft and with receiving stolen goods, withdrew themselves. Ralph is not suspected, so let him return if he wishes. Geoffrey and Matthew are suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. Matthew was in the tithing of Robert de Cadamo <Kam> in Henton, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. Geoffrey was in the tithing of William Godwyn of Lewknor, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**896** Robert Smith of Stokenchurch and Hugh Ploughman of Crowell, charged with theft and receiving stolen goods, come and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted.

**897** *Of defaulters* they say that Paulinus Peyvre, Robert Bussard of Sydenham, the abbot of Abingdon, Miles de Morley, Hugh le Sage of Lewknor <Adwell>, Amaury son of Robert of Henton, Miles Neyrnuyt, Ralph de Verney, Andrew le Blunt, Nicholas de Moels <Richard de Mire>, Thomas of Kingston, Roger de Quincy, Robert Loreng, Hugh son of Stephen, Robert Foster, Simon of Chinnor, Robert Brand, Hugh clerk of Aston, Hugh son of Michael, Hugh of Ploughley, Walter Batun, Hugh de Copicote, and the vill of Aston Rowant did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

The Hundred of Langtree comes by 12

**898** Unknown evildoers burgled the house of Ralph son of William of Whitchurch and the suit was not presented at the county court nor before the coroner, so the vill of Whitchurch *in mercy*.

**899** Henry Cross and William Grosmund were drowned from a boat in the Thames. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the boat 6d., for which let the sheriff answer. *Deodand*.

**900** William Yve, reeve of Stoke, withdrew himself for theft of  $\frac{1}{2}$  qtr. of malt. He is not suspected of any other theft, so let him return if he wishes. Since he fled, and was in tithing of William son of Robert de Hayles, *in mercy*. His chattels, 6 marks, for which let Richard Siward answer. Afterwards the 6 marks were remitted to Richard, since evidence was given that William did not flee because of the theft but because he could not render his account.

**901** Edmund the glover was found dead of cold outside the vill of Mongewell. Linota his wife, the first finder, has not come. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so *murder*. <Judgement, *misadventure*.> Since the vill of Mongewell presented that Linota had died and evidence is given by the 12 jurors that she is alive, the vill is *in mercy*; it finds no pledge or surety.

**902** Richard Boveton, having a falling sickness, was found dead at Checkendon. Alditha his mother, who first found him, has not come. She was attached by Walter Boveton and Hugh Boveton, so *in mercy*. Since the vill of Wyfold falsely presented that Alditha had died, *in mercy*. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**903** Henry son of Geoffrey of Crowmarsh hit Ralph le Poer with a knife in the belly so that he died, and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing in that hundred since he lived in Wallingford. Nothing is known of his chattels.

Alice, Henry's sister, fled to Crowmarsh [Gifford] church and admitted

that she was a party to that death, and *abjured* the realm. She had no chattels. The vill of Crowmarsh [Gifford] (which is in Oxfordshire) did not pursue Henry, so *in mercy*.

Geoffrey Pachet, charged with that death, comes and denies it and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The 12 jurors say he is not guilty, so he is *acquitted*.

**904** William Pluggy appealed William the cowherd of Crowmarsh of theft and receiving stolen goods, and William Pluggy was afterwards hanged at Moreton in Berkshire. William the cowherd withdrew himself, and he was attached by Ralph reeve of Crowmarsh and Achard of the same, so *in mercy*. The jury say they do not suspect William the cowherd, so let him return if he wishes. William Pluggy had no chattels in the county.

**905** Humphrey Athelard, Gunnild, Joan, and Maud de Toffend, charged with receiving thieves, come and deny it and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

**906** Unknown evildoers came by night to the house of Agnes daughter of Robert Cook in 'Toffend' and killed her and carried off her goods. Adam Cook, who first found her, comes and is not suspected, nor is it known who killed her. Hugh son of Edith was charged with that death and is not suspected.

**907** Of defaulters they say that the countess of Oxford, Margery de Rivers, Geoffrey de Coudrey, William de la Beche, Robert of Chilham, Hugh de Druval, Bartholomew of Badlesmere, Ralph Kerde, Robert Brand, William Fisher of Stoke, and William of Clifton did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

The Hundred of Dorchester comes by 12

**908** Hugh son of Robert of Dorchester fell into a ditch in Dorchester and drowned there. Mary his mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**909** Richard Wrenne was found drowned in the River Thame. Beatrice his daughter, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Englishry was presented.

**910** Millicent, wife of Peter Hereward in Wittenham, was found killed in the Thames. Felicity daughter of Gilbert, first finder, comes and is not suspected.

Peter Hereward, charged with that death, fled and is suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing because he was a free man. His chattels 1 mark, which was paid to Hugh Pattishall, bishop of Coventry, by the king's writ. Peter had 1 yardland in Chislehampton; evidence is given that the king had it for a year and a day and afterwards it was committed to Denise of Wittenham by the king's writ.

Thomas Hareng, charged with that death, was taken and imprisoned at Wallingford, and he escaped from prison and fled. He is suspected, so *exacted and outlawed*. Neither his tithing nor his chattels are known since he lived in Berkshire.

m. 24]

**911** A piece of glass wounded a boy named Richard so that he died. Godfrey swineherd, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement *misadventure*. Price of the glass 2s., for which let the sheriff answer.

**912** William Spakeman and Maud his wife, charged with the death of a scalded man in Dorchester, come and deny the death and everything and place themselves on the jury for good or ill. The jury say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

**913** Of *defaulters* they say that Robert [Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln, Ralph son of Roger of Chislehampton, James son of Alice of the same, Robert of Holcombe, Roger de Hide, John his brother, William of St. Helen, esquire of Matthew Hose, did not come on the first day, so in mercy.

**914** Robert Benet of Epwell was suspected of theft of sheep and many other thefts, and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Robert le Franceis of Epwell, so *in mercy*. His chattels 6s., for which let Walter of Barton, the bishop of Lincoln's bailiff of Dorchester, answer. Robert had  $\frac{1}{2}$  yardland and 1 messuage; a year and a day's waste to the king,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark, for which let Walter the bailiff answer.

The Hundred of Bampton comes by 12

**915** Agnes daughter of Sybil of Bampton was drowned in a pit; Sybil her mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*.

**916** William son of Roger was drowned in a well in Bampton. Gilbert the reeve, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**917** Richard Thorold accidentally hit himself in the leg with a sickle so that he died. Haugenild his wife, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. The sickle was committed to the vill of Bampton to have before the justices, and since the vill has not got it, *in mercy*.

**918** Azo of Lewes was on another occasion charged before the justices with theft so that he withdrew himself, and was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. Before he was outlawed he found pledges to stand to right. Now he comes and denies the theft and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect



him, so the sheriff is told that he should be under surety, and that he should take 6 sureties from him.

**919** John Tovy was on another occasion charged with theft before William of York and his fellow justices, so that he withdrew himself and was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. Before he was outlawed he came back and found sureties to stand to right. Now he has not come, so the judgement proceeds according to his former outlawry, and his sureties *in mercy*, that is Adam Tovy of Aston, John son of Roger Tovy of the same, Robert Lovel, Walter Martin of la Putte [in Clanfield], John Crume of Aston, Adam son of Roger in Minster [Lovell], Nicholas the scribe of Eynsham, Richard Sileman, Walter son of Jordan, William Jordan, Nicholas Rauf, and Walter Young of Cote. It is ordered that John be taken, and his chattels, if he have any, be taken into the king's hand. Evidence is given that he had chattels worth 15s.

Afterwards John was taken and came and denied the theft and everything, and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors of the 4 vills say that they do not suspect him, so John is *acquitted*.

**920** Richard Puck was on another occasion charged with theft before William of York and his fellow justices, so that he withdrew himself and was ordered to be exacted and outlawed. But nothing was done about it. Richard has not come, nor did he acquit himself of the earlier charge, so as before *exacted and outlawed*. To the *judgement of the county* because he was not outlawed earlier. Evidence is given that the vill of Shifford received him without warrant after he had been ordered to be outlawed, so *in mercy*.

**921** Richard son of Alice of Kelmscott fell from a cart so that he died. Alice his mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart and horse 7s. 6d., for which let the sheriff answer.

Hugh le Ken, who was in the cart when he fell, fled to the church and admitted he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. On this came William of Shipton, bailiff of Bampton Hundred, and showed the king's writ by which the king pardoned him that abjuration, so that he should stand to right. Afterwards the 7s. 6d. was given to Alice by the justices because she is very poor.

**922** Adam son of Frank, charged with associating with a thief who was an approver, comes and denies the association and everything and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say he is not guilty, so he is *acquitted*.

**923** Richard Mirimuth, suspected of theft of horses and sheep, fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of William reeve of Astrop, so *in mercy*. His chattels 12d., for which let William de Hay the sheriff answer.

**924** William son of Robert of Brize Norton appealed William son of Sarah of Fritwell and William Coker of breach of the king's peace and

felony, and appealed Michael of Astrop of force. William son of Robert has come and the others have not come, nor were they attached. William son of Robert does not wish to proceed against them, so he and his sureties *in mercy*, that is Robert Page of Brize Norton and Peter Pese of the same. The jurors witness that there was collusion, so all *in mercy*.

**925** Henry son of Maurice of Curbridge fled to Curbridge church and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He had no chattels, but he was in the tithing of Walter of Bruern in Curbridge, so *in mercy*.

**926** William le Devenays of Hailey killed William le Strange and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He had no chattels. He was in the tithing of Ralph Edwin in Hailey, so *in mercy*. No Englishry, so *murder*. No one else is suspected.

**927** John Bellard of Witney killed himself with a razor; Roger his son, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *suicide*. His chattels 8s., for which let Roger Sharp and Richard Benerasche of Witney answer. He had a messuage, whence a year and a day to the king, and waste on the vill of Witney. Afterwards evidence is given that the waste is worth 40s., for which let Paulinus Peyvre, then sheriff, answer.

**928** The jurors say that William of Shenington sold wine contrary to the king's assize, so *in mercy*. Likewise Roger Cockol and Ralph chaplain of Burford.

**929** Of *serjeanties* they say that Geoffrey son of William holds Alvescot by serjeanty of being the king's doorkeeper; it is worth 10 marks a year.  
See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 27.

**930** Beatrice Murdac holds Broughton Poggs by serjeanty of raising a goshawk and carrying it until it ought to fly. She is in the king's gift. Her land is worth £10 a year.

**931** Robert de Eleford holds 4 yardlands in the vill of Lew by serjeanty of raising a falcon. It is worth 20s. a year. He holds 3 yardlands in Aston by serjeanty of riding with the king in the army for 40 days at his own expense.

**932** Earl Richard holds Asthall of the land of the Flemings, worth £10 a year.

**933** Imbert [Pugeys] holds half of Bampton of the king's gift and half at farm. The manor is worth £61 a year and is of the fee of Bonoun.  
See *Cal. Chart. R.* 1227-57, p. 235.

**934** Of *defaulters* they say that Reynold of Asthall, Richard Barberel, Reynold parson of Broughton [Poggs], Gerard de Oddingeseles, Richard of Bickerton, the prior of Hertford, Paulinus of Burford, John Pepercot, William Dosy of Kencot, John Cipryan of the same, John of

Buckland, Simon de Haverill, Miles of Hastings, William Barenel of la Putte [in Clanfield], James le Sauvage, Peter Bukerel, and the vill of Lew did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*. And the vill of Langford.

935 Geoffrey of Little Leach, charged with the death of William of Upton, came and denied the death and everything, and placed himself on the jury for good or ill. The jury of 4 vills say he is not guilty so he is *acquitted*.

The Borough of Banbury comes by 12

936 Pain de Edenburg fled to Banbury church and admitted he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He was not in tithing since he was a traveller. His chattels 4d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

937 Alice daughter of Robert Lothenfeld was struck down and killed by a piece of wood. Gillian her mother, first finder, comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the wood 4d., for which let the sheriff answer.

938 Henry Humbert was hanged at Oxford for the death of Philip Herbert. His chattels 2d., for which let the sheriff answer.

939 Nicholas Core of Banbury was suspected of theft and fled (so *exacted and outlawed*). He was in the tithing of Richard de Len in Banbury, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

940 Ralph merchant of Banbury, Nicholas son of Hugh Rigold of the same, and Roger Brown of the same, were suspected of the death of John le Palmer and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. Ralph was in the tithing of Richard le Clerk of Banbury who lived on the fee of the parson of Banbury, and Nicholas was in the tithing of Hugh his father, and Roger was in the tithing of Geoffrey Marsh of Banbury; so all the tithings *in mercy*. Roger's chattels 2d., for which let the sheriff answer; the others had no chattels.

941 Of prises they say that the men of Newton took toll from them for all their merchandise, although they ought not to take it except of lead, salt, salted leather, lambskins, linsey-woolsey, and boards. So let it be enquired into.

942 Of wines they say that Stephen le Mercer, Walter le Mestre, Adam Whiting, Simon Mauger, and Warner of Oxford sold wine contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

943 Robert Coket was suspected of theft and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Hugh Travail of Banbury, so *in mercy*.

944 Of cloth sold contrary to the assize they say that Simon Maugher and Robert of Chesterton sold cloth contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

m. 24d.]

The Hundred of Banbury comes by 12

**945** Henry of Dymock was suspected of the theft of sheep and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in Finstock outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. Chattels worth 11s. 4d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**946** Engelesya wife of Henry Clerk appealed Gilbert Makeblithe of the king's peace and robbery, and she appealed his servants Molekin son of Christine le Mire and Richard and William of force. They have not come, nor were they attached. So Engelesya is told to proceed against them in the county court until they are exacted and outlawed. Evidence is given that Ralph Clerk of Shipton was ordered to attach them, and he did nothing about it, so *in mercy*.

**947** Geoffrey son of Vincent of Bourton, accused of theft, comes and denies the theft and everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors of 4 villis say they do not suspect him, so he is *acquitted*.

**948** Robert le Bule of Williamscot was suspected of theft and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Jordan Toky of Williamscot, so *in mercy*. His chattels 7s. for which let Robert [Grosseteste] bishop of Lincoln answer.

**949** Of *defaulters* they say that the vill of Swalcliffe, Hugh son of Richard, Stephen Long of Shutford, William de Asseho in Wickham, Robert de Gaunt in Claydon, and Richard Dreng did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

**950** Roger Giberis, accused of stealing fish in fishponds, and Richard Boveton, accused of theft, come and deny the theft and everything and place themselves on a jury for good or ill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*.

**951** Maud Babbe and Alice Baldes were suspected of theft and of receiving stolen goods, and fled. So *exacted and waived*. They had no chattels.

**952** John Athill of Williamscot was suspected of theft of sheep and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Jordan Toky of Williamscot, so *in mercy*. His chattels 3s., for which let the bishop of Lincoln answer.

The Hundred of Thame comes by 12

**953** Azo son of Ralph was found drowned in the river Thame. The first finder has died. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**954** William Pychecot of Woodstock appeals Matthew of Thame, Roger the clerk, and Adam le Bel that they unjustly hanged his son

Walter by a false judgement of Thame court. Since William has not offered to prove it against them on his body, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and William *in mercy* and in custody. Let the jurors make enquiry.

The jurors say that Walter was found in possession of stolen cloths which were Mary of Dorchester's, so that Walter, by Mary's suit, was proceeded against for theft in Thame court and was hanged by judgement of the court. So it is adjudged that Matthew and the others be acquitted, and William committed to *gaol*. He made fine of  $\frac{1}{2}$  *mark* by pledge of Walter of Tew.

**955** Reynold of Aston killed Auger of Thame in Thame and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Nothing is known of his chattels or his tithing since he did not live in the county.

**956** Ralph Quatermain appeals Roger Bluber of Thame that he with William deacon of Thame, Laurence clerk of the same, and Luke clerk of the same, came to him at Thame (on the morrow of [illegible] and there assaulted him. Roger hit him on the head with an axe, and Roger and the others robbed him of 4s. That they did it feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Ralph appeals William, Laurence, and Luke of force.

Roger and the others come and deny breach of the peace, blows, and everything. They are clerks. Afterwards Ralph came and withdrew himself, so he is in custody and his sureties in *mercy*. He made fine for himself and his sureties with 10s. by surety of Matthew of Thame, Roger son of Geoffrey of the same, and Guy of Shabbington.

**957** Robert clerk of Thame, arrested by appeal of an approver who appealed him of complicity and who was afterwards hanged at Winchester, comes. He is a clerk. The jurors say he is a receiver of stolen goods and an associate of thieves. On this the dean of Oxford comes and claims him as a clerk on behalf of the bishop of Lincoln in the ecclesiastical court. Let him have him.

**958** Gilbert Tiler, arrested for theft, was delivered to William Hay, sheriff of Oxfordshire. He was imprisoned at Oxford and escaped from Oxford *gaol*. So the judgement of *escaping*. He was not in tithing in that county, since he was from Buckinghamshire. He had no chattels.

**959** William le Piper, indicted by an approver of theft and of associating with thieves, comes and denies everything, and places himself on the jury for good or ill. The jurors say they do not suspect him, so William is *acquitted*.

**960** Of cloth they say that Thomas of Totternhoe in Thame and Walter of Upton sold cloth contrary to the assize at Thame, so *in mercy*.

**961** Of *defaulters* they say that Geoffrey son of Roger of Attington, Geoffrey of Lewknor, Reynold Foster, Ralph son of Reynold, William of Hurstbourne, the abbot of Thame, and Gilbert Seagrave did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

**962** Robert reeve of 'Tutendon' [?Attington in Tetsworth] appealed Geoffrey Barnard of Attington of the theft from Rose de Ruppella his lady of an ox which was in his custody. Geoffrey, who was found in possession of the ox, came and called to warranty Robert of Wendlebury from whom he had hired the ox for  $\frac{1}{2}$  year for 8d. Evidence was given that Robert of Wendlebury was taken at Geoffrey's suit and imprisoned at Crowmarsh [Gifford] in the abbot of Battle's prison, and he escaped from there.

Since Robert of Wendlebury fled and is as if attainted of the theft of the ox so that he is not expected to answer about the ox according to the law, let an enquiry be made by jury whether Geoffrey stole that ox or whether he hired it from Robert of Wendlebury. The jurors witness that he hired the ox, so Geoffrey is acquitted, and let the ox be returned to Robert the reeve.

**963** Robert Kangun was suspected of the death of Amice of Stoke and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing since he was a foreigner and a traveller, nor is anything known of his chattels.

**964** Simon Feyter stole a tree trunk which was Richard of Cornwell's and made him carry it to his house in Milton. It is said that Richard on that occasion took 20s. from Simon. The jury say that Simon made fine for the trunk for 20s. with Richard, but they do not know whether or not Richard received it. *To judgement*.

**965** Alexander the pelterer of Thame was hanged at Oxford before the justices of gaol delivery. His chattels 3s. 6d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

The Borough of Burford comes by 12

**966** Of fines before judgement, except vintners and drapers, 40s.

**967** They say that Martin Urry sold cloth contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

**968** They say that Roger Cockol and Ralph the priest sold wine contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

The Hundred of Bullington comes by 12

**969** Stephen le Palmer was drowned at Harpeford [Wheatley] Bridge, and with him was found 27s. which should be distributed for his soul. Sir Henry of Bath has the money. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. No Englishry, so *murder*. Afterwards the justices gave the money to the nuns of Littlemore.

**970** Hugh Gode of Wheatley withdrew himself at the coming of the other justices (for a man's death), and afterwards he returned, it is not known by what warrant. Now he comes, and similarly Walter Lunel and William son of Peter (of Woodperry) charged with theft and receiving,

and they deny the theft and everything and place themselves on a jury for good or ill. The jurors and 4 vills say that Hugh and Walter are not guilty, so they are *acquitted*. Of William they say that Godwin Halvedenel, who abjured the realm, passed by his house, entered it, and found William at a meal. William gave him food for fear of him, and afterwards he slept for a while in William's garden without William's raising the hue and cry. They do not know of William's receiving any other. So to *judgement*.

See no. 975.

**971** A pig savaged William son of Geoffrey Thurbern so that he died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the pig 16d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**972** John, packman of Warin FitzGerald, appealed Robert son of Robert Owen, Henry son of Stephen Simeon, Thomas of Elmley, and Philip Pady of breach of the king's peace and mayhem. John has not come, and he found sureties, Roger Kibbel of Iffley and Robert the White of the same, so *in mercy*.

Let the plea be more fully investigated in Oxford borough, since it is said that they reached an agreement about the appeal before the king

**973** Hugh son of Gilbert of Holywell was drowned from a boat in the Thames. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the boat 10d., for which let the sheriff answer.

m. 25.]

**974** William deacon of South Moreton fell from a cart so that he died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart 4s., for which let the sheriff answer. A foreigner who lives in Berkshire took away the horses which were in the cart.

**975** Godwin Halvedenel fled to St. Clement's church in Oxford and admitted he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. Since he was received in Woodperry outside frankpledge, *in mercy*. His chattels 4s. for which let Roger of Denham, Earl Richard's bailiff, answer.

See no. 970.

**976** Richard Carver of Beckley was killed by a horse which belonged to John son of John, who is with Earl Richard. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the horse 3s., for which let Roger clerk and Adam le Brun of Beckley answer.

**977** Henry son of William Gardener of Woodeaton fled to Woodeaton church out of fear, and afterwards came out and found sureties to stand to right, that is William Gardener of Holton and Alan reeve of Stanton [St. John]. He has not come, so his sureties *in mercy*. The jurors say they do not suspect Henry, so let him *return* if he wishes.

**978** Roger Cotun and Richard his brother were suspected of the death of Hugh le Blunt of Waterperry and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Roger was in the tithing of Hugh Smith of Waterperry, so *in mercy*. Richard was not in tithing in the county since he was from Buckinghamshire, nor is anything known of his chattels. Roger's chattels 7s. 3d. for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**979** Nicholas son of Roger of Thame fled to Thame church and admitted that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He was received in the vill of Thame outside frankpledge, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. Since the vill of Thame did not present the plea, *in mercy* for concealment.

**980** William le Blunt of Chilworth fell from a boat into the water at Harpeford [in Wheatley] and was drowned there. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the boat 12d., for which let the sheriff answer.

**981** Of *serjeanties* they say that Philip Mimekan holds £10 worth of land in Headington of the king by serjeanty as keeper of the forest of Shotover and Stowood.

**982** They say that John of Tew, former sheriff of Oxfordshire, amerced the vill of Garsington 2½ marks for the default of a man who did not come for an inquest into a death, and Richard Siward afterwards received the money. So let *enquiry* be made.

**983** Of *defaulters* they say that the abbot of Abingdon, Thomas of Sandford, Warin FitzGerald, the bishop of Lincoln, William Aguillon, William de Morteyn, Erneis de Scropes, Roger son of Simon of Baldon, Richard son of John of Horsepath, Geoffrey Despenser, Robert of Asthall of Chippinghurst, Roger de Aumary, Peter Gaudyn, Ralph Hareng, Roger Gernun, Matthew of Wheatley, William Cornish, Richard of the mill, and Thomas Buttol of Sandford did not come on the first day.

**984** To judgement of 12 for concealing the king's escheats, that is of Beckley which Richard earl of Cornwall holds and Piddington which Godfrey of Crowcombe holds.

The Borough of Witney comes by 12

**985** Of fines before the justices, except drapers and vintners, 40s.

**986** They say that William son of William of Shenington sold wine contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

**987** William Doberneth fled to Cogges church and admitted he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He was in the tithing of Herbert Pynch in Witney, so *in mercy*. His chattels 55s. 6d. for which let Paulinus Peyvre answer.



**988** Adam le Mercer of Witney, indicted by the appeal of Ellis of Witney, approver, fled and is suspected. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Robert Tysoe of Witney, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

The Hundred of Bloxham comes by 12

**989** William son of Herbert of Barford, William le Franceis, and John Pare of the same burnt Thomas of Warblington's house in Barford St. John. They fled and are suspected. So *exacted and outlawed*. They were all in the tithing of Alan son of Hugh in North Barford [Barford St. John], so *in mercy*. Their chattels £7 8s. 10d., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**990** Maud daughter of Thory of Bodicote appealed Robert son of William shepherd of Banbury of rape. She has not come, nor had she sureties. Robert was attached by Roger Champion of Banbury, and he has not come, so *in mercy*. The other surety has died.

**991** Roger le Brun, Roger Champion, and Nicholas son of Hugh of Banbury killed John le Palmer of Bloxham in the hundred. Since there was no Englishry, *murder*.

**992** William Hert, clerk, killed his brother Walter, a layman, and fled to Alkerton church and *abjured* the realm. He was not in tithing because he was a clerk. He had no chattels. No one else is suspected. The vill of Hornton did not make pursuit, so *in mercy*.

**993** Rannulf son of Gunnild fled to Bloxham church and admitted he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. He was in the tithing of Thomas Martin of Bloxham, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**994** John Prat of Broughton and William Tovy wounded Richard son of Ellis of Adderbury so that he died, and they fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Their tithings were elsewhere. They had no chattels.

**995** Walter Beaufiz of Hanwell killed Robert son of Edwin of Bloxham and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was not in tithing but was in the household of the parson of Hanwell, who has died, so nothing of his tithing. His chattels 25½d. <2s. 3½d.>, for which let William Hay the sheriff answer. Since the 12 jurors concealed his chattels, they are *in mercy*.

**996** Richard son of Osbert of Bloxham fell from a cart and died. The first finder comes. No one is suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the cart and 1 horse in it, ½ mark, for which let the sheriff answer. *Deodand*.

**997** John Grim of Milton and John of Barford killed Nicholas miller of Banbury and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. John of Barford was not in tithing since he was a clerk, and John Grim was in the tithing of Hugh at Well in Adderbury, so *in mercy*. They had no chattels.

**998** Agnes daughter of Richard miller of Barford was crushed to death by a mill wheel in Barford St. John. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the wheel *18d.*, for which let the sheriff answer.

**999** Of *defaulters* they say that Peter the Butler of Milcombe, John de la Bere, Reynold le Sauser of Adderbury, the abbot of Cirencester, William Leuke of Balscott, John de Dunneheth, Henry Myse of Wigginton, William Despenser of North Newington, Henry miller of the same, Robert clerk of Adderbury, John of Holcot, Adam of Bloxham in Milton, did not come on the first day.

The Borough of Chipping Norton comes by 12

**1000** They say that Robert Wall in Chipping Norton sold cloth contrary to the assize, so *in mercy*.

The Borough of Woodstock comes by 12

**1001** Anselm Hurtot, servant of a Welsh clerk, was drowned in the king's manor of Woodstock. No one is suspected. No Englishry, so *murder*. Afterwards it was learnt that the king had sent word to the justices by his writ that he had had Anselm taken from the water by the sheriff and viewed and buried by others, and for that reason they should not prosecute the vill of Woodstock.

See *Close Rolls* 1234-7, 371

**1002** Of churches they say that the churches of Wootton, Bladon, and Stonesfield are in the king's gift. Andrew de Vitry has Wootton church of the gift of Eleanor formerly countess of Salisbury, and it is worth 30 marks a year. Ralph de Hauvill holds Bladon church of the gift of King John, and it is worth 30 marks a year. Joseph [of Farnham], chaplain, holds Stonesfield church of the king's gift; it is worth 100s. a year.

See *Rot. Welles*, (Linc. Rec. Soc. vi), 13, for Joseph of Farnham.

**1003** Adam son of Henry Blund of St. Giles's parish in Oxford, charged with the theft of leather goods at Woodstock in the court of Henry de Penradon, was suspected and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Nothing is known of his tithing or chattels, since he lived in the suburb of Oxford, so let it be more fully enquired into there. *Enquire under Oxford*.

m. 25d.]

The Hundred of Chadlington comes by 12

**1004** William Writhelock killed Hugh his brother at Taynton and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. Emma daughter of William, first finder, comes. No one is suspected. Nothing is known of Hugh's chattels or tithing since he was from Gloucestershire. No Englishry, so *murder*.

**1005** Margaret daughter of Semaun of Fulbrook was crushed to death by a cart. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, misadventure. Price of the cart and horse 3s., for which let the sheriff answer.

**1006** Austin chaplain of Taynton and John his clerk tied Ralph the chaplain's son to a horse's tail to punish him because he would not learn any more, and the horse escaped from their hands and dragged the boy to death. Austin and John fled to Taynton church and *abjured* the realm. They had no chattels. Evidence was given that they lived at Faringdon in Berkshire, so *enquire in Berks*.

**1007** Ralph son of Richard of Lidstone killed Ralph son of Ellis at Lidstone and fled. So *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Eudes the miller of Chalford, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. The first finder comes; no one else is suspected.

**1008** William Carpenter appealed Thomas of Appleton that on Friday after the translation of St. Martin [8 July] 1239 he came to Northmoor, into the prior of Deerhurst's house, and in a premeditated attack he wounded him with a lance between the belly and the legs, and robbed him of 2s. and a garment worth 3s. And that he did this feloniously and against the king's peace he offers to prove on his body. Thomas comes and denies everything and says that when William first appealed him in the county court he did not assign a day or an hour, as he ought to have done, nor show his wound or the place of the wound. It is witnessed by the coroner that when William first made his appeal he made no mention of the day or hour and showed no place of the wound, so it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and that Thomas be quit of the appeal. William is committed to *gaol*. Let the jury make enquiry.

**1009** John son of Roger appealed the same Thomas of Appleton of the same beating and of wounding him in the head with an iron nail and beating him badly, maltreating him disgracefully, and robbing him one a tunic and a blue cloak worth 3s. And that he did this wickedly, etc., he offers to prove on his body.

Thomas comes and denies everything and says, as above, that when John first appealed him in the county court he made no mention of the day or hour, etc. It is witnessed by the coroner that when John appealed him in the county court he made no mention of the day nor showed a wound, so it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and that Thomas be quit of it. John is committed to *gaol*, and let the jury enquire into the facts.

The jury say Thomas is not guilty, so he is acquitted, and William and John are *in mercy*.

Afterwards William and John came and made fine for *1 mark*, by surety of John Pynne of Northmoor and William Thedwyn of the same.

**1010** Hugh Legard killed William of Cubbington in the abbot of Bruern's court. Nicholas Erniyn, first finder, comes. Hugh fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the abbot's household, so *in mercy*. He

had no chattels. Afterwards evidence is given that he *abjured* the realm. No one else is suspected.

**1011** Thomas Stelhard of Lyneham was drowned from a boat in the river Bladon [Evenlode]. The first finder comes and is not suspected. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the boat 10d., for which let the sheriff answer

**1012** Philip son of the smith of Hook Norton killed William son of the reeve of Rollright and fled, so exacted and outlawed. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels. Afterwards evidence was given that Philip was *outlawed* by suit of Philip's wife in the county court.

**1013** Peter the smith of Hook Norton killed William the clerk and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so *in mercy*. His chattels 3s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**1014** Walter Wace was drowned from a horse in the river Bladon [Evenlode]. The first finder comes and is not suspected, nor is anyone else. Judgement, *misadventure*. Price of the horse 3s., for which let the sheriff answer.

**1015** John de Culunces appealed Richard son of Henry of Enstone of the king's peace. He has not come, nor has he found pledge or surety. Richard comes. The jury give evidence that there was a compromise, and that Richard is guilty of the offence of which John appealed him, so Richard in *custody*.

**1016** Gillian of Ascot appealed John son of Nicholas of Kingham of force and rape. They both come, and she does not wish to proceed against him, so her sureties *in mercy*, namely William son of Simon of Ascot and Henry son of Stephen of the same. The jury give evidence that there was collusion, so both in *custody*, surety of their amercement for both of them, Roger d'Oilly.

**1017** Roger the cobbler of Shipton under Wychwood was found dead in his bed. The first finder comes; no one is suspected. Since the vill of Shipton under Wychwood did not present the plea at the county court, *in mercy*.

**1018** Of *serjeanties* they say that Thurstan Despenser holds 10 marks of land in Rollright by serjeanty of being steward of the king's household. See E. G. Kimball, *Serjeanty Tenure in Medieval England*, 56.

**1019** Henry de Ludinton holds 40s. rent in Milton under Wychwood by serjeanty of giving water to the king when he comes into Cornbury; and then he shall have the basin.

**1020** They say that William of Langley is forester in fee of the forest of Wychwood, and his land is worth 40s. a year.

**1021** Peter Rufus of Hook Norton fled at the coming of the justices. Afterwards he returned and found sureties, namely John Karpy, Richard Parker, William son of the reeve, Sweting of Hook Norton, William son of Stephen, Roger son of Stephen, Sebric Costeyn, Simon of Southrop, Ralph Percehaye, Richard of Swalcliffe, Ralph Sparwe, and Robert Overbrook. Now Peter has not come, so his sureties *in mercy*. Peter is suspected of many thefts, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of William Herbert of Hook Norton, so *in mercy*. His chattels 2s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**1022** Ralph Woodcock was suspected of theft and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the tithing of Harding of Leafield in Shipton under Wychwood, so *in mercy*. He had no chattels.

**1023** Geoffrey de Karkewell, charged with theft, comes...[blank]

**1024** Walter Pynnock was taken for theft and imprisoned in Philip de Mandeville's prison at Kingham; he escaped and fled to Kingham church and acknowledged that he was a thief and *abjured* the realm. So to judgement for *escaping*. He was in the tithing of Simon son of William of Kingham, so *in mercy*. His chattels 1s., for which let William Hay the sheriff answer.

**1025** John of Slaughter appealed William son of Hugh Pyrun of breaking the king's peace and wounding, and now he comes and does not wish to proceed against him. So he and his sureties *in mercy*, namely Henry de Mare. William comes and the jurors give evidence that there was collusion, so both in *custody*. Since the 12 jurors did not present the plea, nor many other pleas which were found in the coroner's roll, *in mercy*. Afterwards William son of Hugh comes and makes fine for himself and John  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark, since evidence is given that they are poor, by surety of Ralph of Shipton serjeant of Chadlington.

**1026** Of *defaulters* they say that Earl Richard, Richard of Clare, John Lunel, John de Mar of Little Minster, the prior of Deerhurst, Brian de Braunton, William de Mandeville, Richard de Amundevill, Reynold Foster, John le Mire, Bardulph of Chastleton, Robert de Gray, Richard Hunter, Richard son of John, the earl of Warwick, Reynold son of Peter, William le Waleis, Alan de Colemore, John Hunter of Chadlington, Angerus de Beauchamp, the abbot of Winchcombe, and the abbot of Bruern did not come on the first day, so *in mercy*.

m. 26]

Pleas of the Crown of the Vill of Oxford

**1027** Of churches they say that St. Peter-in-the-East with its chapels is in the king's gift, and Pontius de Ponte holds it of the king's gift; it is worth 60 marks a year.

**1028** John Zachary killed Henry of Swinford and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. John had a house in Oxford, out of which a year and a day waste to the king.

See no. 1030.

**1029** Of old pleas of the crown they say that William Bol of Marlborough and Richard le Ruther and others, whom William of York and his colleagues, justices in eyre in Oxfordshire, left in gaol, together with Angerus of Bedfordshire and William le Fencerer, all afterwards escaped from Oxford gaol. The gaol was in the charge of Walter Goldsmith and Simon son of Bund. It was said that the burgesses of Oxford satisfied the king for the escape, since they brought the king's writ addressed to the sheriff saying that he had granted the good men of Oxford, to help wall their town, £35 which they owed him for the escape of the said thieves.

See *Close Rolls* 1234-7, 146.

**1030** John Zachary killed Henry of Swinford and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. John held a house in Oxford which William son of Guy, predecessor of Andrew Blund, once held, with other large tenements which were next to the house and which were in Andrew's hand. William gave the large house to Zachary, John's father, to hold by service of 12d. a year. Zachary made his charter to the brethren of the hospital of St. John by which he granted them in pure and perpetual alms the lands and houses he had of the gift of William son of Guy of London, paying each year to William and his heirs  $\frac{1}{2}$  lb. of cummin or 1d., and to Henry Simeon and his heirs 12d. in the name of William and his heirs for all services, provided that John son of Zachary should have and hold the land and houses for his life, paying the hospital and brethren 3s. a year, and that the brethren should warranty the land and houses. He made the grant saving to Zachary seisin of the houses as long as he wished to remain in them.

On this came Andrew and offered the king £10 to have seisin of the houses, and for the king's waste. Be it known that Zachary held the land and houses for the whole of his life, paying 3s. to the hospital, and so did John after his father's death pay 3s. a year to the hospital. John's chattels 7s., for which let the sheriff answer. Afterwards evidence is given that the king's year in the house is worth 20s., for which let the bailiffs of Oxford answer.

**1031** Roger le Turner killed Maud his wife and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. His chattels 7d., for which let William Calvus answer. Roger lived in John Sewy's aldermanry.

**1032** William son of John le Waleis was found drowned in his father's court <drain>. <John his father first found him.> No one is suspected. Judgement, misadventure, since the boy was 3 years old.

Walter Goldsmith and Simon son of Bund, then bailiffs, were ordered to attach the neighbours, and they did not, so *in mercy*.

**1033** Philip of Abingdon and Thomas Traveris are suspected of the death of Herbert le Furbur, so *exacted and outlawed*. Nicholas of Gloucester, whom Herbert's wife Gillian appealed of his death, comes and is not suspected. Since he was taken and William Clerk, then bailiff, released him by surety without warrant, *in mercy*.

**1034** William Wygesnail fled to St. Mary's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. His chattels 2s. <3s.> for which let Adam Cruste and Hugh Fane, then bailiffs of Oxford, answer.

**1035** John of Ireland fled to St. Mary's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. He had no chattels. *To judgement* of 12 jurors of Oxford, since they did not present the finder.

**1036** Alice of Oxford was crushed to death by part of a house. No one is suspected. *Judgement*, misadventure. The coroner did not value the part of the house which killed her, so *in mercy*. Afterwards evidence is given that the part which killed her is worth 5s., which is given to the owner of the house because of his poverty.

**1037** Henry Rufus, Walter Harding, Godfrey le Arzuner, Robert Lamberd, Hugh brother of Godfrey and William of Hagbourne, arrested for the death of William of Aston and Robert his brother, and likewise Robert son of Anfrid arrested for the same crime, come and are not suspected, so they are acquitted.

Ives son of Almer, Robert Arzuner, and Ralph <William> Arzuner were charged with that death. Ives fled to St. John's church in Oxford and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. He had no chattels, and was in the household of Walter of Worcester. Robert fled to Moreton church and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm. He had no chattels. Ralph fled to Hagbourne church and admitted that he was guilty of that death and abjured the realm; he had no chattels.

Evidence is given that Henry the weaver was beaten then, and the 12 jurors of Oxford concealed it, so *in mercy*. Ralph was in Henry Rufus's household.

**1038** William Hangman killed Geoffrey le Wayte, so *exacted and outlawed*; his chattels 2s., for which let the bailiffs answer.

**1039** John de Burcham, suspected of the death of a boy killed in St. Cross parish, is *exacted and outlawed*.

**1040** William son of Richard le Brunestre killed Ingram clerk and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He was in the household of Richard his father, so *in mercy*. Roger Mock, Walter son of Alan, Gilbert Hattere, John son of Matthew, William Crompe, and William Smith, charged with that

death, come and place themselves on the vill. The jurors say they are not guilty, so they are acquitted.

**1041** Ralph son of Ralph the Brazur was killed by a horse; judgement, misadventure. No one is suspected. Price of the horse 8s., for which let the bailiffs answer. Herbert, Hugh de Lers's servant, who was riding <leading> the horse, is not suspected, so let him come back if he wishes. *Deodand*.

**1042** Walter Pas, indicted by John of Cirencester, approver, fled to St. Frideswide's church and escaped from there. So William Clerk, then bailiff, *in mercy* since he did not order the parishioners to guard him. Walter was charged with theft, so *exacted and outlawed*.

**1043** Nicholas of Merton and Ralph de Bedington were charged with the death of Nicholas de Cadesden. *Exacted and outlawed*. They had no chattels. Evidence is given that Nicholas of Merton was handed over to Master John of Reigate, acting for the bishop of Lincoln, to have him before the justices; since he has not come, to *judgement* of the bishop.

**1044** William Miller and Henry of London fell into the Thames by accident. Henry was drowned under a mill wheel. Price of the wheel 2s.; William barely escaped.

**1045** Thomas Weaver threw a tile at Gilbert Bunny's head so that he died from the wound. Denise, Thomas's [sic] wife, prosecuted him for her husband's death. She is told to pursue the case in the county court. His chattels 5s. 2d., for which let the bailiffs answer.

**1046** Robert de Heyham was taken for wounding Robert of Norwich by the appeal of Alice, Robert's wife. Adam Cruste, the bailiff, released him on insufficient surety, and Robert of Norwich died of the wound, so *in mercy*. The jurors give evidence that Robert de Heyham is guilty of that death, so *exacted and outlawed*. Evidence is given that the abbess of Godstow received him after the crime and made him a brother of her house, so *in mercy*.

m. 26d.]

**1047** Emma wife of Walter of Elsfield appeals Roger Mock that on the Thursday after Epiphany [8 January] 1238 he came to her in her house and hit her with a pair of tongs in the eye so that she lost her right eye. And that he did this wickedly and feloniously and against the king's peace she offers to prove. Roger comes and denies everything, and since it is demonstrated that she made no appeal against him until now and this happened 3 years ago, it is adjudged that the appeal is null, and let an enquiry be made by jury. The jurors say that Roger is not guilty, so he is acquitted, and likewise John Mock who was appealed of force, but they say that Walter of Elsfield, Emma's husband, hit her so that she lost her eye, so he is committed to *gaol*.



**1048** Henry le Ferur appeals Adam Feteplace that on the Monday before Christmas 1235 he came up to him as he was going along the king's road, dragged him into his house, basely beat and ill treated him, and robbed him of a gold ring. Adam comes and denies everything. Since it is demonstrated by the coroner that when he first appealed him Henry made the appeal otherwise than he does now, it is adjudged that the appeal is null. So Adam is acquitted and Henry is in mercy. He makes fine of *1 mark* by surety of William the Cutler outside the North Gate. The jurors say that Adam hit him in his house and threw a cup of ale in his face, so *in mercy*, by surety of Philip Miller and Henry Simeon.

**1049** Walter Baker of Oxford impleaded Laurence [Log], then bailiff of Oxford, that Roger of Middleton wounded his brother and for that Laurence took him and imprisoned him and kept him in prison for 15 days without Walter's finding sureties for prosecuting, so *in mercy* by surety of Adam Cruste and Thomas his brother. Let him be committed to gaol.

**1050** Of encroachments they say that Richard Goldsmith encroached on the king's highway for almost 2 ft., so *in mercy*. He is to amend the encroachment. Afterwards Richard came and made fine for his amercement and that the encroachment might remain, *1 mark*, by surety of William Burgeys.

**1051** Of measures they say that Niel of Coleshill, John Achard, and Nicholas le Palmer sold by illegal measures, so all *in mercy*.

**1052** Colman the poulterer, charged with theft, fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. His chattels 2s., for which let the bailiff answer.

**1053** Thomas of Ireland was hanged for theft in Oxford. He had chattels worth 52s., for which let John Sewy answer.

**1054** Gregory de Piclesthorn was hanged there; he had chattels worth 5s., for which let Laurence Log answer.

Presentments of the Suburb of Oxford, which comes by 12

**1055** Roger Waterman killed a Scottish clerk named Turfin and fled, so *exacted and outlawed*. He had no chattels, but was in the household of Lettice widow of Robert le Ferur, so *in mercy*.

**1056** Stephen of Grittenham wounded Richard of Grittenham with a knife in the shin, and fled to St. Mary Magdalen's church, so *exacted and outlawed*. He had no chattels. The jurors presented that Richard killed Stephen, so they are *in mercy* for a false presentment.

**1057** Matthew of Somerton killed a man outside the vill of Oxford and fled to St. Giles's church and escaped from there. So the fee of the abbess of Godstow *in mercy* for the escape.

**1058** Clerks lodging at Alding <Aldive> of Elsfield's house escaped from the house under suspicion of theft. William le Sauser, then bailiff, had a horse worth 8s., for which let him answer. Afterwards evidence is given that Roger of Clare was one of those clerks.

**1059** A foreign thief named Godfrey fled to St. Giles's church and acknowledged that he was a thief and abjured the realm. His chattels 11s. for which let William le Sauser answer.

**1060** The chaplain of St. Giles's made an encroachment on the king's highway, so he is ordered to amend it, and he is in mercy.

**1061** William le Roper fled to the church at Bath. His chattels in the vill of Oxford 12d., for which let William le Sauser answer.

JUST 1/696, m. 1]

Amercements of the eyre of William of York and his colleagues in Oxfordshire.

**1062** The county, for a fine before judgement, 80 marks

Binfield Hundred

**1063** The hundred, for murder, 40s.

**1064** The vill of Shiplake, for not prosecuting, 20s.

See no. 783

**1065** Simon Forthmorewe, for a fine because he did not prosecute his appeal, 10s. By surety of [Henry] Slep of Shiplake and Richard Roker [Corok] of the same.

See no. 784

**1066** William son of Simon, for a fine for trespass, 10s. By surety of Edmund Harding and Gilbert del Frith.

Cf. no. 784

**1067** William Hay, sheriff, for the chattels of Peter Gurlewand [Gurlewambe], hanged, 11s.

See no. 791

**1069** The vill of Mapledurham because it did not prosecute, and for a false presentment, 20s.

See no. 787

**1070** The sheriff for the chattels of [Walter] Wygorn [Wigayn], fugitive, 20s.

See no. 786

## Vill of Henley

**1071** The vill of Henley, for murder, 40s.

See no. 792

**1072** Sampson the tailor of Henley, for trespass, 40s. By surety of Robert Braund, Reynold Foster, Robert de Padhull [Badhall], Robert of Hendred, Gilbert [Geoffrey] son of Philip.

See no. 793

## [Binfield Hundred]

**1073** Robert Young for a false presentment,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1074** William Franklin of Caversham for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1075** Peter de Barewe for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark

See no. 769

**1076** Richard Mansel for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1077** Roger of Harpsden for the same 10s.

See no. 769

**1078** Walter at Water for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1079** Ralph of Hardwick for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1080** William Clerk of Dunsden and Walter Alein for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1081** Philip Kaynes [Keynel] for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1082** Robert of Assendon for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

**1083** Thomas Siward and John of the mill for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 769

## Ploughley Hundred

**1084** Reynold de Frayn de Tornebergh, because he did not produce the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 795

**1085** Richard of Cornwell [Grenville] for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 795

**1086** Laurence le Brun for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 795

**1087** The vill of Horton for receiving Walter le Punter outside frankpledge, 1 mark.  
See no. 800

**1088** Maurice de Aundley for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 805

**1089** William son of Amaury of Wendlebury for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 805

**1090** Ralph de Piclesthorn for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 805

**1091** Robert Purcel for concealment, 10s.  
See no. 763

**1092** Richard of Middleton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1093** Simon of Middleton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1094** Guy of Tusmore for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1095** Walter Ylser for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1096** Hamon of St. Faith for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 763

**1097** John le Poer for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 763

**1098** Roger de Scaccario de Ake for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1099** John de Bereworth for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1100** John Silvester of Souldern for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

**1101** Thomas Hay of Kirtlington for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 763

## Pyrton Hundred

**1102** The tithing of Henry son of Reynold of Golder for the flight of Adam Fairloc,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 812

**1103** The tithing of Hugh reeve of Shirburn for the flight of John son of Gunnild,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 812

**1104** Ellis of Wheatfield for many amercements, 40s.

See nos. 772, 814

**1105** Richard de Beaumont for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 815

**1106** Amaury de Sulham for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 815

## Vill of Deddington

**1107** The tithing of Walter Turnegant [Thurugod] in Deddington for the flight of Robert Smith,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 816

**1108** William Hay, sheriff, for Robert's chattels, 4s. 10d.

See no. 816

**1109** Richard Buckechike of Deddington for cloth sold contrary to the assize, 1 mark.

See no. 818

**1110** William Tysoe for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 818

**1111** Adam Draper of Deddington for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark

See no. 818

## Bensington Hundred

**1112** The hundred for murder, 1 mark.

**1113** The tithing of Adam Blacberd in Chalgrove for the flight of Walter son of Adam, 1 mark.

See no. 820

**1114** The vill of Chalgrove with its soke because it did not pursue, 4 marks.

See no. 820

**1115** The vill of Holcombe for receiving John son of Geoffrey [Bertram], 1 mark.

See no. 821

**1116** Simon Foot and his associates for a fine for trespass by surety of Geoffrey of Stockwell of Oxford, Henry son of Robert of Ewelme, and Gilbert Wace of Eye, 6 marks.

See no. 825

**1117** Robert Basset, who was parson of Moreton, because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, 40s.

See no. 827

**1118** William [Hay] the sheriff for the chattels of Hugh Wither, hanged, 26s.

See no. 827

**1119** Adam Bluet for trespass, 1 mark.

See no. 829

**1120** The tithing of Simon Blund in Ewelme for the flight of Andrew le Sopere, 10s.

See no. 832

**1121** The abbot of Battle for the escape of Robert Wendlebury, 100s.

See no. 833

**1122** William Perle of Oxford because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 834

**1123** William Morin of Nuneham [Courtenay] for the same, 1 mark.

See no. 834

**1124** Peter Oliver for concealment,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 773

**1125** William Wace for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 773

**1126** William Selvey for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 773

**1127** Ralph Clerk of Rycote for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 773

**1128** John Quatermain for the same, 10s.

See no. 773

**1129** Richard de Rokele for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 773

**1130** John d'Oilly and Thomas Croke for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 773

**1131** Henry of Latchford for the same, 10s.  
See no. 773

**1132** Ralph son of Richard Restwald for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 773

**1133** Robert Race for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 773

#### Wootton Hundred

**1134** The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 6 marks.  
See nos. 846-8, 866

**1135** The vill of Cogges for concealment, 1 mark.  
See no. 838

**1136** Walter le Wayder, because he did not come, 1 mark.  
See no. 839

**1137** Richard of Combe in North Leigh because he did not have the same Walter,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 839

**1138** The vill of Hanborough for receiving John son of Adam outside frankpledge, 1 mark.  
See no. 848

**1139** Walter of Leigh and Richard son of Maud of Yarnton because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 850

**1140** The tithing of Henry Pigacce in Kidlington for the flight of Richard Gambun,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 850

**1141** The vill of Barford Cheyney [St. Michael] for receiving John Miller outside frankpledge, 1 mark.  
See no. 853

**1142** Robert le May for a fine for trespass, by surety of Roger Runcy of Duns Tew, Gilbert Foster, Robert of Nethercote, Roger le May of Duns Tew, Thomas le Mason of Adderbury, Nicholas de Wikinam, 4 marks.  
Cf. no. 875

m. 1d.]

**1143** The vill of Islip for receiving William Colhop outside frankpledge,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 855

**1144** The vill of Steeple Barton for receiving Henry Ferthing outside frankpledge, 2 marks.

See no. 856

**1145** William Russel because he withdrew from his appeal, by surety of Richard Kemel and Robert Prat,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 858

**1146** Walter of Ludwell and Robert Prat of Wootton because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 858

**1147** William Pudding the younger because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 858

**1148** Reynold Foster of Wootton since he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 858

**1149** Peter son of Hugh of Wootton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 858

**1150** Richard Parker because he did not come, and John Buttercake of Woodstock by surety of the same, and William Pudding the elder for the same, 10s.

See no. 858

**1151** John Foster of Bloxham for trespass,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 858

**1152** Hugh le Devenays for false appeal, by surety of Richard reeve of Glympton and Pagan of the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 861

**1153** The vill of Kidlington for concealment, 2 marks.

See no. 866

**1154** William le Megre for trespass, 1 mark.

See no. 866

**1155** The tithing of Richard Thedric of Whitehill for the flight of William Belche,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 868

**1156** William [Hay] the sheriff for William's chattels, 20s.

See no. 868



**1157** The sheriff for the chattels of Reynold of Northampton who abjured the realm,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 869

**1158** The tithing of John le Esquire of Middleton for the flight of Henry Peyngnant,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 870

**1159** William of Stoke in South Leigh for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 874

**1160** Andrew de Houton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 874

**1161** Philip of Whitehill for concealment, 1 mark.

See no. 762

**1162** Robert of Aston for the same, 1 mark.

See no. 762

**1163** Robert of Leigh for the same, 1 mark.

See no. 762

**1164** Robert del Brok for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1165** William son of Peter of Cassington for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1166** Simon Scorchbeef for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1167** Philip of Cotes for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1168** Richard of Worton for the same, 1 mark.

See no. 762

**1169** John Martin of North Aston for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1170** Richard clerk of [South] Newington for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

**1171** Roger Runcy for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 762

**1172** The tithing of Robert [Osbert] of Newington in South Newington for the flight of Thomas son of Peter, 10s.

See no. 879

**1173** William [Hay] the sheriff for Thomas's chattels, 18s.  
See no. 879

**1174** Wymarc Avenel of Eynsham because she did not come,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 883

**1175** Alan of Somerford and Warin of Eynsham because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 883

**1176** Peter of North Leigh for having an inquisition, by surety of Ralph de Saucey, John le Brun, John le Mire, Henry Pipard, Gilbert de Hide, Bardulf of Chastleton, Ralph of Barford, £10.  
See no. 884

#### Lewknor Hundred

**1177** The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 6 marks.  
See no. 886

**1178** Nicholas Mut of Pophley and John his brother, for surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 885

**1179** The vill of Sydenham since it did not pursue, 40s.  
See no. 887

**1180** The abbot of Thame, for his household, [blank].  
See no. 887

**1181** The tithing of Richard son of William of Tythrop for the flight of Hugh le Sinker,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 888

**1182** The sheriff for Hugh's chattels, 4s.  
See no. 888

**1183** The same for the chattels of Robert Gangy, hanged, 12d.  
See no. 889

**1184** Roald son of Alan of Aston [Rowant] because he withdrew himself, 20s.  
See no. 890

**1185** Henry de Scaccario for trespass, [blank].  
See no. 890

**1186** The vill of Emmington for a false presentment, 2 marks.  
See no. 893

**1187** The sheriff for the chattels of Ralph Evening, fugitive, 10s.  
See no. 894

**1188** The tithing of Robert Cadamo for the flight of Matthew Miller,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 895

**1189** The tithing of William Godwyn of Lewknor for the flight of Geoffrey son of Gilbert of Lewknor,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 895

**1190** Robert Bussard for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1191** The abbot of Abingdon for the same, [blank].  
See no. 897

**1192** Miles de Morley for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1193** Miles Neyrnuyt for the same, 20s.  
See no. 897

**1194** Andrew Blunt of Kingston [Blount] for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 897

**1195** Thomas of Kingston for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1196** Robert de Loreng of Chinnor for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1197** Hugh clerk of Aston [Rowant] for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1198** Hugh son of Michael of the same for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 897

**1199** The vill of Aston [Rowant] for the same, 2 marks.  
See no. 897

#### Langtree Hundred

**1200** The hundred for murder, except the liberties, 3 marks

**1201** The tithing of William son of Robert de Hayles for the flight of William Yve, reeve,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 900

**1202** The vill of Mongewell for a false presentment, 20s..  
See no. 901

**1203** Walter Boveton and Hugh Boveton of Mongewell because they did not have the woman for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 902

**1204** The vill of Wyfold for a false presentment, 10s.

See no. 902

**1205** The vill of Crowmarsh because they did not pursue, 1 mark.

See no. 903

**1206** Ralph reeve of Crowmarsh because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 904

**1207** Geoffrey de Coudrey for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 907

**1208** William de la Beche for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 907

**1209** Bartholomew of Badlesmere for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 907

m. 2]

Thame Hundred

**1210** The hundred for murder, [blank].

**1211** William Pychecot for fine for a false appeal, by surety of Walter of Tew,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 954

**1212** Ralph Quatermain for fine for a false appeal, by surety of Matthew of Thame and Roger son of Geoffrey de Scobucers [?for Shabbington], 10s.

See no. 956

**1213** William Hay for escape, [blank].

See no. 958

**1214** Thomas of Totternhoe for cloth sold contrary to the assize, 10s.

See no. 960

**1215** Walter of Upton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 960

Chadlington Hundred

**1216** The hundred for murder, 4 marks.

**1217** The tithing of Eudes the miller of Chalford for the flight of Ralph son of Richard,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1007

**1218** William Carpenter and John son of Roger for a false appeal, by surety of John Pynne of Northmoor and William Thedwyn of the same, 1 mark.

See nos. 1008, 1009

**1219** The tithing of William Herbert in Hook Norton for the flight of Philip son of the smith,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1012

**1220** William [Hay] the sheriff for the chattels of Peter smith, fugitive, 3s.

See no. 1013

**1221** William son of Simon of Ascot and Henry son of Stephen of the same because they did not have the man for whom they were surety.

See no. 1016

**1222** The vill of Shipton under Wychwood for concealment, 20s.

See no. 1017

**1223** William Hay the sheriff for the chattels of Peter Rufus, fugitive, 2s.

See no. 1021

**1224** John Karni of Hook Norton, Richard Parker, William son of the reeve, Sweting of Hook Norton, William son of Stephen, Roger son of Stephen, Seldrich Costeyn, Simon of Southrop, Ralph Percehay, Richard of Swalcliffe, Ralph Sparwe, and Robert Overbrook, for the flight of Peter Rufus, 2 marks.

See no. 1021

**1225** Walter [William] of Kingham for trespass, 20s.

See no. 1024

**1226** William the sheriff for the chattels of Walter Pynnock, fugitive, 8s.

See no. 1024

**1227** John of Slaughter and William son of Hugh Pyrun for a fine for trespass, by surety of Ralph serjeant of Shipton under Wychwood,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1025

**1228** John de Mar of Little Minster for default,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1026

**1229** Bernard de Braunton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 1026

**1230** Richard de Amundeville for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 1026

**1231** William le Waleis of Chadlington and Richard Hunter of Rollright for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 1026

**1232** The abbot of Winchcombe for the same, 40s.  
See no. 1026

**1233** Roger Gulafre for concealment,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1234** Robert de Cerceden for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1235** Ralph de Saucey for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1236** Savary of Walcote for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1237** Ralph Boveton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1238** Geoffrey de Columnis for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1239** John of Charlton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1240** Geoffrey Cross for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1241** Eudes Briton and Thomas of Fifield for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

**1242** Robert of Broadstone and Hugh Franklin for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 761

#### Amercements of Pleas in Oxfordshire

**1243** Roger de Cundington [Cundicote] for disseisin, by surety of William son of Thomas du Boys and William de la Hake, 1 mark.  
See no. 240

**1244** Peter son of Osger because he did not come, 1 mark.  
See no. 240

- 1245** Philip Keynel for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 240
- 1246** Reynold of Whitchurch for the same, and for disseisin, 1 mark.  
See no. 240
- 1247** Thomas Greasley for a disseisin, [blank]. *Baron*.  
See no. 261
- 1248** Robert of Burghfield for unjust detention, by surety of John son of Alexander of Burghfield and Walter Lunet of Shirburn, 10s.  
See no. 261a
- 1249** William de Scalebrok in Haseley for the same, by surety of William Siluam and Henry Wace,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 262
- 1250** Geoffrey Tregot for unjust detention. 20s. *Suffolk*.  
See no. 268
- 1251** Adam of Bedingfield for the same.  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. *Suffolk*.  
See no. 269
- 1252** Ralph of Colwell for the same.  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. *Suffolk*.  
See no. 269
- 1253** Roger of Somerton for disseisin. [blank] *Baron*.  
See no. 278
- 1254** Henry Martin and William de Creton because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 278
- 1255** Philip of Cotes because he did not come,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 278
- 1256** Gilbert de Hide in Hempton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 278
- 1257** Henry of Tew because he did not come, 10s.  
See no. 279
- 1258** Richard of Studley and Thomas de la Hutte for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 279
- 1259** John de Brebazun for a false plea, by surety of William [Hay] the sheriff,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 279

**1260** Geoffrey son of Isaac for a disseisin, by surety of Ralph of Shipton,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 288

**1261** Henry son of William of Hensington for a disseisin, by surety of Eustace son of Geoffrey of Hensington and Eudes of the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 288

**1262** Alexander de la Brecche because he did not come,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 333

**1263** Richard Edmund of Banbury because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 315

**1264** Hugh Hurtle of the same for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 315

**1265** Richard son of John of Hinksey for a false plea, by surety of Laurence le Brun and Henry Inge,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 369

**1266** John of Hinksey for a disseisin, by surety of William le Bacheber and William son of Robert de Chenesfeld, 10s.  
See no. 369

**1267** Alan [son of Alan] of Withersdale for unjust detention,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
*Suffolk.*  
See no. 378

**1268** Robert White of Banbury and John Carter of Middleton because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 388

**1269** Rose of Begbroke for disseisin, by surety of William Hay the sheriff,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 389

**1270** Richard of Wolford of Chipping Norton for the same by surety of Hugh reeve of the same and Bundkin Winter,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 392

**1271** Robert de Wantham for a disseisin, by surety of John son of Walter of the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 394

**1272** Reynold son of Simon of Drayton because he withdrew, by surety of Robert of Tew and William of Drayton,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 398



**1273** Walter of Clifton and William of Stafford, by surety of the same Reynold,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 398

**1274** William son of Robert of Chipping Norton for a disseisin,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 401

**1275** John Sundy because he withdrew, by surety of Laurence del Brok and William de la Port of Crowmarsh, 10s.

See no. 417

**1276** Robert of Mapledurham because he did not have the man for whom he was surety, 10s.

See no. 417

**1277** The prior of Deerhurst for a false plea, 40s.

See no. 419

**1278** William Puignant because he withdrew, 1 mark.

See no. 422

**1279** Guy of Tusmore and Alan of the same because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 422

**1280** Robert of Deddington (40d.) and Adam de Gay ( $\frac{1}{2}$  mark) for a trespass, 10s.

See no. 422

**1281** John son of the priest of Hampton and William Young of the same for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 423

**1282** Richard de Hocot [Hotoft] [blank]

See no. 429

**1283** William Pulein of Haddon [in Brize Norton] for a false plea, by surety of Sampson le Esquire of Norton and Robert Roch,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 430

**1284** William [Cross] of Nuneham because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 432

**1285** William Joie and William Goday, by surety of the same William,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 432

**1286** Walter Scuret of Bourton, [blank] *Bucks.*

See no. 435

**1287** William of Twyford in Aynho, bu surety of Rose de Turreville,  
[blank] *Bucks.*  
See no. 435

**1288** Walter Black of Banbury for a false plea, by surety of Adam  
Dreng and Richard Black of the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 442

**1289** Thomas Siward of Mapledurham for a false plea, by surety of  
Alexander Cook of Checkendon and Robert of Mapledurham,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 446

**1290** John Attewall of Shiplake and Simon Forthmorewe because they  
did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 447

**1291** Stephen of Newton, Robert le Sauvage, and William son of  
Gilbert son of Ives, recognitors of the assize of Higham, because they did  
not come, 10s. *Northants.*  
See no. 448

**1292** Matthew of Golder and Simon of Clare because they did not have  
the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 451

**1293** Robert Sperewe of Chalgrove and Richard Clec of the same for  
the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 452

**1294** Peter son of William of Barton William [Westcott Barton]  
because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 454

**1296** Reynold Foster for disseisin, 1 mark.  
See no. 458

**1297** The abbot of Eynsham for trespass, [blank].  
See no. 459

**1298** Maud de Gurnay because she withdrew, [blank].  
See no. 466

**1299** Alured [Aluric] of Mapledurham and Henry de la Tyvel, by surety  
of the same Maud,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 466

**1300** Henry Wace and Robert de la Greyhone for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 467

**1301** Geoffrey of Cholsey because he did not prosecute, 10s.  
See no. 468

**1302** William du Boys in Caversham and Ralph his brother because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, by surety of the same Geoffrey,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 468

**1303** Ralph Druval for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 469

**1304** Ralph son of Richard of Stokenchurch and Joan his wife for a false plea,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 485

m. 2d.]

**1305** Roger of Harpsden for disseisin, by surety of Philip Camel and Robert of Hendred,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 492

**1306** Roger Runcy because he did not come,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 493

**1307** John of Barton William [Westcott Barton] and Stephen Runcy for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 493

**1308** Adam of Watlington for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 500

**1309** Ralph Chenduit for a false plea, [blank].

See no. 500

**1310** Gilbert Wigod for a disseisin, by surety of Henry de Scaccario, 2 marks.

See no. 501

**1311** Ralph Hareng because he did not prosecute, [blank].

See no. 506

**1312** William Hill for unjust detention, by surety of William de Wike and Robert Aleyn,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 509

**1313** John son of Eustace of Wheatley because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 511

**1314** William Gernun and Gilbert [Robert] Gernun, by surety of the same John,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 511

**1315** William son of Richard Mauduit for a false plea, 1 mark.  
*Norfolk.*

See no. 517

**1316** Robert du Boys for the same, 1 mark. *Norfolk*

**1317** Guy son of Robert because he did not come, 1 mark.

See no. 520

**1318** Roger Gernun for disseisin, by surety of William Buche and Hugh Long, 1 mark.

See no. 520

**1319** Ralph Talemash for the same, by surety of Richard Kene and William Talemash, 1 mark.

See no. 520

**1320** Hugh le Long for the same, by surety of William Canon and Ralph [William crossed out] Talemash,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 520

**1321** William Buche for the same, by surety of Roger Gernun and Hugh le Long,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 520

**1322** Richard Kene for the same, by surety of the said Roger and Hugh,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 520

**1323** Gerard de Oddingeseles for disseisin, 2 marks.

See no. 532

**1324** Roger reeve of Broadwell because he did not come, and Walter Miller of the same and Adam de Suthill as sureties for Roger, 10s.

See no. 532

**1325** Alma de Rumely because she did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 539

**1326** John Lunel as surety for Alma, [blank].

See no. 539

**1327** Cecily widow of John of the mill for a false plea, by surety of Adam of Barton and Gilbert Foster,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 551

**1328** Martin le Palmer of Burford and Robert Bure [Bokis] because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 576

**1329** William of Fritwell for a false plea,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 576

**1330** Nicholas Fisher of Somerford because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 626

**1331** Peter of Wilcote as surety for Nicholas,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 626

**1332** Robert of Pyrton because he did not prosecute, 1 mark.

See no. 636

**1333** Maurice le Poitevin [Angevin] as Robert's surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 636

**1334** Robert [William] of Clare for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 636

**1335** Simon son of Ascelin of Chipping Norton because he did not prosecute, John son of Maud of the same and Roger of Norton, Simon's sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 639

**1336** John Punchard because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 640

**1337** Godfrey de Burnedich because he did not come, 10s. *Suffolk*.

See no. 647

**1338** William son of Roger de Burnedich and Peter le Selon as sureties for Godfrey,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 647

**1339** Adam Everard and Robert Selon of Bedingfield as sureties for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See n o. 647

**1340** Robert son of Adam [Milicent crossed out] Petit because he did not have the man for whom he was surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 686

**1341** Gilbert of Golder because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 687

**1342** Robert of Mapledurham for a trespass, 1 mark.

See no. 697

**1343** Richard le Piper of Glympton and Adam son of William of the same, because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 694

**1344** Robert son of Ralph because he did not prosecute, by surety of Robert [William] de Riston, 10s.

See no. 694

**1345** Gilbert de Basevill because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 702

**1346** William of Englefield for the same [blank].

See no. 702

**1347** Alan Basset for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 702

**1348** William de Grave and Richard de Frayn because they did not have the man for whom they were surety, by surety of William of Englefield,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 702

**1349** William de Hayles and Gilbert Carter [Carver] for the same, by surety of William of Englefield,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 702

**1350** Miles Carter [Carver] and William le Norreis for the same, by surety of William of Englefield,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 702

**1351** The bishop of Lincoln for unjust detention, [blank].

See no. 704

**1352** Adam of Burcot and Nicholas of Alkerton Bridge, because they did not have the man for whom they were surety,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 599

**1353** Roger of Clare of Bodicote for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 604

**1354** Thomas of Appleton for unjust detention,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 607

**1355** Robert Danvers because he withdrew, 1 mark.

See no. 613

**1356** Laurence del Brok as Robert's surety, [blank].

See no. 613

**1357** John Medicus [le Mire] for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 613.

**1358** Richard Danvers of Tetsworth because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 617

**1359** Geoffrey son of Simon in Oxford and Henry Wace as Richard's sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 617

**1360** Walter Lunet of Shirburn because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 624

**1361** Richard of Lewknor for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 625

**1362** William of Cumnor and Fray Punchard [Francis of Kiddington] because they did not have the man for whom they were sureties,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 626

**1363** Nicholas Kuigt [Kuye] of Chastleton and John Clement for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 629

**1364** The abbot of 'Dure' [?Dorchester] for unjust detention and a false plea,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

**1365** Peter de Stanninges for a false plea,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

**1366** Nicia de Clinton for unjust detention,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
Cf. no. 659.

**1367** Hamon de Crevequeor for unjust detention against William Longespee,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. *Kent*.

**1368** John le Marshal outside the gate for unjust detention, and John Rufus de Cleudon,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

**1369** Stephen Simeon of Oxford for the same, 1 mark.

**1370** Simon Balehorn for a false plea, [blank].

**1371** The vill of *Oxford* for a fine before judgement, 40 marks.

**1372** Alan Horloc for a false plea, by surety of Adam Cruste,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 714

**1373** Richard of Dorchester for unjust detention, by surety of Adam Cruste,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715

**1374** Emma widow of William son of Richer for licence to agree, by surety of the prior of St. Frideswide's,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 716

**1375** Tobert le Thal [Talt] to have an inquisition, by surety of Richard of Bedford and William of Woodstock,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 717

**1376** The prior of St. Frideswide's for licence to agree with Richard Curteis,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 718

**1377** Agnes widow of Mace son of Robert for a false plea, by surety of Henry Simeon, 1 mark.

See no. 724

**1378** Reynold of Cumnor for a disseisin, 1 mark. *Berks.*

See no. 726

**1379** Roger de Wose, Stephen son of Robert, Henry his brother, and Gilbert de Chesney for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark. *Berks.*

See no. 726

**1380** Richard Siward for the same, [blank].

See no. 726

**1381** Henry le Ferur to have an inquisition, by surety of William le Cutler outside the east gate,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 728

**1382** Walter of Wheatley for a false plea, by surety of William [Richard] of Norwich,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 730

**1383** William Smartknaves to have an inquisition, by surety of Godfrey of Hogbourne,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 749

**1384** William le Sauser for many amercements, 1 mark.

**1385** William the Cutler of Holland to have an inquisition, by surety of John Rufus,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 736

**1386** Walter le Poer for the same, by surety of Henry le Sauser,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 736

**1387** William Aunfrey for licence to agree, by surety of John of Coleshill,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 738.



**1388** Richard Bluet because he withdrew, by surety of William Bluet and Walter of Upton,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 739

**1389** Alan Dudeling to have an inquisition, by surety of Nicholas Harburg [Erneburg],  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 740

**1390** Theodulf de la Hulle because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 757

**1391** John le Waleis and William le Vaus as sureties for him, and Ralph of St. Frideswide's because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 757-8

**1392** Thomas of St. Edward's and Adam of the same as sureties for Ralph of St. Frideswide's,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 758

**1393** Master William of Lichfield because he did not prosecute,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 758a

**1394** John of Stanley and Robert Rufus as sureties for William,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 758a

**1395** John [Henry crossed out] Miller because he did not prosecute [crossed out], by surety of Henry Miller,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 758b

**1396** Michael of Holton, William Gardener, Richard le Waleis, and John Clerk of Holton, by surety of Peter son of Osbert,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 977

**1397** Walter of Wheatley for licence to agree, by surety of Richard of Norwich,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 730

**1398** Andrew Blund for waste of the houses which were John Zachary's, fugitive, £10.

See no. 1030

**1399** William Hay the sheriff for John Zachary's chattels, 7s.

See no. 1030

**1400** Adam Cruste and Hugh le Fane, bailiffs, for the king's year in John's lands, 20s.

See no. 1030

**1401** The same bailiffs for the chattels of Roger [le Turner], fugitive, 7d.

See no. 1031

**1402** Simon son of Bund for trespass,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1032

**1403** William Clerk for many trespasses,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

E.g. no. 1033

**1404** Adam Cruste and Hugh Fane for the chattels of William Wygesnail, 2s.

See no. 1034

**1405** Thomas under Wall for trespass,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

Cf. no. 756

**1406** The same bailiffs for the chattels of William Hangman, fugitive, 2s.

See no. 1038

**1407** The same bailiffs for the chattels of Thomas Weaver, fugitive, 5s. 2d.

See no. 1045

**1408** Walter of Elsfield for trespass, by surety of the said bailiffs,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1047

**1409** Adam Feteplace for the same, 1 mark.

See no. 1048

**1410** Henry le Ferur for a false appeal, by surety of William the Cutler outside the north gate and William Barun, 1 mark.

See no. 1048

**1411** Laurence Log for unjust imprisonment, by surety of Adam Cruste and Thomas Cruste his brother, 1 mark.

See no. 1049

**1412** Richard Goldsmith for a fine for an amercement, by surety of William le Burgeys, 1 mark.

See no. 1050

**1413** Niel of Coleshill for trespass, 20s.

See no. 1051

**1414** John Achard for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.

See no. 1051

- 1415** Nicholas le Palmer for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 1051
- 1416** The bailiffs for the chattels of Colman the Poulterer, fugitive, 2s.  
See no. 1052
- 1417** John Sewy for the chattels of Thomas of Ireland, hanged, 52s.  
See no. 1053
- 1418** Laurence Log for the chattels of Gregory de Piclesthorpe, hanged, 5s.  
See no. 1054
- 1419** The abbess of Godstow's fee outside the north gate for the flight of Matthew of Somerton, 1 mark.  
See no. 1057
- 1420** William le Sauser for the chattels of Roger Clare, fugitive, 8s.  
See no. 1058
- 1421** The same William for the chattels of Godfrey, fugitive, 11s.  
See no. 1059
- 1422** The same William for the chattels of William le Roper, fugitive, 12d.  
See no. 1061
- 1423** Laurence son of Ralph and Thomas son of Henry for a false presentment,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715
- 1424** Hugh Harding for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 715
- 1425** John Pille for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 715
- 1426** Laurence Rufus for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715
- 1427** John of Kingston for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715
- 1428** William de Eu and William Perle for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715
- 1429** Alan son of Walter for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 715
- 1430** Simon Balehorn for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1431** Ralph Goldsmith and Roger son of Miles for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1432** Geoffrey Gretun for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1433** [William Cordwainer] for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1434** Ellis Horloc for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1435** William the Cutler and John of Broughton for the same,  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark.  
See no. 736

**1436** John Crompe for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 736

**1437** Adam of Cuxham for the same, 1 mark.  
See no. 736

## INDEX OF PERSONS & PLACES

Roman numerals refer to the page nos. of the intro., Arabic to entry nos. in the text.

No attempt has been made in this index to distinguish between different individuals of the same name.

The following abbreviations have been used: Alex., Alexander; bp., bishop; dau., daughter; Geof., Geoffrey; Gilb., Gilbert; Hen., Henry; Herb., Herbert; Humph., Humphrey; hund., hundred; Jas., James; Marg., Margaret; Mat., Matthew; Mic. Michael; Nic., Nicholas; Phil., Philip; Ric., Richard; Rob., Robert; Rog., Roger; Sim., Simon; Steph., Stephen; Thos., Thomas; Wal., Walter; Wm., William

- Abbot, Ric., 764  
 Abbrintis [Averench]:  
   Joan, 106, 657  
   Sim. de, 105, 657  
 Abergavenny:  
   Thos. de, and Cecily his w., 181  
   Wal. de, 181  
 Abingdon:  
   Hugh of, 599  
   Phil. of, 1033  
 Abingdon (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 365  
   abbey, abbot of, 726, 731, 897, 983  
 Ablington, Wm. of, 143, 295  
 Accs, Ric. de, 662  
 Achard, John, 1051  
 Achilles, Rog. s. of, *see* Ashild  
 Adam, chaplain of Littlemore, 293  
 Adam, reeve of Stanton St. John, 977  
 Adam:  
   Geof. s. of, 72  
   John s. of, 157, 848, 1138  
   Peter s. of, 538  
   Phil. s. of, 157  
   Wal. s. of, 60, 168, 820, 1113  
 Adderbury:  
   Adam of, 720  
   Agnes of, w. of Nic. de Lumms, 591  
   Alice of, 591  
   Joan of, 591  
   Ric. s. of Ellis of, 994  
   Rob. clerk of, 999  
   Thos. of, 591  
   Wal. of, 79  
 Adderbury (Oxon.), 303, 591, 994, 997, 999  
 Adwell (Oxon.), 897  
 Aer, Rob. s. of, 67  
 Agatha, 682  
 Agden wood (Herts.), 667, 668  
 Agnes, 146, 478  
 Agnes sister of Maud, 570  
 Aguillium [Aquilium], Rob., 498  
 Aguillun, Wm., 983  
 Ailric, Wal. s. of, 306  
 Ainsworth (Lancs.), 620  
 Airel:  
   Hen. de, 297, 609  
   Joan de, 609  
 Akingny, Baldwin de, 257  
 Alan s. of Hen. the scrivener, 399  
 Alan the sacristan, 723  
 Alan:  
   Alan s. of, 378, 1267  
   Ric. s. of, 225, 472, 686, 764  
   Roald s. of, 265, 890, 1184  
   Wal. s. of, 1040  
   Wm. s. of, 472, 623  
 Alard, Phil. s. of, 56, 352  
 Albemarle:  
   Christine de, 50  
   Wm. de, 49  
 Albreda, 549  
 Alditha, 682  
 Aldoluestre, Sim. de, 321, 355  
 'Aldoluestre' (Warws.), 355  
 Alein, *see* Aleyn  
 Alewy, Ralph, 583  
 Alexander:  
   Bart. s. of, 684  
   Hen. s. of, 451  
   Isabel dau. of, 150, 151  
   Niel s. of, 69  
   Steph. s. of, 20  
   Wm. s. of, 381  
 Aleyn [Alein]:  
   Rob., 509, 1312  
   Wal., 341, 769, 1080  
 Alfthem:  
   Margery, 385  
   Ric., 385

- Rob., 385  
 Algar, John, 704  
 Alice, 303, 725  
 Alice:  
   Adam s. of, 275  
   Jas. s. of, 913  
 Aline, sister of Muriel Duston, 38  
 Alkerton (Oxon.), 475, 599, 992  
 Alkerton Bridge, Nic. of, 599, 1352  
 All Saints, Steph. of, 286  
 Almer, Ives s. of, 1037  
 Alney, Hen. de, 312, 414  
 Altave, Gilb., 498  
 Alterbee, Gilb., 256  
 Althein, Rob., 522  
 Alton, Ric. de, 841  
 Alured, Ric. s. of, 272  
 Aluric, Rob. s. of, 390  
 'Alurington' (Gloucs.), 461  
 Alvescot (Oxon.), 929  
 Amaury, Wm. s. of, 805, 1089  
 Amersham, Wm. of, 122  
 Amyot, Wm., 519, 774  
 Amundevill [Andevill, Aundevill]:  
   Clemence, 287, 516, 539  
   Ric. de, 20, 287, 516, 539, 568,  
   1026, 1230  
 Andrew, Peter s. of, 52  
 Anestan, Rob. de, 244  
 Anfrid, Rob. s. of, 1037  
 Angevin [Poitevin]:  
   Maurice le, 129, 636, 649, 1333  
   Wm. le, 255, 325  
 Angod, Alice, 297  
 Anketil, Thos. s. of, 109, 281  
 Annor, Wm., 804  
 Anselm, clerk, 13  
 Anselin, Wm. and Gille his w., 332  
 Appleby, Geof. of, 49, 226, 412  
 Appleton:  
   Mabel widow of Geof. of, 347,  
   419  
   Norman of, 347  
   Thos. of, 117, 347, 419, 607,  
   1008, 1009, 1354  
 Aquilium *see* Aguillium  
 Aquilun, Wm., 662  
 Arches, Jordan de, 297  
 Arden:  
   Eustace of, 88, 604, 605  
   Hugh of, 96, 247, 703  
   Thos. of, 604, 605  
 Argentom, Ric. de, 51  
 'Arlebrig' (Norfolk), 684  
 Armiger, John, 770  
   *and see* Esquire  
 Arsic:  
   Alexandra, m. Thos. de Haya,  
   351, 799  
   Joan, m. Steph. Simeon, 351,  
   799  
   Rob., 351, 799  
 Arundel, Wm. of, 394  
 Arundel, earl of, *see* Aubeney,  
   Hugh de  
 Arundel priory (Sussex), prior of,  
   19  
 Arzuner:  
   Godfrey le, 1037  
   Ralph, 1037  
   Rob. 1037  
 Ascelin, Sim. s. of, 639, 1335  
 Ascot:  
   Hen. s. of Steph. of, 1016  
   Gillian of, 1016  
   Wm. s. of Steph. of, 1016  
 Ascott-under-Wychwood (Oxon.),  
   1016, 1221  
 Ascott (in Stadhampston, Oxon.),  
   633  
 Ash, John of, 160  
 Ashild [Achilles], Rog. s. of, 73  
 Ashwell:  
   Luke of, 676  
   Steph. of, and Aubrey his w.,  
   328, 676, 678  
 Aspall (Suffolk), 268, 269  
 'Aspley' (Bucks.) [?Aspley Guise,  
   Beds.], 563  
 Asseho, Wm. de, 949  
 Assendon, Rob. of, 769, 1082  
 Astcote, Ric. of, 47  
 Asthall:  
   Alice of, 583  
   Eustace of, 774  
   Reynold of, 934  
   Rob. of, 461, 983  
 Asthall (Oxon.), 932  
 Asthall Leigh (Oxon.), 638  
 Aston:  
   Alan of, 427, 653  
   Auger of, 886  
   Gillian of, 744  
   Hugh clerk of, 897  
   Ralph of, 691  
   Reynold of, 955  
   Roald of, 635  
   Rob. of, 762, 1037, 1162  
   Thos. of, 245, 744  
   Wm. of, 1037  
 Aston (in Bampton, Oxon.), 919,  
   931

Aston, Middle (Oxon.), 870, 871,  
872, 873  
Aston, North (Oxon.), 1169  
Aston Rowant (Oxon.), 400, 464,  
501, 545, 892, 897, 1184, 1197,  
1198, 1199  
Aston, Steeple (Oxon.), 399, 555,  
627, 653  
Astrop, Mic. of, 924  
Astrop (in Brize Norton, Oxon.),  
570, 660, 923  
At Water:  
Ellis, 770  
Hugh, and Christine his w., 349  
Wal., 769  
Wm., 772  
At Well:  
Alice, 400  
Geof., 400  
Hugh, 997  
John, 402, 765  
Athelard, Humph., 905  
Athill, John, 952  
Attebarre, Thos., 640  
Attenestan,  
Joan, *see* Pirot  
Ric. de, 330  
Attewall, John, 447  
Attewiston, Wm., 509  
Attington (in Tetsworth, Oxon.),  
961, 962  
Aubeney, Hugh de, earl of  
Arundel, 2, 874  
Audely, Wm. de, 419  
Audrinton, Dennis de, 125  
Aumary, Rog. de, 805, 983  
Aundely, Maurice de, 805, 1088  
Aundevill, *see* Amundevill  
Aunfrey:  
Isolde, widow of Ralph, 738  
Wm., 738, 1387  
Austin, carter of Hugh Wyther, 827  
Austin, chaplain of Taynton, 1006  
Avenbury, Wal. of, 43  
Avenel:  
Wm., 236  
Wymarc w. of Adam, 883, 1174  
Averench, *see* Abbrintis  
Avice, Helen dau. of, 483  
Aw, Ric. le, 43  
Aylesbury, Wm. of, 777  
Aylesbury (Bucks.), 889  
Aylred, Geof., 854  
Aylward, Hen., 442  
Aylwine, Maud dau. of, 810,  
Aynho (Northants.), 435, 1287

Ayton, Rob. de, 96  
  
Babbe, Maud, 951  
Bacheber, Wm. le, 1266  
Backford, Adam of, 171, 173  
Backwell (Somerset), 461  
Bacon:  
Nic., 547  
Rog., 709  
Badhal [Padhull], Rob. de, 783,  
1078  
Badlesmere, Bartholomew. of, 907,  
1209  
Badun, John, 210  
Bagot:  
Isabel, 380  
Maud, 626  
Nicola widow of Sim., 23, 156,  
375, 380, 650  
Rob., 110, 626  
Bagvill, Gilb. de, 219  
Baillemur, Wm., 118  
Bainton, Rob. of, 534, 632  
Bainton (in Stoke Lyne, Oxon.),  
534, 804  
Bakepeth, Mat. de 295  
Baker:  
Ric., 777  
Rob., 756  
Wal., 1049  
Baldes, Alice, 951  
Baldon, Rog. s. of Sim. of, 983  
Balehorn, Sim., 575, 736, 1430  
Balliol:  
Devorguilla de, 21, 412  
John de, 21  
Hen. de, 34, 35, 335, 341, 407  
Laura de, 34, 35  
Balscott (Oxon.), 377, 999  
Bampton:  
Agnes dau. of Sybil of, 915  
Wal. of, 96  
Bampton (Oxon.), 916, 917, 933,  
*and see* Cote; Shifford; Weald  
hund., 774  
bailiff of, *see* Shipton, Wm. of  
Banbury:  
Alice, of, 301, 638  
Gilb. of, 700  
Ralph of, 301, 940  
Banbury (Oxon.), 315, 385, 442,  
494, 522, 566, 779, 936-44,  
990-1, 997, 1263, 1268, *and see*  
Wickham  
bailiff of, *see* Dreng, John

- hund., 765  
 Bangeriant, John, 33  
 Barberel, Ric., 934  
 Bard, Alice widow of Rob., 756  
 Barecis, John de, 313  
 Barenel, Wm., 934  
 Barentine, Drew de, 482  
 Barewe, Peter de, 491, 569, 769,  
 793, 1075  
 Barford:  
   Hugh of, 99  
   John of, 874, 878, 997  
   Ralph of, 764, 884, 1176  
 Barford St. John (Oxon.), 989, 998  
 Barford St. Michael (Oxon.), 853,  
 1141  
 Barking, Wm. of, 693  
 Barking abbey (Essex), abbess of,  
 379  
 Barnard [Bernard]:  
   Geof., 833, 962  
   Warin, 403  
   Wm. s. of, 162  
 Barnwell, Geof. of, 341  
 Barre, Ralph, 209, 414  
 Bartholomew, Wm. s. of, 462  
 Barton:  
   Adam of, 551, 1327  
   Geof. of, 560  
   John of, 493, 1307  
   Mabel of, 581  
   Wal. of, 914  
 Barton, Steeple (Oxon.), 279, 529,  
 560, 854, 855, 856, 857, 1144  
 Barton, Westcott (Oxon.) 436, 454,  
 1294, 1295  
 Barton Hartshorn, (Bucks.), 796  
 Barun:  
   Ellis, 448  
   Wm., 1410  
 Basevill, Gilb. de, 126, 127, 702,  
 1345  
 Basil, Wal. s. of, 704  
 Basset:  
   Alan, 126, 217, 225, 415, 702,  
   1347  
   Fulk, 293  
   Gilb., 593, 595, 597, 602, 603,  
   607, 675, 694, 803, 805  
   Nic., 614  
   Ralph, 49, 412  
   Ric., 505  
   Rob., parson of Moreton, 827,  
   1117  
 Bastard, Ralph le, 427  
 Bath:  
   Hen. of, 577, 616, 969  
   Master Reynold of, parson of  
   Paxton, 62, 302, 667, 668, 743  
   Wal. of, 339  
   Wm. of, 28  
 Bath (Somerset), 1061  
 Bathampton, (Wilts.), 607  
 Batun, Wal., 897  
 Battle (Sussex), abbot of, 833, 1121  
 Baynhal:  
   Hen. de, 71, 235  
   John de, 71, 235  
   Ric. de, 71, 235  
   Thos. de, 71, 235  
 Bean, John, 494  
 Beauchamp:  
   Angerus de, 1026  
   Emma de, 609  
   Iseult de, 212  
   Miles de, 414  
   Phil. de, and Joan his w., 503  
   Wal. de, 424  
   Wm. de, 161, 291, 297, 424, 490,  
   609  
 Beaufiz, Wal., 995  
 Beaufo, Ric. de, 704  
 Beaulieu abbey (Hants.), abbot of,  
 461  
 Beaumeis, John de, 498  
 Beaumont, Phil. [Ric.] de, 815,  
 1105  
 Beche, Wm. de la, 907, 1208  
 Becket, Wal. de, 652  
 Beckham, John of, 175  
 Beckhampton, Hamon of, 357  
 Beckington:  
   Barth. of, 122, 182, 183  
   Thos. of, 182  
 Beckley (Oxon.), 984  
 Becote, Wal. de, 69, 577  
 Becsted, Adam de, 266  
 Bedel:  
   Mat. le, 540  
   Maud le, 576  
 Bedford, Ric. of, 717, 1375  
 Bedfordshire, Angerus of, 1029  
 Bedingfield, Adam of, 269, 1251  
 Bedingfield (Suffolk), 647, 1337  
 Bedington, Ralph de, 1043  
 Bedwyn, Joscelin of, and Cecily his  
 w., 37  
 Begbroke:  
   Rose of, 389, 1269  
   Wm. of 389,  
 Begbroke (Oxon.), 389



- Bel, Adam le, 954  
 Belby, Thos. of, 194  
 Belche, Wm., 868, 1155, 1156  
 Belejambe, Hen., and Margery his w., 479  
 Belgrave, Hen. of, 383  
 Bellard:  
     John, 927  
     Rog., 927  
 Bellius, Theobald de, 350  
 Bellory:  
     Geof., 565  
     Wm., 565  
 Belomes, John de, 256  
 Bemhal:  
     Ric. de, 689  
     Rog. de, 689  
 Bencelina widow of Ingenolf, 30  
 Bendenges:  
     Burgia de, 314  
     Gunnora de, 149, 721  
     Peter de, 314  
     Thos. de, 314  
 Benerasche, Ric., 927  
 Benerstan, Sim. de, 5  
 Benet, Fulk s. of, 397  
 Beneyt:  
     John, 465  
     Rob., 914  
     Wm., 465  
 Benhall, Ric. of, 498  
 Benning, Burgia widow of Peter de, 152  
 Benson, Rog. of, and Maud his w., 550  
 Benson (Oxon.), 485, 827, 835  
     half hund. of, 773, 835, 1112  
 Bere, John le (de la) 124, 271, 329, 999  
 Bereford, Ric. de, 33  
 Bereworth, John de, 763, 1099  
 Berkeley:  
     Giles of, 111, 512, 567, 588, 592  
     John of, 679  
 Berkhamstead:  
     Michael of, 62, 667  
     Sanicula of, 62, 667, 743  
 Berkhamstead (Herts.), 527  
 Berners:  
     Cecily de, 65  
     John de, 65  
     Ralph de, 379  
 Berrick (?Salome) (Oxon.), 821  
 Bertham, Thos. de, 237  
 Bertram, John s. of Geof., 821, 1115  
 Bertun, Geof. and his w. Christine, 129, 649  
 Besevill, Ralph de, 54  
 Betun, Rob. advocate of, 25, 60, 61  
 Bever, Master Wal. le, 300  
 Beverley (Yorks.), provost of, *see* York, Wm. of  
 Beyford, John de, 141, 189, 708  
 Bicester priory (Oxon.), prior of, 101  
 Bideford, Jordan de, 787  
 Bidon, John de, 523  
 Billesley (Warws.), 841  
 Billewurth, Wal. de, 554  
 Billing, Thos. of, 90  
 Billingshurst, Wm. of, 19  
 Binfield hund. (Oxon.), 769, 1063  
 'Birkhull' (Lancs.), 620  
 Blacberd, Adam, 320, 820, 1113  
 Black:  
     Alice, 132, 442  
     John, 59  
     Richard, 442, 1288  
     Wal., 132, 442, 1288  
 Blackwell, Wm. of, 297  
 Bladon (Oxon.), 1002  
 Blancbuilli, John, 366  
 Bledington, Rob. of, 270  
 Bletchingdon (Oxon.), 802, 855  
 Bleville, Margery de, 376  
 Bloxham, Adam of, 305, 999  
 Bloxham (Oxon.), 993, 995, 1151  
     hund., 764  
 Bluber, Rog., 956  
 Bluet [Bleuet]:  
     Adam, 683, 829, 1119  
     Alda or Maud, 118, 119, 242, 619  
     Mary, 739  
     Ric., 739, 1388  
     Wm., 739, 1387  
 Blund [Blunt]:  
     Adam s. of Hen., 1003  
     Andrew le, 277, 456, 897, 1030, 1194, 1398  
     Helen, 277  
     Hugh, 403, 825, 978  
     Sim., 832, 1120  
     Wm., 46, 86, 692, 980  
     *and see* White  
 Blundel, Thos., 825  
 Bobbingworth, Wm. of, 371  
 Bocke, Geof., 768  
 Bocking:  
     John of, 166, 498  
     Ralph of, 168

- Reynold of, 498  
 Bodevil, Margery widow of Wm.  
     de, 587  
 Bodicote, Idony of, widow of Ric.  
     le Engunur, 88, 604, 605  
 Bodicote (Oxon.), 386, 878, 990,  
     1353  
 Bodin:  
     Beatrice, w. of Geof. of  
     Stockwell, 713  
     Peter, 713, 742  
     Rob., 713  
     Steph., and Alice his w., 73,  
     574, 576  
 Bohun:  
     Humph. de, earl of Hereford,  
     65, 371, 379, 554, 571  
     Muriel de, m. Ralph of Heath,  
     578  
 Bokis, (Bure) Rob., 567, 1328  
 Bol, Wm., 1029  
 Bole, Rob., 621  
 Bolebok, Gilb. de, 297  
 Bolingham, James de, 362  
 Bolinton, Humph. de, 371  
 Bollebec, Isabel de, countess of  
     Oxford, 251, 416, 417, 429, 433,  
     455, 907  
 Bolney (in Harpsden, Oxon.), 569,  
     680, 681  
 Bologano:  
     Hen. de, 359  
     Wal. de, 359  
 Bolors:  
     Alan de, 310  
     Baldwin de, and Gwenllian his  
     w., 310  
     Robert de, 310  
 Bolter:  
     Christine, 749, 752  
     John, 749, 752  
     Maud, w. of Reynold of  
     Harwell, 749, 752  
     Wm. le, 749  
 Bonous, Wm. de, 672  
 Bonserjant, Wm., 527  
 Bookbinder, Wm., and Gillian his  
     w., 725  
 Bookham (Surrey), 314  
 Boron, Wm., 120, 631  
 Botild, Wm. s. of, 403  
 Botley, Hen. of, 729  
 Bourton (Bucks.), 435, 1286  
 Boveton:  
     Alditha, 902  
     Godfrey, and Lecia his w., 750  
     Hugh, 902, 1203  
     Ralph, 761, 1236  
     Ric. de, 902, 950  
     Wal., 902, 1203  
 Bovill, Giles de, and Isabel his w.,  
     297  
 Bovingdon (Herts.), 341  
 Boyland, Rog. of, 2, 155, 163, 285  
 Boys:  
     Adam du, 167  
     Ernald du, 445  
     Ralph du, 468, 1302  
     Ric. du, 330  
     Rob. du, 517, 518, 1316  
     Thos. du, 240, 468, 1243,  
     Wm. du, 121, 240, 387, 468,  
     1242, 1302  
 Boyton (Suffolk), 525  
 Brackley:  
     Ric. of, 238, 573, 700  
     Wm. of, 308  
 Brackley (Northants.), 701  
     prior of Hosp. St. John of, 120,  
     631  
 Bracy, Gilb. de, and Hawise his w.,  
     121  
 Bradfield (Berks.), 645  
 Bradley, Hen. of, 284  
 Bradenstoke priory (Wilts.), prior  
     of 250, 360  
 Bradeston, Ric. de, 154  
 Bradley:  
     Hen. of, 284  
     Ric. of, and Maud his w., 134,  
     135  
     Rob. of, 237  
 Brampton:  
     Parnel of, 7  
     Rob. of, 7  
     Rog. of, 403  
     Thos. of, 403  
     Wm. of, 403  
 Brand [Braund]:  
     Emma, 440, 582  
     Rob., 85, 297, 441, 582, 790,  
     793, 897, 907, 1072  
 Brascur, *see* Brazur  
 Branton:  
     Brian [Bernard] de, 1026, 1228  
     Ric. de, 561  
 Bray:  
     Hugh de, and Beatrice his w.,  
     528  
     Vivian de, 593  
 Braybeouf, Rob. de, 60

- Brazur [Brascur], Ralph le, 737, 1041  
 Brebanzon:  
     Cecily, 279, 560  
     John, 279, 560, 628, 1259  
 Breche, Alex. de la, 333, 767, 1262  
 Bret:  
     Hugh le, 155  
     Phil. le, 42  
     Sim. le, 155  
     Wm. le, 772  
 Bretasch, John, 143, 295, 461, 815  
 Bretewell, Peter de, 565  
 Breton [Briton]:  
     Alice widow of John le, 526  
     Hugh, 280  
     Iwan [Eudes] le, 761, 1240  
     Rannulph, 199, 210, 211  
     Ric., 776  
     Roland le, 246  
     Wm., 237, 376  
 Breuse:  
     Albreda, de, 585  
     Aline de, 585  
     Guntonda, de, 585  
 Breyn, Rog., 31  
 Brian, functionary of Maud de Gurney, 787  
 Briausne, Ralph de, 83, 698  
 Bricett, Little (Suffolk), 525  
     priory, prior of, 68, 169  
 Brickhill, Great (Beds.), 671  
 Brickhill, Little (Bucks.), 556  
 Brid, David le, 821  
 'Bridecote', (?Oxon.), 767  
 Bridport, John of, 712  
 Brien, Geof., 29  
 Brightwell, Isolde [John] of, 479  
 Brightwell Baldwin (Oxon.), 822  
 'Brikendon' (Hunts.), 743  
 Brikenoles, Wm. de, 237  
 Brill, Nic. of, 149  
 Brisabon, Cecily widow of John, 486  
 Brisard, Rob., 201  
 Bristol, abbot of St. Augustine's, 163, 387, 670  
 Briton, *see* Breton  
 Brixworth, Geof. of, 665  
 Brixworth (Northants.), 665  
 Brize Norton (Oxon.), *see* Norton, Brize  
 Broadstone, Rob. of, 761, 1241  
 Broadwell (Oxon.), 532, 706, 1324  
 Brockhampton, Hugh of, and his w. Alice, 550  
 Brode, Wm. le, 131, 622, 699  
 Brogborough (in Ridgmont, Beds.), 463  
 Brok:  
     John del, 34, 403  
     Laurence del, 180, 234, 326, 373, 416, 417, 462, 503, 516, 574, 613, 645, 1275, 1356  
     Mabel, 583  
     Nic. del, and his w. Emma, 51, 264, 340  
     Peter del, 308  
     Rob. del, 340, 373, 493, 583, 762, 1164  
     Wm. del, 340  
 Brokepeny, John, 543  
 Brokton (in Grove, Bucks.), 340  
 Bromerton, Wm. de, 375  
 Bromfield, Laria de, 44  
 Broughton:  
     John of, 736, 1435  
     Rob. of, 180  
 Broughton Poggs (Oxon.), 930, 934  
     parson of, *see* Reynold  
 Bruern, Wal. of, 925  
 Bruern abbey (Oxon.), 1010  
     abbot of, 108, 109, 281, 343, 1026  
 Bruges:  
     Geof. de, 480  
     Rob. de, 44  
 'Brumford' (Suffolk), 338  
 Brun:  
     Adam le, 976  
     John le, 102, 209, 293, 414, 552, 586, 615, 635, 682, 774, 884, 1176  
     Lawrence le, 357, 409, 795, 1086, 1265  
     Ric., 796  
     Rog. le, 940, 991  
     Wm., 342, 644  
 Brunestre, Wm. s. of Ric. le, 1040  
 Brunlaund, Ralf de, 106  
 Brus, Isabel de, 226, 290, 412  
 Buche:  
     Alice, widow of Hereward, 494  
     Wm., 520, 1318, 1321  
 Buck, Adam, 622  
 Buckby, Long, (Northants.), 404  
 Buckechike, Ric., 818, 1109  
 Bucker, *see* Butcher  
 Buckerel [Bukerel]:  
     Maud, 77, 78, 344, 589  
     Peter, 77, 78, 344, 589, 934  
     Steph., 190, 191

- Buckill, Rob. de, 534  
 Buckingham, Wm. of, 145  
 Buckingham, archdeacon of, *see*  
     Mat.  
 Buckland:  
     John of, 934  
     Ralph of, 503  
 Buffin, Thos., 628, 872  
 Bulcheugh, Hen. de, 87  
 Bule, Rob. le, 948  
 Buler, Wm. le, 538  
 Bullingdon hund. (Oxon.), 766  
 Bulloc, Ric., 237  
 Bulor, Hugh le, 5, 119, 138, 242,  
     619  
 Bund, Sim. s. of, bailiff of Oxf.,  
     1029, 1032, 1402  
 Bungay, Rayner of, 379  
 Bunny, Gilb., 1045  
 Burcham, John de, 1039  
 Burcot, Adam of, *see* Miles  
 Burcot (Oxon.), 767  
 Burford, Paulinus of, 934  
 Burford (Oxon.), 780, 966-8  
     bailiff of, *see* Rog. le Noble  
     chaplain of, *see* Ralph  
 Burgeys:  
     Ralph le, 682, 776  
     Wm., 753, 1050, 1412  
 Burgh:  
     Hubert, earl of Kent, 263  
     John de, and Hawise his w., 155  
 Burghfield:  
     John s. of Alex. of, 261a, 1248  
     Rob. of, 261a, 1248  
 Burkeston, Rob. de, dean of  
     Framland, 453  
 Burnedis [Burnedich]:  
     Godfrey de, 647, 1337  
     Wm. s. of Rog. de, 647, 1338  
 Burnevil, Rob. de, 167  
 Burstall (Suffolk), 338  
 Burton, Wal. 767, 768  
 Burton Latimer, (Northants.), 362  
 Bury St. Edmunds abbey (Suffolk),  
     liberty of, 489  
 Bussard, Rob., 897, 1190  
 Butcher:  
     Adam, 699  
     Alan, and Margery his w., 293  
     Ric., 821  
 Butler [Butiller]:  
     Hugh the, 236, 875, 884  
     Nic. the, 237  
     Peter the, 999  
     Rob. the, 214, 654  
     Wm. the, 654  
 Buttercake, John, 858, 1150  
 Buttol, Thos., 983  
 Byfield (Northants.), 352  
 Byland abbey (Yorks.), abbot of,  
     531  
 Cachefreye, Rob., 720  
 Cadamo [Kam], Ralph de, 895,  
     1188  
 Cadesden, Nic. de, 1043  
 Cadestoke, Aubrey de, 663  
 Cadwell (in Brightwell Baldwin,  
     Oxon.), 822  
 Caldecot (Cambs.), 271  
 Caldewell (Suffolk), 525  
 Caldwell (in Bedford), prior of,  
     161, 204, 490  
 Calmsden, Rog. of, 250  
 Calne, Reynold of, 357  
 Calvus, Wm., 1031  
 Camel, Phil., 1305  
 Camera:  
     Ric. de, 863  
     Wm. de, 149, 721  
 Cammeys, Ralph de, 162  
 Canceis, Rob. le, 104, 305, 556,  
     642, 695  
 Canfield, Great (Essex), 433  
 Canne, John de, 335  
 Canon, Wm., 520, 1320  
 Cant', Isabel wife of Benet de, 254  
 Canterbury, priory of Holy Trinity,  
     prior of, 152  
 Cantilupe:  
     Wal. de, bp. of Worcester, 163,  
     424  
     Wm., 220, 425  
 Cape, John, 587  
 Capella, Hen. de, 194, 195, 391  
 Caperun, Andrew, 777  
 Carpenter:  
     Agnes, dau. of Wm., 839  
     John and Agnes his w., 756  
     Thos. the, 341  
     Wm., 1008, 1218  
 Carter:  
     Geof., 767  
     John the, 388, 1268  
     Ralph the, 539  
     Reynold, 792  
     Ric., 786  
     Wal., 821  
 Carun:  
     Flandrina, 182, 449, 473, 549

- Ralph de, 122, 182, 449, 473, 549
- Carver:
- Gilb. le, [Carter] 702, 1349
- Miles le, [Carter] 702, 1350
- Osbert, 235
- Ric., 976
- Carvill:
- Hawise de, 561
- Ralph de, 561, 806
- Cashio hund. (Herts.), 565
- Cassington:
- Amisius of, 762
- Nic. of, 411
- Cassington (Oxon.), 762, 1165, *and see* Somerford
- Castle Acre, Sim. of, 53
- Castle Acre (Norfolk), priory, prior of, 53
- Caswell, Rog. of, 774
- Catesby, John of, 391
- Caulcott (in Heyford, Oxon.), 73, 576
- Caversfield (Oxon., formerly Bucks.), 800
- Caversham (Berks.), 240, 1074, 1302
- Cayham, Alice de, 685
- Cecily, Thos. s. of, 48
- Cerceden, Rob. de, 761, 1233
- Cestresham, Thos. de, monk of Woburn, 228
- Chacombe priory (Northants.), prior of, 304
- Chadlington:
- John of, 768
- Rob. of, 657
- Chadlington (Oxon.), 1026, 1231 hund. [Shipton hund.], 761
- Chalcor, Gilb. de, 460
- Chalfont St. Peter (Bucks.), 356
- Chalford (in Enstone, Oxon.), 1007, 1217
- Chalgrove (Oxon.), 812, 820, 831, 1113, 1114, 1293
- Chalinere, Agnes la, 365
- Champion, Rog., 990, 991
- Chaplain:
- Adam, 750
- Emma w. of Wm. le, *see* Swinburg
- Wm., 531, 580
- Chapman, Alice dau. of Hugh, 216
- Charlbury, Marsilia of, m. Hen. of Langley, 107, 618
- Charles:
- Amice, dau. of, 29
- Wm., s. of, 29
- Charlton, John of, 761, 1239
- Charlton by Scaford (Sussex), 578
- Chastleton, Bardulf of, 348, 443, 470, 495, 519, 534, 635, 682, 707, 884, 1026, 1176
- Chastleton (Oxon.), 136, 397
- Chauflor, John de, 163
- Chaupiurn, Rog., 726
- Chausy, Geof. de, 786
- Checkendon (Oxon.), 521, 902, 1289, *and see* Wyfold
- Cheldynton [Cheldrinton, Chelington], Mic. de, 65, 371
- Chenduit:
- Ralph, 112, 261, 500, 530, 535, 1309
- Urien de, 205
- 'Chenesfeld', 1266
- Cherle, Gilb. de, 255, 325
- Chesham, Wm. of, 136
- Chesney:
- Agnes de, m. Sim. of Maidwell, 406
- Gilb. de, 726, 1379
- Hugh de, 367
- Ralph de, 367
- Rog. de, 367
- Wm. de, 367, 406, 428
- Chester, Hawise of, 404
- Cherwell, river, 865
- Chesham (Bucks.), 528
- Chester, earl of, *see* John the Scot
- Chesterton:
- Ralph of, 711
- Rob. of, 944
- Chetwode (Bucks.), 796
- Chevalier:
- Gilb., 353
- Millicent, m. John Cook, 353
- Nic. s. of, 397
- Ric., 353
- Rob., 353
- Rog., 353
- Sim., 353
- Theodulph, 353
- Wm., 353
- Cheveley, Ric. of, 155
- Childwick, Wm. of, 565
- Chilham:
- Clemence of, 524
- Rob. of, 524, 907
- Chilson (Oxon.), 287
- Chilton, Andrew of, 729

- Chilworth, Rannulph of, 768  
 Chilworth (Oxon.), 980  
 Chineham:  
     Adam of, 783  
     Hawise of, 447  
     Rob. of, 447, 464, 783  
 Chinnock, West (Somerset), 292  
 Chinnor, Sim. of, 770, 897, 1196  
 Chinnor (Oxon.), 770, 891  
 Chipping Norton (Oxon.), *see*  
     Norton, Chipping  
 Chippinghurst (Oxon.), 983  
 Chislehampton (Oxon.), 910, 913  
 Cholsey, Geof. of, 249, 466, 468,  
     469, 502, 1302  
 Christine, w. of Jordan, 575  
 Christine, Molekin s. of, 946  
 Chubbe, John, 128, 218, 648  
 Churchill (Oxon.), 113, 342, 348,  
     644  
 Cigogne, Ingelard de, 821, 827, 835  
 Cipryan, John, 934  
 Cirencester, John of, 1042  
 Cirencester abbey (Glos.), abbot of,  
     79, 999  
 Ciror:  
     Godfrey le, 315  
     Wm. le, 315  
 Clanfield (Oxon.), 369, 643, 657;  
     *and see* Putte  
 Clapcot (Berks.), 812  
 Clapham, Wm. of, 381  
 Clare:  
     Ric. of, 1026  
     Rob. of, 464, 1334  
     Rog. of, 604, 1058, 1420  
     Sim. of, 451, 1292  
     Wm. of, 636, 772, 1334  
 Clattercote priory (Oxon.):  
     canon of, *see* Ralph  
     prior of, 258, 388  
 Claydon (Oxon.), 561, 949  
 Clec, Ric., 452, 1293  
 Clemdon:  
     Geof. de, 680  
     Joan, w. of Geof., 681  
     Joan m. Thos. de Valoynes, 87,  
     229, 245, 680, 681  
 Clement:  
     John, 294, 629  
     Wal., 764  
     Wm. s. of John, 465  
 Clere, *see* Clare  
 Clerk:  
     Adam, 767  
     Alfred, 772  
     Anselm, 13  
     Engelesya, w. of Hen., 946  
     Eve, widow of Rog. le, 555, 627  
     Hugh, 897  
     Humph., 338  
     Ingram, 1040  
     John, 242, 326, 769, 770, 771,  
     772, 773, 1396  
     Lawrence, 956  
     Luke, 956  
     Ralph, 657, 773, 946, 1127  
     Ric., 1, 270, 776, 940, 1170  
     Rob., 238, 434, 846, 957, 999  
     Rog., 954, 976  
     Wal., 333  
     Wm., 769, 1080, *and see*  
     Dunsden  
     Wm., bailiff of Oxf., 1033,  
     1042, 1403  
 Cleveley:  
     Alice of, 558  
     Ralph of, 558  
 Cleveley (in Enstone, Oxon.), 558  
 Cleyhile, Wm. de, 307  
 Clifford, Ric. de, 805  
 Clifton:  
     Maud of, 333  
     Wal. of, 398, 536, 1273  
     Wm. of, 907  
 Clifton (in Deddington, Oxon.), 395  
 Clifton Hampden (Oxon.), 333, 767  
 Clinton, Nicia de, 659, 1366  
 Clipston (Notts.), 405  
 Cliveden, Geof. of, 651  
 Clun:  
     Gilb. of, 41  
     Orgenew of, 41  
 Cobbler:  
     Rog., 1017  
     Wm., 132  
 Coby, Ralph, 815  
 Coch, Wm., 292  
 Cockol, Rog., 928, 968  
 Cogges (Oxon.), 658, 705, 838, 842,  
     987, 1135  
     John, chaplain of, 838  
     Martin, 838  
     priory, prior of, 81, 308, 635  
 Coker, Wm., 924  
 Coket, Rob., 943  
 Coklegh, Wal. de, 544  
 Colekin, Alured, 515  
 Colemore, Alan de, 642, 1026  
 Coleshill:  
     John of, 738, 1387  
     Niel of, 1051, 1413

- Ric. of, 439
- Colhop, Wm., 855, 1143
- Colum, Hubert de, 7
- Columnis, Geof. de, 761, 1238
- Colwell:
  - Ralph of, 269, 1252
  - Rog. of, 171
  - Rose, widow of Wm., 268, 269
- Combe:
  - Alex. of, 419, 600, 704, 766
  - Ric. of, 839, 839, 1137
- Compton:
  - Geof. of, 655
  - Hugh, 655
  - Parnel of, 375, 650
- Compton (Berks.), 833
- Compton (Warws.), 380
- 'Conesgrave' (Bucks.), 409
- Constable, Martin the, and Agnes
  - his w., 172, 173, 382
- Cook:
  - Adam, 906
  - Agnes dau. of Rob., 906
  - Alan the, 787, 859
  - Alex., 1289
  - Alice, 840
  - Hen., 767
  - John, 353, 454, 767, 1295
  - Maud, 796, 840
  - Millicent, *see* Chevallier
  - Ric., 180
  - Rob., 796
  - Wal., 81
  - Wm., 698
- Cooper, Cecily widow of Ric. le, 487
- Copicote:
  - Hugh de, 897
  - Rob. de, 770
- Coppedemore, Rob. de, 464
- Cordwainer:
  - Geof. the and Maud his w., 716
  - Wm., 736
- Core, Nic., 939
- Cornbury (Oxon.), 1019
- Corneville, Wm. de, 333
- Cornish, Wm., 766, 983
- Cornwall, Master Vincent, scholar of Oxf., 827
- Cornwall, earl of, *see* Richard
- Cornwell, Ric. of, 964; *and see* Grenville
- Corok [Roker], Ric., 784, 1065
- Corun, Nigel le, 735
- Costeyn, Sebric [Seldrich], 1021, 1224
- Cote (in Bampton, Oxon.), 919
- Cotes:
  - John s. of Ralph of, 813
  - Phil. of, 278, 762, 1167, 1255
- Cotes (Northants.), 414
- Cottisford, Rog. of, 534
- Cotun;
  - Ric., 841, 978
  - Rog., 978
- Coudrey:
  - Geof. de, 907, 1207
  - Mat. de, 475
- Courtenay:
  - Rob. de, 403
  - Wm. de, 310
- Cove:
  - Ric. of, 403
  - Bartholomew of, 403
- Coventry, bp. of, *see* Pattishall, Hugh
- Cowarne, Little (Herefs.), 272
- Cowherd, Wm. the, 904
- Cowley:
  - John of, 89
  - Rog. of, 89
- Crawe, Thos., 437, 498
- Creeping Hall:
  - Alice of, 489
  - Rob. of, 247
- Cressing, Peter of, 239
- Cressing (Essex), 239
- Cressy, Rog. de, 283
- Creton, Wm. de, 278, 1254
- Crevequeur:
  - Hamon de, 1367
  - Sybil [Maud] de, 705
- Criketoft, Sim. s. of Ralph de, 319
- Crochall, Amice dau. of Alice de, 783
- Crofton, John of, and Emma his w., 33
- Croke, Thos., 773, 1130
- Crome:
  - John, 736, 1436
  - Wm., 1040
- Cropredy, Hugh of, 765
- Cross:
  - Adam, 202
  - Geof., 537, 539, 761, 1240
  - Hen., 899
  - Rog., 542
  - Sim., 331
  - Steph., 542
  - Wm., 432, 496, 497, 1284
- Crowcombe, Godfrey of, sheriff of Oxon., 305, 759, 984

- Crowell (in Lewknor, Oxon), 896  
 Crowland (Lincs.), abbey, abbot of, 384  
 Crowmarsh:  
   Achard of, 904  
   Ralph reeve of, 904  
   Wm. the cowherd of, 904  
 Crowmarsh Gifford (Oxon.), 417, 455, 833, 903, 962, 1205, 1206, 1275  
 Croydon, Nic. of, 568  
 Croydon (Cambs.), 568  
 Croxton Kerrial (Leics.), abbey, abbot of, 214, 372  
 Croy, Sim., 665  
 Crude, John de, and Ismania his w., 134, 135  
 Crudeworth, Nic. de, 605  
 Crume, John, 919  
 Crumhall, Wm. de, 695  
 Cruste:  
   Adam, bailiff of Oxf. 742, 1034, 1046, 1049, 1372, 1373, 1400, 1404, 1411  
   Thos., 715, 1049, 1411  
 Cubbington, Wm. of, 1010  
 Cubum [Gubmon, Gubum]:  
   Hugh, 227, 414  
   Luke de, 414  
   Ralph, 10, 335, 407  
 'Cuheye' (Cambs.), 444  
 Cuir, Andrew le, 144, 145  
 Cumberland, 18  
 Culerne, Wal. de, 795  
 Culliford Tree hund. (Dorset), 313  
 Culunces, John de, 1015  
 Cumin, David, and Isabel his w., 34, 341  
 Cumneshope, Sweyn de, 272  
 Cumnor:  
   Reynold of, 726, 1378  
   Wm. of, 626, 1362  
 Cundicote, Rog. de, 240, 466, 1243  
 Curbridge (Oxon.), 925  
 Curry, John de, 74, 660  
 Curteis, Ric., 718, 1376  
 Cusin, Reinbald, 318, 767  
 Cutler, Wm. the, 728, 736, 1048, 1381, 1385, 1410, 1435  
 Cuver, Adam le, 849  
 Cuxham, Adam of, 736, 1437  
 Cuxham (Oxon.), 261, 500  
 Dalton, North (Yorks.), 691  
 Damel, Alice dau. of Wm., 442  
 Daneys, John le, 606  
 Daniel, Gilb. s. of, 622, 699  
 Danvers:  
   Muriel, 126, 127, 217, 225, 613, 614, 648, 702  
   Nic., 765  
   Ric., 41, 93, 441, 617, 793, 1358, 1359  
   Rob., 126, 127, 128, 217, 225, 613, 614, 648, 702, 790, 1355, 1356  
   Wm., 765  
 Darnford, Rob. of, 525  
 Darnford (Suffolk), 525  
 Daunic, Wal. s. of Sim. de, 414  
 Dauniere, Wm. de, 414  
 Dayvill, Rob., 805  
 Deacon, Wm., 956, 974  
 Dean:  
   Peter of, 64  
   Phil. of, and Gretia his w., 301, 638  
 Dean (Oxon.), 642  
 Deddington:  
   Phil. s. of Rayner of, 553  
   Rob. of, 422  
 Deddington (Oxon.), 367, 428, 506, 553, 628, 778, 816-19, 1107-11, *and see* Clifton  
 Deerhurst (Glos.), priory, prior of, 117, 347, 349, 419, 1008, 1026, 1277  
 Del, Ric. de, 151  
 Denham, Rog. of, 975  
 Denon, Hen., 53  
 Der, John le, 767  
 Derby, Rob. of, 723  
 Derham:  
   Alan de, 738, 739  
   John de, 738, 739  
 Dispenser:  
   Geof., 874, 983  
   Ric. le, 777  
   Thurstan, 118, 683, 1018  
   Wal., 777  
   Wm., 826, 999  
 Devenays:  
   Hugh le, 861, 1152  
   Wm. le, 926  
 Didellsfold, Amice de, 192  
 Dilham, Benet of, 11, 320  
 Dive:  
   Guy de, and Lucy his w., 367  
   Isabel, 628  
   Rob. de, 48  
   Wm. de, 367, 428, 506, 628



- Doberneth, Wm., 987  
 Docking, Ric. of, 2  
 Doddbrooke (Devon.), 265  
 Dod [Dodd]:  
     Ralph, 341  
     Thos., 176  
 Doget:  
     Alan, 207  
     Katelin, 208  
     Ric., 66, 370  
 d'Oilly:  
     John, 115, 773, 1130  
     Rog., 1016  
     Thos., and Sarah his w., 521  
 Donnington:  
     Henry of, 557  
     Peter of, 557  
     Wm. of, 557  
 Dorchester:  
     Gerard of, 739  
     Mary of, 908, 954  
     Ric. of, and Gillian his w., 715, 1373  
     Wal. of, 148  
     Warin of, 753  
 Dorchester (Oxon.), 318, 908, 912  
     abbey, abbot of, 130, 248, 282, 318, 333, 398, 420, 536, 719, 722, 828  
     bailiff of, *see* Wal. de Binton  
     hund., 767  
 Doringwich, Wm. de, 337  
 Dosy, Wm., 934  
 Draper:  
     Adam le, 818, 1111  
     Wm., 147, 674  
 Draycott, Hen. of, 292, 323  
 Drayton:  
     Alice wdow of Sim. of, 536  
     Gilb. of, and Emma his w., 704  
     Hen. s. of Gilb. of, 148  
     Hen. of, 414  
     John of, 88  
     Wm. of, 1272  
 Drayton (Oxon.), 398, 604, 605, 1272  
 Drayton St. Leonard (Oxon.), 704, 828  
 Dreng:  
     Adam, 442, 884, 1288  
     John, bailiff of Banbury, 779  
     Ric., 949  
 Drew, Wm. s. of, 194, 391  
 Druel, Sim., 297  
 Druval:  
     Hugh de, 95, 907  
     Ralph de, 469, 1303  
     Rob., 772  
 Ducote, Ric. de, 598  
 Dudeling:  
     Alan, 740, 1389  
     Ralph, 740  
     Rog., 740  
 Duenigge, John de, 163  
 Duford, *see* Pyefot  
 Dunning, Geof. and Alice his w., 356  
 Dunnehet, John de, 999  
 Dunrigg, Rob. de, 391  
 Dunsden [Clerk], Wm. of, and Basilia his w. 131, 622, 699  
 Dunsden (in Goring, Oxon.), 622, 699, 1080  
 Dunstanvill, Geof. de, 702  
 Dunthrop:  
     Mic. of, 108, 281  
     Wm. of, 777  
 Dunwich, Rob. s. of Geof. of, 256  
 Durand, the clerk, 312  
 Durand:  
     Geof. s. of, 312, 322  
     Thos., 766  
 Duredent, Wal., 297  
 Durnford, Wm. of, 66, 370  
 Durnford (Wilts.), 370  
 Duston, Rog. of, and Muriel his w., 38  
 Dymock, Hen. of, 945  
 Dyen, John, 414  
 Dyer, John, 777  
 Earl Marshal, the, *see* Marshal  
 Easingwold (Yorks.), 655  
 Edeline, Wm. s. of, and Maud his w., 486  
 Edenburg, Pain de, 936  
 Edith dau. of Rob. the smith, 638  
 Edith:  
     Hugh s. of, 906  
     Ric. s. of, 58  
 Edmund, Ric., 315, 1263  
 Edward:  
     Saer, s. of, 52  
     Wm. s. of, 207  
 Edwin:  
     Ralph, 926  
     Rob. s. of, 995  
 'Egrum' (Northants.), 457  
 Eleford, Rob. de, 931  
 Elinton, Hen. de, 237  
 Ellington (Hunts.), 329

- Ellis the doorkeeper, 787  
 Ellis *nuncius*, 1  
 Ellis, prior of St. Frideswide's,  
   Oxf., 727  
 Ellis:  
   Ellis, s. of, 276  
   Geof., s. of, 104  
   Ralph, s. of, 1007  
   Ric., s. of, 381, 994  
   Wm., s. of, 276  
 Elmley, Thos. of, 972  
 Elsfield:  
   Alding [Aldive] of, 1058  
   Emma of, 1047  
   Wal. of, 1047, 1048  
 Elsfield (Oxon.), 766  
 Eltendon, Wm. de, 450  
 Eltesdon, Sim. de, 209  
 Elviva, widow, 16  
 Elyat, John, 361  
 Emery, Phil. s. of, 214  
 Emma, 356, 725, 730  
 Emma, laundress of Maud de  
   Gurney, 787  
 Emmington (Oxon.), 893  
 Empingham (Rutland), 688  
 Enfant, Hen. le, 22  
 Englefield:  
   Alice of, 785  
   Thos. of, 464, 509, 785  
   Wm. of, 126, 128, 217, 218, 225,  
   237, 297, 415, 447, 464, 613,  
   614, 648, 702, 709, 1346, 1348,  
   1349, 1350  
 English, Geof., 771  
 Engunur:  
   Idony, widow of Ric. le, *see*  
   Bodicote  
   John le, 605  
 Enstone (Oxon.), 508, 1015, *and see*  
   Cleveley, Lidstone  
 Enveyse, Ric. le, 817  
 Epwell, Gilb. of, and Maud his w.,  
   566  
 Epwell (Oxon.), 914  
 Erdington, Giles of, 12  
 Erle, Giles de, 237  
 Erneburg [or Harburg], Nic., 740,  
   1389  
 Erneis, Thos. s. of, 755  
 Erniyn, Nic., 1010  
 Esquire [Squire]:  
   John le, 870, 1158  
   Gilb. le, 874  
   Rob. le, 107  
   Sampson le, 1283  
   *and see* Armiger  
 Esses, Maud de, 272  
 Essex, Rog. of, 1  
 Essex, earl of, *see* Mandeville,  
   Geof. de  
 'Essindene' wood, in Henley  
   (Oxon.), 792  
 Estilier, Adam le, 735  
 'Eston' (Lincs.), 688  
 Estrild, Wm. s. of, 782  
 Esturmy, John, 43  
 Esy, Wm. de, 357  
 'Esy' (Wilts.), Nic. parson of, 357  
 Ethewin, 562, 563  
 Eu (Ewe):  
   Wal. del, 601  
   Wm. de, 715, 1428  
 Eustace:  
   Alice dau. of, 852  
   John s. of, 511, 603, 1313  
   Steph. s. of, 288  
 Eve, 356  
 Evening, Ralph, 894, 1187  
 Evenlode (formerly Bladon), river,  
   1011, 1014  
 Everard:  
   Adam, 647, 1339  
   John s. of, 137  
 Everington (Berks.), 577  
 Evesham (Worcs.), abbey, abbot of,  
   307  
 Ewelme (Oxon.), 683, 825, 829,  
   832, 1116, 1120  
 Exeter (Devon), cathedral, dean and  
   chapter of, 252, 590  
 Eydon, Thos. of, 703  
 Eye (Oxon.), 1116  
 Eymer, Christine w. of John, 368  
 Eynden, Ric. of, 254  
 Eynsham:  
   Nic. of, 756  
   Rog. of, 733  
   Warin of, 883, 1175  
 Eynsham (Oxon.), 846, 847, 884,  
   919, 1174  
   abbey, abbot of, 95, 238, 300,  
   396, 460, 723, 1297  
   *and see* Gloucester, Gilb. of;  
   John; Nicholas  
 Eyton, Ric. of, 181  
 Fairford (Glos.), 360  
 Fairloc, *see* Feyrbek  
 Fakenham (Norfolk), priory, *see*  
   Hempton

- Falconer:  
     Wal. the, 508  
     Wm., 763
- Fane, Hugh, bailiff of Oxf., 1034, 1400, 1404
- Fareman [or Freman], Alice w. of Peter, 37
- Faringdon, Wm. of, and Denise [or Idony], his w., 730, 746
- Farnham:  
     Alan of, and Margery his w., 681  
     Joseph of, 1002
- Fawley (Berks.), parson of, *see* William
- Fay, John de, 72
- Faymeta, John de, 122
- Fayre, Wm. le, 837
- Feckenham, Wm. of, 867
- Feduos, Wm. de, 326
- Fencerer, Wm. le, 1029
- Fenhampton, Gervase of, 292
- Ferrers, Wm. de, 13, 274
- Fersfield (Norfolk), 517
- Ferthing:  
     Gunnild, 856  
     Hen., 856, 1144
- Ferur:  
     Hen. le, 728, 1048, 1381, 1410  
     Lettice le, 745, 1055  
     Rob. le, 728, 745  
     Ric. le, 728
- Feteplace:  
     Adam, 732, 1048, 1409  
     Agnes, 732
- Feyrbek [Fairloc], Adam, 812, 1102
- Feyter, Sim., 964
- Fifield:  
     Hen. of, 774  
     Nic., 78  
     Thos. of, 761, 810, 1241
- Finche, Wm., 379
- Finmere:  
     Aline of, 121, 670  
     David of, and Cecily his w., 685  
     Parnel of, 121, 670  
     Rob. of, 121
- Finmere (Oxon.), 387, 670, 795, 809  
     parson of, *see* Rannulf
- Finstock (Oxon.), 945
- Fisher:  
     John, 812  
     Nic. 299, 626, 659, 1330, 1331  
     Wm., 907
- FitzGerald:  
     Agnes, 82, 177, 611, 612
- Warin, 66, 82, 176, 370, 418, 611, 612, 972, 983
- FitzWarin:  
     Alan, 26  
     Fulk, 25
- Fix, Wm. le, 306
- Fleming:  
     John the, 249, 514, 793  
     Wm. the, 27
- Fliny, Rannulf de, 587
- Fol, Wal. le, and Joan his w., 70
- Foliot:  
     Bartholomew, 419, 768  
     Peter, 704, 766  
     Reynold, 138  
     Ric., coroner, 419, 635, 682, 760  
     Rob., 596  
     Rog., 324, 540  
     Sampson, 224, 575  
     Sim., 596  
     Wal., 310
- Foot, Sim., 825, 1116
- Forcis, *see* Furcis
- Fordham (Cambs.), 317
- Fordham (Norfolk), 306
- Foresthill (Oxon.), 552, 586
- Forthmorewe, Sim., 447, 784, 1065, 1290
- Foster:  
     Basilia, 400  
     Beatrice, 116  
     Gilb., 551, 1142, 1327  
     Hen., 400  
     Hugh, 15  
     John, 858, 1151  
     Nic., 858  
     Peter, 841  
     Reynold, 115, 458, 554, 633, 793, 858, 961, 1026, 1072, 1148, 1296  
     Rob., 897
- Fowler:  
     Agnes, 747  
     Wm. s. of Alan the, 747
- Foxhole, Rob. of, 684
- Foxley, John of, 126, 217
- Framland (Leics.), dean of, *see* Burkeston
- Framsden (Suffolk), 498
- Francchevaler, Wm., 33, 679
- Francis:  
     Alice, widow of Hugh, 484  
     Geof. le, 567, 592  
     Ralph le, 768  
     Rannulf le, 381, 523  
     Rob. le, 281, 914

- Rob. s. of Gilb. le, 767  
 Rog. le, and Alice his w., 475  
 Wm. le, 296, 403, 989  
 Franchomme, Rob. le, 657  
 Frank, Adam s. of, 922  
 Franketon, Ralph de, 787  
 Frankley:  
   Phil. of, 205  
   Sim. of, 205  
 Franklin:  
   Alan le, 278  
   Hugh le, 761, 1242  
   Nic., 766  
   Thos. le, 559  
   Wm., 767, 769  
 Frayn:  
   Eve, widow of Thos. de, 39, 272  
   John de and Loretta his w., 184, 449  
   Olive, widow of Rob. de, 68, 165-71, 256, 437, 498, 525  
   Ralph de, 825, 895  
   Reynold de, 795, 1084  
   Ric. de, 702, 1348  
   Wm. de, 184, 272  
   Wm. s. of Rob. de, 498  
 Freman, *see* Fareman  
 Frewik [Froywick], John de, 314  
 Fringford, Rob. of, 120  
 Fringford (Oxon.), 81, 635  
 Frith:  
   Alex. de, 884  
   Erneis del, 846, 884  
   Gilb. del, 784, 1066  
   John de, 846  
 Fritwell:  
   Rob. of, 472  
   Sarah of, 472, 924  
   Steph. of, 73, 74, 201, 293, 324, 472, 499, 540, 552, 576, 586, 615, 660  
   Wm. of, 924, 1329  
 Fritwell (Oxon.), 540, 805, 924  
 Froywick, *see* Frewik  
 Fughel, Wm., 135  
 Fulbrook, Marg. dau. of Semaun  
   of, 1005  
 Fulk:  
   Alice dau. of, 598  
   Wal. s. of, 35  
   Wm. s. of, 397  
 Fuller:  
   Humph. the, and Alice his w., 729  
   John s. of Humph. the, 729  
   Sewal s. of Humph. the, 729  
 Furbur, Herb. le, and Gillian his w., 1033  
 Furcis (Forcis):  
   Eustace de, 196, 364  
   Mabel, widow of Rog. de, 196  
   Rog. de, 196  
   Wm. de, and Christine his w., 226  
 Furel, Maud, w. of Ric., 883  
 Fyfield (Essex), 274  
 Gaddesden:  
   John of, 457, 515  
   Ric. of, 209  
 Gaham, Ric. de, 70, 421, 474  
 Galweia, Adam de, 294  
 Gambun, Ric., 850, 864, 1140  
 Gangsdown (in Nuffield, Oxon.), 482  
 Gangy, Rob., 889, 1183  
 Gardener:  
   Hen. s. of Wm., 977  
   Wm., 977, 1396  
 Gargat, Sibilla, 336  
 Garland, Everard de, 545  
 Garsington, Hugh of, 766  
 Garsington (Oxon.), 766, 982  
 Gateley, Hamon of, 16  
 Gatton, John de, 405  
 Gaudyn, Peter, 983  
 Gaunt, Rob. de, 949  
 Gay, Adam de, 422, 1280  
 Gayton, Rog. of, 53  
 Geddinge, John de, 489  
 Geddington:  
   Rog. of, 282, 318  
   Thos. of, 318  
 Geoffrey, servant of Thos. of Englefield, 785  
 Geoffrey:  
   Alice dau. of, 903  
   Clement s. of, 174  
   Eustace s. of, 288, 1261  
   Hen. s. of, 903  
   Ric. s. of, 43  
   Rob. s. of, 37  
   Rog. s. of, 956, 1212  
   Thos. s. of, 37  
   Wal. s. of, 403  
   Wm. s. of, 482  
 Gerald, s. of, *see* FitzGerald  
 Gerard, Wm., 771  
 Gernegan:  
   Hugh, 231, 408

- Margery, widow of Hubert, 231, 408
- Gernun:
- Rob., 551, 603, 1314
  - Rog., 91, 198, 419, 520, 983, 1318, 1320, 1321
  - Thos. and Margery his w., 826
  - Wm., 511, 603, 1314
- Gervase, John s. of, 487
- Gestegod, Ralph and Sarah his w., 448
- Giberis, Rog., 950
- Giffard, Osbert, 597
- Gilbert, ancestor of Wm. Marty, 326
- Gilbert:
- Felicity dau. of, 910
  - Geof. s. of, 895, 1189
  - Gilb. s. of, 392
  - Hugh s. of, 973
  - John s. of, 83
  - Ric. s. of, 326, 385
  - Wm., 357
- Gillian, 635
- Gillian w. of John s. of Adam, 848
- Ginton, John de, 654
- Glaphorn, Sarah of, 213
- Glaphorn (Northants.), 663, 664
- Gloucester:
- Gilb. of, abbot of Eynsham, 459
  - Nic. of, 1033
- Glover:
- Edmund the, and Linota his w., 901
  - John s. of Phil. the, 455
  - Phil. the, 455
- Glympton:
- Geof. of, 640
  - Hugh of, 27, 859
  - Lettice of, 299
  - Pagan of, 1152
  - and see* Clinton
- Glympton (Oxon.), 707, 841, 861, 1152, 1343
- Godarvill, Wal. de, and Hilary his w., 362
- Goday, Wm., 432, 1285
- Gode, Hugh, 970
- Godesfend, Wm. de, 775
- Godfrey, 704, 1059, 1421
- Godfrey:
- Hugh s. of, 708
  - Reynold s. of, 164
- Godhild, 549
- Godington (Oxon.), 763
- Godmanstone, Master Hubert of, 448
- Godstow (Oxon.), 847a
- abbey, abbess of, 1046, 1057, 1419
- Godwin:
- John s. of, 352
  - Ralph s. of, 338
  - Wm., 895, 1189
- Gofayre:
- Gilb., 736
  - Nic., 736
- Goher, Thos., 305
- Goldeburg, Rob. s. of, 801
- Golder:
- Gilb. of, 687, 1341
  - Mat. of, 451, 543, 1292
  - Wm. of, 438, 443, 459, 793, 814
- Golder (Oxon.), 307, 443, 636, 687, 812, 1102
- Goldsmith:
- Margery widow of Wm., 248, 722
  - Ralph, 736, 1431
  - Ric., 715, 1050, 1411
  - Wal., bailiff of Oxf., 1029, 1032
- Goldstan:
- John, 693
  - Ric., 140
- Gos, Austin, 736
- Gosenhale, Hen. de, 403
- Gracia, John s. of, 629
- Grafton, Rob. de, 774
- Grave, Wm. de la, 123, 702, 1348
- Gravenhurst (Bucks.), 563
- Gray:
- Eve de, 101, 367, 428, 601
  - Hawise de, 512
  - Peter, 547
  - Rob. de, 790, 1026
  - Rog., 795
  - Wal. de, archbp. of York, 351
- Greasley, Thos. (of), 112, 261, 307, 430, 443, 500, 530, 636, 1247
- Green:
- Ric. de la, 557
  - Wm., 379
- Gregory, John s. of, 3
- Grendon, Wm. of, 546
- Grendon (Bucks. or Herefs.), 1
- Grenville:
- Gilb. de, 431
  - Ric. de [Cornewll], 795, 1085
- Greselake, Wm. de, 272
- Gretton, Sim. of, and Margery his w., 672

- Gretun, Geoff., 736, 1432  
 Greyhone, Rob. de la, 417, 464,  
 467, 469, 645, 793, 1300  
 Grim:  
   Hugh s. of, 91  
   John, 997  
 Grimstead, Nic. of, 102, 201  
 Grittenham:  
   Ric. of, 1056  
   Steph. of, 1056  
 Gros, John le, 163a  
 Grossmund, Wm., 899  
 Gross, Peter, 859  
 Grove (in Kirtlington, Oxon.), 700  
 Guala, papal legate, 310  
 Gubmon [Gubum], *see* Cubum  
 Guiarvill, Rob. de, and Agnes his  
   w., 297  
 Gulafre, Rog., 281, 343, 610, 761,  
   884, 1233  
 Gulas, John, 764  
 Gulie, Wm., 1  
 Gunnild:  
   Edith dau. of, 735  
   John s. of, 812, 1103  
   Ranulph s. of, 993  
 Gunstone (in Crediton, Devon), 339  
 Gurduy, Rob. de, and Christine his  
   w., 286  
 Gurlewambe, Peter, 791, 1066  
 Gurnay:  
   Gillian de, 220  
   Hugh de, 249, 434, 786  
   Maud de, 249, 466, 467, 468,  
   469, 1298, 1299  
   Rob. de, 5, 163, 242  
 Guy:  
   Hugh s. of, 845  
   Wm. s. of, 1030  
 Gwenlian, w. of Nic. s. of Rog.,  
   295  
  
 Hackney, Alice of, 827  
 Hacun, Hubert, 11, 320  
 Haddington, Wm. of, and Avice his  
   w., 372  
 Haddon:  
   John of, 506  
   Godfrey of, 847  
 Haddon (in Brize Norton, Oxon.),  
   430, 1283  
 Haffend, Guy de, 326  
 Hagbourne:  
   Geof. [Godfrey] of, 749, 1383  
   Wm. of, 1037  
 Hagbourne (Berks.), 1037  
 Hagh, John de, 771  
 Hailey (Oxon.), 926  
 Hake, Wm. de la, 240, 1243  
 Hales, Gillian de, 669, 677  
 Halfrenchisse, Wm., and Custance  
   his w., 356  
 Haluton, Sim. de, 272  
 Halvedenel, Godwin, 970, 975  
 Hampden, Alex. of, 297  
 Hamme:  
   Maud, 28, 284  
   Ralph de, 28  
   Rog. de, 284  
   Wal. de, 28, 284  
 'Hamne' [? Hamnish], (Herefs.),  
   284  
 Hampstead, Gilb. of, 12  
 Hampton, John of, 423, 1281  
 Hanborough (Oxon.), 846, 848,  
   884, 1138  
 Hanford, Rog. of, 178  
 Hangman, Wm., 1038, 1406  
 Hanin, John, 362  
 Hanington, Ralf de, 155  
 Hanse, Rog. de, 173  
 Hanwell (Oxon.), 995  
 Hara [Mara], John de, 786  
 Harauld, Rob., 765  
 Harburg, *see* Erneburg  
 Harcourt, Ric. de, 860  
 Hardel, Rob., 59, 666, 710  
 Harding:  
   Edmund, 784, 1066  
   Hugh, 715, 1424  
   Wal., 1037  
 Hardwick:  
   Ralph of, 467, 769, 771, 1079  
   Wm. of, and Aline or Anne his  
   w., 84, 698  
 Hardwick (Rutland), 688  
 Hareng:  
   Alice, 367, 506  
   Isabel, 638  
   Ralph, 91, 92, 102, 198, 201,  
   301, 367, 480, 506, 553, 590,  
   615, 638, 819, 983, 1311  
   Thos., 910  
 Harenger, Wal. le, and Gillian his  
   w., 744  
 Harpeford (in Wheatley, Oxon.),  
   969, 980  
 Harper, Hen. le, 820  
 Harpford, Jordan of, 587  
 Harpsden, Rog. of, 491, 492, 769,  
   793, 1077, 1305

- Harpsden (Oxon.), 491, 492  
 Harrold (Beds.), 549, 585  
 Harwell:  
     John of, 386  
     Maud, *see* Bolter  
     Reynold of, 749, 752  
     Sim. of, 386, 604  
 Haseley:  
     Alex. of, 262  
     Hen. of, 93, 262  
 Haseley (Oxon.), 451, 826, *and see*  
     Latchford  
 Haseley, Little (Oxon.), 262, 1249  
 Hastings:  
     Hen. and Ada his w., 226  
     Miles of, 934  
 Hattere:  
     Gilb., 1040  
     Rob. le, 733  
 Hautein [Hauteyn]:  
     Ric., 522  
     Rob., 133, 522  
 Hauvill, Ralph de, 1002  
 Haver, Sim. de, 934  
 Haverhill, Wm. of, 373  
 Haversham, Nic. of, 102, 201, 480,  
     615  
 Hay [Haya]:  
     Alexandra de, *see* Arsic  
     Julian de, 62, 302, 667, 668, 743  
     Rob. de la, 305  
     Thos. de, 234, 351, 763, 799,  
         1101  
     Wm., sheriff of Oxon., 759, 791,  
         801, 816, 823, 827, 830, 843,  
         849, 852, 862, 863, 868, 888,  
         889, 894, 936, 945, 958, 965,  
         978,  
         989, 1013, 1021, 1024, 1066,  
         1108, 1118, 1156, 1173, 1213,  
         1220,  
         1223, 1226, 1259, 1269, 1399  
 Hayles, Wm. s. of Rob. de, 702,  
     900, 1201, 1349  
 Headington, Nic. of, 514, 726  
 Headington (Oxon.), 981  
 Heath [Hethe]:  
     Muriel, *see* Bohun  
     Ralph of the, 578  
     Rog. de la, 272  
 Heath (Salop.), 364  
 Hegge, Wm. de, 821  
 Hella, Rob., 741  
 Helmingham (Suffolk), 498  
 Helpston, Wm. of, 31  
 Hemmington, Wal. de, 70, 421, 474  
 Hempnall, Wal. of, 9, 341  
 Hempton (in Fakenham, Norfolk),  
     priory, prior of, 232, 623  
 Hempton (in Deddington, Oxon.),  
     278, 395, 559, 1256  
 Hemsted, Sim. de, 376  
 Hendred:  
     John of, 237  
     Ric. of, 237, 414, 576  
     Rob. of, 477, 770, 792, 793,  
         1072, 1305  
 Hendred (Berks.), 793  
 Henley, John of, 768  
 Henley (Oxon.), 394, 782, 789, 792,  
     793, 1071, 1072, *and see*  
     'Essindene'  
 Henry, canon of Lilleshall, 310  
 Henry, stepson of Wm. Legwyn,  
     804  
 Henry:  
     Alan s. of, 399  
     Anastasia dau. of, 741  
     Hen. s. of, 732  
     Hugh s. of, 237  
     John s. of, 186, 593, 609  
     John s. of, and Cecily his w.,  
         724  
     John s. of, and Christine his w.,  
         751  
     Ric. s. of, 89, 1015  
     Steph. s. of, 34  
     Thos. s. of, 26, 715, 1423  
 Hensington, Eudes of, 288, 1261  
 Hensington (in Bladon, Oxon.),  
     288, 312, 853, 878, 1261  
 Henton (in Chinnor, Oxon.), 894,  
     895, 897  
 Herbert, servant of Hugh de Lers,  
     1041  
 Herbert:  
     Phil., 938  
     Roland s. of, 139, 647  
     Wm., 989, 1012, 1013, 1021,  
         1219  
 Herding, Ralph de, 249  
 Hereford, earl of, *see* Bohun,  
     Humph. de  
 Hereford, dean of, *see* Stephen  
 Hereward:  
     John, and Emma his w., 485  
     Peter, and Milicent his w., 910  
 Herlewin, Peter, 722  
 Hermetorp, Ivetta de, 200  
 Hert:  
     Wal., 992  
     Wm., 992

- Hertford Priory, prior of, 123, 706, 934  
 Heruy, Hugh, 813  
 Hervunt, Hervey, and Cecily his w., 243  
 Hessle, John of, 531  
 Hewisse:  
   Alice de, 63  
   Wm. de, 63  
 Heybridge:  
   Joan of, m. Rog. of Huntingfield, 444, 571  
   Maud of, 371, 444, 571  
   Wm. of, 444, 571  
 Heybridge (Essex), 444  
 Heyford:  
   Adam of, 533  
   Pagan of, 533  
 Heyford (Oxon.), 533, 576  
 Heyham, Rob. de, 1046  
 Heythrop (Oxon.), 471  
 Hide:  
   Gilb. de la, 278, 279, 534, 762, 884, 1176, 1256  
   John de, 913  
   Rog. de la, 237, 238, 396, 459, 460, 913  
 Higham (Northants.), 448, 1291  
 Hilber [Ylser], Wal., 763, 1095  
 Hildersham (Cambs.), 662  
 Hill:  
   Rob. 634  
   Geof. 565  
   Theodulf, 745, 757, 1390  
   Wm., 509, 1312  
 Hingan, Wm., 772  
 Hinksey, John of, 369, 774, 1266  
 Hinksey (Oxon. formerly Berks), 1265  
 Hinton, Hen. of, 548  
 Hoc, John le, 162  
 Hocheder, Alice widow of Sim., 215, 216, 221  
 Hocot, *see* Hotoft  
 Holbeach:  
   Joan widow of Lawrence of, 255, 325  
   Lambert of, 325  
 Holbeach (Lincs.), 325  
 Holcombe:  
   Ellis of, 129  
   Maud of, 282  
   Rob. of, 282, 420, 913  
 Holcombe (Oxon.), 821, 1115  
 Holcot, John of, 999  
 Holedan, Wm. de, 292  
 Holland (Lincs.), 1385  
 Holton, Mic. of, 1395  
 Holton (Oxon.), 977, 1396  
 Holy Land, 47, 130, 140, 170, 368, 475, 719  
 Holywell, Hen. of, 36  
 Honingham (Norfolk), 487  
 Hook Norton, Sweting of, 1021, 1224  
 Hook Norton (Oxon.), 1012, 1013, 1021, 1219  
 Hope, Isabel of, 45  
 Hordley, Thos. of, 737  
 Horiz, Adam de, 267, 482  
 Horley (Surrey), 362  
 Horlok:  
   Alan, and Margery his w., 714, 1372  
   Ellis, 736, 1434  
   Ric., 279  
 Horne (Rutland), 688  
 Hornton (Oxon.), 992  
 Horspath (Oxon.), 594, 983  
 Horton (Bucks.), 641  
 'Horton' (in Ploughley Hund., Oxon.), 800, 1087  
 Horton:  
   Adam of, 641  
   Wm. of, 213  
 Hose, Mat., 913  
 Hosee, Maud, 457, 515  
 Hospitallers, *see* Jerusalem  
 Hotoft [Hocot], Ric. de, 429, 1282  
 Hoton, Thos. of, and Mariota his w., 18  
 Houton, Andrew de, 874, 1160  
 Hugh, 43, 367, 682, 713  
 Hugh, brother of Godfrey, 1037  
 Hugh, husband of Maud le Talt, 717  
 Hugh, parson of Ketton, 374, 488  
 Hugh, reeve of Chipping Norton, 1270  
 Hugh, reeve of Shirburn, 812, 1103  
 Hugh, vicar of Ryton, 453  
 Hugh:  
   Adam s. of, 753  
   Alan s. of, 989  
   John s. of, 54  
   Nic. s. of, 991  
   Peter s. of, 858, 1149  
   Sim. s. of, 403  
   Warin s. of, 109  
   Wm. s. of, 209, 687  
   Wm. s. of, and Margery his w., 751



- Humbert, Hen., 938  
 Humphrey:  
     Beatrice widow of John, 146  
     Ralph s. of, 581  
     Rob. s. of, 53  
     Thos. s. of, 53  
     Wal., 764  
     Wm., 437, 498  
 Hunger, Wm., 842  
 Huniton, Wm. de, 113  
 Hunte:  
     Hen. le, 853  
     Rog. le, 403  
 Huntefeld, Ellis de, 419  
 Hunter:  
     Geoff., 272  
     John, 1026  
     Ric., 1026, 1231  
 Huntingdon, 656  
 Huntingfield, Rog. of, and Joan his  
     w., 444, 571  
 Hurir, John, 772  
 Hurstbourne:  
     Sarah, 94, 617  
     Wm. of, 93, 617, 961  
 Hurtle, Hugh, 132, 315, 442, 1264  
 Hurtot, Anselm, 1001  
 Huscop, Rog., 127  
 Huscarl, Richer, 217, 219  
 Hutte, Thos. de la, 279, 1258  
 Hydy, Rob. de, 246
- Ibstone, Rob. of, 704, 770  
 Iffley, Andrew of, 82, 177  
 Iffley (Oxon.), 637, 972  
 Ilmer, John of, and Ailine his w.,  
     298  
 Inge, Hen., 1265  
 Inyneton, Rob. de, 139  
 Ioth, John, 162  
 Ipsden (Oxon.), 217  
 Ipswich (Suffolk), 378  
 Irchester, Ric. of, 414  
 Ireby, Wm. of, 18  
 Ireis:  
     Martin le, and Joan his w., 552,  
     586  
     Nic. le, 39  
 Ireland:  
     John of, 1035  
     Thos. of, 1053, 1417  
 Isaac:  
     Geof. s. of, 287, 504  
     Reynold s. of, and Alice his w.,  
     504
- Isabel, 293, 471, 586, 663  
 Isabel, widow of Ric. s. of Martin,  
     634  
 Isabel, Thos. s. of, 275, 686  
 Isham, Hen. of, 414  
 Isle of Wight, countess of, *see*  
     Rivers  
 Islip (Oxon.), 238, 855, 1143  
 'Istede' (Suffolk), 376  
 Itune, Peter de, 237  
 Iukelett, John, 278  
 Iunsi, Rob., 770  
 Iuvenal, Floria, 193  
 Ives:  
     Sim. s. of, 97, 703  
     Wm. s. of Gilb. s. of, 448, 1291  
 Iwayn, Phil., 67, 701
- Jerusalem, 399  
 Jerusalem, Hospital of St. John of  
     in England, prior of, 47, 484,  
     664  
 John, abbot of Eynsham, 459  
 John, abbot of Oseney, 713  
 John, archdeacon of Leicester, 453  
 John, clerk of Taynton, 1006  
 John, packman of Warin  
     FitzGerald, 972  
 John, parson of Churchill, 113, 348  
 John (the Scot), earl of Chester,  
     412  
 John:  
     Adam s. of, and Gillian his wife,  
     436  
     Alice dau. of Rob. s. of, 303  
     Hen. s. of, 593  
     Hugh s. of, 57, 352  
     John s. of, 43, 285, 976  
     John s. of Rob. s. of, 303  
     Marg. dau. of Rob. s. of, 303  
     Martin s. of, 192  
     Nic. s. of, 285  
     Ric. s. of, 10, 213, 237, 369,  
     471, 494, 594, 983, 1026, 1265  
     Rob. s. of, 95, 116, 189, 212,  
     215, 303, 452  
     Rog. s. of, 202  
     Sim. s. of, 74, 365  
     Thos. s. of, 403  
     Wal. s. of, 526, 677  
     Wm. s. of, 160, 364  
 Joie, Wm., 432, 1285  
 Jordan:  
     Alan, 403  
     Nic. s. of, 65

- Rob. s. of, 222  
 Wal. s. of, 919  
 Wm. s. of, 792  
 Wm., 919  
 Joscelin, Wm., 826  
 Joseph, Rob., 403  
 Jukel, John, 778
- Kachelewe, John, 258, 388  
 Kachepappe, Miles, 821  
 Kalunce, Geof. le, 508  
 Kam, *see* Cadamo  
 Kangun, Rob., 963  
 Karbonel, Thos., 782  
 Karkewell, Geof. de, 1023  
 Karpy [Karni], John, 1021, 1224  
 Kayners, Luke de, 209  
 Kaynes, *see* Keynel  
 Kelmscott:  
   Alice of, 921  
   Ric. of, 921  
 Kelmscott (Oxon.), 921  
 Kemel:  
   Phil., 601  
   Ric., 1145  
 Kemesie:  
   Edmund de, 260, 317  
   Margery de, 260, 317  
 Kemp:  
   Thos., 825  
   Wm., 653  
 Kemsing, John of, 314  
 Ken, Hugh le, 921  
 Kencot, John of, 97  
 Kencot (Oxon.), 934  
 Kene, Ric., 520, 1322  
 Kenebell, Rob. de, 297  
 Kenninghall (Norfolk), 517  
 Kennington, Henry of, 98  
 Kent:  
   Benet of, and Isabel his wife,  
     352  
   Rob. of, 776  
 Kepeharm:  
   Hen., 733  
   John, 729  
 Kerde, Ralph, 907  
 Ketton, Rutland, 374, 488  
 Keynel [or Kaynes], Phil., 240, 769,  
   1081, 1242  
 Kibbel, Rog., 972  
 Kiddington, Francis of, 626, 1362  
 Kidlington, Rob. of, 713, 742  
 Kidlington (Oxon.), 850, 862, 863,  
   864, 866, 874, 1140, 1153
- 'Kilver' hund. (Dorset), 313  
 King:  
   Adam, 275, 686  
   Alice, 275  
   Edward, 361  
   John le, 768  
   Linota, 788  
   Rob., and his w. Rose, 788  
 Kingeshull, Tayllefer de, 297  
 'Kingeshull', abbot of, 679  
 Kingham:  
   Alice of, 794  
   Lucy of, 270  
   Wal. [Wm.] of, 1225  
 Kingham (Oxon.), 270, 1016, 1024  
 Kings Walden, Ric. of, 893  
 Kingsey (Bucks.), 363, 563, 888  
 Kingston:  
   John of, 715, 1427  
   Thos. of, 770, 897, 1195  
 Kingston Blount (Oxon.), 526, 1194  
 Kingswood (Gloucs.), abbey, abbot  
   of, 696  
 Kinson, Dorset, 361  
 Kipping, Nic., 311  
 Kirtlington, Ric. of, 94  
 Kirtlington (Oxon.), 465, 557, 801,  
   803, 1101, *and see* Grove  
 Ko, Muriel widow of Hugh, 655  
 Kuye [Kuigt], Nic., 629, 1363
- Lacock, Master Ralph of, 4  
 Lake, Ralph de la, 782  
 Lambord, Rob., 1037  
 Langdon, Hen. of, 142  
 Langetoft, Godfrey de, 709  
 Langford, Gillian of, 98, 703  
 Langford (Oxon.), 703, 934  
 Langford (Somerset), 587  
 Langhals:  
   Wm. and Agnes his w., 312, 322  
   Wm., 322  
 Langley:  
   Hen. of, 618  
   Marsilia of, *see* Charlbury  
   Thos. of, 125  
   Warin of, 157  
   Wm. of, 107, 618, 1020  
 Langton:  
   Hen. of, 292  
   Wal. of, 797  
 Langtree hund. (Oxon.), 771, 1200  
 Larder, Benet of the, 365  
 Latchford, Hen. of, 773, 1131

- Latchford (in Great Haseley, Oxon.), 550  
 Latton, Ralph of, 357  
 Laund:  
     Alice of, 450  
     Maud of, 450  
     Nic. of, and his w. Valentina, 450  
     Rob. of, 301  
 Laund priory (Leics.), prior of, 561  
 Laurence:  
     Hugh s. of, 413  
     Rob. s. of, 413  
 Lavendon, Bucks., 523  
 Laver, Magdalen (Essex), 326  
 Lavington, John of, 141  
 Leach:  
     Lettice of, 114, 253, 706  
     Wm. of 114, 253, 706, 774  
 Leafield, Harding of, 1022  
 Lecchebrock, John, 790  
 Leckford, Wm. of, and Maud his w., 545  
 Leckhampstead, Geof. of, 210  
 Ledbury:  
     Phil. of, 42  
     Rob. of, 41  
 Lee:  
     Alice dau. of Ric. de la, 366, 434  
     Christine dau. of Ric. de la, 366, 434  
     Emma de la, 434  
     Orange de la, 434  
     Ric. de la, 434  
 'Lee' (Bucks.), 646  
 Lefrich, John s. of, 12  
 Legard, Hugh, 1010  
 Legistre, Rob. le, 403  
 Legwyn, Wm., 804  
 'Leic', [?North Leigh] (Oxon.), 659  
 Leicester, archdeacon of, *see* John Leigh:  
     Peter of, 762, 884  
     Rob. of, 762, 1163  
     Wal. of, 850, 1139  
 Leigh, North (Oxon.), 839, 1137; *and see* 'Leic'  
 Leigh, South (Oxon.), 1159  
 Leighton, Phil. of and Emma his w., 51, 264, 340  
 Leighton Bromswold (Hunts.), Salome wood in, 358  
 Leiston, Wal. de, 498  
 Lekington, Ric. de, 679  
 Len, Ric. de, 939  
 Lenygive, Wm., 403  
 Lerston, Wal. de, 256  
 Lettice, Ralph s. of, 114, 706  
 Leuke, Wm., 999  
 Lew, Oxon., 931, 934  
 Lewe, Wm. de, and Cecily his w., 202  
 Lewes, Azo of, 918  
 Lewes priory (Sussex). prior of, 266, 327  
 Lewknor:  
     Geof. of, 961  
     Hen. of, 770  
     Ric. of, 625, 1361  
 Lewknor (Oxon.), 895, 897, 1189, *and see* Crowell  
     hund., 770, 1177  
 Lewyn, 740  
 Lewyn, Hen. s. of, 740  
 Lexington, Rob. de, 353, 410  
 Leybourne, Rog. of, 257, 427, 578, 653  
 Leyham, Mat., 54, 55  
 Lichfield, Master Wm. of, 758a, 1393  
 Lidstone (in Enstone, Oxon.), 583, 1007  
 Lightfoot [Lightly], Wm., 564  
 Lilleshall abbey (Shrops.), abbot of, 310  
 Lillingstone (Bucks. formerly Oxon.), 805, 806, 807  
 Limis, Nic. de, 386  
 Lincelee, Wal. de, 284  
 Lincheure, Hen. de, 764  
 Lincoln, Robert [Grosseteste] bp. of, 148, 787, 913, 948, 952, 957, 983, 1043, 1351  
 Lincoln, countess of, *see* Quincy  
 Lincoln, 410, 445  
 Lindon, Ric. de, 374, 488  
 Lindsey:  
     Christine, widow of David of, 193, 645  
     Gerard of, 187, 706  
     John of, 706  
 Linel, *see* Lunel  
 Lingen, John of, 43  
 Linguir, Hen. de, 303  
 Lisle:  
     Giles de, 429  
     Otnell de, 429  
     Rob. de, 429  
 Litlington (Cambs.), 444  
 Little Leach, Geof. of, 935  
 Littlemore priory (Oxon.), 969  
     prioress of, 293, 481, 586, 714

- Loddon:  
   Geof. of, 285  
   Wal. of, 29  
 Loddon (Norfolk), 285  
 Log, Laurence, bailiff of Oxf., 715,  
   1049, 1054, 1411, 1418  
 London:  
   Hen. of, 1044  
   Rog. of, 64  
 London, 505, 1030  
   St. Bartholomew's priory, prior  
   of, 332  
   St. Martin le Grand, dean and  
   chapter of, 239  
 Long:  
   Edith la, 601  
   Hugh le, 520, 572, 772, 1318,  
   1320, 1322  
   Steph., 227, 949  
   Thos., 725  
 Longborough, John of, 294, 397  
 Longborough (Gloucs.), 157  
 Longespee:  
   Steph., 505  
   Wm., 1365  
 Longueville priory (France), prior  
   of, 199, 210, 211  
 Lopham (Norfolk), 517  
 Loreng:  
   Peter, 563  
   Rob., 897, 1196  
 Lothenfeld:  
   Alice de, 937  
   Gillian de, 132, 442, 937  
   Rob. de, 442, 937  
 Lovel, Rob., 919  
 Luches, Rob. de, 766  
 Ludington, Hen. de, 1019  
 Ludlow, John of, 41  
 Ludwell:  
   Wal. of, 1146  
   Wm. of, 858  
 Lumms:  
   Agnes de, *see* Adderbury  
   Nic. de, 591  
 Luffield priory (Northants.), prior  
   of, 241  
 Lunel [Linell]:  
   John, 539, 1026, 1324  
   Wal., 970  
 Lunesye, Christine widow of David,  
   185  
 Lunet:  
   Alice, 624  
   Wal., 261a, 624, 1248, 1360  
 Luton (Beds.), 235, 689  
 Lydford, John of, 252, 339  
 Lyneham, Wm. of, 843  
 Lyneham (in Milton under  
   Wychwood, Oxon.), 458, 1011  
 'Lyninge' (Suffolk), 317  
 Mace:  
   Jas., 606  
   Ric., 459  
 Maidwell:  
   Agnes of, *see* Chesney  
   Alan of, 297, 414, 548  
 Makeblithe:  
   Gilb., 946  
   Rob., 776  
   Rog., 776  
 Makeneye, Wm. de, 771  
 Malesmayns:  
   Beatrice widow of Nic., 47, 64,  
   250, 360, 361, 362  
   Ela, 360  
   Joan, 360  
   Peter de, and Margery his w.,  
   393  
   Rob., 362  
   Rose, 360  
 Malesoures [Malheure]:  
   John, 227, 414  
   Lettice, 414  
 Malet, Maud, 38  
 Malham, Gilb. of, 170  
 Malheure, *see* Malesoures  
 Mandeville:  
   Geof. de, earl of Essex, 544, 571  
   Wm. de, 1026  
 Mansel:  
   Ellis, 622, 699  
   Hen., and Joan his w., 197  
   John, 544  
   Ric., 622, 769, 787, 1076  
   Thos., 563  
 Mapledurham:  
   Aluric [Alured] of, 466, 1299  
   Rob. of, 366, 417, 532, 630, 697,  
   771, 1276, 1289, 1342  
 Mapledurham (Oxon.), 240, 434,  
   446, 466-7, 787, 791, 1069, 1289  
 Mar, John de, 1026  
 Mara, *see* Hara  
 Marchamley, Ralph of, 352, 431  
 Marcle:  
   Reynold of, 45  
   Ric. of, 46  
 Mardick, Maud widow of Wm. de,  
   190, 191

- Mare:  
   Hen. de, 395, 573, 1025  
   John de la, 526  
   Ric. de la, 526  
   Thos. de, 71  
 Mareis, Rob. de, 657  
 Marewin, John de, 408  
 Margaret, 303  
 Margery, widow of Gilb., 385  
 Mariner:  
   Sewal le, 317  
   Thos. le, 317  
 Marlow priory (Bucks.), prioress of,  
   150  
 Marmers, Alice widow of Hugh de,  
   6  
 Marmion:  
   Clemence, 524  
   Geof., Oxon. coroner, 524, 760  
 Marners, Thos. de, 326  
 Marsh:  
   Geof., 940  
   Hen., 190  
   Rob., 8, 9, 10, 36, 774  
   Rog., and Marg. his w., 301, 638  
   Wm. and Gillian his w., 301, 638  
   Wm. and Sybil his w., 58  
 Marshal:  
   Cecily, 1  
   Gervase, and Damette his w.,  
   671  
   Gilb., earl of Pembroke and earl  
   marshal, 1, 12, 710, 790  
   John, 61, 149, 721, 1368  
 Marston, Mat. of, 54  
 Martel:  
   Geof., 237  
   Wm., 55  
 Martin:  
   Hen., 278, 1254  
   John, 762, 1169  
   Ric. s. of, 634  
   Thos., 993  
   Walter, 919  
   Wm. s. of, 38  
 Marty, Wm. de, 326  
 Masceres, Hen. le, 777  
 Masey, Wm. de, 274  
 Mason:  
   Master Rog. le, 753  
   Thos. le, 1142  
 Matthew, archdeacon of  
   Buckingham, 75  
 Matthew, John s. of, 1040  
 Mauclerk, Ric., 4  
 Maud, 822, 824  
 Maud, sister of Rose King, 788  
 Maud, w. of Eustace, 852  
 Maud, widow of Hugh s. of  
   Laurence, 413  
 Maud:  
   John s. of, 639, 1335  
   Ric. s. of, 850, 1139  
 Mauduit:  
   Joan, 517, 518  
   Ric., 1315  
   Wm., 334, 345, 517, 518, 1315  
 Mauger, Sim., 942, 944  
 Maune:  
   Christine de, 35, 36, 341  
   Peter de, 8, 34, 35, 36, 341  
 Maurice, Hen. s. of, 925  
 May:  
   Ric. le, 777  
   Rob. le, 875, 1142  
   Rog. le, 1142  
 Maynard, Rog., 826  
 Mazelme, John s. of, 755  
 Medere, Alice la, 733  
 Medicus:  
   Hen., 776  
   John, 419, 1357  
 Meger, Geof. le, 464  
 Megre, Wm. le, 234, 866, 1154  
 Meibay, Rob. de, 56  
 Melchbourne (Beds.), 413  
 Mendre, Reynold de and Isolde his  
   w., 233, 246  
 Menerel, Nic., 220, 425  
 Mentemare, John de, and Gillian  
   his w., 31  
 Mercer:  
   Adam le, 988  
   Alice la, 734, 742  
   Rob. le, 731  
   Steph. le, 942  
 Merchant:  
   Adam, 403  
   Thos. le, and Maud his w., 541,  
   570  
 Meresley, May de, 179  
 Merk, Aveline widow of Fulk, 154  
 Merton, Nic. of, 1043  
 Messer:  
   Rog. le, 111  
   Wm. le, 844  
 Mestre, Walter le, 942  
 Meysy, Rob. de, 450  
 Michael, Hugh s. of, 897, 1198  
 Middleton:  
   Alan of, 768  
   Geof., of, 620

- John of, 827  
 Ric. of, 763, 1092  
 Rob. of, 620  
 Sim. of, 763, 1093  
 Middleton [? Middleton Stoney]  
   (Oxon.), 388, 1268  
 Middleton (? Oxon.), 827  
 Middleton (Lancs.), 620  
 'Mikelington' (Essex), 444  
 Milcombe, Wm., 766  
 Mildenhall, Ralph of, 575  
 Mileham (Norfolk), 623  
 Miles:  
   Adam s. of [Adam of Burcot],  
     599, 1352  
   Rog. s. of, 736, 1431  
 Milicent, John s. of, 275, 686  
 Mill:  
   John of the, and Cecily his w.,  
     346, 551, 1325  
   Ric. of, 983  
 Miller:  
   Agnes, 998  
   Alice widow of Rog., 409  
   Eudes, 1007, 1217  
   Gilb., 529  
   Hen., 758b, 999, 1394  
   Hugh, 147, 674  
   John, 769, 853, 878, 1083, 1141,  
     1395  
   Mat., 895, 1188  
   Nic., 878, 997  
   Osanna, 529  
   Peter, and Emma his w., 366,  
     434  
   Phil., 732, 1048  
   Ric., 878, 998  
   Rob., and Blanche his w., 857  
   Rog., 352  
   Wal., 454, 635, 529, 532, 1295,  
     1324  
   Wm., 81, 529, 635, 736, 1044  
 Milton (in Adderbury, Oxon.), 388,  
   997  
 Milton, Great or Little (Oxon.),  
   825, 964  
 Milton Bryant (Beds.), 291  
 Milton-under-Wychwood (Oxon.),  
   1019, *and see* Lyneham  
 Mimekin, Phil., 981  
 Minster Lovell (Oxon.), 919  
 Mire:  
   John le, 297, 443, 512, 534, 609,  
     610, 513, 639, 884, 1026, 1176,  
     1357  
   Maud le, 609  
   Ric. de, 897  
 Mirimuth, Ric., 923  
 Missenden:  
   Ralph of, 106  
   Rog. of, 297, 414  
 Missenden (Bucks.), 544  
   abbey, abbot of, 296, 298, 363,  
     435, 614, 805  
 Mock:  
   John, 1047  
   Rog., 1040, 1047  
 Moels:  
   Jas. de, 257  
   Nic. de, 874, 880, 897  
 Mohun:  
   Rog. de, 27  
   Wm. de, 90, 634, 707, 861, 874  
 Molesey, Wm. of, 128  
 Molesworth, Rob. of, 185  
 Mollington (Oxon.), 388  
 Mongewell, Nic. of, 771  
 Mongewell (Oxon.), 464, 509, 901,  
   1202, 1203  
 Monk:  
   Alice, 103, 643  
   Walter, 103, 643  
   Wm., 419, 643, 767  
 Monkton up Wimborne (Dorset.),  
   361  
 Monmouth, Thos. of, 73  
 Montagu, Adam, 281  
 Moreton:  
   Alice of, 827  
   Wm. of, 297  
 Moreton (Oxon. formerly Berks.),  
   904, 1037  
   parson of, *see* Basset, Rob.  
 Moreton, South (Oxon. formerly  
   Berks.), 974  
 Morin:  
   Margery, 188, 426  
   Ralph, 549, 585  
   Wm., 188, 426, 459, 771, 834,  
     1123  
 Morley, Miles de, 897, 1192  
 Morteyn, Wm. de, 983  
 Mortimer:  
   Alice widow of Waleran de, 153  
   Hen. de, and Eve his w., 41  
   Isabel de, 22, 513  
   Ralph de, 41, 371  
   Rog. de, 2  
   Wladusa de, 41  
 Morton:  
   Reynold of, 352  
   Ric. of, 762

- Wm. of, 352, 431
- Morton (Lincs.), 62
- Muleton, Thos. de, 325
- Munchensy, Warin de, 692
- Munserd, John, 771
- Murdac:
  - Beatrice, 104, 367, 506, 642, 695, 930
  - Ralph, 367, 428
- Muriwed, John, 776
- Mut:
  - John, 885, 1178
  - Nic., 885, 1178
- Muyweder, Rob., 564
- Myse, Hen., 999
  
- Nafford:
  - Hen. de, 562, 563
  - Maud de, 563
- Napper, Thos. le, 811, 815
- Necton:
  - Hen. of, 6, 334
  - Joan of, 6
- Nel, Rob., 834
- Nethel, John, 134
- Nethercote, Rob. of, 1142
- Neville, Nic. de, 789
- Newington:
  - Osbert [Robert] of, 879, 1172
  - Richequena of, 406
- Newington, North (Oxon.), 999
- Newington, South (Oxon.), 406, 879, 1170, 1172
- Newman, Ric. le, 27
- Newnham, Ric. of, 199, 211
- Newnham Murren (Oxon.), 509, 542
- Newport Pagnel (Bucks.), 556, 672, 674
- Newton:
  - Ric. of, 299
  - Rob. of, 476
  - Steph. of, 448, 1291
- Newton (Norfolk), 283
- Newton Purcel (Oxon.), 794
- Neyrnuyt:
  - Miles, 297, 897, 1193
  - Ric., 237
  - Wm., 215, 220, 222, 425
- Nicholas, abbot of Eynsham, 459
- Nicholas:
  - Gregory s. of, 92, 198
  - John s. of, 1016
  - Mic. s. of, and Catherine his w., 292
  - Ralph s. of, 292, 323
  - Ralph s. of Ralph s. of, and Alice his w., 80
  - Thos. s. of, 4
- Nichols, Nic., 218
- Nipred:
  - Agnes de, 547
  - Beatrice de, 547
- Noble:
  - Rob. le, 448
  - Rog. le, 780
  - Wm., 764
- Nocce, Wm. le, 837
- Noke:
  - Ric. of, 661
  - Wm. of, 661
- Norman, Bigota widow of Rob., 496
- Normanvill:
  - Alice widow of Ralph de, 546, 688
  - Ralph de, 546, 688
- Norreis, Wm. le, 702, 1350
- North, John de, and Elviva his w., 296, 363
- Northampton:
  - Isabel widow of Vincent of, 665
  - Reynold of, 869, 1157
- North Leigh, Ric. of, and Marg. his w., 705
- Northmoor:
  - Adam of, 419
  - Paulinus of, 570
  - Steph. of, 419, 884
- Northmoor (Oxon.), 1008, 1009, 1218
- Norton:
  - Rob. of, and Mary his w., 232, 623
  - Rog. of, 639, 1335
- Norton, Brize (Oxon.), 499, 519, 924, *and see* Haddon
- Norton, Chipping (Oxon.), 392, 401, 546, 639, 682, 776, 1000, 1270, 1274, 1335
- bailiff of, *see* Ric., Hugh s. of
- Norton, Cold (Oxon.), priory of, 495
- Norton, Over (Oxon.), 567, 592
- Norwich:
  - Ralph of, 336, 337
  - Ric. [Wm.] of, 730, 1382, 1397
  - Rob. of, and Alice his w., 1046
- Norwich, 671, 684, 709
- bp. of, *see* Raleigh, Wm. de,
- Norwick, Sim. de, 523

- Notley (Berks.), abbey, abbot of, 815  
 Notte, Rob. le, 156, 321  
 Nowers:  
   Aumary de, 209  
   Isabel de, 449, 473  
   Nic. de, Oxon. coroner, 113, 384, 414, 760  
   Wm. de, 122, 449, 473  
 Noyt, Rog., 731, 744  
 Noyon priory (France), prior of, 69, 386, *and see* Peter; William  
 Nuncius:  
   Ellis, 1  
   Rog., 42  
 Nuneham:  
   Hugh of, 188  
   Wm. of, 1284, 1285  
 Nuneham Courtney (Oxon.), 1123  
 Nunney, Wm. de, 164  
 Nuthampstead (Herts.), 571  
  
 Oddingeseles, Gerard de, 114, 253, 289, 532, 645, 706, 934, 1323  
 Oddington, Sim. of, 43  
 Odo, monk of 'Kingseshull', 679  
 Odo:  
   Ric. s. of, 854, 855  
   Walter s. of, 403  
 Offley (Herts.), 689  
 Offord Darcy (Hunts.), 606  
 Oisilur, Modgive widow of Hugh le, 164  
 Oldfield, Geof. of, 611  
 Oliver, Peter s. of, 419, 773, 1124  
 Orchard, Jas. of, 476  
 Orchard (Som.), 476  
 Orre, John de, 595  
 Osbert:  
   John s. of, 21, 410, 445  
   Peter s. of, 1396  
   Ric. s. of, 996  
 Oseney, Walter of, 77, 758c  
 Oseney abbey (Oxon.), abbot of, 76, 77, 344, 422, 423, 470, 589, 673, 720, 742; *and see* John  
 Osger, Peter s. of, 240, 1244  
 Ostricer, Nic. le, 513  
 Overbrook, Rob., 1021, 1224  
 Owen, Rob. s. of Rob., 972  
 Orford (Suffolk), 283  
 Oxford:  
   Alice of, 1036  
   Eve of, 717  
   Idony of, 717  
   Richolda of, 717  
   Warner of, 942  
 Oxford, countess of, *see* Bollebec  
 Oxford, 712-58, 834, 840, 938, 965, 1027-61, 1116, 1122, 1371  
   aldermanry in, 1031  
   bailiffs of, 742, 1030, 1038, 1041, 1045; *and see* Blund, Sim. s. of; Clerk, Wm.; Cruste, Adam; Fane, Hugh; Goldsmith, Wal.; Log, Laur. castle, 827; *and see* Oxf. gaol dean of, 957  
   Dominican friary (Friars Preacher), prior of, 732  
   gaol, 958, 1029; *and see* Oxf. castle  
   Holywell (or St. Cross), 973, 1039  
   Hosp. of St. Barth., 700  
   Hosp. of St. John outside the East Gate, brothers of, 1030  
     master of, 529; *and see* Robert hundred outside the north gate of, bailiff of, *see* Sauser, Wm.  
   St. Clement's ch., 975  
   St. Clement's par. outside the borough, 538  
   St. Cross par. *see* Oxf., Holywell  
   St. Ebbe's ch., 731  
   St. Frideswide's ch., 1042  
   St. Frideswide's priory, prior of, 224, 575, 577, 616, 716, 718, 725, 727, 865, 1374, 1376; *and see* Ellis  
   St. Giles's ch., 1057, 1059, 1060  
   St. Giles's par., 1003  
   St. John's ch., 1037  
   St. Mary's ch., 1034, 1035  
   St. Mary's par., 712  
   St. Mary Magdalen's ch., 1056  
   St. Peter-in-the-East ch., 851, 1027  
 Oxford university, scholar of, *see* Cornwall, Master Vincent  
 Ozleworth, Nigel of, 679  
 Ozleworth (Glos.), 679  
 Oxfordshire, sheriffs of, *see* Crowcombe; Hay, Wm.; Peyvre; Siward, Ric.; Tew, John of  
  
 Pachet, Geof., 903  
 Padenhale, Rob. de, 477  
 Padhull, *see* Badhal  
 Pady, Phil., 972



Pagan, Wal. s. of, 766

Page:

Ric., 43

Rob., 924

Thos. le, 721

Wm., 195

Pagham, Thos. of, 63

Pagsfolt, Ric., 357

Pain:

Alice widow of Wal., 131, 622

Peter, 131

Walter s. of, 704, 766

Wm., 131, 324, 622

Pais, Wm., 403

Pallard, Rog., 183

Palmer:

John le, 940, 991

Martin le, 567, 1328

Nic. le, 1051, 1415

Ralph le, 825

Steph. le, 969

Wm., 283

Panksworth (Norfolk), 283

Panye, John s. of, 854

Papa, Walter, 776

Pape, Emelota la, 834

Pare, John, 989

Parker:

Godfrey le, 139

Ric., 858, 1021, 1150, 1224

Parmenter, Martin le, 781

Pas, Walter, 1042

Passelewe:

John, 507

Lucy, 228, 507

Ralph, 228, 507

Rob., 263

Passur, Adam le, and Maud his w.,  
368

Paterhull, Hervey de, 887

Patericus, Wm., 774

Pattishall:

Hugh, bp. of Coventry, 910

Saer of, 463

Paulinus, the king's caterer, 871,  
873

Pavi, Edith, 497

Paxton, John of, 743

Paxton (Hunts.), parson of, *see*

Bath, Master Reynold of

Peche, Hamon, 170, 489

Pecok, Wm., and Gunnild his w.,  
342

Pede, Rog., 768

Pegsdon (Bucks.), 563

Peignant, Wm. le, 344

Pek, Geof. de, 82, 418, 611, 612

Pele, Hugh le, 289

Petterer, Alex. the, 965

Pembroke, earl of, *see* Marshall,  
Gilb.

Penles, Maud de, 207, 208

Penraden, Hen. de, 1003

Peper, Wm., 133

Pepercot, John, 934

Percehaye, Ralph, 1021, 1224

Perepunt, Rob., 297

Perle [Pole], Wm., 715, 834, 1122,  
1428

Persham, Rob. de, 106

Pese, Peter, 924

Pete, Rob., 78

Peter, prior of Noyon, 652

Peter:

Fulk s. of, 862

Rayner s. of, 684

Reynold s. of, 1026

Rob. s. of, and Meliora his w.,  
641

Thos. s. of, 879, 1172, 1173

Wm. s. of, 659, 762, 768, 970,  
1165

Petit:

Adam, 1340

Rob., 686, 764, 1340

Pettrum, Hugh, 221

Peverford, Hugh de, 280

Pewton, John de, 874

Peyngnant, Hen., 870, 1158

Peyteum, Ric. le, 260

Peyvre, Paulinus, sheriff of Oxon.,  
203, 309, 759, 897, 927, 987

Philibert, Hugh de, 237

Philip:

Geof. s. of, 85, 793, 1072

Oliver s. of, and Sarah his w.,  
181

Rob. s. of, 16, 525

Pichard, Miles, 272

Pichokorum, Letice widow of John,  
174, 175

Piclesthorn:

Gregory de, 1054, 1418

Ralph de, 805, 1090

Piddington (Oxon.), 984

Pigacce:

Hen., 850, 864, 1140

John [or Nic.], 80

Pilet, John, 753

Pille, John, 715, 1425

'Pillesworth' (Lancs.), 620

Pilling, Walter, 279

- Pinkeney:  
 Hen. de, 185, 289, 532  
 Wm. de, 12
- Pipard:  
 Hen., 80, 443, 519, 550, 884,  
 1176  
 Wm., 136, 646
- Piper:  
 Ric. le, 602, 694, 1343  
 Wm. le, 959
- Pirot:  
 Joan, w. of Ric. de Attenestan,  
 330  
 Ralph, 330
- Pishill (Oxon.), 811
- Pitchcott, Margery of, 719
- Pitman, Alex., 403
- Planat, John de, 22
- Platon, Wm., 403
- Plescy:  
 Gillian, 596  
 John de, 360, 362, 482, 596, 855
- Plot. Hen., 112
- Ploughley, Hugh of, 897
- Ploughley hund. (Oxon.), 763
- Ploughman:  
 Hugh, 896  
 Ric., 154
- Pluggy, Wm., 904
- Plumer:  
 Ric. le, 119  
 Walter le, 774
- Poer:  
 Edith le, 736  
 John le, 763, 1097  
 Ralph le, 903  
 Wal. le, 736, 1386
- Poinz, Nic. de, 142, 313
- Poitevin, *see* Angevin
- Pole, Ralph de la, 704, 770
- Ponne:  
 Basilia de la, 328, 676  
 Margery de la, 678
- Pont de l'arches, Hen. de, 371
- Ponte:  
 Pontius de, 851, 1027  
 Sim. de, 384  
 Wm. de, 384
- Poore, Herb., bp. of Salisbury, 310
- Pophley (in Stokenchurch, Bucks.),  
 885, 1178
- Port, Wm. de, 417, 764, 1275
- Potekin, Jas., 532
- Potter, Ralph le, 316
- Potubi, Wm. de, 374
- Poulterer, Colman the, 1052, 1416
- Poulton (in Mildenhall, Wilts.), 310
- Prat:  
 John, 994  
 Rob., 858, 1145, 1146  
 Wm., 713
- Prescote:  
 Ric. of, 304, 802  
 Master Walter of, 99, 280  
 Wm. of, 282
- Preston, Gilb. of, 691
- Priest:  
 Hugh the, 876  
 Mabel widow of Wm., 212  
 Ralph the, 968  
 Ric. the, 398, 837
- Presteign (Herefs.), 272
- Prittlewell priory (Essex), prior of,  
 52
- Prudhomme:  
 Emma, 86  
 Rob., 86
- Puck:  
 Avice, widow of Jordan, 608  
 Ric., 608, 920  
 Rob., 608
- Pudding, Wm., 858, 1147, 1150
- Pudlicote (in Shorthampton,  
 Oxon.), 539
- Pugeys, Imbert, 933
- Puignant, Wm., 76, 422, 423, 470,  
 1278
- Pulein, Wm., 430, 1283
- Pultne, Rob. de, 110, 411
- Punchard [Punzard]:  
 Fray, 573, 584, 1362  
 John, 389, 573, 1336  
 Peter, 584
- Punt, Walter, 378
- Punter, Hen. [Wal.] le, 800, 1087
- Purcel:  
 Eustace, 429  
 Rob., 419, 429, 534, 632, 682,  
 763, 1091  
 Rob. s. of Rob., 429
- Purton, Agnes of, 141, 189, 708
- Putte, la (in Clanfield, Oxon.), 919,  
 934
- Pyhecot:  
 Walter, 954  
 Wm., 954
- Pycheltorp, Peter de, 337
- Pyefot [Duford], Hen., 30
- Pynne, John, 1009, 1218
- Pynnock, Wal., 1024, 1226
- Pyrch, Herb., 987
- 'Pyricroft' (Bucks.), 609

- Pyrton:  
 Aylwine of, 810  
 Maud of, 810  
 Rob. of, 307, 438, 625, 636, 687,  
 772, 1332, 1333  
 Pyrton (Oxon.), 261, 530, 810  
 hund., 772  
 Pyrun:  
 Hugh, 1025, 1227  
 John, 100  
 Ric. de, 815  
 Wm., 1025, 1227
- Quappelade, Ralph, 255, 325  
 Quarere, Rob. de la, 765  
 Quatermain:  
 John, 773, 1128  
 Ralph, 956, 1212  
 Wm., 115, 116, 419, 663  
 Quelter, Geof. le, 419  
 Quicksilver, Wm., 187  
 Quincey;  
 Hawise de, countess of Lincoln,  
 230  
 Rob. and Helen his w., 290  
 Rog. de, earl of Winchester, 277,  
 456, 606, 891, 897  
 Quintin, Wm. and Edith his w.,  
 146, 547
- Race, Rob., 520, 773, 1133  
 Radclive, Geof. of, 198  
 Radclive (Bucks.), 198  
 Rainier, Hugh s. of, 153  
 Ralegh, Wm., bp. of Norwich, 353,  
 429  
 Ralph, 453, 748  
 Ralph of the brewhouse, 575  
 Ralph, canon of Clattercote, 258  
 Ralph the chaplain, 250  
 Ralph, chaplain of Burford, 928  
 Ralph the clerk, 43  
 Ralph, parson of Monkton Up  
 Wimborne, 361  
 Ralph, reeve of Crowmarsh, 904,  
 1206  
 Ralph, reeve of Morton, 621  
 Ralph, s. of Austin chaplain of  
 Taynton, 1006  
 Ralph:  
 Azo s. of, 953  
 Christine dau. of, 67, 701  
 Ellis s. of, 448  
 John s. of, 208, 813  
 Laurence s. of, 715, 1422  
 Ric. s. of, 369  
 Rob. s. of, 71, 414, 520, 593,  
 595, 602, 694, 706, 875, 1344;  
*and see* Waleis  
 Wm. s. of, 14, 17, 179  
 Ramsden, Thos. of, 12, 326, 350  
 Ramsey, Peter of, 433  
 Ramsey (Essex), 433  
 Randendinur, Wm. de, 766  
 Rane, Ric. 143  
 Ranleye, Wm. de, 805  
 Rannulf, bro. of Thos. the  
 chaplain, 42  
 Rannulf, parson of Finmere, 387,  
 809  
 Rannulf:  
 Erneis s. of, and Maud his w.,  
 493  
 Hugh s. of, 85  
 Ranworth (Norfolk), 283  
 Rauceby (Lincs.), 688  
 Rauf, Nic., 919  
 Rayner, Phil. s. of, 553  
 Reading abbey (Berks.), abbot of,  
 23, 156, 180, 192  
 Red:  
 Ralph, 693  
 Simon, 693  
 'Redesham' (Norfolk or Suffolk),  
 403  
 Reeve:  
 Gilb. the, 916  
 Peter the, 354  
 Ralph the, and Sabine his w.,  
 14, 15, 16, 17  
 Rog. the, 532, 1322  
 Wm., 923  
 Wm. s. of, 1021, 1224  
 Reigate, Master John of, 1043  
 Renger, John, 334, 345  
 Renham, Rob. de, 657  
 Rennes:  
 Alex. de, 338  
 Rob. de, and Sarah his w., 338  
 Reppinges, Inetta de, 621  
 Restwald, Ralph s. of Ric., 773,  
 1132  
 Reynold, servant of Hugh of Tew,  
 875, 876, 877  
 Reynold:  
 Hen. s. of, 812, 1102  
 Ralph s. of, 961  
 Wm. s. of, 56, 57  
 Rhys, Pagan s. of 272  
 Richard I, 831

- Richard, earl of Cornwall, 461, 932, 976, 984, 1026  
 Richard, 682, 911  
 Richard, father of Wm. de Marty, 326  
 Richard, hayward of Maud de Gurney, 787  
 Richard, monk of Croxton Kerriot, 372  
 Richard, reeve of Glympton, 1152  
 Richard:  
   Alice dau. of, *see* Lee  
   Bartholomew s. of, 768  
   Christine dau. of, *see* Lee  
   Erneis s. of, 494  
   Hen. s. of, 689, 879  
   Hugh s. of, 776, 827, 949  
   John s. of, 689  
   Jordan s. of, 369  
   Osbert s. of and Emma his w., 566  
   Peter s. of, 200, 621  
   Ralph s. of, 38, 82, 248, 885, 1007, 1217  
   Ralph s. of, and Joan his w., 251, 484, 1304  
   Ralph s. of, and Maud his w. 527  
   Ric. s. of, 44, 689  
   Rob. s. of, 21, 24, 412  
   Rog. s. of, 354, 634, 805  
   Sim. s. of, 381  
   Steph. s. of, 130, 248, 719  
   Thos. s. of, 84, 689  
   Wal. s. of, 49, 114  
   Wm. s. of, 61, 843  
 Richedyt, Wm. and Agnes his w., 599  
 Richerus, Wm. s. of, and Emma his w., 716, 1374  
 Richmond (Yorks.), 325  
 Richolda, Denise dau. of, 430  
 Riding, Rog. at le, 785, 1068  
 Rigold:  
   Hugh, 940  
   Nic., 940  
 Ringshall, Brian of, 165, 498  
 Ripton:  
   Ric. of, 521  
   Wm. of, 521  
 Ripton, Abbots (Hunts.), 521  
 Risby, Thos. of, 17  
 Riston, Wm. [Rob.] de, 694, 1344  
 Rivere, Agnes widow of Gerald de, 311  
 Rivers, Margery de, countess of the Isle of Wight, 83, 533, 907  
 Robechild, John, 482  
 Robert, 635, 683, 859  
 Robert, lay brother of Studley priory, 243  
 Robert, master (rector) of the hospital of St. John outside the east gate of Oxford, 731, 744  
 Robert, and Rose his w., 740  
 Robert:  
   Amaury s. of, 897  
   Guy s. of, 520, 1317  
   Hen. s. of, 75, 726, 757, 825, 1116, 1379  
   Hugh s. of, 908  
   John s. of 159, 303, 564  
   Macei s. of, and Agnes his w., 724, 1376  
   Nic. s. of, 161, 490  
   Nic. s. of and Gunnild his w., 569  
   Peter s. of, 171  
   Phil. s. of, 42  
   Ralph s. of, 123  
   Ric. s. of, 156, 353, 401  
   Steph. s. of, 726, 1379  
   Thos. s. of, 127, 413, 683, 830, 874  
   Wm. s. of, 252, 341, 401, 570, 590, 881, 924, 1267, 1274  
 Roch, Rob., 1283  
 Rocheford:  
   Ralph de, 405  
   Thos. de, 679  
 Roches, Hen. de, 364  
 Rocomago, Ric. de, 328  
 Roda, John de, 202  
 Roffa, Wm. de, 55  
 Roger, 825, 877  
 Roger, Master, precentor of Salisbury, 265  
 Roger:  
   Adam s. of, 117, 919  
   Emma, dau. of, 630  
   Geof. s. of, 961  
   Hugh s. of and Sarah his w., 663, 664  
   John s. of, 1009, 1218  
   Nic. s. of, 152, 825, 979  
   Nic. s. of and Gwenllian his w., 143, 295, 461  
   Peter s. of 364  
   Ralph s. of 12, 501, 913  
   Ric. s. of, 877

- Rog. s. of, 403  
 Thos. s. of, 627  
 Wal. s. of, 376  
 Wm. s. of, 56, 101, 254, 644, 916  
 Rokeby, Thos. of, 111  
 Rokele, Ric. de, 773, 1129  
 Rokella, Rose de la, 548  
 Roker, *see*, Corok  
 'Rokesham' (Lincs.), 688  
 Rol:  
     Miles, 784, 1066  
     Wm., 784, 1066  
 Roland, Ellis, 677  
 Rollright (Oxon.), 629, 1012, 1018, 1230  
 Romanus, Master John, subdean of York, 531, 831  
 Romford, Maurice of, 191  
 Ronbure, Gilb. de, 766  
 Roper, Wm. le, 1061, 1422  
 Roras, John, 684  
 Rotherfield Greys (Oxon.), 601  
 Rousham, Wal. of, 308  
 Rousham (Oxon.), 419, 596, 869  
 Rowhedge (Essex), 379  
 'Rudige', la (Bucks.), 646  
 Rufus [Rus]:  
     Gilb. le and Alice his w., 381  
     Hen. 24, 259, 1037  
     John 884, 1368, 1385  
     Jordan and Christine his w., 575  
     Laurence, 715, 1425  
     Peter, 859, 1021, 1223, 1224  
     Ric., 859  
     Ric. and Amabel his w., 230  
     Ric. and Margery his w., 404  
     Rob., 758a, 1394  
     Zachary, 859  
 Rumilly:  
     Alma de, 539, 1325, 1326  
     Rog. de, 516  
 Rummency, Alan de, 399  
 Runcy:  
     Roger, 493, 762, 1142, 1171, 1306  
     Stephen, 493, 1307  
 Ruppella, Rose de, 962  
 Rus, *see* Rufus  
 Rushmere (Suffolk), 498  
 Russel, Wm., 858, 1145  
 Russi, John, 736  
 Ruter, Mic., 18  
 Ruthier, Ric. le, 1029  
 Ruylli, Hubert de, 433  
 Ryburgh, Agnes of, 14  
 Rycote (in Great Haseley, Oxon.), 773, 823, 285, 825, 1127  
 Rydel, Maurice, 13  
 'Ryton' (Leics.), 453  
 Sacy, Emery de, 138, 242  
 Sage:  
     Andrew le, 765  
     Hugh le, 897  
     Wal. le, 70  
 St. Alban's abbey (Herts.), abbot of, 565  
 St. Amand, Amaury of, 475  
 St. Benet Holm abbey (Norfolk), abbot of, 133  
 St. Briavels, Ralph of, 39  
 St. Clare, Rob. of, 711  
 St. Cross, John of, 712  
 St. Edmund, *see* Bury St. Edmunds  
 St. Edwards:  
     Adam of, 758, 1391  
     Thos. of, 758, 1391  
 St. Faith, Hamo of, 419, 763, 1096  
 St. Frideswide, Ralph of, 758, 1391, 1392  
 St. German, Wm. of, 25  
 St. Helen, Wm. of, 913  
 St. Leger, Wm. of, 71, 235, 689  
 St. Martins:  
     Adam of, 155  
     Geof. of, 151  
     Hugh of, 241, 807  
 St. Medard, John of, 523  
 St. Neots priory (Hunts.), prior of, 302, 743  
 St. Owen:  
     Christine of, 11, 319, 320  
     Thos. of, 319, 320  
     Wm. of, 884  
 St. Paul, Hen. of, 875  
 St. Peter, Seisillus of, 250  
 St. Vedast, Hugh of 339  
 Salisbury, Eleanor countess of, 1002  
 Salisbury, bishop of, 790; *and see* Poore, Herb.  
     precentor of, *see* Roger  
 Salle, Ralph de and Alice his w., 730, 746  
 Salome, Wm. of, 358  
 Salome Wood (in Leighton Bromswold, Hunts.), 358  
 Sancredson, Osbert de, 297  
 Sandford:  
     Mabel of, 368  
     Ralph of, 419

- Rob. of, 368, 766  
 Thos. of, 983  
 Sandford-on-Thames (Oxon.), 368  
 Sandy (Beds.), 490  
 Sapcote, Steph. of, 226  
 Sarah, Wm. s. of, 924  
 Sarsden, Rob. of, 884  
 Sarsden (Oxon.), 610  
 Saucey, Ralph de, 419, 443, 512,  
 519, 534, 575, 761, 884, 1176,  
 1234  
 Sauser:  
   Hen. le, 1385  
   Reynold le, 999  
   Wm. le, bailiff of Northgate  
   hund., 514, 1058, 1059, 1061,  
   1384,  
   1420, 1421, 1422  
 Sauvage:  
   Azo le, 805  
   James le, 103, 105, 106, 657,  
   805, 934  
   Rob. le, 331, 448, 1291  
   Thos. le, 125  
 Sawston, Nic. of, 8, 36  
 Sawston (Cambs.), 330  
 Sawtry abbey (Hunts.), abbot of,  
 124, 271, 329  
 Say, Wm. de, 59, 666  
 Scaccario:  
   Helen de, 293, 418, 471, 481,  
   586, 594  
   Hen. de, 176, 177, 297, 418, 544,  
   611, 890, 1185, 1310  
   Laurence de, 293, 418, 440, 471,  
   481, 586, 594  
   Parnel de, 178, 418, 611  
   Rog. de, 359, 383, 763, 793, 892,  
   1098  
 Scalebrok, Wm. de, 262, 419, 451,  
 1249  
 Scherewind, Ralph, 893  
 Scorchebeef, Simon, 762, 1166  
 Scot, John, 267  
 Scotigny, Mabel de, 40, 43  
 Scotland, clerk of, *see* Turfin  
 Scoulton (Norfolk), 634  
 Scribe, Nic. the, 919  
 Scrivener, Hen. the, 399  
 Scropes, Erneis de, 983  
 Scret, Wal., 435, 1286  
 Scute, Adam, 172  
 Seagrave, Gilb., 961  
 Sebright, Wm. s. of, and Alice his  
   w. and Maud his w., 690  
 Secular, Gernos le, 42  
 Sedgeford:  
   Gilb. of, 206  
   John of, 206  
 Selon:  
   Peter, 647, 1338  
   Rob. 647, 1339  
 Selveyn, Wm., 773, 1126  
 Senescal, Geof. le, 305  
 'Sera', la, 359  
 Serjeant:  
   Gilb., 369  
   Hugh the, 637  
   John, 776  
   Maud, 637  
 Serle:  
   Sim. and Agnes his w., 37  
   Thos., 341  
 Sewal, 785  
 Sewell, Hen. of, 335  
 Sewy:  
   John, 715, 1031, 1053, 1417  
   Maud, 715  
 Shabbington, Guy of, 956; cf. 1212  
 Sharnford (Leics.), 403  
 Sharp, Roger, 927  
 Shelvelegh, Hen. de, 326  
 Shenington, Wm. of, 928, 986  
 Shenington (Oxon. formerly  
   Gloucs.), 461  
 Shepherd:  
   Rob. the, 850  
   Rob. s. of Wm. the, 990  
 Sherington, Sim. of, 381  
 Sherington (Bucks.), 381  
 Shernborne (Norfolk), 327  
 Shethendon, Ric. de, 581  
 Shifford (in Bampton, Oxon.), 920  
 Shiplate (Oxon.), 447, 464, 614,  
 702, 783, 784, 785 1064, 1065,  
 1068,  
 1290  
 Shipton:  
   Ralph of, 761, 1025, 1226  
   Wal. of, 109  
   Wm. of, bailiff of Bampton  
   hund. 774, 921  
 Shipton (Oxon.), 506, 946  
 Shipton-under-Wychwood (Oxon.),  
 618, 1022, 1222, 1226  
 Shipton hund. (Oxon.),  
   *see* Chadlington hund.  
 Shirburn (Oxon.), 261a, 624, 625,  
 812, 813, 1103, 1360  
 Shortcumbe, Rob. de, 22, 513  
 Shottesbrook, Rob. of, 237  
 Shottesbrook (Berks.), 237

- Shotover and Stowood forest, 981  
 Shouldham, Ingenold of and  
     Alinthe his w., 354  
 Shouldham (Norfolk), 354  
     priory, prior of, 354  
 Shutford:  
     Alice of, 658  
     Geof. of, 765  
     Thos. of, 658  
 Shutford (Oxon.), 949  
 Sibford, Peter of, 344  
 Sibford Gower (Oxon.), 305  
 Sideham, Gregory de, 704  
 Sifrewast:  
     Maud, 180, 309, 528  
     Reynold, 297  
     Ric. de, 180, 203., 204, 309, 528  
 Sileman, Ric., 919  
 Silven, Wm., 262, 1249  
 Silvester, John, 763, 1100  
 Simeon:  
     Hen., 727, 734, 754, 758c, 1030,  
         1048, 1377  
     Hen. s. of Stephen, 972  
     Joan, *see* Arsic  
     Peter s. of Stephen, 840  
     Stephen, 351, 754, 799, 1369  
 Simon:  
     Geof. s. of, 617, 1359  
     Reynold s. of, 398, 1272, 1273  
     Ric. s. of, 3, 656  
     Rob. s. of, 744  
     Rog. s. of, 983  
     Sim. s. of, 344  
     Wal. s. of, 143, 414  
     Wm. s. of, 765, 1016, 1221  
 Simple, Rob. le, 536, 767  
 Sinkers, Hugh le, 888, 1181, 1182  
 Sirrion, Sim. de, 255  
 Siward, servant of Hugh Wyther,  
     827  
 Siward:  
     Peter s. of, 460  
     Philippa, 514, 726  
     Ric., sheriff of Oxon., 735, 759,  
         900, 982, 1380  
     Simon, 437, 498  
     Thos., 240, 446, 466, 645, 769,  
         1083, 1289  
 Skerminges, Rog. de, 230  
 Sket:  
     Beatrice, 206  
     Hugh de, 403  
 Skye, Ralph de, 17  
 Slape, Geof. of, 858  
 Slape (in Wootton, Oxon.), 841  
 Slaughter, John of, 1025, 1226  
 Slav, Ric., 542  
 Slepy, Hen., 784, 1065  
 Smart, Thos., 765  
 Smartknaves:  
     Hen. and Christine his w., 749  
     Wm., 749, 752, 1383  
 Smith:  
     Adam and Emma his w., 354  
     Agnes widow of Rob., 301, 638  
     Asgar, 478  
     Hugh, 978  
     Peter, 1013, 1220  
     Phil., 1012, 1219  
     Rob., 638, 816, 896, 1107, 1108  
     Wm., 1040  
 Somerford, Alan of, 883, 1175  
 Somersford (in Cassington, Oxon.),  
     626, 1330  
 Somerton:  
     Mat. of, 1057, 1419  
     Rog. of, 278, 1253  
 Somerton (Oxon.), 799  
 Sonning (Berks.), 792  
 Sopere, Andrew le, 832, 1120  
 Sor:  
     Ellis le, 754, 758c  
     John le, 461  
     Rog. le, 754  
 Souldern (Oxon.), 763, 1100  
 Southrop, Sim. of, 1021, 1224  
 Spakeman, Wm. and Maud his w.,  
     912  
 Spaldington:  
     Adam of, 293, 464, 471, 586,  
         594  
     Maud of, 293, 471, 586, 594  
 Sparsholt (Bucks.) *see* 'Sperteshale'  
 Sparwe, Ralph, 1021, 1224  
 Spek, Wal., 448  
 Spelsbury (Oxon.), parson of, 642  
 Sperewe, Rob., 452, 1293  
 'Sperteshale' (?Sparsholt, Bucks.),  
     563  
 Spicer, Wm., 15  
 Spigernel:  
     Godfrey, 323  
     Wm., 204, 591  
 Spillewater, Rob., 826  
 Spink [Spring], Geof., 3  
 Spragin, Geof., 847a  
 Spring, *see* Spink  
 Sproughton (Suffolk), 338  
 Squire, *see* Esquire  
 Stafford:  
     Ric. of, 241

- Rob. of, 193  
 Wm. of, 79, 398, 1273  
 Staffordshire, 859  
 Stagun, Wal. and Maud his w.,  
 301, 638  
 Staines:  
   Joan, 236  
   Wm. of, 236, 575  
 Stallis [Wallingford]:  
   Alex. de, 267, 482  
   Hawise de, 188, 426  
   Nic. de, 86, 432, 482, 497, 630  
   Steph. de, 188, 426, 482, 496  
 Stamford (Lincs.), 486, 688  
 Stanes, Peter de, 762  
 Stanford:  
   Gilb. of, 773  
   Parnel of, 132, 442  
   Wm. of, 442  
 Stanley, John of, 758a, 1394  
 Stanmore (Mddx.), 332  
 Stanninges, Peter de, 1365  
 Stanton, Phil. of, 58  
 Stanton Harcourt (Oxon.), 860  
 Stanton St. John (Oxon.), 977  
 Stelhard, Thos., 1011  
 Stephen, dean of Hereford, 24  
 Stephen:  
   Adam s. of, 381  
   Hen. s. of, 1016, 1021  
   Hugh s. of, 897  
   Rog. s. of, 1021, 1224  
   Thos. s. of, 821  
   Steph. s. of, 821, 1021, 1224  
 Sterthup, Agnes, 663  
 Stibbeneve, Giles de, 814  
 Stockwell:  
   Alice of, 731, 744  
   Beatrice of, *see* Bodin  
   Geof. of, 149, 598, 713, 714,  
   735, 744, 825, 1116  
   Ric. of, 744  
 'Stodle' (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403  
 Stoke:  
   Amice of, 963  
   Gunter of, 874  
   Lancelin of, and Amice his w.  
   233, 246  
   Ric. of, 197  
   Wm. of, 874, 1159  
 Stoke Lyne (Oxon.), 429, 797  
 Stoke Row (Oxon.), 900, 907  
 Stoke, South (Oxon.), 459, 460, *and*  
   *see* Woodcote  
 Stoke Talmage (Oxon.), 483, 520,  
 572  
 Stokenchurch (Bucks.), 896, 1304,  
   *and see* Pophley  
 Stonesfield (Oxon.), 1002  
 Stonor, Ric. of, 440, 441, 582, 772  
 Stopsley (Beds.), 689  
 'Stowenho' (Norfolk or Suffolk),  
 403  
 Stoyl, Rob., 874  
 Strange, Wm. le, 926  
 Stratford, Wal. of, 9  
 Stratton, Adam de, 158  
 Stretton, Reynold of, 484  
 Stretton (Warws.), 484  
 Strettus, Rob. de, 403  
 Stubbe, Wal., 795  
 Studham, Adam of, 454  
 Studley, Ric. of, 279, 1258  
 Studley priory (Oxon.), prioress of,  
 243, 346, 551  
 Sturdy, Wal., 394  
 Stuteville, Wm. de, 283  
 Sulham, Amaury de, 815, 1106  
 Sumere, Beatrice de, 571  
 Sumery, Rog. de, 874  
 Summoner, Rob. and Felicity his  
 w., 406  
 Sundry:  
   Emma, 251, 417  
   John, 251, 416, 417, 1275  
 Supicup, John 712  
 Surrey, countess of, *see* Warenne  
 Suthill, Adam de, 532, 1222  
 Suttleford:  
   Ric. de, 838  
   Thos. de, 838  
 Sutton, Hen. of, 664  
 Suwell, Thos. de, 758a  
 Swalcliffe, Ric. of, 1021, 1224  
 Swalcliffe (Oxon.), 617, 949  
 Swerford:  
   Master Alex. of, 291  
   Emma of, 291  
   Ric. of, 495  
 Sweet, Simon, 448  
 Sweyn, Ranulph s. of, 701  
 Swinbrook:  
   Belesent of, 579  
   Emma of, w. of Wm. the  
   chaplain, 579, 580  
   Erneburga of, 390, 579, 580  
   Felicity of, 579  
   Gunnora of, 579  
   Rob. of, 301, 579  
 Swinbrook (Oxon.), 390, 537, 579  
 Swincombe, Hugh of, 813  
 Swineherd, Godfrey, 911



- Swinerton:  
     Alex. of, 805  
     Hugh of, 798  
     Wal. of, 798  
 Swinelund, Rob. de, 652  
 Swinethorpe (Lincs.), 445  
 Swinford, Hen. of, 1028, 1030  
 Sybil, Agnes dau. of, 915  
 Sydenham, Rob. of, 172, 382  
 Sydenham (Oxon.), 887, 891, 897, 1179
- Tackley (Oxon.), 867, *and see* Whitehill
- Tailor:  
     Sampson the, 793, 1072  
     Thos. the, 533  
     Vincent the, 575, 716  
     Wm. the, 395
- Talemasch:  
     Helen, 483, 572  
     Hugh and Alice his w., 600  
     Peter, 483  
     Ralph, 520, 1319, 1320  
     Ric. and Avice his w., 483, 572  
     Wm., 483, 525, 572, 1319
- Talt [le Thall]:  
     Maud, 717  
     Ric. le, 717  
     Rob. le, 717, 1375
- Tamworth (Warws.), 355  
 Tany, Peter de, 159  
 Tattingstone (Suffolk), 647  
 Taynton, Ric. of, 230  
 Taynton (Oxon.), 1004, 1006  
 Tebrigge, Rob. de, 565  
 Telpen, John de, 341  
 Temple, Master of the knights of, in England, 89, 100, 122, 183, 410, 445, 549, 585, 595, 598, 675  
 Terling (Essex), 334, 345  
 Terry, John, 462  
 Testerton, Rob. of, 16  
 Tetsworth (Oxon.), 478, 617, 1358
- Tew:  
     Hugh of, 279, 875, 1256  
     John of, sheriff of Oxon., 541, 570, 759, 816, 866, 982  
     Ralph of, 555, 627  
     Rob. of, 1272  
     Wal. of, 954, 1211  
     Wm. of, 522  
 Tew, Duns (Oxon.), 581, 875, 1142  
 Tew, Great (Oxon.), 278
- Tew, Little (Oxon.), 493
- Thame:  
     Auger of, 955  
     Mat. of, 954, 956, 1212  
 Thame (Oxon.), 886, 954, 955, 956, 957, 960, 965, 979, *and see* Weston, North  
     abbey, abbot of, 439, 446, 483, 499, 887, 961, 1180  
     hund., 768, 1210  
     liberty of, 833  
 Thame, river, 823, 909, 953  
 Thames, river, 649, 706, 899, 910, 973  
 Thedric, Ric. 868, 1155  
 Thedwyn, Wm., 1009, 1218  
 Thetford priory (Norfolk), prior of, 487  
 Theydon Gernon (Essex), 341  
 Thirkleby, Rog. of, 350, 403  
 Thomas, the chaplain, 42  
 Thomas:  
     Joan dau. of, 591  
     Niel s. of, 450  
     Ralph s. of, 17  
     Turgis s. of, 150, 151  
 Thomley, Rob. of, 704  
 'Thorham' (Lancs.), 620  
 Thornbergh, Rob. de, 357  
 Thorold:  
     Peter, 712, 732, 742  
     Ric. and Haugenild his w., 917  
 Thory, Maud dau. of, 990  
 Thrucke, Erneis, 886  
 Thrupp:  
     Emma, 640  
     Geof. and Isabel his w. 111, 588  
     John of, 110, 640  
 Thrup (Oxon.), 615  
 Thurbern:  
     Geof., 971  
     Wm., 971  
 Thurugod [Turnegant], Wal., 816, 1107  
 Tilbury (Essex), 317  
 Tiler:  
     Gilb., 958  
     Peter, 774  
 Tilney, Peter de, 174  
 Tirel, Rob., 80  
 Titchmarsh:  
     Ralph of, 414  
     Thos. of, 414  
 Tilty abbey (Essex), abbot of, 158  
 Tiverton (Devon), 382  
 Tivill, Hugh de, 510, 563

## Toffend:

Gunnild de, 905

Joan de, 905

Maud de, 905

## 'Toffend' (Oxon.), 906

## Toky:

Rog., 355

Jordan, 948, 952

## Torod, Adam, 316

## Tusmore, Guy of, 763, 768, 1094

## 'Toteburne' (Devon), 339

## Totternhoe, Thos. of, 960, 1214

## Totternhoe (Beds.), 450

## Tovy:

Adam, 919

John, 919

Rog., 919

Wm., 994

## Tower, Ric. of the, 675

## Towersey (Bucks.), 886

## Travail, Hugh, 943

## Traveris, Thos., 1033

## Travers, Ralph, 506

## Tregot, Geof., 268, 1250

## 'Tregundres' (Cornwall), 690

## Treneglos, Wm. of, 690

## Triete, John, 821

## Tubney, Hen. of, 237

## Tuddenham, John of, 498, 525

## Turbere, Ralph de la, 338

## Turketil, Wm., 652

## Turfin, clerk of Scotland, 1055

Turnegant, *see* Thurugod

## Turner:

Maud le, 1031

Ric. le, 192, 777

Rob. le, 777

Rog. le, 777, 1031, 1401

## Turreville, Rose widow of Erneis de, 296, 435, 1287

## Turstan, Sim. s. of, 887

## Tusard, Wm., 339

## Tusmore:

Alan of, 422, 1279

Guy of, 422, 1279

## Tutseyne:

Rog. de, 286

Wm. de, 286

## Twyford, Wm. of, 435, 1287

## Tylesworth, Ambrose de, 671

## Tyringham, Giffard of, 209

## Tysoe:

Rob., 988

Wm. of, 818, 1110

## Tytebury, Ric. de, 31

## Tythrop (Bucks. formerly Oxon.), 452, 888, 1181

## Tyvel, Hen. de la, 466, 1299

## Uffington, Rob. of, 237

## Uhete, Geof., 442

## Ullenhall, Hen. of and Alice his w., 280, 321, 355

## Under wall:

Christine, 755

Thos., 755, 756, 1405

## Underwood, Rog., 844

## Upton:

Wal. of, 739, 960, 1215, 1388

Wm. of, 43, 935

## Upton (Norfolk), 283

## Upway (Dorset), 313

Up Wimborne (Dorset), *see*

Monkton Up Wimborne

## Urry, Martin, 967

## Valence, Wal., 771

## Valle, Peter de, 355

## 'Valledi' abbey, abbot of, 578

## Valoynes:

Joan de, *see* Clemdon

Thos. de, 87, 229, 245, 314, 680, 681, 704

## Vaus, Wm. le, 757, 1391

## Vegele:

John de la, 261a

Wm. de la, 261a

## Veisin, Wm. le, 704

## Venur:

John le, 642

Ric. le, 629

## Ver, Baldwin de, 874

## Verdun:

Agnes de, 535, 559

Rog. de, 559

Rose de, 808

Wm. de, 764

## Verite:

Rob., 566

Thos., 566

## Verney, Ralph de, 897

## Vincent, Geof. s. of, 947

## Vinconquer, Hugh de, 154

## Vintner, Alex. le, 482

## Vipont, Rob. de, 675

## Vitry, Andrew de, 1002

- Wace:  
 Gilb., 770, 825, 1116  
 Hen., 262, 467, 617, 1248, 1300, 1359  
 Wal., 1014  
 Wm., 419, 704, 773, 1125  
 Waddon, Devon, 339  
 Wade, Hen. de la, 519  
 Wahull, Saer de, 228, 463  
 Wake, Aline, 200  
 Walcot:  
 Avice of, m. — Warte, 393  
 Savary of, 402, 504, 761, 1236  
 Wal. of, 765  
 Walcot (in Charlbury, Oxon.), 393  
 Wale, Rob., 776  
 Waleis:  
 Alice, 510  
 Hen. le, 45  
 John le, 757, 1032, 1391  
 Phil., 861  
 Ralph le, 142  
 Rob. le [Rob. s. of Ralph], 90, 707, 861  
 Ric. le, 1396  
 Sim. le, 510  
 Thos. le, 510  
 Wm. le, 32, 642, 1026, 1231  
 Waleman, Rog., 455  
 Walkelin:  
 Rob. s. of, 703  
 Thos. s. of, 676  
 Wall, Rob., 1000  
 Wallingford:  
 Alex. of, *see* Stallis  
 Hawise of, *see* Stallis  
 Nic. of, *see* Stallis  
 Steph. of, *see* Stallis  
 Wm. of and Mary his w., 368  
 Wallingford (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 812, 903, 910  
 honor of, 775  
 Walsham (Norfolk), 319  
 Walter:  
 Adam s. of, 203  
 Alan s. of, 715, 1429  
 John s. of, 1271  
 Ric. s. of, 35, 166, 341  
 Steph. s. of, 13  
 Thos. s. of, 35  
 Wm. s. of, 195  
 Walton (Leics.), 353  
 Wandard, Rob., 75  
 Wande:  
 Hen. de la, 860  
 Thos. de, 565  
 Wal. de la, 179  
 Wanred, Hen., 793  
 Wentham:  
 Reynold de, 394  
 Rob. de, 394, 419, 1271  
 Wal. de, 394  
 Wanton, Master Sim. de, 377  
 Warblington, Thos. of, 140, 989  
 Warden:  
 Edmund of, 144  
 Rob. of, 352  
 Wm. of, 57  
 Warden abbey (Beds.), abbot of, 144  
 Wardington:  
 Alice of, 304, 402  
 Hen. of, 304  
 Wm. of, 304  
 Wardington (Oxon.), 304, 402  
 Warin:  
 Gilb., 790  
 Rob. s. of, 205  
 Warr, Master Geof. de, 453  
 Warenne, Maud, countess of Surrey, 517  
 Warte:  
 Avice, *see* Walcot  
 Wm., 393  
 Warun, Rob., 504  
 Warwick, Thos. earl of, 99, 280, 305, 1026  
 Wassand, Alan of, 351  
 Waterman, Rog., 1055  
 Waterperry (Oxon.), 978  
 Watervill, Ric. de, 691  
 Watlington, Adam of, 500, 1308  
 Watlington (Oxon.), 810  
 Wayder, Wal. le, 839, 1136, 1137  
 Wayte, Geof. le, 1038  
 Weald (in Bampton, Oxon.), 774  
 Weaver:  
 Hen. the, 1037  
 Ric. the, 341  
 Maud widow of Rog. the, 147, 674  
 Thos., 1045, 1407  
 Wal. the, and Maud his w., 715  
 Weedon, Nic. of, 502  
 Welland, river, 488  
 Welewes, John de, 6  
 Well:  
 Adam at, 841  
 Wm. at, 882  
 Wells, Wm. of, 358, 743  
 Wendlebury:  
 Rob. of, 833, 962, 1121

- Wm. of, 805  
 Wendlebury (Oxon.), 805, 1089  
 Wendover, Mannasseh of, 326  
 Wendover (Bucks.), 326  
 Wennington (Hunts.), 521  
 Wepere, Hen., 736  
 Wesenham, Rog. de, 2  
 West Chickerell, Rog. of, 142  
 West Dean, Rob. of, 40  
 Westerfield, Austin of, 525  
 Westerfield (Suffolk), 525  
 Weston:  
   Adam of, and Parnel his w., 62, 668, 743  
   Sim. of, 310  
   Wm. of, 76, 163a, 414  
 Weston (Norfolk or Suffolk), 403  
 Weston-on-the-Green (Oxon.), 422  
 Weston, North (in Thame, Oxon.), 598  
 Wexham, Alex. of, 197  
 Weyle, Mary widow of Ric. le, 158  
 Wheatfield:  
   Ellis of, 500, 642, 772, 814, 1104  
   Rob. of, 600  
 Wheatley:  
   Alice of, 511  
   Hen. of, 33  
   Mat. of, 983  
   Wal. of, 730, 746, 1381, 1397  
 Wheatley (Oxon.), 511, 970, 1313;  
   *and see* Harpeford  
 Whelham, Thos. de, 372  
 Whitchurch:  
   Alice of, 87, 245, 681  
   Hugh of, 790  
   Ralph of, 898  
   Reynold of, 87, 229, 245, 491, 492, 680, 681  
   Rog. [Reynold] of, 240, 771, 1246  
   Thos. of, 8, 35  
   Wm. of, 898  
 Whitchurch, Oxon., 898  
 White:  
   Alice the, 682  
   Rob., 388, 972, 1268  
   Wm. the, 682, 776  
 Whitehill, Phil. of, 419, 762, 1161  
 Whitehill (in Tackley, Oxon.), 868, 1155  
 Whitfield, Ric., 764  
 Whiting, Adam, 942  
 Whitworth, Wal. of, 72  
 Whytward, Rog., 658  
 Wich, Wm., 365  
 Wickham (in Banbury, Oxon.), 949  
 Wickhampton (Norfolk), 283  
 Widford, Nic. of, 537  
 Wigayn [Wygorn], Wal., 786, 1070  
 Wighenham, Wm. of, 124  
 Wighenham (Norfolk), 403  
 Wigginton (Oxon.), 999  
 Wigmore abbey (Herefs.), abbot of, 42  
 Wigod, Gilb., 501, 1310  
 Wike:  
   Alma, 621  
   Wm. de, 509, 771, 1312  
 Wikepit, Wm. de, 151  
 Wikinam, Nic. de, 1142  
 Wilby, Wal. [Wm.] of, 105, 657  
 Wilcote, Peter of, 659, 1330  
 Wilcote (Oxon.), 236  
 Wildehale, Sim., 770  
 William, 340, 459, 850  
 William, parson of Fawley, 394  
 William, prior of Noyon, 652  
 William, reeve of Astrop, 923  
 William, s. of the reeve of Rollright, 1012  
 William:  
   Adam s. of, 165, 413, 602, 694, 1343  
   Andrew s. of, 216, 223  
   Beatrice dau. of, 684  
   Emma dau. of, 1004  
   Erneis s. of, 395  
   Fulk s. of, 100, 598  
   Geof. s. of, 929  
   Geof. s. of and Gunner his w., 873  
   Gilb. s. of, 19, 395  
   Gunnild dau. of, 644  
   Hen. s. of, 288, 564, 1261  
   Hervey s. of, 628  
   Hugh s. of, 102  
   John s. of, 202, 624, 625  
   Margery dau. of, 508  
   Maud dau. of, 368  
   Maud widow of Geof. s. of, 175  
   Nic. s. of, 342, 644, 885  
   Peter s. of, 436, 454, 1294  
   Ralph s. of, 898  
   Ralph s. of and Margery his w., 558  
   Reynold s. of, 6  
   Ric. s. of, 17, 500, 564, 888, 1181  
   Richolda, dau. of, 430  
   Rob. s. of, 103, 478, 990  
   Rog. s. of, 17, 369

- Sim. s. of, 1024
- Steph. s. of, 219
- Thos. s. of, 21, 35, 196, 405
- Wm. s. of, 327
- Williamscot (Oxon.), 948, 952
- Wilton:
  - Olympias, widow of Ralph of, 33
  - Wm. of, 273
- Wimarc, Peter s. of, 821
- Wimbervill:
  - Ellis de, 137, 646
  - Rog. de, 51, 136, 264, 296, 326, 340, 464, 562, 648
- Winchcombe abbey (Gloucs.), abbot of, 244, 1026, 1232
- Winchester, earl of, *see* Quincy, Rog. de
- Winchester (Hants.), 311, 957
- Windrush, Ric. of, 244
- Winter, Bundkin, 1270
- Wiser, Gilb., 452
- Wither:
  - Hugh, 827, 1118
  - Wm., 485
- Withersdale (Suffolk), 378, 1267
- Witney, Agnes of, 541, 570
- Witney (Oxon.), 781, 842, 927, 985-8
- Wittenham, Denise of, 910
- Wittenham (Oxon. formerly Berks.), 910
- Wluric:
  - Rob. s. of, 821
  - Thos. s. of, 821
- Woburn abbey (Beds.), abbot of, 228, 463, 507, 556, 805
- Wolf, Rob., 414
- Wolford, Ric. of, 392, 1270
- Wolvercote (Oxon.), 850, 851, 864
- Woodcock, Ralph, 1022
- Woodcote:
  - Ric. of, 772
  - Wm. of, 767
- Woodcote (in South Stoke, Oxon.), 396, 459, 460
- Woodeaton (Oxon.), 977
- Woodperry (Oxon.), 970, 975
- Woodstock, Wm. of, 717, 1375
- Woodstock (Oxon.), 750, 777, 954, 1001-3, 1150
- Wootton:
  - Mat. of, 49, 763
  - Phil. of, 762
  - Rob. of, 762, 855
- Wootton (Oxon.), 551, 858, 1002, 1146, 1148, 1149, *and see* Slape hund., 762, 1134
- Worcester:
  - Rob. of, 259
  - Wal. of, 1037, *and see* Wigayn
- Worcester, bp. of, *see* Cantilupe, Wal. de
- Wormsley:
  - Phil. of, 624
  - Wal. of, 625, 687
  - Wigod of, 624
- Worth, Hen. de, 733
- Worton, Ric. of, 762, 1168
- Worton (Oxon.), 603
- Worton, Nether (Oxon.), 593, 597, 602, 694, 881
- Worton, Over (Oxon.), 880
- Wose:
  - Ric. de la, 766
  - Rog. de, 726, 1379
- Wrenne:
  - Beatrice, 909
  - Ric., 909
- Wret, Ric. s. of Ric., 853
- Writhelock:
  - Hugh, 1004
  - Wm., 1004
- Wroge, Wm., 283
- Wroxton, Reynold of, 866
- Wroxton priory (Oxon.), prior of, 377
- Wumestan, Phil. de, 498
- Wyhecumb, John de, 108
- Wycombe, High (Bucks.), 297, 328, 675
- Wycombe, West (Bucks.), 669
- Wychwood forest (Oxon.), 1020
- Wydemere, Wm. s. of Wm. de, 544
- Wydendon, Wm. de, 669, 677
- Wyfford, Hugh de, 180
- Wygesnail, Wm., 1034, 1404
- Wyfold (in Checkendon, Oxon.), 446, 902, 1204
- Wygorn, *see* Wigayn
- Wyketoft [Wykinton], John de, 261, 438
- Wyly, Hen., 146
- Wymarc, 635
- Wysendon, John de, 153
- Wytham:
  - John of, 477
  - Rog. of, 237
- Yarmouth, Wal. of, 273
- Yarcombe (Devon), 608

Yarnton (Oxon.), 850, 1139  
Yerforth, Hen. s. of, 272  
Yiapston [Yapspon], Maud widow  
    of John de, 202  
Ylser, *see* Hilber  
York:  
    Thos. of, 651  
    Wm. of, provost of Beverley,  
        461, 691, 919, 920, 1029  
York, archbp. of, *see* Gray, Wal.  
    de  
    subdean of, *see* Romanus

Young:  
    Hugh, 785, 1068  
    Maud, 845  
    Ralph, 764, 769, 1073  
    Rob. 606  
    Wal., 919  
    Wm., 423, 845, 1281  
Yve, Wm., 900, 1201

Zachary, John, 1028, 1030, 1398,  
    1399

## INDEX OF SUBJECTS

Roman numerals refer to the page nos. of the intro., Arabic to entry nos. in the text.

- abjuration of the realm, 794, 816, 817, 832, 842, 853, 855, 867, 869, 888, 903, 925, 936, 970, 975, 979, 987, 992, 993, 1006, 1010, 1024, 1034, 1035, 1037, 1059
  - pardoned, 921
- acquittals, 796, 804, 810, 813, 837, 838, 841, 845, 854, 870, 883, 884, 885, 893, 896, 903, 905, 912, 919, 922, 935, 947, 950, 959, 962, 970, 1009, 1037, 1040, 1047, 1048
- advowson, 367, 614, 679
  - action of, 24, 239, 449
- agreement (concord), breach of, 317
- ale, render of, 534
- ancient demesne, 442, 485
- annuity, plea of, 29, 99, 124, 727
- appeal, 988
- approver, 988
- arson, alleged in presentment, 989
- assart, 549
- assault, alleged in appeal, 956
- associating with criminals, alleged in indictment, 922, 959
- axe, 956
- barrels, 849
- basins, 1019
- bastardy, alleged, 378, 393, 434, 593
  - alleged in appeal, 1048
- beam, 798
- boat, 823, 899, 980, 1011
- branch, 801
- breach of peace, alleged in appeal, 784, 793, 796, 814, 858, 861, 875, 876, 885, 890, 924, 946, 956, 972, 1015, 1025, 1047
- bread, customary render, 534
- burglary, alleged in presentment, 898
- calf, 824
- carrying service, 706
- cart, 843, 849, 852, 862, 974, 996, 1005
- cart and horse, 921, 1005
- castle guard, 325
- chirograph:
  - to keep, plea of, 329
  - issued, 326, 359
  - to be levied, 2, 228, 246, 283, 336, 339, 408, 474, 585, 692
- clerks, 841, 853, 956, 992, 997
  - criminous, 787, 893, 957, 1043, 1058
- cloak, 1009
- cloth, breach of assize of, 818, 944, 960, 967, 1000
  - stolen, 954
- colts, 870
- common of pasture:
  - claimed, 261, 278, 417, 422, 445, 458, 459, 467, 468, 530, 549, 556, 585, 657
  - plea of, 76, 344, 470, 573

- compromise, 1015, 1016, 1025
  - in appeal, 924
- concealment of chattels, 995
  - of plea, 979
- concord and recognizances made in this eyre, 233, 234, 335
  - in action of annuity, 727
  - covenant, 276, 334, 549, 750
  - custom and service, 424, 443, 502, 534, 535, 543,
  - debt, 433
  - dower, 409, 461, 504, 559, 566
  - entry, 359, 482, 483, 499, 583
  - estovers, 456
  - land, 294, 311, 343, 348, 349, 411, 415, 416, 320, 421, 431, 462, 470, 477, 479, 503, 510, 516, 537, 561, 573, 574, 582, 584, 589, 600, 630, 718, 725
  - mort dancestor, 316, 395, 397, 542
  - nuisance, 732
  - replevin, 648
  - right, 326, 512, 538, 633, 635, 707, 713, 730
  - wager of law, 331,
  - wardship, 285, 429
  - warranty of charter, 415, 557
  - waste, 332, 524
- contempt of court, 697
- corn, 884
- coroners, 760, 830 *and see* Marmion, Geof.; Foliot, Ric.; Nowers, Nic de
- courts:
  - county, 377, 442, 704, 73, 786, 787, 834, 840, 844, 859, 898, 946, 1008, 1009, 1045
  - hundred, 279, 313, 377, 520
  - of Oxford, 712, 716, 719, 730, 755
  - of Thame, 954
- covenant, action of, 66, 79, 136, 199, 210, 211, 271, 276, 282, 319, 334, 370, 549, 560, 610, 671, 705, 750
- custody, 1015, 1016, 1025
  - of land, 379
- custom and service, action of:
  - adjourned, 266, 372, 391
  - appointment of attorney, 337, 372, 391, 427, 438, 575, 588
  - concord made, 424, 443, 502, 534, 535, 543
  - essoins, 111, 113, 194, 195, 224
  - pleading, 327, 443, 534, 568, 653
- damages, awarded, 240, 261, 268, 269, 278, 287, 288, 369, 392, 394, 401, 458, 459, 491, 492, 501, 520, 726, 735
  - claimed, 271, 325, 338, 357, 358, 379, 429, 433, 524, 544, 549, 607
- darrein presentment, action of, 19, 122, 265, 310, 473
- death, accidental, 798, 801, 823, 824, 830, 838, 843, 849, 852, 857, 862, 863, 899, 937, 971, 973, 974, 909, 911, 915, 917, 921, 976, 980, 996, 998, 1005, 1011, 1014, 1032, 1036, 1041, 1044
  - natural or unexplained, 822, 828, 847, 847a, 901, 902, 908, 916, 953, 1001, 1017
- defaulters, 790, 805, 815, 836, 874, 897, 907, 913, 934, 949, 961, 983, 999, 1026
- de fine facto*, 33, 144, 364, 463, 556, 599, 671, 672, 709
- debt, action of:
  - appointment of attorney, 226, 242, 275, 280, 281



- concord made, 631
- essoins, 5, 7, 21, 49, 50, 59, 119, 120, 138, 189
- non-prosecution, 686
- pleading, 273, 403, 412, 433, 489, 619, 652, 666, 685, 710
- debt, payment acknowledged, 350
- recognisance enrolled, 383, 407, 505, 548, 616, 632
- detinue of charter, action of, 11, 320
- detinue of chattels, action of, 693, 708
- dike, 240, 279, 422, 446, 520, 539, 734
- disease, falling sickness, 902
- ditch, 466
- dower, action of:
  - appointment of attorney, 230, 243, 250, 256, 280, 296, 321, 323, 375, 425, 437, 546, 547, 721
  - concord made, 409, 461, 504, 559, 566
  - essoins, 6, 23, 28, 39, 40, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 58, 64, 107, 134, 135, 143, 146, 147, 149, 152, 153, 154, 156, 158, 159, 160, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 179, 181, 185, 186, 187, 190, 191, 193, 196, 207, 208, 212, 215, 216, 221, 222, 223
  - non-prosecution, 435, 506, 640, 641, 645
  - pleading, 268, 269, 272, 284, 304, 309, 314, 338, 355, 360, 361, 362, 380, 381, 382, 404, 428, 450, 461, 475, 484, 486, 487, 498, 521, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 555, 566, 608, 618, 623, 627, 634, 643, 655, 663, 664, 674, 688, 690, 704, 716, 724, 738
- dower, breach of agreement over, 317
- drapers, 966, 985
- duel, 155, 326, 793, 875
- encroachments, 809, 865, 1050, 1060
- Englishry, presented, 909
- entry, action of, 270, 340, 365, 406, 413, 432, 454, 482, 483, 494, 499, 536, 564, 571, 572, 579, 583, 596, 604, 639, 694, 717, 736, 739, 745, 749, 752, 754, 756
- escape, from chuch, 1042, 1057
- from prison, 833, 855, 910, 958, 962, 1024, 1029
- escheat, 593, 638, 891, 984
- estovers, 62, 277, 302, 456, 667, 668, 743
- exchange of land, 731
- Exchequer, 892
- falcons, 860, 931
- felony, alleged in appeal, 858, 859, 861, 875, 876, 924
- fence, 279
- fish, 950
- fishery, action of, 133, 209, 374
- fishery, claimed, 367, 488
- fishpond, 42, 829, 861, 950
- Flemings, land of, 932
- 'force', alleged in appeal, 877, 924, 946, 956
- frankpledge, man received out of, 848, 975, 979
- free bench, 338
- gaol delivery, 791
- gaol, comittal to, 810, 861, 954, 1008, 1009, 1047, 1049
- gardens, 390, 524
- glass, 911

- goshawk, 930
- grand assize, 209, 237, 297, 414, 419, 442, 443, 516, 519, 534, 538, 635, 682
- hanging, carried out, 837, 889
  - before eyre, 904, 938, 954, 957, 1053, 1054
  - by justices for gaol delivery, 791, 965
  - sentence of, 882
- harbouring thieves, alleged in indictment, 970
  - alleged in presentment, 905
- hedge, 369
- hens, 325, 534 customary render? 832
- hidage, 534
- homage, 339, 544, 577, 675
- homicide, admitted, 1037
  - alleged in appeal, 1033
  - alleged in indictment, 796, 838, 854, 884, 912, 935, 970, 1037, 1040, 1043
  - alleged in presentment, 783, 785, 786, 787, 788, 792, 820, 821, 826, 827, 832, 846, 848, 850, 856, 964, 878, 879, 886, 887, 894, 903, 906, 910, 926, 940, 955, 963, 978, 991, 992, 994, 995, 997, 1004, 1006, 1007, 1010, 1012, 1013, 1028, 1030, 1031, 1033, 1038, 1040, 1045, 1055, 1057
- horse, 830, 843, 849, 976, 1014, 1041
  - stolen, 1058
- house, part of, 1036
- hue and cry, 970
- ivy, 860
- Jewry, 716
- knight service, 325
- labour services, 534, 548
- ladies in king's gift, 799, 808
- lance, 1008
- land, action of (unspecified):
  - appointment of attorney, 225, 227, 229, 248, 251, 254, 255, 257, 264, 282, 298, 301, 308, 324, 344, 346, 347, 351, 366, 371, 426, 436, 438, 547, 595, 676, 677, 695, 720, 722, 723
  - concord made, 294, 311, 343, 348, 349, 411, 416, 420, 421, 431, 462, 470, 477, 479, 503, 510, 516, 537, 561, 573, 574, 582, 584, 589, 612, 630
  - essoins, 115, 155, 163, 163a
- law, wager of, 95, 331, 357
- malt, 900
- marriage, legality questioned, 555, 618, 627
- marriage portion, 551
- mayhem, alleged in appeal, 825, 972
- meadow, 287, 338, 340, 363, 389, 393, 419, 492, 525, 557, 561, 602, 649, 655, 679, 684, 726
- measures, illegal, 1051
- mesne, action of (*quod acquietet*), 123, 241, 377, 544, 607
- mill, 352, 367, 428, 529, 540, 549, 618, 635, 675, 679, 688
  - suit to, 835
- mill wheel, 863, 998, 1044
- minors, 285, 341, 352, 494, 509, 511, 529, 586, 598, 634, 638, 717, 732, 739, 749, 752

- miscarriage, alleged in appeal, 883
- mort d'ancestor, action of:
  - appointment of attorney, 293, 322, 366, 418, 481, 541, 698
  - concord made, 316, 385, 395, 397, 471, 542, 597
  - essoins, 12, 75, 80, 84, 85, 87, 93, 96, 127, 148, 150, 219
  - heard in previous eyre, 647
  - non-prosecution, 315, 342, 451, 452, 511, 617
  - pleading, 261a, 262, 303, 312, 318, 368, 393, 399, 400, 430, 434, 455, 464, 478, 508, 509, 522, 533, 550, 570, 581, 644, 680, 681, 683, 689, 702, 703
- mortgage of land, 716
- murder, 785, 792, 820, 822, 828, 846, 847, 847a, 866, 886, 901, 902, 908, 916, 926, 953, 969, 991, 1001, 1004
- naifty, 472
- Normans, land of, 803, 806, 891
- novel disseisin, action of:
  - adjourned, 373
  - appointment of attorney, 249, 258, 289, 439, 514, 595
  - concord made, 602
  - essoins, 112
  - heard in previous eyre, 340, 357
  - non-prosecution, 388, 398, 402, 417, 422, 423, 447, 466, 467, 468, 539, 553, 567, 602, 603
  - pleading, 261, 278, 287, 288, 305, 333, 386, 389, 390, 392, 394, 401, 458, 459, 485, 491, 492, 493, 500, 501, 532, 545, 592, 712, 714, 726, 733, 735
- nuisance, action of, 240, 279, 369, 446, 520, 675, 732, 734
- outlawry, 783, 786, 787, 788, 800, 812, 820, 821, 826, 827, 833, 850, 856, 859, 864, 868, 870, 878, 879, 886, 887, 894, 895, 903, 910, 914, 918, 919, 920, 923, 926, 939, 940, 943, 945, 948, 952, 955, 963, 978, 988, 989, 994, 995, 997, 1003, 1007, 1010, 1012, 1013, 1021, 1022, 1028, 1030, 1033, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1042, 1043, 1052, 1055, 1056
- oxen, 706, 962
- palfrey, 652, 675
- pasture, *see*, common of pasture
- peace, king's, claimed, 840
- piggery, 396
- pigs, 868, 971
- ploughing service, 443, 706
- precipe, writ of, 519
- prises, 866, 941
- prohibition to court Christian, 4, 18, 357, 453
- quare deforciat*, action of, 354, 649
- quare impedit*, action of, 238
- quo iure*, 105, 106, 445, 512
- quod acquietat*, *see* mesne
- quod permittat*, action of, 396, 488
- rape, alleged in appeal, 810, 834, 839, 840, 845, 990, 1016
- razor, 927
- reaping service, 443,
- receiving stolen goods, alleged in appeal, 904
  - alleged in indictment, 895, 896, 957
- recordari facias*, 565

- reeve, 900
- relief, 544
- rent, *see* annuity
- replevin, 114, 128, 253, 384, 648, 706
- right, action of:
  - adjournment, 660, 699
  - concord made, 633, 682, 707, 725
  - non-prosecution, 540, 609, 236, 629, 700
  - pleading, 274, 286, 326, 353, 367, 376, 378, 396, 414, 419, 442, 465, 517, 519, 551, 552, 572, 576, 586, 601, 605, 614, 615, 620, 622, 635, 638, 642, 656, 661, 665, 669, 679, 682, 701, 713, 715, 728, 729, 730, 737, 740, 741, 744, 745, 747, 753, 754
- right of way, 358
- ring, gold, 1048
- road, 466, 732
- robbery, alleged in appeal, 795, 825, 859, 946, 956, 1008, 1009, 1048
  - alleged in presentment, 864
- scutage, 607
- serjeanty, 802, 807, 811, 860, 871, 872, 873, 880, 881, 892, 929, 930, 931, 981, 1018, 1019
- service, *see* custom and service
- sheep, stolen, 797
- sheriffs, 759 *and see* Crowcombw; Tew, John of; Siward, Ric.; Pegore; Hay, Wm.
- sickle, 917
- socage, 325
- spurs, gold, 880
- suicide, alleged in presentment, 927
- suit of court, 544
- theft, 791, 889, 1053
  - admitted, 888, 979, 987, 993, 1024, 1034, 1035, 1059
  - alleged in appeal, 904, 962
  - alleged in indictment, 797, 870, 882, 895, 896, 918, 919, 920, 950, 970, 993, 1003, 1021, 1023, 1052
  - alleged in presentment, 794, 800, 804, 812, 813, 816, 817, 842, 855, 867, 868, 869, 900, 914, 923, 939, 943, 945, 947, 948, 951, 952, 964, 975, 1022
- tile, 1045
- tongs, 1047
- tree trunk, 964
- trespass, civil action of, 260, 330, 469, 565, 606, 647
- tunic, 1009
- turbary, 319
- utrum, action of, 252, 387, 448, 590
- villein status, 354, 493, 715
- villeinage, 455, 482, 484, 493, 704, 726, 740
- vintnors, 966, 985 *and see* wine
- waiver, 826, 827, 951
  - wardship, action of, 65, 228, 255, 285, 325, 371, 429, 507, 554, 654
- warranty, action of:
  - adjourned, 719

appointment of attorney, 225, 235, 248, 267, 299, 300, 308, 340, 351, 415, 425, 440, 441, 480, 531, 515, 575  
concord made, 495, 557  
essoins, 13, 27, 72, 77, 78, 81, 82, 108, 109, 110, 130, 161, 162, 197 non-prosecution, 613, 624, 625, 626, 628, 636, 658, 687, 751, 757, 758, 758a  
pleading, 328, 490, 557, 569, 580, 662, 667, 746, 755  
waste, action of, 22, 63, 118, 176, 177, 178, 290, 332, 418, 513, 523, 524, 587, 611  
water course, 388  
weir, 649, 865  
will (testamentary), 691  
wine, breach of assize of, 928, 942, 968, 986  
withdrawal from appeal, 793  
wood, piece of, 937  
woods, 272, 302, 332, 338, 379, 396, 517, 523, 524, 525, 587, 621, 664, 667, 668, 679, 688  
wounding, alleged in appeal, 784, 793, 796, 844, 875, 876, 885, 1008, 1009, 1025, 1046, 1047  
    alleged in presentment, 1056  
wrongful hanging, alleged in appeal, 954  
wrongful imprisonment, 1049

